

**THE EFFECTS OF WITHIN-CLASS GROUPING: A
CASE STUDY IN A HONG KONG SECONDARY
MATHEMATICS CLASSROOM**

ALFRED CHEUNG WAH SING

Ed. D (UTS)

2009

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Alfred Wah-sing Cheung

Acknowledgment

My thanks are due to the following respectable people who have done tremendous amounts of work in giving me the most important advice and support during the planning, writing, editing and submission stages of this thesis.

I am grateful to the University of Technology Sydney staff for their administrative support in preparing for the submission of this thesis. Without their help, this thesis would not have been submitted on time.

I shall also express my sincere gratitude to my colleague at Mulberry School, Mr. Craig Boswell, who has edited the written work so very patiently and cautiously.

I would like to acknowledge the following honorable teaching staff from University of Technology Sydney who gave me valuable advice during the planning stage.

Professor Alison Lee

Dr. Kitty teRiele

Dr. Tong Holland

My sincere thanks goes to the former Principal Supervisor, Dr. Bob Pithers, who suggested precious comments on my Ethics Approval Application and Doctoral Assessment. Dr. Pithers also guided me to the making of adjustments at the early preparatory stage of this thesis.

Lastly, I am most grateful to the Principal Supervisor, Dr. Liam Morgan, who made critical remarks about the reasoning of the thesis. Dr. Morgan checked and commented on the thesis development in detail and gave me encouragement from time to time. His contributions helped me a lot in organizing more appropriate thesis arguments, which eventually lead to its existence.

Contents

Acknowledgment	I
Contents	II
List of Tables	V
List of Figures	VI
Abstract	VII

Chapter One

Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.1-1 Learning with understanding and the five classroom dimensions	1
1.1-2 A discussion of the mathematics curriculum of Hong Kong	4
1.2 The problem: The heterogeneous mathematics classrooms of Hong Kong	10
1.3 Significance of the study: To explore learning opportunities in the heterogeneous classrooms	14

Chapter Two

Adopting within-class grouping as an instructional approach	19
2.1 Theoretical framework	20
2.1-1 Mathematics learning	20
2.1-2 Radical constructivism	21
2.1-3 Social constructivism and learner interaction	23
2.2 Whole-class teaching and ability grouping	27
2.3 The effects of collaborative dialogue in group work	32
2.4 Factors influential to the implementation of within-class grouping	36
2.4.1 Group size and its relation to other classroom elements	39
2.4.2 Group composition	41
2.4.3 Seating arrangement	43
2.4.4 Further comments on the grouping-and-regrouping procedure	45
2.5 Implementing within-class grouping with reference to the five classroom elements	47
2.5.1 The design of learning tasks for group-work	47
2.5.2 A shift in the teacher's role	49
2.5.3 Maintaining a social-communicative learning atmosphere	51
2.5.4 The appropriate use of mathematical tools	53
2.5.5 Equity in the mathematics classroom	54

2.6	Grouping and its impact on the high- and low-achieving students	56
2.7	The practice of within-class grouping in the Hong Kong classrooms	59
2.8	Overall comments on within-class grouping	63
2.9	Summary	66
Chapter Three		
Will Hong Kong students learn mathematics better in groups?		69
3.1	Student-centredness and the teacher-led classroom	71
3.2	Education in the Chinese-heritage culture	75
3.3	Characteristics of a Chinese mathematics classroom	84
3.4	Possible impact of within-class grouping on the Chinese learners	93
3.4.1	The influential factors	96
3.4.2	The facilitating factors	101
Chapter Four		
Research Method		106
4.1	Sampling and classification of students' ability levels	109
4.2	Research planning and curriculum design	112
4.3	Learning tasks and the promotion of collaborative dialogue	115
4.4	Research questions and data collection	120
4.5	The assessments	124
4.5.1	The pilot study	124
4.5.2	The design of the pretest with reference to students' thinking levels	125
4.5.3	Subject-based assessment: the knowledge quizzes	128
4.5.4	School-based assessment: the uniform test and examination	129
4.5.5	Questionnaire design and its administration	130
4.5.6	Interview arrangement	131
4.6	Means of statistical analysis	134
4.7	Summary	136
Chapter Five		
Results and Data Analysis		138
5.1	Analysis of whole group results	138
5.1.1	Data analysis for pretest and knowledge quizzes	138
5.1.2	Further evidence obtained from school-based assessments	144

5.2	Analysis of subgroup results	146
5.2.1	The high achievers	146
5.2.2	The intermediate achievers	147
5.2.3	The low achievers	149
5.3	Data analysis of questionnaire	151
5.4	The interview problems and students' responses	155
5.5	Summary	159
Chapter Six		
Discussion and Conclusion		162
6.1	The impact of within-class grouping on the Chinese learners	164
6.1.1	A review of the students' questionnaire and interview responses	165
6.1.2	Why did within-class grouping become disadvantageous to the low-achieving Chinese students?	175
6.2	Suggestions	183
6.2.1	Suggesting a professional development program to group Chinese students within class	184
6.2.2	Suggesting further research studies	200
6.3	Conclusion	203
	Notes 1 – 11	208
Appendix 1A	Allocation results of secondary-one students via SSPA	211
Appendix 1B	Hong Kong Certificate of Education Examination results	211
Appendix 2	Performance of new immigrants and local students in the 2008 Graduation Examination	212
Appendix 3	Comparing the competency of the mathematics teachers	214
Appendix 4	Secondary-four mathematics worksheet 6.1 (Sample learning task)	215
Appendix 5	Secondary-four mathematics revision quiz in geometry (The pretest)	218
Appendix 6	Secondary-four mathematics questionnaire	222
Appendix 7	Secondary-four mathematics interview questions	223
Appendix 8	Whole group results in school-based assessments	224
Appendix 9	The main effects of groups (A), ability levels (B) and the interaction effects (A×B) of pretest and knowledge quizzes	225
Appendix 10	High-achieving subgroups: t-tests for pretest and knowledge quizzes	226
Appendix 11	High-achieving subgroups: t-tests for school-based assessments	227

Appendix 12	Intermediate-achieving subgroups: t-tests for pretest and knowledge quizzes	228
Appendix 13	Intermediate-achieving subgroups: t-tests for school-based assessments	229
Appendix 14	Low-achieving subgroups: t-tests for pretest and knowledge quizzes	230
Appendix 15	Low-achieving subgroups: t-tests for school-based assessments	231
Appendix 16	Favorable/unfavorable comments transcribed from questionnaire	232
Appendix 17A	Average scores obtained by students in interview problems and their thinking levels distribution	234
Appendix 17B	Criteria of thinking levels acquisition	235
Appendix 17C	Interview results in terms of thinking levels distribution	236
Appendix 18	Responses made by experimental students to interview questions	237
Appendix 19	The weekly average frequencies of the three types of collaborative dialogue recorded in the third research stage	243
Appendix 20	An episode of learner interaction in small-group work at Research Stage 3	244
References		246

List of Tables

Table 1A	Distribution of students in ability subgroups	111
Table 1B	The learning objectives, grouping strategies and duration of each research stage	115
Table 2	Summary of pretest and the first two knowledge quizzes in the pilot study	125
Table 3	A summary of the data sources	134
Table 4	A list of full-test reliabilities	140
Table 5	Summary and F-values for pretest and the three knowledge quizzes	141
Table 6A	Whole group ANOVA on increase in KQ ₁ and pretest scores	142
Table 6B	Whole group ANOVA on increase in KQ ₂ and KQ ₁ scores	143
Table 6C	Whole group ANOVA on increase in KQ ₃ and KQ ₁ scores	144
Table 7	Whole group ANOVA on increase in Exam ₁ and Exam ₂ scores	145
Table 8	Summary and t-statistics of subgroup results significant at .05 level	150
Table 9	Distribution of students' thinking levels assessed from interview problems	156
Table 10	A summary of students' responses to the interview questions	157

List of Figures

Figure 1	Uniform test and examination results of both groups	145
Figure 2A	High achievers' performance in the three knowledge quizzes	146
Figure 2B	High achievers' performance in the school-based assessments	147
Figure 3A	Intermediate achievers' performance in the three knowledge quizzes	148
Figure 3B	Intermediate achievers' performance in the school-based assessments	149
Figure 4A	Low achievers' performance in the three knowledge quizzes	149
Figure 4B	Low achievers' performance in the school-based assessments	150
Figure 5A	Percentages of favorable responses in part (I) of questionnaire	152
Figure 5B	Percentages of favorable responses in part (II) of questionnaire	153
Figure 5C	Number of favorable/unfavorable comments recorded in part (III) of the questionnaire	154

Abstract

This research study focuses on the effectiveness of within-class grouping in the mathematics classrooms of Hong Kong. Mathematics education in Hong Kong has been criticized as examination-driven, and the curriculum is led in such way as to include a large amount of content materials. Learning conditions in the mathematics classrooms of Hong Kong have been found to be adverse by local observers, such that a large number of students are learning in a teacher-dominated classroom that overemphasizes drill-and-practice. Lessons are negatively criticized as enforcing memorization and rote learning. Yet time and time again, Hong Kong students outperform their Western counterparts and most Asian pupils in International Tests such as TIMSS and PISA. The paradox that memorization and rote learning lead to good achievement is commented on by local scholars as a misconception.

Previous research findings also reveal that Chinese classrooms are mostly heterogeneous, with large attainment variances occurring as a result of a diversity of learners' abilities. The major concern for this research is the possibility of reducing such heterogeneity through introducing a small-group learning culture into the lessons. The argument of this thesis is: "Arranging students into small learning groups may not work for everyone in a Chinese classroom". Much consideration needs to be given to such areas as the students' learning traditions, which come from a long Chinese educational history.

A case study, aiming to investigate the way some Chinese students can learn mathematics in small groups, was conducted in a Hong Kong secondary school, the Mulberry School. An experimental approach was adopted, such that three classes of secondary-four students were randomly assigned to experimental and control groups.

Research data supports the assumption that weaker students were penalized on account of some of the aforementioned Chinese cultural norms. The Mulberry experience shows that grouping students within class in Mulberry School did not reduce heterogeneity. Weaker students were disadvantaged as a result of some of these learning traditions, whereas capable students enjoyed learning in a discussion-based classroom.

Consequently, a professional development program has been proposed, which takes into consideration other influential classroom objectives, such as: the design of classroom tasks specific for the needs of Chinese students and Hong Kong schools, an appropriate shift in the teacher's role, and the introduction of unthreatening assessments. Besides, in order to improve learning in a discussion-based classroom of Hong Kong, more research studies need to be done. These include: a meta-analysis of the influence of friendship on Chinese learners in group work, the impact of small-group learning on the weaker students, and so on.