# SUPPORTING THE COMPLEXITY OF MANAGING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS: APPLICATION OF LIVING

## SYSTEMS THEORY

By SHIVA MIR

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University Technology of Sydney

2015

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date:

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

At heart, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my supervisor advisors Dr. Amir Talaei-khoei and Prof. Igor Hawryszkiewycz. They taught me how to think and conduct a good scientific research. I appreciate all their contributions, time and support, to make my PhD experience productive and stimulating. Their joy and enthusiasm for this research was contagious and motivational for me, even during tough times in the PhD journey. I am also thankful for the excellent example they have provided as successful persons and scientists.

I would also like to acknowledge members of Australian Institute of Project management and other industry and academic professionals who were involved in my research by giving their ideas, knowledge and comments.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding sources from Australian Postgraduate Award that made my PhD work possible.

My time at UTS was made great experience due to the many friends and groups that became a part of my life. I am grateful for the time spent with my colleagues, flatmates and friends, and for many other people and memories. My time at the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) was also enriched by the postgraduate and graduate students who I was working with as a teacher assistant.

Finally, I would like to thank my lovely parents who raised me with love of science and their support and encouragement in all my life. Thank you.

Shiva Mir University Technology of Sydney 2015

#### **PUBLICATIONS**

Shiva Mir, Igor Hawryszkiewycz, Didar Zowghi, "A Method to Explore Wicked Problems in Complex Environments: A Research Proposal", International Business Information Management Association (IBIMA), Barcelona, Spain, 2012, ISBN/ISSN: 978-0-9821489-8-3, pp. 1951-1955.

Shiva Mir, Igor Hawryszkiewycz, Didar Zowghi, "Toward a Methodology for Managing Complexity in Information Systems Development Projects", International Business Information Management Association (IBIMA); Barcelona, Spain, 2012, ISBN/ISSN: 978-0-9821489-8-3, pp. 1938-1943.

Shiva Mir, Igor Hawryszkiewycz, "Manufacturing Processes As System Of Systems: Functional And Behavioural Perspectives", IADIS International Conference on Information Systems, Berlin, Germany, March 2012 in Proceedings of the IADIS International Conference on Information Systems, Berlin, Germany, pp. 377-382.

Shiva Mir, Igor Hawryszkiewycz, Debora Banker and Jinjun Chen 2012, "Disasters as Wicked Problem: An Analytical Approach", IADIS international conference information systems, Berlin, Germany, Berlin, Germany, ISBN/ISSN: 978-972-8939-68-7, pp. 337-340.

Shiva, Mir, Igor Hawryszkiewycz, 2011, "Exploratory modelling for complex systems", ICCEM, International conference on computer engineering and management, Puerto Rico, USA, ISBN/ISSN: 978-0-7918-5985-8.

Shiva, Mir, 2010, "Defining the criteria for supporting pervasiveness in complex adaptive systems", MobiQuitous 2010, 7<sup>th</sup> UCPA international conference, Sydney, Australia. ISBN/ISSN: 978-963-9995-20-8.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION   | 1        |
|---|----------|
| 1.1 Research Content  | 2        |
| 1.1.1 Statement of the Problem                                      | .2       |
| 1.1.2 Purpose of Study  | 5        |
| 1.1.3 Potential Audiences   | 9        |
| 1.1.4 Research Methodology  | 9        |
| 1.2 Significance of Study   | 12       |
| 1.3 Thesis Structure  | 13       |
| CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW: DEALING WITH COMPLEXIT<br>IN PROJECTS | 'Y<br> 7 |
| 2.1 Project, Complex Project and Project Management                 | 18       |
| 2.3 Complexity Typologies in Projects                               | 24       |
| 2.4 Project Management Approaches                                   | 29       |
| 2.5 Elements Contributing to Project Complexity                     | 32       |
| 2.6. Frameworks to Describe Project Management Complexity           | 34       |
| Project Complexity  | 36       |
| 2.6.2 Actor Support and Context (ASC) Framework                     | 38       |
| 2.6.2 Technical Organizational and Environmental (TOE) Framework    | 41       |
| 2.7 Summary and Conclusion  | 42       |

#### 

| 3.1 Theoretical Background  | 48 |
|---|----|
| 3.1.1 What Does Complexity Mean?                                  | 48 |
| 3.1.2 Ways to Study Complex Systems                               | 49 |
| 3.1.2.1 Exploratory Approach                                      | 51 |
| 3.1.2.2 Computational Approach                                    | 53 |
| 3.1.2.3 Systems Thinking Perspectives to Deal with Complexity     | 54 |
| 3.1.3 Complexity Theory   | 59 |
| 3.1.3.1 Identifying Concepts from Complexity Theory in IT Project |    |
| Management Activities   | 61 |
| 3.1.4 Living Systems Theory                                       | 69 |
|   |    |

| 3.7 Conceptual Framework for Managing IT Project Management   | / 1  |
|---|--|
| Complexity  | 75   |
| 3.3 Criteria for Developing Project Management Approach   | 75<br>78   |
| 3.4 Using Living Systems Theory to Support Complexity in Project M  | /c<br>Ianagement   |
| 5.4 Osing Elving Systems Theory to Support Complexity in Hojeet W   | 79   |
| 3.5Application of Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of  | of managing  |
| information technology projects   | 111  |
| 3.6 Summary and Conclusion  | 114  |
| CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH METHOD  | 118  |
| 4.1 Elicitation of Expert's Opinions: Online questionnaire  | 121  |
| 4.2. Semi-structured Interview  |  |
| 4.2.1 Requirements and Data Collection  | 130  |
| 4.2.2 Data Analysis   | 131  |
| 4.2.3 Ethical Consideration   | 132  |
| 4.4 Research Reliability  | 132  |
| 4.4.1 Assessment Approach   | 133  |
|   |  |
| 4.5. Conclusion   |  |
| 4.5. Conclusion   |  |
| 4.5. Conclusion   | 137<br><b>13</b> 8   |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> </ul>   | 137<br><b>13</b> 8<br>Г  |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> </ul>  | 137<br><b>13</b> 8<br>Г<br>14(<br>14)                                  |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> </ul>  | 137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>                             |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> <li>5.1.3 Decision Making Aspect</li> </ul>  | 137<br>137<br>Г<br>14(<br>14(<br>14(<br>14(<br>14(<br>14(<br>14(       |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> <li>5.1.3 Decision Making Aspect</li> <li>5.2 Summary</li> </ul>   | 137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>140<br>140<br>140<br>140<br>140<br> |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> <li>5.1.3 Decision Making Aspect</li> <li>5.2 Summary</li> </ul>   | 137<br>137<br>137<br>138<br>Г<br>                                      |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> <li>5.1.3 Decision Making Aspect</li> <li>5.2 Summary</li> </ul>   | 137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>137<br>                      |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> <li>5.1.3 Decision Making Aspect</li> <li>5.2 Summary</li> </ul> CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION, FUTURE WORK AND CONCLUS 6.1 Discussion.  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li></ul>  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li> <li>CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS</li> <li>5.1. Living Systems Theory to Support the complexity of managing IT projects.</li> <li>5.1.1 Organizational Aspect</li> <li>5.1.2 Technological Aspect</li> <li>5.1.3 Decision Making Aspect</li> <li>5.2 Summary</li> </ul> CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION, FUTURE WORK AND CONCLUS 6.1 Discussion <ul> <li>6.1.1 Academic Perspective</li> <li>6.1.1.1 Solution for understanding behaviour of a complex</li> </ul> |  |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li></ul>  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.5. Conclusion</li></ul>  |  |

| 6.1.2. Practitioner Perspective                              | 156 |
|--|-----|
| 6.1.2.1 Solution for measuring complexity of IT projects     | 156 |
| 6.1.2.2 Solution for predict the effect of change in project | 156 |
| 6.2. Limitations and Future Works                            | 157 |
| 6.2.1. Research Scope  | 157 |
| 6.2.2. Research Method                                       | 159 |
| 6.3. Future Work   | 160 |
| 6.4. Conclusion  | 162 |
|  |     |

| REFERENCES16 | 65 |
|--------------|----|
|--------------|----|

## APPENDIX

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE 1.1 Summaries of research problems, objectives, questions and contributions                                      | .8     |
|--|--------|
| TABLE 2.1 Key publications focused on complexity and project management complexity.      2                             | .2     |
| TABLE 2.2 Summary descriptions of the five main project management         complexity       typologies                 | 7      |
| TABLE 2.3 Complexity drivers in projects from literature resources and knowledge of experts                            | 4      |
| TABLE 2.4 Description of complexity components of ACS framework  | 0      |
| TABLE 2.5 Literature review outcomes, recommendations and relations to      research questions.                        | 5      |
| TABLE 3.1 ways to study complex systems    5   | 0      |
| TABLE 3.2 Basic schools of complexity science  | 2      |
| TABLE 3.3 Identifying complexity concepts in IT project management      activities                                     | 2      |
| TABLE 3.4 Description of other complexity concepts    6  | 5      |
| TABLE 3.5 Four main characteristics describing complex systems adopted from         National Science Foundation report | 8      |
| TABLE 3.6 Living systems theory symbols and subsystems    7  | 0      |
| TABLE 3.7 IT project's complexity drivers (highlighted features are addressed b proposed framework)                    | у<br>1 |
| TABLE 3.8 IT project's complexity drivers (highlighted features are addressed b proposed framework) continued       8  | у<br>2 |
| TABLE 3.9 Four stages of the proposed approach and key questions related to these stages                               | 4      |
| TABLE 3.10 Living systems theory critical information processing      subsystems                                       | 9      |

| TABLE 3.11 Relation between stages of the proposed method and key question         described in table | ions<br>113 |
|---|-------------|
| TABLE 3.12 Detailed summary of the application of LST in proposed         approach                    | 118         |
| TABLE 4.1 Research Method   | 121         |
| TABLE 4.2 Participants in online questionnaire  | 123         |
| TABLE 4.3: Elements contributing to project complexity  | 125         |
| TABLE 4.4: Important Factors in complexity management   | 127         |
| TABLE 4.5 Gaps in ability of project managers to deal with complexity they                            | are         |
| facing  | 129         |
| TABLE 4.6 Interview participants (Number and area of expertise)                                       | 130         |
| TABLE 4.7 Kinds of triangulation  | 135         |
| TABLE 5.1: Overall evaluation result.   | 140         |
| TABLE 5.2: Comments of the experts: Practical category  | 141         |
| TABLE 5.3: comments of the experts: Understandable category   | 143         |
| TABLE 6.1 Four main characteristics describing complex systems  | 154         |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

| FIGURE 1.1 Overview of the Research steps15   |
|---|
| FIGURE 2.1 Five ways model to assess project management approaches30                                      |
| FIGURE 2.2 Xia conceptual framework of ISDP complexity37  |
| FIGURE 2.3 Actor, Support, Context (ACS) Framework  |
| FIGURE 3.1: Summary of chapter 347  |
| FIGURE 3.2 Conceptual project management complexity framework: elements and key questions                 |
| FIGURE 3.3 Process of transforming new information systems request to project specification documentation |
| FIGURE 4.1: Complexity in IT projects: Causes, management, results127                                     |
| FIGURE 4.2 Methodological triangulation process to validate research136                                   |

#### ABSTRACT

The main objective of this research is motivated by the challenge of IT project failure in terms of cost overrun and time delays which is a common practice and is being investigated for years now. Increasing complexity of projects or an underestimation of the project complexity is one of the reasons for project failure, which is the main focus of this study. For this reason, the present work seeks to understand how to apply living systems theory as new lens to investigate and support the complexity of managing IT projects; where management is about "preparation and facilitation" of the project tasks. To achieve research objectives, this study defined management framework based on interpretation of the literature and elicitation of expert opinions through online questionnaire. This framework provide guidelines for project managers to map and study project management processes to identify important issues that leads project to complexity and failure. It also provides suggestion on how to deal with these issues. Through presentation of the framework and supporting guidelines during semi-structured interview with experts, this research investigates the applicability and appropriateness of the proposed approach. The outcomes of this research help project managers to identify important issues that might arise in the project and assist them on how to facilitate and prepare management processes. Academia's can use the result of the project to develop tools to measure complexity in projects. With this measurement, they will be able to estimate project timelines and costs more precisely.