

# Modelling Electron Tunnelling in the Presence of Adsorbed Materials

A Thesis presented for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

by

Rainer Christian Hoft

B. Sc. : University of Cape Town

B. Sc. Hons. (Theoretical Physics): University of Cape Town

Institute for Nanoscale Technology

Faculty of Science

University of Technology, Sydney

November 2007

---

# Certificate of Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in this thesis.

Chapter 1 contains a literature review prepared by me. Chapter 2 describes the theoretical foundations needed for the work presented in this thesis. This theory can be found in many text books and other publications. It has been presented here in my own treatment in a way that best conveys my understanding of the material. Where the treatment of another author has been followed closely, this is acknowledged. Chapters 3 to 6 describe work done by me under the guidance of my supervisor and co-supervisor and advice from other colleagues. Two exceptions should be noted. The work under the heading *Surface adsorption* in section 4.2.2 and the work in section 4.5 was contributed mainly by my supervisor and is detailed in publications of which I am co-author, as cited in the text. Chapter 7 contains my concluding remarks and suggestions for future work.

Rainer C. Hoft



# Acknowledgements

Firstly, a sincere thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Michael Ford, whose guidance, enthusiasm and unparalleled availability was paramount to this work and my development as a researcher. Many thanks also to my co-supervisor, Prof. Michael Cortie for countless discussions and support. The Institute for Nanoscale Technology under leadership of Mikes Cortie and Ford, has provided a stimulating and vibrant environment in which to do research. I am privileged to have been a part of the relaxed and intellectually challenging atmosphere at INT, and to have been supported to attend many conferences. I am indebted to all its members, past and present, especially those who have been directly involved in my research. Benjamin Soulé de Bas and Carl Masens were instrumental in getting me started on the SIESTA software package. Benjamin was also a great help in my acquaintance with density functional theory. Andrew McDonagh's patience in supplementing my knowledge of chemistry is commendable. I had many fruitful discussions with Nicholas Armstrong. Special thanks also to my fellow PhD students, Xiaoda (David) Xu, Don Maclurcan, Dakrong Pissuwan, Nadine Harris, Burak Cankurtaran, Nicholas Stokes, Martin Blaber, Jonathan Edgar and of course Benjamin. The Z-matrix work was carried out in close collaboration with Julian Gale at Curtin, who co-authored the SIESTA package and whose knowledge of the software and DFT in general is inspiring. My PhD was made possible by funding and facilities provided by the University of Technology Sydney, the Faculty of Science and INT at UTS. Very generous computational resources were made available by the Australian Centre for Advanced Computing and Communications (AC<sup>3</sup>) and the Australian Partnership for Advanced Computing (APAC). All those mentioned above, I consider friends as well as colleagues, and have contributed greatly to my first three very enjoyable years in Australia. Finally, and wholeheartedly, I thank Senena, whose love and support during the second half of my PhD has made this a truly exceptional experience.



# Contents

<b>Certificate of Originality</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Glossary of Acronyms</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview and motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Background . . . . .	4
1.2.1 The origin of molecular electronics . . . . .	4
1.2.2 Self-assembled monolayers . . . . .	4
1.2.3 Experimental progress . . . . .	5
1.2.4 Theoretical progress . . . . .	8
1.3 Present study . . . . .	13
<b>2 Theory</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Density Functional Theory . . . . .	17
2.1.1 Variational principle . . . . .	19
2.1.2 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems . . . . .	20
2.1.3 Kohn-Sham equations . . . . .	22
2.1.4 Exchange-correlation potentials . . . . .	26
2.2 Computational implementation of DFT . . . . .	29
2.2.1 Basis sets . . . . .	30
2.2.2 Spin polarisation and Fermi smearing . . . . .	34

2.2.3	Pseudopotential . . . . .	36
2.2.4	Integrations and grid point sampling . . . . .	40
2.2.5	Periodic boundary conditions and k-point sampling . . . . .	40
2.2.6	Quantities of interest . . . . .	41
2.3	Geometric structure optimisation . . . . .	45
2.3.1	Conjugate gradients minimisation . . . . .	47
2.3.2	BFGS minimisation . . . . .	49
2.3.3	Z-matrix coordinates . . . . .	49
2.4	Non-equilibrium Green's function formalism . . . . .	50
2.4.1	Modified Schrödinger equation . . . . .	52
2.4.2	Equilibrium Green's functions and spectral function . . . . .	53
2.4.3	Non-equilibrium Green's function and spectral function . . . . .	55
2.4.4	Device density matrix . . . . .	58
2.4.5	Current flow . . . . .	58
2.5	WKB solution to tunnelling problem . . . . .	62
<b>3</b>	<b>Validation</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1	Pseudopotentials . . . . .	65
3.2	Other parameters . . . . .	67
3.3	Au bulk . . . . .	72
3.3.1	Total energy and cohesive energy . . . . .	72
3.3.2	Lattice constant and bulk modulus . . . . .	75
3.3.3	Comparison with literature . . . . .	77
3.4	Atoms and molecules . . . . .	79
3.5	Au slabs . . . . .	80
3.6	Transport calculations . . . . .	83
3.6.1	Linear gold chain . . . . .	84
3.6.2	BDT junction . . . . .	89
3.6.3	XYL junction . . . . .	91
3.7	Summary . . . . .	95
<b>4</b>	<b>Molecular Surface Adsorption</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1	Surface properties of Au(111) . . . . .	98

4.1.1	Surface relaxation and reconstruction . . . . .	98
4.1.2	Surface energy . . . . .	99
4.1.3	Work function . . . . .	101
4.2	Implementation of a Z-matrix optimiser in the SIESTA code . . .	104
4.2.1	Algorithm and code . . . . .	104
4.2.2	Testing . . . . .	107
4.3	Phenylenedimethanethiol on Au(111) . . . . .	111
4.4	Alkanedithiols on Au(111) . . . . .	117
4.5	Ethynylbenzene on Au(111) . . . . .	120
4.6	Amines on Au(111) . . . . .	121
4.7	Summary . . . . .	127
<b>5</b>	<b>DFT-NEGF Study of Transport Properties of Molecular Junctions</b>	<b>131</b>
5.1	$I(V)$ characteristics of alkane chains . . . . .	132
5.2	$I(V)$ characteristics of aromatic dithiols and diethynylbenzene . .	134
5.3	Comparison of zero bias conductance results . . . . .	136
5.4	Effect of interface geometry on transport properties . . . . .	138
5.4.1	Binding site . . . . .	139
5.4.2	Gap between molecule and electrode . . . . .	140
5.4.3	Gap between radical and electrode . . . . .	144
5.4.4	Stretching a molecular junction . . . . .	152
5.5	Summary . . . . .	163
<b>6</b>	<b>Transport Calculations Using a Tunnel Barrier Model</b>	<b>167</b>
6.1	Implementation of a WKB tunnel barrier approximation . . . . .	168
6.2	Single molecule acting as tunnel barrier . . . . .	169
6.2.1	Modified surface work functions . . . . .	169
6.2.2	$I(V)$ curves . . . . .	172
6.2.3	Comparison with exact solution to Schrödinger equation and <i>ab-initio</i> results . . . . .	174
6.2.4	Implications for asymmetry and rectification . . . . .	175
6.2.5	Possible improvements on the model . . . . .	177

6.3	Modelling a tunnel gap in STM experiments . . . . .	180
6.3.1	Combined molecule-gap $I(V)$ characteristics . . . . .	181
6.3.2	Apparent molecule heights in STM measurements . . . . .	182
6.3.3	Deconvoluting STS $I(V)$ curves . . . . .	184
6.4	Summary . . . . .	184
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Pseudopotential Plots</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Sign of Rectification in WKB Tunnel Barrier Model</b>	<b>205</b>
B.1	Double rectangle barrier . . . . .	205
B.2	Trapezoid barrier . . . . .	208
<b>C</b>	<b>Publication Report</b>	<b>211</b>
C.1	Peer reviewed journal articles . . . . .	211
C.2	Peer reviewed full conference papers . . . . .	212
C.3	Poster presentations . . . . .	213
C.4	Oral presentations . . . . .	213

# List of Figures

3.1	Gold GGA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	68
3.2	Gold GGA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	68
3.3	Gold GGA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	69
3.4	Gold GGA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	69
3.5	Gold LDA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	70
3.6	Gold LDA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	70
3.7	Gold LDA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	71
3.8	Gold LDA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	71
3.9	Au bulk primitive fcc unit cell. . . . .	72
3.10	Convergence of cohesive energy of bulk Au with respect to the number of nearest neighbours ghosted in the isolated atom calculations. The $x$ -axis indicates the number of ghost atoms while the data point labels indicate the number of groups of nearest neighbours included. . . . .	73
3.11	Convergence of total and cohesive energies of bulk Au with energyshift parameter, using a DZP basis set with $N_k = 7$ and $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. . . . .	74
3.12	Convergence of total (dark rectangles) and cohesive (light rectangles) energy of bulk Au with basis set size. $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy, $N_k = 7$ and $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. . . . .	74
3.13	Convergence of Au cohesive energy with number of k-points. The k-grid is indicated at each data point. A DZP basis is used with $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy and $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. . . . .	76

3.14	Convergence of total energy of bulk Au with meshcutoff parameter. DZP basis set with $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy, $N_k = 7$ and $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. . . .	76
3.15	Calculation of the Au nearest neighbour distance and bulk modulus using a GGA pseudopotential with and without a non-linear core correction, $r^{\text{nlc}} = 1.0$ Bohr. DZP basis set with $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy, $N_k = 7$ and $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. . . . .	77
3.16	Au nearest neighbour distance and bulk modulus for different energyshift parameters. LDA-PZ XC functional, DZP basis set, $N_k = 7$ , $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. The hollow data points show comparative results for $N_k = 13$ . . . . .	78
3.17	Total energy vs Au nearest neighbour distance for an insufficient meshcutoff parameter, $E_{\text{cut}} = 110$ Ry, resulting in a decreased smoothness, compared to the finer grid with $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry. DZP basis with $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy and $N_k = 7$ . . . . .	78
3.18	Unit cell for in calculations of a molecule on a Au(111) surface. 3x3 Au atoms per layer are used and 4 layers approximate the surface. . . . .	81
3.19	Convergence of charge density at a height of 1 Å above the Au(111) surface. The y-values are the RMS and maximum difference between the charge densities above an $n$ -layer and 13-layer slab at the sampled points. . . . .	82
3.20	Au chain configuration for transport calculations. The dark atoms form part of the semi-infinite leads and the light atoms constitute the device region. . . . .	84
3.21	(a) Current and (b) conductance for Au chain. Both SMEAGOL and TranSIESTA-C calculations use an SZP basis set. $\delta\varepsilon$ in SMEAGOL is fixed at 5 mRy and in TranSIESTA-C interchanged between 5 and 10 mRy. Since there is no interaction between adjacent unit cells in the transverse direction, only the $\Gamma$ -point is needed for the transport calculation. An LDA XC functional is used. . . . .	85

3.22	(a) Current and (b) conductance for Au chain. SMEAGOL calculations using an LDA XC functional and varying basis set size and $\delta\varepsilon$ . . . . .	86
3.23	(a) Current and (b) conductance for Au chain. SMEAGOL calculations using either an LDA or GGA XC functional with an SZP basis set and $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy. . . . .	86
3.24	Transmission functions at 0 V calculated with (a) TranSIESTA-C and (b) SMEAGOL, with an LDA XC functional, SZP basis set and either $\delta\varepsilon = 1$ mRy or $\delta\varepsilon = 20$ mRy. . . . .	88
3.25	Zero bias conductance results of a gold chain calculated using (a) TranSIESTA-C and (b) SMEAGOL using an LDA XC functional and SZP basis set with varying $\delta\varepsilon$ . Hollow data points indicate a DZP basis set. . . . .	88
3.26	Computational setup of the twoprobe transport calculations for the Au(111)-BDT-Au(111) junction. The device unit cell contains the molecule with two surface layers of 3x3 Au atoms on each side. The electrode unit cells contain three layers of 3x3 Au atoms each. . . . .	89
3.27	$I(V)$ -curves for the BDT junction, where two surface layers are included in the device region to the left of the molecule, and either two or three surface layers on the right. . . . .	90
3.28	$I(V)$ -curves for the BDT junction, using different basis sets on the Au atoms. A DZP basis set is used for the molecule. The other parameters are $\delta\varepsilon = 10$ mRy, $E_{\text{cut}} = 150$ Ry and only the $\Gamma$ -point is used in the calculation. . . . .	90
3.29	Transmission spectrum for the BDT junction, using SMEAGOL with only the $\Gamma$ -point or 3x3 k-points in the transverse plane, and TranSIESTA-C with only the $\Gamma$ -point. An SZP basis set is used for the gold atoms and a DZP basis set for the molecule. $\delta\varepsilon = 10$ mRy and $E_{\text{cut}} = 200$ Ry. . . . .	91
3.30	Computational setup of the twoprobe transport calculations for the Au(111)-XYL-Au(111) junction. . . . .	91

3.31	(a) Number of hours required on 4 cpu's in parallel to complete the SCF calculation of the electronic structure of the XYL junction with an increasing k-point grid. (b) Number of minutes required on 1 cpu to calculate the transmission function after the electronic structure has been converged with either a 1x1 or 13x13 k-point grid. . . . .	93
3.32	(a) HOMO and LUMO eigenenergies and (b) total energy of the XYL junction with different k-point grids for the self-consistent electronic structure calculation. . . . .	93
3.33	Convergence of zero bias conductance results of the XYL junction with the size of the k-point grid used to evaluate the transmission function, (a) for different SCF k-point grids and (b) with different sets of parameters. . . . .	94
3.34	Convergence of zero bias conductance and total energy of the XYL junction as a function of $\delta\varepsilon$ . . . . .	95
4.1	Top view of the Au(111) surface. The triangle includes all the inequivalent binding sites. . . . .	100
4.2	The optimum lattice constant of Au(111) slabs approach that of bulk gold. . . . .	100
4.3	Au(111) surface energy calculated using a GGA XC potential, 19x19x1 k-point grid, DZP basis set with $\delta\varepsilon = 0.1$ mRy. The bulk energy per atom was calculated independently and used throughout (solid data points) or estimated from consecutive slab calculations according to the method of Boettger [1] (hollow data points). . . . .	103
4.4	Convergence of Au(111) work function with computational parameters. . . . .	103
4.5	1,4-phenylenedimethanethiol . . . . .	111
4.6	Potential energy surface of XYL on Au(111). The interaction energies are given as at the optimum binding height at each site. The optimum binding site is between the fcc and bridge sites. . . . .	112
4.7	Interaction energy of XYL on Au(111) along the fcc-bridge-hcp path, indicating a barrier to diffusion of 3.5 kcal/mol. . . . .	113

4.8	Change in interaction energy with rotation of the molecule (a) perpendicular to the plane of the phenyl ring and (b) in the plane of the ring. (c) Optimum binding geometry ( $\theta = -21^\circ$ , $\sigma = 0^\circ$ ). . . . .	114
4.9	Change in the atomic orbitals after adsorption of XYL on Au(111) with the S-H bond cleaved: (a) S 3s and 3p orbitals, (b) Au 5d orbitals and (c) Au 6s orbitals. . . . .	116
4.10	Optimised geometry of thiol bonded XYL on Au(111). . . . .	117
4.11	Change in the atomic orbitals after adsorption of XYL on Au(111) with the S-H bond in place: (a) S 3s and 3p orbitals, (b) Au 5d orbitals and (c) Au 6s orbitals. . . . .	118
4.12	Change in the atomic orbitals after adsorption of decanedithiol on Au(111): (a) S 3s and 3p orbitals, (b) Au 5d orbitals and (c) Au 6s orbitals. . . . .	119
4.13	Hexanedithiol molecule. . . . .	120
4.14	Ethynylbenzene molecule. . . . .	121
4.15	Interaction energy of EB on Au(111) when the molecule is rotated on the surface. The rotations are in the same sense as in figure 4.8. . . . .	121
4.16	Amines included in this study: (a) ammonia - $\text{NH}_3$ , (b) aniline - $\text{NH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5$ , (c) 2-aminonaphthalene - $\text{NH}_2\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7$ , (d) 2-aminoanthracene - $\text{NH}_2\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_9$ , (e) methylamine - $\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_3$ , (f) dimethylamine - $\text{NH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ and (g) trimethylamine - $\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ . . . . .	122
4.17	Some representative adsorption geometries of the amines on Au(111): (a) Ammonia in atop geometry, (b) 2-aminoanthracene in on-top site, (c) aniline in bridge site, (d) methylamine in fcc site and (e) trimethylamine in hcp site. . . . .	123
4.18	Raw and BSSE corrected interaction energies of 2-aminoanthracene on Au(111) as the molecule is rotated with the phenyl rings lying flat on the surface at $\theta = 90^\circ$ . The nitrogen is kept fixed at a height of 2.64 Å in the ontop position above the surface. . . . .	126
5.1	$I(V)$ curves for a series of alkane chain junctions, (a) butanedithiol (C4), (b) hexanedithiol (C6), (c) octanedithiol (C8), (d) decanedithiol (C10) and (e) dodecanedithiol (C12). . . . .	133

5.2	Current and conductance of a BDT junction. . . . .	135
5.3	1,4-ethynylphenylenemethanethiol (EPM) molecule. . . . .	135
5.4	$I(V)$ characteristics of XYL, DEB and EPM junctions. . . . .	136
5.5	Zero bias conductance results for junctions with a series of alkane chains as well as the aromatic molecules BDT, XYL and DEB. Our TranSIESTA-C DFT-NEGF results are compared with experimental data from Refs. [2, 3]. . . . .	138
5.6	Zero bias conductance results of a series of alkane chain junctions plotted against the number of carbon atoms in the chain. Our TranSIESTA-C DFT-NEGF results are compared with experimental data from Refs. [2, 3]. . . . .	139
5.7	$I(V)$ characteristics of XYL junctions, where the molecule has been placed in three distinct interface geometries. All three junctions are symmetrical. . . . .	140
5.8	Geometry of the XYL junction where the S-H bond on the right side of the molecule is not cleaved. (a) The S-H end of the molecule is physisorbed to the right gold surface, with a sulphur-gold distance of $d = 2.4 \text{ \AA}$ . (b) There is no interaction between the S-H end of the molecule and the right surface, $d = 5.0 \text{ \AA}$ . . . . .	141
5.9	Zero bias conductance results of the XYL junction, where the S-H bond on the right end of the molecule is not cleaved (figure 5.8). The conductance decays as the sulphur-gold distance on the right is increased. . . . .	142
5.10	1-ethynyl-4-nitrobenzene (ENB) molecule . . . . .	142
5.11	$I(V)$ curves for various molecular junctions, where the hydrogen on the right end of the molecule has not been removed and the distance between the S/C/N atom on the molecule and right electrode is $d = 5.0 \text{ \AA}$ (figure 5.8b). . . . .	143

5.12	(a) Zero bias conductance and (b) 0.1 V current results for the XYL junction, where the S-H bond on the right end of the molecule has been cleaved. The shaded region indicates $d$ -values where the electronic structure is not in a pure spin state. Solid lines indicate the DFT-NEGF calculations and dashed lines are results of a simple one-level model (see text). . . . .	145
5.13	(a) Transmission function and (b) PDOS on the molecule for XYL junctions with right sulphur-electrode distances of 2.0 Å, 3.0 Å and 4.5 Å. . . . .	147
5.14	(a,d) DOS for isolated XYL molecule, (b,e) isolated XYL radical and (c,f) XYL radical adsorbed onto an Au(111) surface. (a-c) The DOS is projected onto the entire molecule/radical or (d-f) onto the right sulphur atom. . . . .	148
5.15	(a) Eigenvalues of the two highest occupied molecular levels. For $d \geq 4.5$ Å one of the $\beta$ -spin levels becomes unoccupied. (b) Interaction energy between the molecule and right electrode at various molecule-electrode separation distances, $d$ . . . . .	150
5.16	One-level model conductance results using equation (5.3) with $\gamma_1(d) = \Gamma(2.0\text{Å})$ , $\gamma_2(d) = \alpha\Gamma(d)$ (solid lines) and $\gamma_1(d) = \gamma_2(d) = \alpha\Gamma(d)$ (dashed lines). The shaded region indicates the range of $d$ -values where the system is not in a pure spin state. . . . .	151
5.17	Unit cells of the XYL junction at selected intervals of stretching. The equilibrium junction geometry is shown in panel (b) where $z = 19.15$ Å. . . . .	154
5.18	Relative total energy $E$ , and force, $F = -\frac{dE}{dz}$ vs unit cell size $z$ , for the XYL junction. The shaded region indicates the range of $z$ -values where the system is not in a pure spin state. . . . .	156

5.19	(a-d) PDOS on molecule and (e-g) transmission spectra for XYL junctions: (a,e) a squeezed junction ( $z = 17.25 \text{ \AA}$ ), (b,f) the equilibrium junction length ( $z = 19.15 \text{ \AA}$ ), (c,g) just before the Au-S bond is broken ( $z = 21.45 \text{ \AA}$ ) and (d) after the bond is broken ( $z = 22.25 \text{ \AA}$ ). The transmission function was not evaluated for the latter case. . . . .	158
5.20	Zero bias conductance results for the XYL junction for different unit cell lengths, $z$ . The conductance was only calculated for spin singlet states where the Au-S bond is stretched, but not broken. .	159
5.21	Unit cells of the DEB junction at selected intervals of stretching. The equilibrium junction geometry is shown in panel (b) where $z = 18.25 \text{ \AA}$ . . . . .	160
5.22	Relative total energy $E$ , and force, $F = -\frac{dE}{dz}$ vs unit cell size $z$ , for the DEB junction. . . . .	162
5.23	Zero bias results of the conductance for the DEB junction as a function of the unit cell size $z$ . . . . .	163
5.24	(a-d) PDOS on the DEB molecule including the detached gold atom. (e-h) Transmission functions for various junction sizes. . . .	164
6.1	(a) Trapezoid and (b) double rectangle barriers. Solid lines indicate the barriers with a rounding parameter, $\alpha = 0.2$ and broken lines show the barriers with no rounding, $\alpha = 0.0$ . . . . .	168
6.2	Electrostatic potential averaged over $xy$ -plane for unit cells containing (a) a bare gold slab and (b) a gold slab with adsorbed ethynylbenzene. . . . .	170
6.3	(a) Trapezoid barrier describing a molecule spanning the inter-electrode region. (b) Double rectangle barrier used to describe the interelectrode region consisting of a molecule plus gap. . . . .	173
6.4	(a) $I(V)$ curves calculated with the WKB approximation using the trapezoid barrier shown in figure 6.3a. (b) Comparison of the $I(V)$ curves for the asymmetric EPM molecule using a rounded ( $\alpha = 0.2$ ) or sharp ( $\alpha = 0.0$ ) barrier. . . . .	174

6.5	(a) $I(V)$ curves calculated with the WKB approximation using the double rectangle barrier shown in figure 6.3b. (b) Comparison of the $I(V)$ curves for the DEB molecule with a gap, using a rounded ( $\alpha = 0.2$ ) or sharp ( $\alpha = 0.0$ ) barrier. . . . .	175
6.6	Electrostatic potential inside the device region of an electrode-XYL-gap-electrode system (shown in figure 6.3b). Each pane represents a contour plot of the potential in a slice parallel to the transport direction a distance $d$ from the <i>right</i> electrode surface. The separation between the electrode surfaces is 14.85 Å. . . . .	179
6.7	Schematic of STM setup. . . . .	180
6.8	Current at different gap distances for the STM setup shown in figure 6.7 for various molecules. The bias between tip and substrate is fixed at 0.5 V. . . . .	182
6.9	Offset of the apparent height from the true height of a C12 molecule when an STM tip is moved from a self-assembled monolayer of C12 to a bare gold surface. . . . .	183
A.1	Hydrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	194
A.2	Hydrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	194
A.3	Hydrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	195
A.4	Hydrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	195
A.5	Carbon GGA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	196
A.6	Carbon GGA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	196
A.7	Carbon GGA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	197
A.8	Carbon GGA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	197
A.9	Nitrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	198
A.10	Nitrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	198
A.11	Nitrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	199
A.12	Nitrogen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	199
A.13	Oxygen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	200
A.14	Oxygen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	200
A.15	Oxygen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	201
A.16	Oxygen GGA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	201

A.17 Sulphur GGA pseudopotential for $l = 0$ . . . . .	202
A.18 Sulphur GGA pseudopotential for $l = 1$ . . . . .	202
A.19 Sulphur GGA pseudopotential for $l = 2$ . . . . .	203
A.20 Sulphur GGA pseudopotential for $l = 3$ . . . . .	203

# List of Tables

2.1	Cutoff radii, $r_{Inl}$ in Ångstrom for the valence orbitals of gold, sulphur, carbon and hydrogen atoms corresponding to various choices of the energyshift parameter in SIESTA. . . . .	33
3.1	Data used to generate the pseudopotentials. All radii are given in Bohr. . . . .	67
3.2	Parameters from figure 3.15 data fit to Murnaghan’s equation. . .	77
3.3	Bulk Au cohesive energy, nearest neighbour distance and bulk modulus calculated in the present work using a DZP basis set, $\delta\varepsilon = 5$ mRy, $E_{\text{cut}} = 300$ Ry and $N_k = 7$ , compared with experiment and calculations from the literature. . . . .	79
3.4	Calculated bond lengths and interaction energies compared with data taken from the NIST database [4]. The all-electron calculations use the 6-31G** basis set and a GGA-PBE XC functional while the pseudopotential calculations use the CEP-31G* basis set and a B3LYP XC functional. . . . .	80
3.5	Calculated first and second ionisation energies of various atomic species. Experimental values are from Kittel [5]. . . . .	80
3.6	Default set of parameters to use for slab calculations. . . . .	83
3.7	Effect of changing various computational parameters on XYL-Au(111) interaction energy, $E_I$ . . . . .	83
3.8	Default set of parameters to use for transport calculations. . . . .	96

4.1	Number of CG steps required to optimise the geometry of three molecules in Z-matrix and Cartesian coordinates. Columns I, II and III represent progressively stricter convergence criteria for lengths and angles, namely I: (0.04 eV/Å, 0.0009 eV/deg); II: (0.02 eV/Å, 0.0004 eV/deg); III: (0.01 eV/Å, 0.0002 eV/deg). For Cartesian coordinate optimisations only the length tolerance is relevant.	108
4.2	Interaction energies in kcal/mol and binding heights of the nitrogen atom above the Au(111) surface in Å. . . . .	124
4.3	Mulliken charges on ammonia and the gold surface and overlap populations of the Au-N bond at different binding sites. . . . .	125
4.4	Mulliken charges on the molecule and gold surface and overlap populations of the Au-N bond for ammonia and the aromatic amines in the ontop binding site. . . . .	125
4.5	Interaction energies and binding sites and heights of amines, phosphines, thiols and ethynylbenzene on the Au(111) surface calculated with SIESTA. . . . .	129
5.1	Geometric parameters for relaxed XYL junctions. $d_1$ is the height of the sulphur above the two gold atoms to which it is bonded on the lower side of the molecule. $\Delta\text{Au}_1$ is the height of these two gold atoms above the rest of the surface. $d_2$ and $\Delta\text{Au}_2$ are similarly defined on the upper end of the molecule. $z_{\text{S-S}}$ is the distance between the sulphur atoms on opposite ends of the molecule along the $z$ -axis. $\theta_{\text{SCC}}$ is the angle made between the sulphur and carbon on the lower methanethiol endgroup and the carbon to which it is bonded on the ring. . . . .	155

5.2	Geometric parameters for relaxed DEB junctions. $d_1$ is the height of the carbon above the gold atom being pulled out of the surface. $\Delta\text{Au}_1$ is the height of this gold atom above the rest of the surface. $d_2$ is the height of the carbon atom at the upper end of the molecule below the three gold atoms on the top surface to which it is bonded. $\Delta\text{Au}_2$ is the distance of these three atoms below the rest of the top surface layer. $z_{\text{C-C}}$ is the distance between the carbon atoms on opposite ends of the DEB molecule along the $z$ -axis. . . . .	161
6.1	Work functions and surface dipole moments for various molecules adsorbed on the Au(111) surface, calculated from equation (4.3). .	172

## LIST OF TABLES

---

# Glossary of Acronyms

AE	All-Electron
BDT	benzenedithiol
BFGS	Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno
BSSE	Basis Set Superposition Error
C-AFM	Conducting Atomic Force Microscope/y
CC	Coupled Cluster
CG	Conjugate Gradients
DEB	diethynylbenzene
DFT	Density Functional Theory
DOS	Density Of States
DZP	Double- $\zeta$ plus Polarisation
EB	ethynylbenzene
EPM	1,4-ethynylphenylenemethanethiol
GGA	Generalised Gradient Approximation
HOMO	Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital
LB	Langmuir-Blodgett
LCAO	Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals
LDA	Local Density Approximation
LDOS	Local Density Of States
LEED	Low Energy Electron Diffraction
LUMO	Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital

MCB	Mechanically Controllable Break junction
NDR	Negative Differential Resistance
NEGF	Non-Equilibrium Green's Functions
PBE	Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (GGA parametrisation)
PDOS	Projected Density Of States
PES	Potential Energy Surface
PS	Pseudopotential
PW	Plane Wave
PZ	Perdew-Zunger (LDA parametrisation)
SAM	Self-Assembled Monolayer
SCF	Self-Consistent Field
STM	Scanning Tunnelling Microscope/y
STS	Scanning Tunnelling Spectroscopy
SZP	Single- $\zeta$ plus Polarisation
TDDFT	Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory
WKB	Wenzel-Kramers-Brillouin
XYL	phenylenedimethanethiol

# Abstract

The transport characteristics of single-molecule Au(111) junctions are investigated using density functional theory (DFT) together with the non-equilibrium Green's functions formalism (NEGF). DFT optimisations of the adsorption of various molecules on a Au(111) surface are used as starting points for the equilibrium junction geometries. Test calculations are performed to find a recommended set of parameters for the final DFT results. The interaction energies of several molecules with the Au(111) surface obtained within the same level of theory are compared. Amine compounds bind preferentially in an adatom geometry and weakly in the ontop site. A Z-matrix optimiser is implemented in the SIESTA code as a useful tool for future surface and molecular junction optimisations.

Transport properties are calculated for molecular junctions in their equilibrium geometry. While the conductances are orders of magnitude larger than experimental data, the sizes are in line with expectation. The junction geometries are altered in various ways. Changing the binding site or altering the nature of the sulphur-gold interaction in a phenylenedimethanethiol junction, reduces the conductance by a factor of two. Orders of magnitude reduction of conductance is only observed when increasing the distance between a physisorbed molecule and the surface. Increasing this distance for a chemisorbed molecule, results in a surprising increase in conductance. This is attributed to an interplay between the coupling strength of the molecule with the surface and the location of the molecular energy levels relative to the Fermi level. When the chemical bond is broken, the system is spin-polarised and the conductances for electrons of opposite spin types are different by a factor of 250 – the junction acts as a spin-filter. When stretching a diethynylbenzene junction, the strong gold-carbon bond does not break, but rather extracts a gold atom from the surface. In this case the

conductance decreases rapidly with stretching.

A WKB tunnel barrier model is used as an alternate much faster method for calculating  $I(V)$  characteristics. With the surface work functions acting as barrier heights, the relative junction conductances are in good agreement with the DFT results. However, the direction of asymmetry in the  $I(V)$  characteristics predicted by the two levels of theory are opposite. More sophisticated barrier shapes may be needed to correctly predict the asymmetries. The tunnelling model is used in conjunction with the DFT results to quantify the effect a gap between an STM tip and monolayer may have on STS measurements.