



*University of Technology, Sydney
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Centre for Built Infrastructure Research*

Title:

**Development of a Technical Management Tool
for Settlement and Stability Behaviour of
Municipal Solid Waste Landfills**

By:

Farzaneh Tahmoorian

Thesis submitted for fulfilment of requirements for the degree of Master of
Engineering

December 2014

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Farzaneh Tahmoorian

December 2014

Abstract

Landfilling is a common method of disposal of municipal solid waste (MSW). Landfills are engineered structures consisting of bottom liners, leachate collection and removal systems, and final covers. With an expanding world and the remarkable growth of industry, the demand for larger and higher capacity landfills is rapidly increasing. As available space becomes scarce in urban areas, development on the top of or adjacent to old landfills has become increasingly common. Redeveloping on or adjacent to a closed landfill can be challenging and complex. In this regard, it is necessary to review a wide variety of engineering and geotechnical considerations for landfill redevelopment including settlement, foundation systems, gas and leachate management, and utility considerations, etc. Among various factors, which would be considered in redeveloping landfill sites, settlement is the most important factor from the perspective of structural and geotechnical stability.

Prediction of the long-term settlement of MSW for the final cover design as well as end-use facility design such as recreational facilities, industrial/commercial facilities is necessary. In addition, estimation of settlement is needed to assess the stability of leachate and gas collection pipes, drainage systems, landfill storage capacity, and the overall landfill operating costs. Excessive settlement may cause fracture in the cover system and may also cause damage to the leachate and gas collection pipes.

Many researchers studied the compression response of MSW and proposed different approaches to predict immediate settlement and time dependent settlement under load. Today, there are many published landfill settlement models. The research has been developed from simple soil mechanics based model to the constitutive model of waste. The stages of waste, which primarily only consider the primary and secondary settlements are improved with the development of constitutive model for long term settlement predictions.

In this study, the critical and comprehensive literature review about the landfill settlement and compressibility is provided. It is concluded that current methods of settlement prediction have serious shortcomings in accounting for the organic (biodegradable) portion of waste streams and the many factors that control its

decomposition; they are also unable to account for changing landfill conditions such as rates of filling or changes in waste type that have major effects on settlement rates and magnitude. The existing methods are therefore difficult to use in a predictive manner and require recalibration for changing waste streams.

In this study, a technical management tool for MSW closed landfills has been developed using MATLAB graphical user interface, which aims to understand the process of long term settlement in landfills considering various related parameters, whilst it calculates different properties of wastes, and determines the landfill slope stability under various conditions. Furthermore, to illustrate the role of these parameters in settlement, a detailed parametric study considering variations of different parameters have been conducted in term of the settlement change over time as affected by influencing parameters. This parametric study showed that the variation of parameters can lead to significantly different settlements in landfills. Therefore, it is necessary to carefully select the parameter values for accurate prediction of landfill settlements. Moreover, in order to increase the understanding of the landfill behaviour and to quantify the significance of different parameters, a sensitivity analysis has also been performed to study the sensitivity of the models to variation of input parameters such as the unit weight, the landfill height, the waste properties, and the factors, affecting the biodegradation process of landfills. The results of this sensitivity analysis indicate that there are two prominent characteristics, having significant impacts on the overall landfill settlement. These characteristics are the landfill height and the compressibility parameters, while two other parameters including the gas diffusion coefficient and the van Genuchten parameter have trivial effects when compared to their relevant normal operating point (NOP). However, some other parameters have different degree of impact on the landfill settlement.

In this research, a numerical study has also been carried out by applying PLAXIS 2D for prediction of slope stability of landfills. Additionally, a detailed parametric study is conducted to investigate the influence of the slope geometry on the safety factor (SF) considering the variation of waste geotechnical properties, the landfill height, and the waste compaction conditions, in order to develop a set of design charts in terms of variations of the SF with various heights, the slope inclinations, the effective cohesions of the waste, and the effective friction angles.

Finally, the field data related to Tehran landfill has been collected for the validation of this landfill technical management tool (LTMT). It has been found that LTMT and its adopted model can be effectively used for MSW landfill settlement estimation. It should be noted that using this technical management tool, the effect of different parameters and conditions on settlement can be investigated. It is expected that the parametric study, which has been carried out in this research, can be applied in landfill redevelopment projects to predict the long term behaviour accurately, resulting in reduced construction and maintenance costs.

This dissertation concludes that the most important and influential parameters in landfill redevelopments and prediction of MSW landfill settlement incorporating gas generation and leachate production are the landfill height, the compression ratio, the lift thickness, the waste composition, and the age of MSW.

This dissertation is dedicated to my son
Amir Koorosh Nemati
who really gave me the reason to continue....

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my special appreciation and sincere gratitude to my supervisor A/Prof Hadi Khabbaz who has been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank him for encouraging my research. Obviously, this research would not have been completed without his guidance and continuous support.

I would like to go on to thank Dr. Behzad Fattahi for his great comments on my research.

I gratefully acknowledge Tehran Municipality, for providing me useful information which allowed me to carry out some parts of my research.

A special mention of thanks to my friends for their constant support and cooperation during my study. Their timely help and friendship shall always be remembered.

I also wish to express my deep gratitude to my family for their support and encouragement.

Finally, I am thankful to my son Amir Koorosh for giving me happiness during my studies. I owe him lots and lots of fun hours.

LIST of Publications

During the course of this research, a number of publications have been made which are based on the work presented in this thesis. They are listed here for reference.

- Tahmoorian F., Khabbaz H. , Nemati S., “Numerical Study of Slope Stability of Instrumented Tehran Sanitary Landfill”, International Journal of GEOMATE, 2014.
- Tahmoorian F., Nemati S., “Long-time Settlement Model of Waste Soils for Iranian Landfills”, 4th International Conference on Geotechnique, Construction Materials and Environment, Brisbane, Australia, 2014.
- Nemati S., Tahmoorian F., “Environmental Problems of Iranian Landfill at Seaside of Caspian Sea”, International Journal of GEOMATE, 2014.
- Nemati S., Tahmoorian F., Hasanli E., “A Case Study on Environmental Problems of Iranian Landfills”, 4th International Conference on Geotechnique, Construction Materials and Environment, Brisbane, Australia, 2014.
- Tahmoorian F., Khabbaz H., “Parametric Study and Sensitivity Analysis of Long Term Settlement of Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Coupled With Landfill Gas Pressure and Leachate Flow”, 15th International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium, Cagliari, Italy, 2015 (Abstract has been accepted).
- Tahmoorian F., Khabbaz H., “Numerical Analysis of Slope Stability of Instrumented Tehran Sanitary Landfill”, Abstract has been submitted to 4th GeoChina International Conference, Shandong, China, 2016.
- Tahmoorian F., Khabbaz H., “Design, Construction, and Redevelopment of Instrumented Tehran Sanitary Landfill”, Abstract has been submitted to 4th GeoChina International Conference, Shandong, China, 2016.
- Tahmoorian F., Khabbaz H., “Parametric Study of Long Term Settlement of Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Coupled with Landfill Gas Pressure and Leachate Flow”, Abstract has been submitted to 5th International Conference on Geotechnique, Construction Materials and Environment, Osaka, Japan, 2015.
- Tahmoorian F., Khabbaz H., “Lifecycle Performance Assessment of MSW Landfills through Settlement Prediction”, Abstract has been submitted to 2nd International Conference on Performance-based and Lifecycle Structural Engineering (PLSE 2015), Brisbane, Australia, 2015.

Table of Contents

Certificate of Authorship/Originality	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements.....	vii
LIST of Publications	viii
Table of Contents.....	ix
List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Tables.....	xvi
List of Notations and Symbols.....	xviii
Introduction	1
1.1. Research Scope	2
1.2. Research Objectives, Significance, and Innovations.....	4
1.2.1. Objectives.....	4
1.2.2. Significance.....	6
1.2.3. Innovation	6
1.3. Organization and Thesis Layout	7
Literature Review	10
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Definitions.....	11
2.3. Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Characteristics.....	13
2.3.1. MSW Classifications	13
2.3.2. MSW Physical Properties	16
2.3.3. MSW Chemical Properties.....	28
2.3.4. MSW Biological Properties.....	31
2.3.5. MSW Geotechnical Properties	32
2.4. MSW Landfill Characteristics	37
2.4.1. MSW Landfill Components.....	38
2.4.2. Waste Decomposition Mechanisms in Landfills	45
2.4.3. MSW Landfills Leachate	53

2.4.4. MSW Landfills Gas	57
2.5. Landfill Settlement	61
2.5.1. Mechanisms of Waste Settlement.....	62
2.5.2. Waste Settlement Phases	65
2.5.3. MSW Landfill Settlement Rate	69
2.6. Settlement Models of MSW Landfills	70
2.6.1. Models Considering Secondary Compression (Soil Mechanic-based Models).....	70
2.6.2. Models Considering Different Layers in MSW Landfill.....	72
2.6.3. Rheological Models for Settlement Estimation.....	74
2.6.4. Models Incorporating Biodegradation.....	76
2.6.5. Models Incorporating Liquid and Gas Generation.....	80
2.7. Landfill Failure Modes	82
2.8. Summary of Literature Review.....	84
Theory of Landfill Technical Management Tool (LTMT)	85
3.1. Introduction	86
3.2. Principles of Landfill Technical Management Tool (LTMT)	86
3.2.1. Landfill Settlement Mechanisms	88
3.2.2. Mass Conservation.....	89
3.2.3. Solution Procedure	91
3.3. Summary.....	98
Numerical Analysis for Landfill Slope Stability.....	99
4.1. Introduction	100
4.2. Landfill Modelling with PLAXIS.....	100
4.2.1. Input of Geometry Model and Boundary Conditions	101
4.2.2. Material Models and Data Sets	107
4.2.3. Mesh Generation and Boundary Conditions.....	107
4.2.4. Initial Conditions and Calculations.....	115
4.2.5. Results of Calculations	116
4.3. Summary.....	118
Development of Landfill Technical Management Tool (LTMT)	120
5.1. Introduction	121

5.2. Landfill Technical Management Tool (LTMT) Description	121
5.2.1. LTMT Assumptions.....	123
5.2.2. Physical Properties Evaluation in LTMT	128
5.2.3. Chemical Properties Evaluation in LTMT	132
5.2.4. Biological Properties Evaluation in LTMT.....	133
5.2.5. Landfill Settlement in LTMT	136
5.2.6. Landfill Slope Stability in LTMT.....	140
5.3. Summary.....	141
Parametric Study and Sensitivity Analysis.....	143
6.1. Introduction	144
6.2. Parametric Study on Input Parameters	144
6.2.1. Parametric Study on Landfill Height	145
6.2.2. Parametric Study on Waste Density	146
6.2.3. Parametric Study on Time.....	149
6.2.4. Parametric Study on Gas Diffusion Coefficient	150
6.2.5. Parametric Study on Waste Moisture Content	152
6.2.6. Parametric Study on Compression Ratio	152
6.2.7. Parametric Study on the Amount of Rapidly Degradable Wastes.....	154
6.2.8. Parametric Study on Waste Decay Constant.....	156
6.2.9. Parametric Study on Landfill Permeability.....	158
6.2.10. Parametric Study on van Genuchten Parameter.....	159
6.2.11. Parametric Study on Lift Thickness.....	160
6.3. Sensitivity Analysis of Input Parameters.....	161
6.3.1. Sensitivity Analysis on Landfill Height.....	162
6.3.2. Sensitivity Analysis on Waste Density.....	163
6.3.3. Sensitivity Analysis on Elapsed Time.....	165
6.3.4. Sensitivity Analysis on Gas Diffusion Coefficient	165
6.3.5. Sensitivity Analysis on Waste Moisture Content.....	168
6.3.6. Sensitivity Analysis on Compression Ratio.....	169
6.3.7. Sensitivity Analysis on the Amount of Rapidly Degradable Wastes	170
6.3.8. Sensitivity Analysis on Waste Decay Constant.....	171
6.3.9. Sensitivity Analysis on Landfill Permeability	173

6.3.10. Sensitivity Analysis on Van Genuchten Parameter	175
6.3.11. Sensitivity Analysis on Lift Thickness	176
6.4. Summary	176
Validation of Landfill Technical Management Tool (LTMT)	179
7.1. Introduction	180
7.2. General Information	180
7.2.1. MSW Characteristics in Tehran	181
7.2.2. MSW Landfills in Tehran	183
7.3. LTMT Validation	188
7.3.1. Pilot Scale Landfill Data	188
7.3.2. Tehran Landfill Data on Gas and Leachate Production	195
7.3.3. Tehran Landfill Settlement Data	197
7.3.4. Comparison of Measured and Estimated Settlement Data	204
7.4. Summary	206
Conclusions	207
8.1. Summary	208
8.2. Conclusions	208
8.3. Recommendations for Future Investigations	209
References	213

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Waste Classification (after Dixon and Langer, 2006)	15
Figure 2.2: Mechanisms of Moisture Retention in Waste Mass	17
Figure 2.3: Physical Meaning of the Hyperbolic Parameters α and β (Zekkos, 2005)	21
Figure 2.4: MSW Field Capacity Concept (http://bettersoils.soilwater.com.au)	24
Figure 2.5: Main Parts of a MSW Sanitary Landfill	38
Figure 2.6: Typical Single Liner Systems for Landfills	39
Figure 2.7: Typical Composite Liner Systems for Landfills	39
Figure 2.8: Typical Double Liner Systems for Landfills.....	40
Figure 2.9: The Leachate Collection System in Different Landfills (Haydar et al., 2005).....	41
Figure 2.10: The Cross Section of Leachate Collection System.....	41
Figure 2.11: The Schematic of Leachate Collection System (Haydar et al., 2005)	42
Figure 2.12: The Cross Section of a Landfill Final Cover System	44
Figure 2.13: Anaerobic Processes of MSW Decomposition in Landfills (after Rees, 1980)	49
Figure 2.14: A Generalized Pattern of Leachate Formation in Landfills	54
Figure 2.15: The Production Phases of Typical Landfill Gas (US EPA, 1997).....	57
Figure 2.16: The Typical Time-Settlement Data (Grisolia and Napoleoni, 1995).....	64
Figure 2.17: Waste Settlement Phases (Bjarngard and Edgers, 1990).....	65
Figure 2.18: ISP Model Concept (Gourc and Olivier, 2005).....	73
Figure 2.19: Gibson and Lo Model Concept (Gibson and Lo, 1961).....	75
Figure 2.20: Schematic Representation of Maxwell's Body (Suklje, 1969)	80
Figure 2.21: Stress at k^{th} Layer as a Function of Time (Hettiarachchi et al., 2005).....	81
Figure 2.22: Potential Modes of Failure in Landfills (Dixon et al., 2008)	83
Figure 3.1: Schematic Diagram of Landfill Idealization for LTMT	86
Figure 3.2: Cross Section of Considered MSW Landfill in LTMT	87
Figure 3.3: Cross Section of Considered MSW Landfill in the Numerical Analysis.....	92
Figure 3.4: Computation Grid and the Boundary Conditions for Gas Pressure Equation.....	93
Figure 3.5: The Stencil used for Numerical Calculations of Gas Pressure Equation.....	93
Figure 3.6: Computation Grid and the Boundary Conditions for Moisture Equation.....	95
Figure 3.7: The Stencil used for Numerical Calculations of Moisture Distribution Equation ...	96
Figure 3.8: The Flowchart of Numerical Solution for a Given Waste Layer	98
Figure 4.1: 15 Nodded Triangular Element Used in Numerical Analysis.....	100
Figure 4.2: Cross section of the Short Landfill.....	101
Figure 4.3: Dimensions of the Short Landfill with the Slope 1:2	101
Figure 4.4: Dimensions of the Short Landfill with the Slope 1:2.5	102
Figure 4.5: Dimensions of the Short Landfill with the Slope 1:3	102
Figure 4.6: Dimensions of the Short Landfill with the Slope 1:4	102
Figure 4.7: Dimensions of the Short Landfill with the Slope 1:5	102
Figure 4.8: Cross section of the Medium Landfill	103
Figure 4.9: Dimensions of the Medium Landfill with the Slope 1:2	103
Figure 4.10: Dimensions of the Medium Landfill with the Slope 1:2.5.....	103
Figure 4.11: Dimensions of the Medium Landfill with the Slope 1:3.....	104
Figure 4.12: Dimensions of the Medium Landfill with the Slope 1:4.....	104
Figure 4.13: Dimensions of the Medium Landfill with the Slope 1:5.....	104

Figure 4.14: Cross section of the Medium Landfill	105
Figure 4.15: Dimensions of the Long Landfill with the Slope 1:2	105
Figure 4.16: Dimensions of the Long Landfill with the Slope 1:2.5.....	105
Figure 4.17: Dimensions of the Long Landfill with the Slope 1:3	106
Figure 4.18: Dimensions of the Long Landfill with the Slope 1:4	106
Figure 4.19: Dimensions of the Long Landfill with the Slope 1:5	106
Figure 4.20: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Short Landfill (Slope 1:2)	109
Figure 4.21: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Short Landfill (Slope 1:2.5)	109
Figure 4.22: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Short Landfill (Slope 1:3)	110
Figure 4.23: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Short Landfill (Slope 1:4)	110
Figure 4.24: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Short Landfill (Slope 1:5)	110
Figure 4.25: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Medium Landfill (Slope 1:2).....	111
Figure 4.26: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Medium Landfill (Slope 1:2.5).....	111
Figure 4.27: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Medium Landfill (Slope 1:3).....	112
Figure 4.28: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Medium Landfill (Slope 1:4).....	112
Figure 4.29: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Medium Landfill (Slope 1:5).....	112
Figure 4.30: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Long Landfill (Slope 1:2).....	113
Figure 4.31: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Long Landfill (Slope 1:2.5).....	113
Figure 4.32: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Long Landfill (Slope 1:3).....	114
Figure 4.33: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Long Landfill (Slope 1:4).....	114
Figure 4.34: Cross Section of Generated Mesh for Long Landfill (Slope 1:5).....	114
Figure 4.35: Distribution of Pore Pressure	116
Figure 4.36: Distribution of Total stress.....	116
Figure 4.37: Deformed Mesh for one of the modelled Landfill.....	117
Figure 4.38: Total Displacement for one of the modelled Landfill.....	118
Figure 4.39: Vertical Displacement for one of the modelled Landfill	118
Figure 5.1: Graphical Interface of Landfill Technical Management Tool (LTMT).....	122
Figure 5.2: Municipal Solid Waste Classification	123
Figure 5.3: Typical Composition of Municipal Solid Waste Considered in LTMT	124
Figure 5.4: Main Factors Affecting the Leachate Formation in MSW Landfills.....	126
Figure 5.5: Cross Section of Considered MSW Landfill in LTMT	127
Figure 5.6: Graphical Interface for MSW Moisture Content.....	129
Figure 5.7: Flow Diagram for Waste Density Calculation	131
Figure 5.8: Graphical Interface of MSW Density.....	132
Figure 5.9: Graphical Interface of Chemical Composition of MSW with Water	133
Figure 5.10: Graphical Interface of Chemical Composition of MSW without Water	133
Figure 5.11: Main Factors Affecting Gas Production Rate in MSW Landfills	134
Figure 5.12: Graphical Interface of MSW Landfill Gas Generation Potential Estimation.....	136
Figure 5.13: Main Factors Influencing MSW Landfill Settlement	137
Figure 5.14: Graphical Interface of MSW Landfill Settlement Estimation.....	138
Figure 5.15: Graphical Interface of MSW Landfill Slope Stability	141
Figure 6.1: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Height of Landfill.....	146
Figure 6.2: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Waste Densities.....	147
Figure 6.3: Time versus Landfill Settlement	149
Figure 6.4: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Gas Diffusion Coefficients.....	151
Figure 6.5: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Waste Moisture Content.....	152

Figure 6.6: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Compression Ratios	154
Figure 6.7: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Amount of Rapidly Degradables...	155
Figure 6.8: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Values of Decay Constant	157
Figure 6.9: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Values of Landfill Permeability	158
Figure 6.10: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Parameter (α) of van Genuchten	160
Figure 6.11: Time versus Landfill Settlement for Different Lift Thicknesses.....	161
Figure 6.12: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Landfill Height	163
Figure 6.13: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Waste Density	164
Figure 6.14: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Time.....	165
Figure 6.15: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Diffusion Coefficient.....	167
Figure 6.17: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Compression Ratio	169
Figure 6.18: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Rapidly Degradable wastes	170
Figure 6.19: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in the Waste Decay Constant	171
Figure 6.20: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Permeability	173
Figure 6.21: Impact on Landfill Settlement due to Change in Van Genuchten Parameter (α) ..	175
Figure 6.22: Summary of the Sensitivity Analysis	177
Figure 7.1: The Expansion of Tehran and its Administrative Districts.....	181
Figure 7.2: The Measured Waste Composition for Tehran	182
Figure 7.3: A typical Tehran Traditional Landfill (Dump)	184
Figure 7.4: Cross Section of a Cell in Section 1	185
Figure 7.5: Excavation Phase of Tehran Sanitary Landfill.....	186
Figure 7.6: Excavation for Leachate Collection System of Tehran Sanitary Landfill	186
Figure 7.7: Covering Tehran Sanitary Landfill with Geosynthetic Base Liners.....	187
Figure 7.8: Tehran Sanitary Landfill Covered with Geosynthetic Base Liners	187
Figure 7.9: Drainage Layer Implementation and Waste Disposal in Tehran Sanitary Landfill.	188
Figure 7.10: The Plan of Two Cells of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	189
Figure 7.11: Construction of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	190
Figure 7.12: MSW Disposal in Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill.....	191
Figure 7.13: Implementation of Final Cover for Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	191
Figure 7.14: Waste Composition in Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	194
Figure 7.15: Waste Groups of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill Based on Adopted Model	195
Figure 7.16: Plan of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill Illustrating the Position of Profilers	198
Figure 7.17: Section of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill Illustrating the Position of Profilers.....	198
Figure 7.18: Plan of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill Illustrating the Fixed Extensometers	200
Figure 7.19: Placement of HDPE Pipes for Profiler and the Fixed Extensometers	200
Figure 7.20: Plan of Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill Illustrating the Surface Benchmarks	203
Figure 7.21: Graphical Interface of Settlement Estimation in Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill.....	204
Figure 7.22: Settlement Profile Predicted by LTMT for Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill.....	205
Figure 7.23: Comparison of Measured and Predicted Settlement.....	205

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Existing Classification Systems of Waste Components	14
Table 2.2: Various Range of Moisture Content	16
Table 2.3: Bulk Densities of Some Waste Components.....	18
Table 2.4: Density of Landfilled Materials	19
Table 2.5: Average Density of Waste in Landfills under Different Placement Conditions.....	20
Table 2.6: Hyperbolic Parameters for Different Compactions and Amount of Soil Cover.....	22
Table 2.7: Reported Values for MSW Organic Content under Different Field Conditions	23
Table 2.8: Typical Field Capacity of MSW Landfills	24
Table 2.9: Reported Values of Porosity for MSW	25
Table 2.10: Reported Values for MSW Hydraulic Conductivity.....	27
Table 2.11: Proximate Analysis of MSW	29
Table 2.12: Ultimate Analysis of MSW	29
Table 2.13: Typical Data on the Proximate Analysis of MSW	30
Table 2.14: Typical Data on the Ultimate Analysis of MSW	30
Table 2.15: Typical Data on the Main Nutrients in MSW	31
Table 2.16: Classification of the Organic Fraction of MSW	31
Table 2.17: Data on the Biodegradable Fraction of MSW Based on Lignin Content.....	32
Table 2.18: Elastic Parameters of MSW	34
Table 2.19: Published Values for Shear Strength Parameters of MSW	36
Table 2.20: The Requirements for Components of Landfill Leachate Collection System.....	42
Table 2.21: Main Components of a Landfill Final Cover System	44
Table 2.22: Organic Analysis of MSW	45
Table 2.23: Chemical Constituents of MSW and Their Methane Potential	47
Table 2.24: Typical Data on the Composition of Leachate from New and Mature Landfills	56
Table 2.25: The Four Phases of Gas Production in MSW Landfills	58
Table 2.26: Key Parameters for Methane Production in MSW Landfills	59
Table 2.27: Typical Landfill Gas Component	60
Table 2.28: Settlement Mechanisms in MSW Landfills.....	63
Table 2.29: Published Values for Primary Compression Index of MSW.....	66
Table 2.30: Published Values for Secondary Compression Index of MSW.....	67
Table 2.31: Typical Values for Modified Compression Indices of MSW.....	68
Table 2.32: Main Factors Affecting the Magnitude and Rate of Settlement in MSW Landfills	69
Table 3.1: The Categories of Municipal Solid Waste Considered in LTMT.....	88
Table 4.1: Material Properties of Final Cover	107
Table 4.2: Material Properties of Waste.....	108
Table 4.3: Material Properties of Subsoil.....	108
Table 4.4: Calculated Safety Factor for Modelled Landfill in Numerical Analysis	117
Table 5.1: Considered MSW Density Values in LTMT According to Compaction Effort	124
Table 5.2: Considered ranges of MSW Physical Properties in LTMT.....	128
Table 5.3: Considered Ranges of Moisture Content for MSW Components in LTMT	129
Table 5.4: Considered Ranges of Density for MSW Components in LTMT	130
Table 5.5: Properties of Major Landfill Gases Considered in LTMT	135
Table 5.6: Parameter Identification for Calculation of Landfill Settlement in LTMT	139

Table 5.7: Typical Geotechnical Properties of MSW	140
Table 6.1: Investigated Parameters in the Parametric Study	145
Table 6.2: The Influence of Initial Height of Landfill on Settlement	145
Table 6.3: The Influence of Waste Density on Landfill Settlement.....	147
Table 6.4: The Influence of Time on Landfill Settlement.....	148
Table 6.5: The Influence of Gas Diffusion Coefficient on Landfill Settlement	150
Table 6.6: The Influence of Moisture Content on Landfill Settlement	151
Table 6.7: The Assumed Values for Parametric Study of Compression Ratio.....	153
Table 6.8: The Influence of Compression Ratio on Landfill Settlement.....	153
Table 6.9: The Influence of Degradable Wastes Amount on Landfill Settlement.....	155
Table 6.10: The Influence of Waste Decay Constant on Landfill Settlement	156
Table 6.11: The Influence of Landfill Permeability on Landfill Settlement	158
Table 6.12: The Influence of van Genuchten Parameter (α) on Landfill Settlement	159
Table 6.13: The Influence of Lift Thickness on Landfill Settlement.....	160
Table 6.14: Investigated Parameters in the Sensitivity Analysis	161
Table 6.15: Sensitivity Analysis of Initial Height of Landfill	163
Table 6.16: Sensitivity Analysis of Waste Density.....	164
Table 6.17: Sensitivity Analysis of Time	166
Table 6.18: Sensitivity Analysis of Gas Diffusion Coefficient	167
Table 6.19: Sensitivity Analysis of Moisture Content (Series 1).....	168
Table 6.20: Sensitivity Analysis of Moisture Content (Series 2).....	168
Table 6.21: Sensitivity Analysis of Compression Ratio.....	169
Table 6.22: Sensitivity Analysis of the amount of Rapidly Degradable Wastes	171
Table 6.23: Sensitivity Analysis of the amount of Waste Decay Constant	172
Table 6.24: Sensitivity Analysis of the Permeability.....	174
Table 6.25: Sensitivity Analysis of the Van Genuchten Parameter (α).....	175
Table 6.26: Sensitivity Analysis of the Lift Thickness	176
Table 6.27: Summary of the Sensitivity Analysis.....	177
Table 7.1: MSW Composition in Tehran	182
Table 7.2: Data related to Tehran MSW Landfills (Dumps)	185
Table 7.3: Data related to Tehran Pilot Scale Landfills	190
Table 7.4: Simulation Required Data for Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	192
Table 7.5: Selected Data for Simulation of the Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	193
Table 7.6: Amount of Produced Leachate in Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill.....	196
Table 7.7: Amount of Generated Gas in Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	196
Table 7.8: Average Settlement Measured by Profiler in Cell 1	199
Table 7.9: Average Settlement Measured by Profiler in Cell 2	199
Table 7.10: Average Settlement Measured by Fixed Extensometers in Cell 1	201
Table 7.11: Average Settlement Measured by Fixed Extensometers in Cell 2.....	202
Table 7.13: Average Settlement Measured in Tehran Pilot Scale Landfill	203

List of Notations and Symbols

The following symbols including their definitions are used in this report:

Acronyms:

BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CS	Centre Space
FEM	Finite Element Method
FT	Forward Time
GCL	Geosynthetic Clay Liner
LCS	Leachate Collection system
LTMT	Landfill Technical Management Tool
MB	Methanogenic Biomass
MC	Moisture Content
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
NMOC	Nonmethanogenic Organic Compound
SBP	Soybean Peroxidas
SF	Safety Factor
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acids
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds

Latin Letters:

A	Factor representing the final total settlement
a	Primary compressibility parameter
b	Secondary compressibility parameter
b	Coefficient of mechanical creep
b	Coefficient of secondary mechanical compression
B	Interactive constant associated with the biodegradation of organics
B	Factor representing the initial settlement rate
c	Secondary mechanical compression rate
c	Rate constant for mechanical creep
C_1	Slope of the strain versus log-time curve
$C_{\alpha i}$	Compression index (function of stress level and degree of decomposition)
C_{β}	Biodegradation index
C_c	Primary compression index
C_{α}	Secondary compression index
$C_{\alpha e}$	Modified secondary compression index

C_{ce}	Modified primary compression index
$C_{\alpha 1}$	Intermediate secondary compression ratio
$C_{\alpha 2}$	Long term/final secondary compression ratio
$C_{\alpha f}$	Creep index
C_i	Initial mass of the biodegradable waste
C^*	Compressibility parameter
C_c^*	Compression ratio
C_{α}^*	Swelling ratio
C'_{α}	Modified coefficient of secondary compression in terms of strain
D	Gas diffusion coefficient
d	Secondary biological compression rate
$d\varepsilon_v^e$	Volumetric strain due to elastic
$d\varepsilon_v^p$	Volumetric strain due to plastic
$d\varepsilon_v^c$	Volumetric strain due to time dependent mechanical creep
$d\varepsilon_v^b$	Volumetric strain due to biodegradation effects
$d\varepsilon_{vc}$	Volumetric strain increment
E_{dg}	Total compression due to waste degradation
E_m	Secant modulus
e	MSW void ratio
e_0	Initial void ratio of waste
e_p	Void ratio at the end of primary compression
f_{sj}	Initial solids fraction for each waste group
G	Rate of generation of gas per unit volume of waste
G_{si}	Initial overall specific gravity of waste solids
G_{sj}	Specific gravity of jth group of the waste solids
H	Design thickness
H_0	Initial thickness of the waste layer
H_i	Height of solid waste after initial compression
H_p	Height of solid waste after primary compression
h	Matric potential
h_i	Post compression height of layer i
$\frac{H_0}{H_i}$	Relative height corresponding to the existing overburden pressure (σ_0)
$\frac{\Delta H}{H_i}$	Change in relative height corresponding to the stress increment ($\Delta\sigma$)
K	Coefficient of permeability
k	Intrinsic permeability
k	First-order degradation constant
k_g	Unsaturated gas conductivity
k_w	Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
k_{gs}	Saturated gas conductivity
k_{ws}	Saturated hydraulic conductivity

k'	Decomposition factor
k'	Post filling decay
L_0	Volume of gas a unit mass of waste could produce
m	Molar mass of the landfill gas
m	Van Genuchten parameter
m'	Rate of increase of waste thickness with time
m_a	Mass of air
m_w	Mass of water
m_s	Mass of solids
m_v	Coefficient of volume change
M'	Reference compressibility
N'	Rate of compression parameter
n	Van Genuchten parameter
n	Number of lifts in the landfill
n	Porosity in the landfill
n_i	Initial landfill porosity
p	Van Genuchten parameter
P	Pressure beyond atmospheric pressure
P_a	Atmospheric pressure
P_0	Existing overburden pressure acting at midlevel layer
R	Universal gas constant
S	Total settlement of waste
S_e	Effective saturation
S_{ic}	Settlement due to immediate compression
S_{pc}	Settlement due to primary compression
S_{sc}	Settlement due to secondary compression
T	Average landfill temperature
t	Time since beginning of filling
t_b	Time for completion of biological compression
t_c	Construction period
t_c	Time of completion of filling
t_{cb}	Time for the creep at the end of biological degradation
t_e	Time duration for evaluation of compression
t_i	Duration of filling of layer i
t_{is}	Time at the end of initial settlement period
t_p	Time for primary compression
t_r	Reference time
t_1	Time for completion of primary compression
t_2	Time for completion of secondary compression
t_3	Time for total period of time considered in modeling
t_s	Time at which the slope of the strain versus logarithm time curve changes to a steeper slope

t'	Time elapsed since loading application
t''	Time elapsed since waste disposal
u_a	Excess-gas pressure
u_0	Pore-gas pressure at $t = 0$
U_p	Primary settlement of waste for design thickness
U_d	Decomposition settlement at time $t \leq t_c$ after start of filling
$(U_d)_{t \geq t_c}$	Post decomposition settlement at time $t \geq t_c$ larger or equal to t_c
$V_{i,N}$	Initial volume of each layer of waste in the landfill
$V_{S,N}$	Volume of the waste layer considering spatial and temporal variation
v_a	Volume of air
v_w	Volume of water
v_s	Volume of solids
v	Total volume
w_c	Weight of component c
w_j	Gravimetric water content
w_t	Total weight of waste components
y	Depth below the landfill surface

Greek Letters:

α	Van Genuchten parameter
α	Fitting parameter ($=0.00095H + 0.00969$)
β	Fitting parameter ($=0.00035H + 0.00501$)
β	Correlation coefficient of compression due to biodegradation
β	Fraction of waste mass that can potentially be converted to gas
ϵ_p	Strain resulting from instantaneous response to applied load
ϵ_c	Time-dependent strain due to mechanical creep
ϵ_b	Time-dependent strain due to biological decomposition
ϵ_{pi}	Strain in lift i resulting from instantaneous response to loading from overlying lifts
ϵ_{ci}	Strain at time t in lift i due to mechanical creep associated with the stresses from self-weight and the weight of overlying lifts
ϵ_{bi}	Strain at time t in lift I due to biological decomposition of lift i
ϵ_v	MSW volumetric strain
$\epsilon_{tot-dec}$	Total amount of compression due to decomposition of biodegradable waste
$\epsilon(t)$	Strain at time t
ϵ_0	Initial strain
ϵ_i^e	Elastic strain
ϵ_i^p	Plastic strain
ϵ_i^c	Time-dependent creep strains
ϵ_i^d	Time-dependent degradation induced strain

θ_r	Residual moisture content
θ_s	Saturated moisture content
σ'	Effective stress
σ	Compressive stress depending upon waste height, density, and external loading
σ_0	Existing overburden pressure acting at midlevel of the layer
σ_v	Vertical overburden stress
λ/b	Rate of secondary compression
λ	First order decay constant
λ_j	First order kinetic constant for the jth group
μ	Dynamic viscosity of water
γ	Unit weight of MSW
γ_a	Average unit weight of MSW
γ_c	Unit weight of component c
γ_i	Unit weight of lift i
γ_w	Specific weight of water
γ_t	Unit weight of waste at a given time under confinement
ρ_s	Density of MSW solids
ρ_{sp}	Density of paste particles
ρ_w	Density of water
ρ_s	Density of solid waste
ρ_i	Density of the waste for each layer
τ	Parameter related to the rate of gas production
κ	Bulk viscosity of the solid waste
Δh_i	Long term settlement of waste layer i
ΔP	Increase in overburden pressure acting at midlevel layer
Δv	Volume variation
$\Delta \sigma$	Increment of overburden pressure acting at midlevel of the layer from the construction of an additional layer
$\delta \sigma'$	Difference in effective stress
$\Delta \gamma_c$	Increase in unit weight of component c

