

EDITORIAL

Interruptions and medication: Is 'Do not disturb' the answer?

CAROLYN HAYES, TAMARA POWER, PATRICIA M DAVIDSON* AND DEBRA JACKSON

University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW, Australia; *Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing, Baltimore, MD, USA

Medication related incidents and errors continue to be a significant patient safety issue in health care settings internationally and despite decades of research and quality improvement initiatives, we have failed to identify innovative and sustainable solutions. The importance and significance of this problem not only challenges us, but emphasises the need to develop and implement sustainable interventions that are realistic and appropriate for the clinical setting. Nurses are not only the largest group of health professionals who administer medications, but are also considered to be in the best position to recognise and prevent medication errors before patient safety is compromised (Flynn, Liang, Dickson, Xie, & Suh, 2012). Hence the need to adequately prepare student nurses by providing appropriate learning opportunities.

In 2009 in New South Wales (NSW) (Australia) public hospitals there were 21,717 reported medication and intravenous (IV) fluid incidents; 38% of which occurred within the administration phase (Clinical Excellence Commission Health and NSW Department of Health, 2011). There is a paucity of peer reviewed literature that accurately reports current Australia wide statistics that include both oral and IV incidents. However, some relevant contemporary data was submitted in 2008 as part of a submission to the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission. One submission stated there are between 77,000 and 96,000 preventable medication/IV fluid errors per annum, and it was further estimated that 27% of these errors caused patient harm (Hospira Pty Ltd, 2008). This problem is not unique to the Australian context, it aligns with a similar situation in many other countries including

North America, the United Kingdom, and Canada (Kohn, Corrigan, & Donaldson, 2000; Smallwood, 2000). In the North American context, an average of 450,000 preventable medication errors is estimated each year (Flanders & Clark, 2010). However, it must be acknowledged that these figures may not be accurate. For every reported medication error or incident, there are many more that go undetected and unreported (Choo, Hutchinson, & Bucknall, 2010; Flynn, Barker, Pepper, Bates, & Mikeal, 2002; Hughes & Blegen, 2008; McBride-Henry & Foureur, 2006).

Projected estimates of up to two errors per patient per day (Wu, Pronovost, & Morlock, 2006) or one in five administrations of medications resulting in error (Reid-Searl, Happell, Burke, & Gaskin, 2013) have been documented. The associated cost Australia wide for medication error related hospital admissions is estimated to be \$660 million/annum (Roughead & Semple, 2009). The costs accrue from a combination of increased lengths of stay, patient mortality and personal impacts including post discharge disability, and emotional distress (MacDonald, 2010; Roughead & Semple, 2009). In addition to the burden for patients and their families, there are also costs to nurses and the health care system. These costs may be professional, financial, physical and/or emotional (Flanders & Clark, 2010).

Interruption or distraction to the administering clinician during the process of medication administration has been widely identified as a leading cause of errors (Hughes & Blegen, 2008; Nichols, Copeland, Craib, Hopkins, & Bruce, 2008; Westbrook, Woods, Rob, Dunsmuir, & Day, 2010). Westbrook et al. (2010) reported

over 50% of observed medication administration encounters were interrupted in some way and nearly 85% of interrupted encounters resulted in either clinical error (e.g., wrong dose, timing, IV administration rate) or procedural error (e.g., not checking patient identification, inadequate attention to hand washing) or both (Westbrook et al., 2010). Palese, Sartor, Costaperaria, and Bresadola (2009) observed one interruption for every three patients given medication.

Even the processes through which nurses attempt to control and reduce the effects of interruptions can be disruptive. Requests for assistance by other team members, even if postponed by the administering nurse, requires suspension of the medication administration task, involving loss of concentration, before resumption of the process can occur. However, on the flip side, interruptions can constitute a reason nurses intercept errors. Patients or their relatives may ask questions that provide cues for reflection or additional checking. Questioning is something that should be encouraged as it can assist patients to understand the appropriate use of their medications. If open channels of communication are nurtured between nurse and patient it places the nurse in an ideal situation to both allay patient concerns and/or intercept possible errors before they occur (Flynn et al., 2012).

Managing interruptions and distractions necessitates an ability to prioritise according to individual patient needs. To do this, nurses need to be able to effectively multitask, in other words; to be able to think and do, or think and listen at the same time (Schmalenberg et al., 2008). As a response to research findings positioning interruptions as culpable, considerable current research foci remains on the prevention of errors, often with the same goal in mind – nurses who are dispensing or administering medications should be free to administer medications with minimal interruptions or distraction (Pape et al., 2005; Relihan, O'Brien, O'Hara, & Silke, 2010).

The 'sterile cockpit rule,' has been offered as a basis for several interventions in the healthcare environment, suggesting

eradicating interruptions during administering of medications will prevent errors (Flanders & Clark, 2010). The sterile cockpit rule was successfully initiated in the aviation industry in the 1980s in an effort to decrease distractions that had been identified as a threat to safety in the cockpit area. However, both the clinical environment and nature of nursing practice do not afford nurses the same opportunities to isolate themselves from communications with the people they are caring for, making transfer of this concept difficult. Strategies such as signage and wearing tabards or sashes that say 'do not disturb,' safety checklists, and the instigation of markings on the floor to indicate 'no go and quiet zones' are currently being trialled, all with varying levels of success (Flanders & Clark, 2010; Kyle, Wiencek, Bauer, Daly, & Anthony, 2010; Relihan et al., 2010).

These approaches deny the complex and multifaceted environment of the health care system and the interactive, dynamic and reflexive process of the nurse–patient interaction. Considering medication administration outside of the context of the broader health care environment is unlikely to contribute to viable and sustainable interventions. Greater consideration needs to be given to how nurses, patients, relatives and other health care workers respond to and embrace measures that isolate the process of medication administration from the broader clinical interaction. It is also important to consider other associated issues; such as the infection control issues surrounding wearing tabards that are not laundered or cleaned, signage that may not be read or adhered to, and quiet zones that are hard to police.

In choosing to display signage, either in the form of posters or articles of clothing, that alert people to 'not disturb' the nurse while administering medications there needs to be awareness of the message that is being sent and to whom it is intended. Nursing is a communication-based craft that often necessitates immediate and acute care. By its nature, nursing is a dynamic, multi-tasking, people oriented profession that occurs alongside

frequent interruptions (DeLucia, Ott, & Palmieri, 2009). It could be argued that in asking patients and their relatives not to 'disturb' the nurse, we are going against the very core and essence of what nursing embodies – communication-based compassionate and responsive care. Compassion and empathy have long been underpinning elements of nursing (Cornwell & Goodrich, 2009; Sabo, 2006) and as we progress towards attaining levels of high quality technical clinical care, it is crucial that this core value of compassion not be eroded (Straughair, 2012). Caring is also strongly associated with nursing, and accessibility or being available to patients is associated with enacting the caring role (Jackson & Borbasi, 2010).

The longer term impact of these strategies is also an issue of concern, as over time the efficacy of these approaches diminishes. (Bennett, Dawoud, & Maben, 2010). Technology such as smart pumps, electronic dispensing, computerised physician order entry, bar code point of care, and dose error reduction systems although having been endorsed by many as effective error reduction methods (Forni, Chu, & Fanikos, 2010), do not in and of themselves reduce interruptions.

It has been reported that up to 40% of nurses' time is engaged in administering medications (Hughes & Blegen, 2008). This raises the question as to whether an intervention that asks for no interruptions or disturbances during this amount of time is realistic. Furthermore, given that many nurses greatly value direct patient contact and engagement with patients, there also needs to be consideration of how reducing the nature and frequency of patient contact with nurses might affect nurses' job satisfaction.

While testing strategies such as signage displays, no interruption zones and electronic dispensing, it is important to acknowledge that interruptions continue to occur and so nurses are required to be able to deal with these while still maintaining safety for patients. Yet there remains a lack of literature addressing how nurses learn to prioritise and cope with

interruptions. Given what is known about the relationship between interruptions and nurse medication error, it is important that interruptions and distractions be addressed as part of nursing curricula. This is crucial in adequately preparing nurses for the 'real world' of nursing practice. Though there is a paucity of literature in the area of undergraduate nursing education in relation to managing interruptions during medication administration and the relational effects on error rates, research by Reid-Searl, Moxham, and Happell (2010) indicated that 32% of student nurses in their sample reported being involved themselves in either a 'near miss' (p. 228) or error while administering medication in the clinical environment.

Safe and effective administration of medications is a cornerstone of nursing practice and draws on processes requiring multiple clinical judgements, professional vigilance and critical thinking during all phases of the process (Eisenhauer, Hurley, & Dolan, 2007). At the undergraduate level, tailored, realistic and focussed learning that involves critical thinking to problem solve and make decisions is essential, and could better prepare nurses to fulfil the task of medication administration, and navigate deviations such as distractions and interruptions confidently and safely. Such approaches are likely able to afford benefits for patients, nurses and others involved in medication administration, and the broader health care system.

REFERENCES

- Bennett, J., Dawoud, D., & Maben, J. (2010). Effects of interruptions to nurses during medication administration. *Nursing Management*, 16(9), 22–23.
- Choo, J., Hutchinson, A., & Bucknall, T. (2010). Nurses' role in medication safety. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 18(7), 853–861.
- Clinical Excellence Commission and NSW Department of Health. (2011). *Clinical incident management in the NSW public health system: Looking, learning, acting. January–June 2010*. Sydney, NSW: Author.
- Cornwell, J., & Goodrich, J. (2009). Exploring how to enable compassionate care in hospital to improve patient experience. *Nursing Times*, 105(15), 14–16.

- DeLucia, P., Ott, T., & Palmieri, P. (2009). Interruptions and cognitive processes in nursing: Review, analysis, recommendations. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 53(11), 743.
- Eisenhauer, L. A., Hurley, A. C., & Dolan, N. (2007). Nurses' reported thinking during medication administration. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 39(1), 82–87.
- Flanders, S., & Clark, A. P. (2010). Interruptions and medication errors: Part I. *Clinical Nurse Specialist*, 24(6), 281–285.
- Flynn, E. A., Barker, K. N., Pepper, G. A., Bates, D. W., & Mikeal, R. L. (2002). Comparison of methods for detecting medication errors in 36 hospitals and skilled-nursing facilities. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 59, 436–446.
- Flynn, L., Liang, Y., Dickson, G. L., Xie, M., & Suh, D.-C. (2012). Nurses' practice environments, error interception practices, and inpatient medication errors. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 44(2), 180–186.
- Forni, A., Chu, H. T., & Fanikos, J. (2010). Technology utilization to prevent medication errors. *Current Drug Safety*, 5, 13–18.
- Hospira Pty Ltd. (2008). *Submission to the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission: Mandating dose error reduction systems for improved patient safety in hospitals*. Melbourne, VIC: Author.
- Hughes, R. G., & Blegen, M. A. (2008). Medication administration safety. In R. G. Hughes (Ed.), *Patient safety and quality: An evidence-based handbook for nurses*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).
- Jackson, D., & Borbasi, S. (2010). Nursing care and nursing caring: Issues, concerns, debates. In J. Daly, S. Speedy, & J. Jackson (Eds.), *Contexts of nursing* (3rd ed.) Sydney, NSW: Churchill Livingstone.
- Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J. M., & Donaldson, M. S. (2000). *To err is human: Building a safer health system*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Kyle, A., Wiencek, C., Bauer, C., Daly, B., & Anthony, M. K. (2010). No interruptions please: Impact of a no interruption zone on medication safety in intensive care units. *Critical Care Nurse*, 30(3), 21–29.
- MacDonald, M. (2010). Examining the adequacy of the 5 rights of medication administration. *Clinical Nurse Specialist*, 24(4), 196–201.
- McBride-Henry, K., & Foureur, M. (2006). Medication administration errors: Understanding the issues. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 23(3), 33–41.
- Nichols, P., Copeland, T. S., Craib, A., Hopkins, P., & Bruce, D. G. (2008). Learning from error: Identifying contributory causes of medication errors in an Australian hospital. *The Medical Journal of Australia*, 188(5), 276–279.
- Palese, A., Sartor, A., Costaperaria, G., & Bresadola, V. (2009). Interruptions during nurses' drug rounds in surgical wards: Observational study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 17(2), 185–192.
- Pape, T. M., Guerra, D. M., Muzquiz, M., Bryant, J. B., Ingram, M., Schraner, B., ... Welker, J. (2005). Innovative approaches to reducing nurses' distractions during medication administration. *The Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, 36(3), 108–116.
- Reid-Searl, K., Happell, B., Burke, K. J., & Gaskin, C. J. (2013). Nursing students and the supervision of medication administration. *Collegian*, 20(2), 109–114.
- Reid-Searl, K., Moxham, L., & Happell, B. (2010). Enhancing patient safety: The importance of direct supervision for avoiding medication errors and near misses by undergraduate nursing students. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 16(3), 225–232.
- Relihan, E., O'Brien, V., O'Hara, S., & Silke, B. (2010). The impact of a set of interventions to reduce interruptions and distractions to nurses during medication administration. *Quality & Safety in Health Care*, 19(5), e52.
- Roughead, E. E., & Semple, S. J. (2009). Medication safety in acute care in Australia: Where are we now? Part 1: A review of the extent and causes of medication problems 2002–2008. *Australian and New Zealand Health Policy*, 6, 18. doi: 10.1186/1743-8462-6-18.
- Sabo, B. M. (2006). Compassion fatigue and nursing work: Can we accurately capture the consequences of caring work? *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 12, 136–142.
- Schmalenberg, C., Kramer, M., Brewer, B. B., Burke, R., Chmielewski, L., Cox, K., ... Waldo, M. (2008). Clinically competent peers and support for education: Structures and practices that work. *Critical Care Nurse*, 28(4), 54–60.
- Smallwood, R. A. (2000). Learning from adverse events. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 12(5), 359–361.
- Straughair, C. (2012). Exploring compassion: Implications for contemporary nursing: Part 2. *British Journal of Nursing*, 21(4), 239–244.
- Westbrook, J. I., Woods, A., Rob, M. I., Dunsmuir, W. T., & Day, R. O. (2010). Association of interruptions with an increased risk and severity of medication administration errors. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 170(8), 683–690.
- Wu, A. W., Pronovost, P., & Morlock, L. (2006). ICU incident reporting systems. *Journal of Critical Care*, 17(2), 86–94.

Copyright of Contemporary Nurse: A Journal for the Australian Nursing Profession is the property of eContent Management Pty. Ltd. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.