Gifted education in Hong Kong: perceptions of teachers, parents and experts.

MA, Hon Ming

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Education
Faculty of Arts & Social Sciences

University of Technology, Sydney 2010

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor

has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged

within the text. I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have

received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been

acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are

indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

MA, Hon Ming

9 March, 2010

l

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to send my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor A. Prof. Bob Pithers for his invaluable guidance throughout my Doctor of Education study. His close supervision, kind advice and thorough feedbacks were essential to the smooth completion of this thesis. I would also like to express my deep appreciation for his kindness in proof-reading all my written work meticulously.

I must also thank the local experts, the parents, the local school teachers and their colleagues in gifted education from the Hong Kong Education Bureau, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong Association for Parents of Gifted Children, Department of Health Child Assessment Centre and The Boys' and Girls' Clubs Association of Hong Kong, for their genuine support and active participation in the questionnaire surveys and the expert interviews. Their sincerity and promptness in responding to the survey questionnaires were essential to the success of this study. As the father of two gifted sons, we all share the same vision and mission of continuous enhancement of quality gifted education to which this thesis is dedicated.

Last but not the least, I need to thank all my family members for their understanding and support and to Dr. So who ignited my interest in the field of gifted education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LIST OF TABLES | VI |
|--|------|
| LIST OF FIGURES | VIII |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | IX |
| | |
| ABSTRACT | X |
| CHAPTER1 – INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Overview of this study | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| Introduction | 4 |
| Brief historical review of gifted education | 6 |
| The psychology of giftedness and intelligence | 14 |
| Traditional psychometric emphasis | 16 |
| The era of IQ tests | 16 |
| General intelligence: the "g" factor | 19 |
| More contemporary psychologists on giftedness | 21 |
| Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences | 28 |
| A critical appraisal of the theoretical basis in advocating Gardner's theory | 30 |
| of Multiple Intelligences for gifted education | |
| General descriptive characteristics of gifted children | 40 |
| Precocious development in gifted children | 41 |
| Asynchronous intellectual development of the gifted | 42 |
| Above average abilities of the gifted | 43 |
| Creativity of the gifted and interaction with the environment | 45 |
| Talents as an expression of natural gifts by learning and development | 47 |
| Characteristics of the gifted that may impact on learning and behaviour | 50 |
| Over-excitabilities of gifted children | 50 |
| Levels of giftedness | 52 |
| Socio-affective characteristics of the gifted | 54 |
| Giftedness and achievement | 56 |
| Influential factors on gifted development | 59 |
| Gifted programs and curricular policies with research support | 77 |
| Research support for acceleration | 78 |
| Research support for high level curricular materials | 81 |
| School practices with doubtful benefits for gifted students | 84 |
| What works in gifted education- the lessons for successful implementation | 86 |

| CHAPTER 3 – BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT | 94 |
|--|-----|
| Introduction | 94 |
| Education in Hong Kong before 1990s and its impact on gifted education | 94 |
| Evolving definition of giftedness in the Hong Kong context | 95 |
| Identification of gifted school children in Hong Kong | 98 |
| Awareness of characteristics of gifted children | 98 |
| Identification tools for giftedness | 103 |
| Principles of gifted education policy in Hong Kong | 107 |
| Three-tier operation mechanism of gifted education in Hong Kong schools | 107 |
| Key milestones underpinning gifted education development in Hong Kong | 108 |
| Difficulties encountered by the receivers of gifted education | 117 |
| Gifted students with other exceptionalities | 118 |
| Pointers to the current research focus | 126 |
| Aims and significance of current research | 127 |
| CHAPTER 4 – METHOD. | 131 |
| Subjects and sampling | 131 |
| Research Instruments for data collection | 135 |
| Survey questionnaires for teachers | 136 |
| Survey questionnaires for Parents | 139 |
| Semi-structured interviews with local experts | 141 |
| Research Procedures | 142 |
| CHAPTER 5 – RESULTS | 146 |
| Quantitative results | 146 |
| Qualitative Comments | 186 |
| Parents' and teachers' qualitative comments | 186 |
| Local Experts' comments | 195 |
| CHAPTER 6 – CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION | 212 |
| Conclusions | 212 |
| Discussion | 221 |
| Hindrances for gifted education | 221 |
| Factors promoting progress in gifted education | 225 |
| Perceptions of how teachers and parents could help in education of gifted | 228 |
| students | |
| Gifted education local experts' views on the study results and hurdles and | 232 |
| barriers of gifted education in Hong Kong | |

| Gifted education local experts' views on progress factors for gifted | 234 |
|---|-----|
| education in Hong Kong | |
| Gifted education local experts' views on how gifted students can be better | 235 |
| served in Hong Kong | |
| Implications of the present research findings and their relationship to other | 237 |
| relevant literature | |
| Recommendations | 254 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 258 |
| | |
| APPENDICES | 279 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title | Page |
|--------------|--|------|
| 1 | A time line of historical development of gifted education in the world | 7 |
| 2 | Federal policies and legislation regarding education of the gifted in U.S.A. | 10 |
| 3 | Characteristics of giftedness in early childhood | 42 |
| 4 | Characteristics of the creative-gifted children | 46 |
| 5 | Gross's five categories of giftedness | 52 |
| 6 | Ruf's five levels of giftedness | 53 |
| 7 | Betts profiles of the gifted and talented in the USA | 55 |
| 8 | Common characteristics of gifted and learning-disabled children | 102 |
| 9 | The demographic characteristics of 95 respondents. | 147 |
| 10 | Gifted education training background of the 95 respondents | 148 |
| 11a | Descriptive statistics of "Agree" ranked scores, showing the awareness of 18 common characteristics of gifted children among the three groups of | 149 |
| 11b | respondents Non-parametric between-group analyses of differences in awareness over 18 common characteristics of gifted children | 150 |
| 12a | Factors with adequate awareness among trained teachers, general school teachers and parents on common characteristics of the gifted children. | 151 |
| 12b | Lower Awareness items among trained teachers, general school teachers and parents about the common characteristics of the gifted children. | 152 |
| 13 | Summary of responses to "A gifted child can also be learning disabled." | 157 |
| 14a | Factors with 'adequate' awareness among trained teachers, general school teachers and parents for common features of gifted school children, that are suggestive of them being 'learning disabled' | 158 |
| 14b | Factors with lower awareness for trained teachers, general school teachers and parents about features of gifted and learning disabled school children | 159 |
| 15a | Descriptive statistics for "Agree" scores ranked on the 15 characteristics of gifted learning disabled children among three groups of respondents. | 162 |
| 15b | Kruskal Wallis analysis of group differences on "Agree" ranked scores on 15 common characteristics of gifted learning disabled children among three groups | 163 |
| 16 | The factors used by trained teachers, general school teachers and parents to initiate a referral of suspected gifted school children for identification and confirmatory assessment. | 165 |

| Table | Title | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 17 | The top seven factors used by trained teachers to initiate a referral of | 166 |
| | suspected gifted school children for identification and confirmatory | |
| | assessment (and those not used by general school teachers or parents). | |
| 18 | The factors that school teachers and parents perceived as indicators of | 170 |
| | associated learning disabilities in gifted children | |
| 19 | Chi-square test results between three groups on the factor of "severe | 171 |
| | discrepancy between intellectual ability and academic achievement" (as an | |
| | indicator of associated learning disabilities in gifted children). | |
| 20 | The awareness of teachers and parents on Hong Kong Education Bureau | 172 |
| | policy and operation of gifted education in Hong Kong | |
| 21 | Kruskal Wallis analysis of between-group differences of ranked scores on | 175 |
| | statements related to Hong Kong Education Bureau policy and practice for | |
| | gifted education in Hong Kong | |
| 22 | The perceived importance of the education programs that assist and | 177 |
| | develop gifted students. | |
| 23 | The perceived importance of the education program that assists and | 181 |
| | develops gifted students among three groups using Kruskal Wallis tests. | |
| 24 | The perceived importance of the education practice that promotes the | 183 |
| | identification of gifted students | |
| 25 | The perceived importance of education practices that promote | 185 |
| | identification of gifted students: results of Non-parametric Kruskal Wallis | |
| | Test | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Title | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1 | Characteristics that clearly distinguish a gifted from a non-gifted child. | 154 |
| 2 | Features of gifted children that are suggestive of them being also 'learning- disabled'. | 160 |
| 3 | Factors used by the three groups to initiate a referral of suspected gifted school child for identification and confirmatory assessment. | 167 |
| 4 | Awareness of teachers and parents about statements on the Education Bureau's policy and the operation of gifted education in Hong Kong. | 174 |
| 5 | The perceived importance of education programs seen to assist and develop gifted students. | 178 |
| 6 | The perceived importance of education practices that promote identification of gifted students. | 184 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | Title of Appendix | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| 1 | HKSAR Education Bureau Table on definition of giftedness: | 279 |
| | "School-based Gifted Development Programmes"- Tools and | |
| | Standards for Selection of High-ability and Gifted Students | |
| 2 | Multiple intelligences (Gardner, 1998) | 280 |
| | | |
| 3 | University of Technology, Sydney Information Sheet for participants | 281 |
| 4 | Gifted Education Questionnaire for School Teachers | 282 |
| 5 | Gifted Education Questionnaire for Parents | 287 |
| 6 | Gifted Education Interview for Field Experts | 292 |
| 7 | Transcripts of qualitative comments from general school teachers, trained teachers and parents | 295 |

ABSTRACT

This study appears to be the first of its kind to adopt a comprehensive multi-pronged approach to investigate the perceptions of trained teachers, general school teachers and parents about gifted education in Hong Kong. The study also involved the input of local experts from multiple disciplines, who contributed their local, expert comments on the findings and gave their expert opinion about how gifted education might be further developed in Hong Kong. The significance of the research is to provide a better understanding of the factors that underpin the effective implementation of gifted education in Hong Kong and how the educational needs of gifted students in the future may be better served.

The methodological research approach was mainly empirical analytic. The subjects included trained teachers of gifted education, general school teachers with no prior gifted education training, local parents and five local experts of gifted education in Hong Kong. The 101 subjects were all obtained by convenience samples of volunteered participants. The research instruments developed, involved three separate sets of gifted education survey questionnaires: one was for use with both the general school teachers and trained teachers, another one for use with the parents and a third questionnaire for experts, during their semi-structured interviews with the researcher. Both quantitative and qualitative data were obtained from the samples.

The study revealed a fairly low awareness about the common characteristics of the gifted and their possible learning disabilities, of general school teachers and parents as compared with trained teachers. It also revealed a somewhat low awareness in each group about the Hong Kong Government policy as well as the operation of gifted education in Hong Kong schools.

More specifically, the critical factors used by the three respondent groups to initiate a referral of suspected gifted student for confirmatory assessment included, "highly creative", "superior in mathematical reasoning ability" and "intellectual curiosity". The education practices that each group perceived as helpful for identifying gifted students and as helpful for teaching/assisting and developing gifted students were described and discussed. The respondent groups' perception of the common progress and hindrances to gifted education and of how teachers and parents can help in the education of gifted students were also discussed. The views of local experts in gifted education about this study's findings and how the education needs of gifted / learning disabled students would be better served were then examined and discussed.

The thesis also examines the issues concerned with practical recommendations about the effective implementation of future school-based gifted education in Hong Kong and how the educational needs of gifted students may be better served.