

**UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY**

**A CASE STUDY OF INSTITUTIONAL CHANGE  
IN A DRUG ADDICTION TREATMENT CENTRE  
IN HONG KONG**

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE  
GRADUATE SCHOOL  
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE DEGREE  
DOCTOR OF EDUCATION**

**FACULTY OF ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES**

**BY**

**ALFRED WAI KEUNG MAK**

**HONG KONG**

**2010**

## CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text. I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

---

Alfred Wai-keung MAK

10 March, 2010

## Acknowledgements

Many people made this thesis possible. The first person I would like to acknowledge dedication and support to me was my beloved wife, Siuling, who not only favoured me with generous hours to embark on the study but was always standing by to give me spiritual support to continue with the project whenever I felt frustrated with the long and gruesome research process.

My special thanks must go to my initial mentor, Dr. Bob Pithers, whose guidance was very useful in leading me to engage in the right course of study; and to Dr. Liam Morgan who, after Dr. Pithers' retirement, became the principal supervisor of my study. His patience and sincere attitude in guiding me through the study was a major factor that enabled me to complete the present study smoothly.

I would like to register my thanks to Mr. Peter Pi, the former Executive Director of the Hong Kong Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers (SARDA), who gave me permission and personal support to conduct this study on site of the "S Centre", an institution under his charge, including access to the organization's information. I also would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my friends and colleagues, Mr. Leonard Au, Mr. Henry Wai, and Mr. Seidei Luk, for their encouragement without which I might not have joined the programme at all from the start.

A special thank you should go to my research assistant, Mr. Michael Chan, a registered social worker who willingly and unconditionally gave me support in the data collection process, and took the trouble to translate the raw data into useful information for research analysis. Finally, this study would not have been possible without the support of those staff in the "S Centre" who voluntarily participated in the research exercise and submitted valuable feedback relating to the research questions. Obviously, their comments and observations formed the bedrock of the present study.

## **Dedication**

This Doctoral Project is dedicated to my loving wife Siuling who was always in support of my learning despite my reduced attention of her in the gruesome years of project commitments, to my colleagues and friends who believed in me, to my professors, and peer students who inspired me to continuously face the many challenges in the course of the study, and not the least to University of Technology, Sydney where I developed a true sense of personal development.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORGINALITY.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xv
ABSTRACT.....	xvi

### **Chapter**

#### **1 Introduction**

Overview .....	1
Need for the study .....	3
Significance of the study .....	8
Problem to be studied.....	10
The research questions.....	11
Background of the study.....	11
Standard of service.....	11
The Drug Treatment & Rehabilitation Centre (The study site) .....	11
Purpose of study .....	14
Outline of methodology of study .....	14
Thesis structure .....	14

	<b>Page</b>
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	
Introduction .....	16
Organizational change .....	17
1) The Nature of Change	
a) Change is unavoidable .....	18
b) Types of change .....	19
c) Change is complex and difficult .....	20
2) Resistance to change	
a) Meaning and nature of resistance .....	23
b) Causes of resistance .....	24
3) Managing change and overcoming resistance	
a) Setting change strategy .....	25
b) Overcoming resistance .....	30
4) Leadership and organizational change .....	34
a) The mutual impacts between leadership and organizational culture .....	37
b) Factors influencing leader's formulation of change strategies .....	39
c) Leadership style on change programme Effectiveness .....	51
d) Leader-follower relationship .....	54
Conclusion to Literature Review .....	58
 Theoretical Framework	
i) The nature and process of transformational change .....	60
ii) Factors influencing change .....	67

	<b>Page</b>
The conceptual framework .....	66
<b>3 Methods of the study</b>	
Part 1            General descriptions of study methods	
Design of the Study .....	72
Sample selection/Identification of data Sources .....	75
Instrumentation .....	77
Procedures for Data Collection .....	78
Data analysis .....	81
Part 2            Methodology Review	
Section 1        The review of methods employed	
Staff as the data source .....	83
The research instruments used .....	85
The Focus Group .....	86
The Individual Interview .....	87
The questionnaires .....	88
Thematic analysis .....	90
Questionnaire administration .....	91
Response rate .....	92
Validity of survey data .....	92
Reliability of the data collection agent	93
Data from official records and archival documents .....	93
Artefacts .....	94
Treatment of data .....	95
Section 2	
The meaning and impact of data in relation to the research .....	95

	Page
<b>4 Presentation and analysis of result findings</b>	
Overview .....	98
Data presentation .....	99
 Data for answering Research Questions .....	
Research Question 1:	
How was the process of change initiated and managed, and how did this process respond to resistance to change in the “S Centre” in the years after 2000? .....	100
- Scope of change .....	100
- Performance change in standard indicators .....	101
- Performance change in general aspects of the institution .....	105
- Changes in inmates’ qualities .....	107
- Changes in staff performance .....	108
- Changes in general attitudes of staff .....	109
- Change in administrative structure .....	110
- Feedback from inmates (clients) .....	110
- Summary of answer to Question 1 .....	111
 Research Question 2	
How was the process of change initiated and managed, and how did this process respond to resistance to change in the “S Centre” in the years after 2000? .....	111
- General dissatisfaction of the institution’s current performance	112
- The new leader initiated change with strong determination	115
- Change stifled by a strong institutional culture .....	116
- Strong resistance against change emerged from some quarters of staff .....	117
- Change strategy continued with increased efforts from the new leader to win collaboration and support .....	118
- Leader’s new vision shared by staff and inmates .....	119
- Benefits of change felt by staff and clients .....	120



	<b>Page</b>
Resistance fades .....	122
- New changes seen as unwritten codes and became the institution's norm .....	123
- Stakeholders took pride of the successful transformational change of the institution .....	123
Summary of answer to Question 2 .....	124
 Research Question 3: How does organizational culture influence the initiation of change, as in the case of the "S Centre"?	124
- The organizational culture as a barrier for organizational change .....	125
- Sub-culture as a backup for resisting change .....	127
- Culture and institutional change .....	129
- Summary of answer to Question 3 .....	131
 Research Question 4: How does institutional leadership relate to performance in the "S Centre"?	131
- The continuous change despite strong resistance .....	133
- Change at an exceedingly fast speed and in a short span of time .....	133
- The transformational nature of the changes instituted .....	134
- The 'leadership' factor .....	134
- Quality demanded of the leader .....	137
 Group 1 Data - Leader's professional knowledge and skill .....	137
- Vision .....	139
- Empowerment .....	142
- Staff development .....	142
- Interpersonal relations .....	144
- Supportive leadership .....	144
- Moral reasoning .....	145

	<b>Page</b>
- Competence .....	145
- Innovative thinking .....	146
Group 2 data - Personal qualities required of the leader .....	146
- The leader's dispositional attributes .....	147
- The leader's charisma .....	148
i) Commitment to organizational goal .....	148
ii) Zeal and energy .....	148
iii) Problem solving and interpersonal skills .....	148
- The 'By heart approach' .....	149
- The leader's moral self .....	150
- Leader's courage .....	151
- Summary in answer to Question 4 .....	151
 Research question 5	
How effective are the change strategies from the general literature when applied to a closed institution? .....	152
- The change process .....	152
- The institutional context .....	155
- The change agent .....	155
- Summary of answer to Question 5 .....	157
 Research Question 6	
How might leadership and change strategies suggest a framework for transformational change in closed institutions? .....	158
- Leadership and transformational change of institution .....	159
- Change strategy and institutional change .....	161
- Change in closed institutions .....	162
- Summary of answer to Research Question 6 .....	163
- Summary of findings for Chapter 4 .....	164

	Page
<b>5 Conclusions, Discussions, and Implications</b>	
Overview .....	168
Discussions .....	171
Why institutional change was difficult in the “S Centre”?	173
Why change of culture in the “S Centre” was difficult?	174
How does past literature contribute to explaining the cultural change of the “S Centre” in its Year 2000 reform?	176
What caused the smooth transformational change of the “S Centre” in 2000?	180
Why staff (and inmates) changed their attitude under the new leadership in 2000?	192
The attributes of a transformational leadership style:	
The leader’s personal characteristics .....	194
Leader’s preference for democratic/participative management .....	194
The Leader’s moral self .....	195
Leader’s professional knowledge and skill .....	196
Insistence on right behaviour .....	197

	<b>Page</b>
Implications	
The first implication – “Planned change needs a human power source” .....	198
The second implication – “Effective change demands extra personal qualities from the change agent” .....	199
The third implication – “Humanistic approach facilitates change of human culture” .....	200
The fourth implication – “The power of the majority – The democratic principle” .....	201
The fifth implication – “Persistent change depends on continued staff development” .....	203
Final conclusions .....	204
Limitations .....	207
Recommendations for Future Research .....	208
The study as a reference .....	209
 <b>Bibliography</b>	 244

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	Leader’s qualities & characteristics .....	50
Table 2.2	Common Leadership styles.....	52
Table 2.3	Leadership styles and their appropriate use in Change situations.....	53
Table 2.4	Power Taxonomy.....	55
Table 2.5	Leadership inventory –Set one.....	65
Table 2.6	Leadership inventory – Set Two.....	66
Table 3.1	Proportion of ex-addict staff .....	84
Table 3.2	Distribution of institutional staff & length of service .....	89
Table 3.3	Opinion Questionnaire survey – The response rate .....	92
Table 4.1	Respondents’ reports on positive change of cultural elements.....	130
Table 4.2	The leader’s role in driving force of change .....	135
Table 4.3	The most important factor driving force of change .....	135
Table 4.4	Themes of leader’s knowledge and skills .....	138
Table 4.5	Comparison of leader’s communication style and its impacts on Leadership effectiveness – Pre-2000 vs Post-2000.....	141
Table 4.6	Major observed themes of leader’s personal quality .....	147
Table 4.7	Major sources of leader power and likely subordinate reactions .....	163
Table 5.1	Kotter’s Eight-Step Plan for implementing Change .....	182
Table 5.2	Themes of Leader’s personal quality .....	193

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure 2.1	Lewin's Three-Step change Model .....	20
Figure 2.2	Change management framework for education (Scott, 1999).....	36
Figure 2.3	Beckhard/Harris Model: Interim Goals.....	61
Figure 2.4	A Conceptual Model to Assist Educational Leaders Manage Change (Cochren, 1995) .....	62
Figure 2.5	Conceptual Framework of the Study.....	67
Figure 3.1	Framework of investigation .....	79
Figure 4.1	Scope of Change – Feedback agreeing on deep and pervasive institutional change after Year 2000 .....	102
Figure 4.2	Staff feedback on institutional change after Year 2000 .....	102
Figure 4.3	Staff feedback - General performance indicators .....	103
Figure 4.4	Success (Abstinence) Rates of the “S Centre” .....	103
Figure 4.5	Performance indicators of the “S Centre” (1987-2006) .....	104
Figure 4.6	Growth of Centre Occupancy in relation to Local Total Addict Population (1991-2006) .....	104
Figure 4.7	Changes in general aspects of the institution .....	105
Figure 4.8	Changes in inmate's attitudes .....	107
Figure 4.9	Changes in staff's performance (Feedback from questionnaires N=44) .....	108
Figure 4.10	Staff's performance (Feedback from Focus Group/ Individual Interview).....	109
Figure 4.11	The Organization Structure of the “S Centre”.....	127
Figure 4.12	Staff's perception of the leader's role in the change of the “S Centre” in 2000 .....	156

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
Figure 4.13	Institutional change of the “S Centre” – before and after 2000 - staff perception of leader’s change strategies .....	157
Figure 4.14	Conceptual Framework of the Change Process at the “S Centre” .....	159
Figure 5.1	The vicious cycle of recruitment difficulties and sub-culture .....	175
Figure 5.2	Beckhard / Harris Model : Change Management .....	185
Figure 5.3	Unfreezing the Status Quo .....	186
Figure 5.4	Growth of change force- From a lone effort to collective force .....	202
Figure 5.5	The paradigm of Institutional Change .....	206

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
A. The Interview Protocol .....	211
B. The Consent Form .....	213
C. Semi-structured questions for Focus Group & Individual Interviews .....	215
D. The Questionnaire .....	221
E. Comments on the “S Centre” by Visiting Justices of the Peace 2001 – 2007 .....	229
F. Letters to the “S Centre” Management .....	236
G. The “S Centre” Client Satisfactory Survey – 2004-2007 .....	239
H. The Research Assistant Report and Observations .....	240



## **Abstract**

This is a study about institutional change and development. In the form of a case study, the present study investigated the circumstances under which a closed institution, a drug addiction treatment and rehabilitation centre in Hong Kong, experienced transformational change amidst adverse contextual conditions. Through qualitative and quantitative analyses of data obtained from three research instruments, including a 'Focus Group' and 'Individual Interviews', and a purposely designed questionnaire survey on staff samples, the study aimed to generate a deep and precise understanding of how transformational leadership impacted on the change and development of an institution characterised by an entrenched culture and environmental constraints.

The data provided in this study gave support to past literature that institutional culture impacted significantly on the force of change and might give ground for status quo and resistance to change. The study further suggested that, depending on the intensity of the driving force for change, overcoming resistance was possible, with speed of change determined by leadership quality and contextual factors. This result was supportive of the 'Force Theory' as espoused by earlier researchers such as Lewin (1950), Beckard and Harris (1987).

While revealing the close inter-relationship between the leader and change strategy, and between change strategy and outcome, the present study observed that individual differences played an important part in the makeup of transformational leadership. The study identified some specific characteristics that were consistent with transformational leadership contended by Bass (1978). Empirical evidence of the study further indicated that any leader desiring to achieve institutional change of a transformational nature should possess two sets of leadership attributes – i) competence in professional skills and knowledge, and ii) personal qualities facilitative of team-work, stakeholders' support and effective practices in a given context. The study in the end argued that without strong attributes of the second set, the success of the change programme could be severely discounted due to leadership inadequacy.

The study ended by suggesting a framework of transformational change for reference of change leaders in particularly institutions of similar nature.

Limited by the nature of case study, the present study is by no means an attempt to present a generalized phenomenon. It is however hoped that this empirical study on a site with little public attention would provoke the interest of concerned parties and academics to further explore the multi-faceted experience of institutional change and transformational leadership in different types of institutions.