# Evaluation of Web Applications Through Simulation of Web Designs

by

Pedro Alexandre Ferreira Teixeira Peixoto,

#### Thesis

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Technology, Sydney

2006

#### CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledge within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank all the people that helped and supported me during the last years, without whom this work would not have been possible.

I would firstly like to thank my supervisors, Dr. KK Fung and Prof. David Lowe, for their advice, feedback, and continuous support throughout these last years. The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without their help.

I would like to thank the Portuguese Government, in particular to the 'Fundação para a Ciencia e Tecnologia (FCT)', which generously offered me a Ph.D. scholarship to study at UTS. My research would not have been possible without their support.

Thank you also to Pat Skinner for proofreading the thesis.

I would like to thank all my friends and flatmates in Australia who always supported me through all the difficult times. Their friendship made me feel that I was not alone. I would also like to thank all my friends in Portugal, who never let me feel I was on the other side of their world.

I would like to thank my mother, father, sister, and remaining family, for their love and understanding during this long journey. Lastly, I would like to dedicate this work to Beatriz, my newborn niece, for whom the world has more than one explanation and life is an ongoing thesis.

## Contents

Ackno	wledgr	nents	1 <b>V</b>
List of	Figur	es	x
List of	Table	${f s}$	xiv
Glossa	ry		xviii
${f Abstra}$	act		xxi
Chapte	er 1 I	Introduction	1
1.1	Focus	and Purpose	. 6
1.2	Signif	icance to the Area	. 7
1.3	Contr	ibutions	. 8
1.4	Outlin	ne of the Thesis	. 9
Chapte	er 2 I	Literature Review	10
2.1	Softwa	are Engineering	. 10
	2.1.1	Software Process Models	. 14
	2.1.2	Testing for Quality	. 17
	2.1.3	Simulation as a Verification and Validation Process	. 19
2.2	Web I	Engineering	. 22

	2.2.1	Difference from Traditional Software Development	23
	2.2.2	Methodologies	24
	2.2.3	Models	28
	2.2.4	The Testing Phase	40
	2.2.5	Simulating for Testing	42
2.3	Hardw	vare Description Languages	44
2.4	How t	he Present Research Differs from Existing Work	46
Chapte	er 3 N	Methodology	50
3.1	Resear	rch Strategy	52
3.2	Resear	rch Design	56
3.3	Data-g	gathering and Analysis	61
3.4	Threa	ts to Validity	66
	3.4.1	Enforcing the Validity of the Experiment	69
3.5	Summ	ary of the Experiment	73
Chapte	er 4 T	The Web-design Simulation Model	74
4.1	The S	imulation Study	74
4.2	Object	tives of the Simulation Model	79
4.3	The C	ontent of the Simulation Model	80
	4.3.1	The Four-layer Model Definition	82
	4.3.2	Hierarchy of the WSM Entities	89
	4.3.3	The User Interaction Model	91
4.4	The S	imulation Stimuli	92
4.5	Layer	Interface Definition	95
4.6	The S	imulation Output	99
4.7	Assum	nptions and Simplifications	99
4.8	Metho	odology of the Simulation	100

4.9	Verific	ation and Validation of the Proposed Web-design Simulation	
	Model		102
	4.9.1	Summary	103
Chapte	er 5 T	The Web-design Description Language	105
5.1	A Form	mal Description Language for Web Simulation	105
5.2	The E	ntities within	107
	5.2.1	The Page	108
	5.2.2	The Link	112
	5.2.3	The Script	114
	5.2.4	The Data	118
	5.2.5	The Window	121
	5.2.6	The User	123
5.3	Mappi	ng of Existing Web Design Models	126
	5.3.1	The WebML Case	127
	5.3.2	Mapping of Other Web Application Design Models	142
	5.3.3	Summary	144
Chapte	er 6 T	The Web-design Simulation Tool	146
6.1	Design	and Implementation	146
	6.1.1	The Model and Runtime Arrays	148
	6.1.2	The Simulator's Databases	149
6.2	Interfa	ace	149
	6.2.1	The Command Window	150
	6.2.2	The Browser Window	151
	6.2.3	The Main Window	151
	6.2.4	The Status Window	156
	6.2.5	The Requirements Window	156

6.3	Auxili	ary Modules	157
	6.3.1	The Stimuli Module	157
	6.3.2	The Requirements Assessment Module	158
	6.3.3	The Model Verification Module	161
	6.3.4	The Automatic Page Construction Module	163
6.4	Verific	cation and Validation of the Web-design Simulation Tool	163
	6.4.1	Summary	165
Chapte	er 7 ]	The Experiment	166
7.1	The V	Veb Application Design	166
7.2	The S	imulation Treatment	170
	7.2.1	The Test Cases	171
	7.2.2	The Simulation Results	176
7.3	The I	mplementation Treatment	186
	7.3.1	The Implementation Results	187
7.4	Verific	eation and Validation of the Simulation Results	191
7.5	Discus	ssion of the Results	192
	7.5.1	Comparison of Treatments	194
Chapte	er 8 (	Conclusion	199
8.1	Summ	nary and Critical Analysis	199
8.2	Concl	usions about the Research Questions and Hypothesis	207
8.3	Sugge	stions for Future Work	210
8.4	Final	Conclusions	212
${f A}$ ppen	dix A	The WDL Syntax	215
Appen	dix B	Syntax of WSM Stimuli	226
B.1	The V	VSM Exogenous Stimuli	226

B.2 The WSM Endogenous Stimuli	227
Appendix C The Simulator	228
Appendix D The Experiment Design	235
Appendix E The Experiment Simulation Results	248
Bibliography	274

## List of Figures

2.1	The Waterfall Model (Royce 1970)	15
2.2	The Spiral Model (Boehm 1988)	16
2.3	Verification and Validation of Software Products (Sommerville 2004	
	p. 517)	18
2.4	Evolution of Hypermedia Development Methods (Lang 2002) $\ \ldots \ .$	39
2.5	Simulation and Synthesis of VHDL Models	46
2.6	The Bypassed Implementation Phase	48
3.1	The Experiment	58
3.2	The Experiment – Data Analysis	63
4.1	The Life Cycle of a Simulation Study (Balci 1987)	77
4.2	The Simulation Modelling Process (Sargent 2003)	78
4.3	The Web-design Simulation Model (WSM)	83
4.4	WSM Entities and Objects	84
4.5	Hierarchical Topology	90
4.6	The User Entity	92
4.7	How an actionLink Event is Processed	96
4.8	Dynamically Constructing a Page object	97
4.9	The Event Procedure of Selecting a Button	98

4.10	The setData Content Layer Service	98
4.11	The getData Service Provided by the Content Layer	99
4.12	The Services Provided by Each Layer	100
4.13	Methodology of the Simulation Procedure	101
5.1	Finite State Machine Representation of the Page Object	112
5.2	Finite State Machine Representation of the Link Object	115
5.3	Finite State Machine Representation of the Script Object	117
5.4	Finite State Machine Representation of the Data Object	121
5.5	Finite State Machine Representation of the Window Object	124
5.6	Finite State Machine Representation of the User Object	126
6.1	The Simulator's MVC Architecture	148
6.2	The Web-design Simulation Tool	150
6.3	The Client-Side Script Pattern	154
6.4	The Server-Side Script Pattern	155
6.5	The Status Window of a Link Object	156
7.1	The "client" Simulation Path	179
7.2	The "administrator" Simulation Path	179
7.3	On the "Artist" Page (Slot 6)	180
7.4	The Rendered "Artist" Page (Slot 6)	181
7.5	The Automatically Constructed "Results" Page	182
7.6	The Rendered "AdminPage" Page	186
7.7	Testing Requirement R1	187
7.8	Testing Requirement R5	191
A.1	The <b>entity</b> Declaration Definition	215
A 2	The architecture Declaration Definition	216

A.3	The Page Entity Structure	216
A.4	The Page Architecture	217
A.5	The Link Entity Structure	218
A.6	The Link Architecture	219
A.7	The Script Entity Structure	220
A.8	The Script Architecture	221
A.9	The Data Entity Structure	222
A.10	The Data Architecture	223
A.11	The Window Entity Structure	224
A.12	The Window Architecture	224
A.13	The User Entity Structure	225
A.14	The User Architecture	225
C.1	The Simulator's Database	230
C.2	An Example of the Browser Window in Model Mode	231
C.3	An Example of the Browser Window in Runtime Mode	232
C.4	An Example of an XML File of a Page Object	233
C.5	An Example of the Rendition of an XML File, Done by the Automatic	
	Page Construction Module	234
D.1	The Design Model Database Structure	235
D.2	The WebML Design – the "Client" Site View	236
D.3	The WebML Design – the "Administration" Site View	237
D.4	The WDL Mapping of the WebML Design	238
E.1	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 0 to 6	251
E.2	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 7 to 14	252
E.3	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 15 to 22	253
E. 1	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 23 to 30	254

E.5	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 31 to 38	255
E.6	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 39 to 46	256
E.7	Simulation Results – TimeSlots 47 to 54	257

## List of Tables

3.1	Qualitative Data Analysis	66
3.2	Summary of the Research Design	73
5.1	Simulation of the Page Entity	109
5.2	The Page Entity Definition	110
5.3	Page Entity Simulation Features	111
5.4	Simulation of the Link Entity	113
5.5	The Link Entity definition	114
5.6	Simulation of the Script Entity	115
5.7	The Script Entity Definition	116
5.8	Simulation of the Data Entity.	119
5.9	The Data Entity Definition	120
5.10	Simulation of the Window Entity	122
5.11	The Window Entity Definition	123
5.12	Simulation of the User Entity	125
5.13	The User Entity Definition	125
5.14	The WebML Elements and the WDL Patterns	128
5.15	Template Which Maps a WebML Page into WDL	130
5.16	Setting the WDL Page Attributes	130
5.17	Template for the WebML Link	131

5.18	Example of Mapping a WebML Link Element.	131
5.19	Setting the WDL Link Attributes	132
5.20	Functional Patterns	132
5.21	The Required Pair of Data and Script Entities Needed to Access a	
	Central Database.	133
5.22	Rules for the Script Entity when Mapping a Database Publishing and	
	Database Management Patterns	134
5.23	Template Which Maps a WebML Data Unit into WDL	135
5.24	Example of Mapping a WebML Data Unit	136
5.25	Template Which Maps a WebML Index Unit into WDL	137
5.26	Template Which Maps a WebML Create Unit into WDL	138
5.27	Example of a WebML Entry Mapping	139
5.28	Template for WebML Global Parameter	140
5.29	Template of a WebML Get and Set Unit	141
5.30	Requirements a WADM Must Meet to Enable a Meaningful Simulation.	143
5.31	Features a WADM Should Possess to Enable Simulation	144
6.1	The Web-design Simulation Tool Requirements	147
6.2	Example of an Event File	158
6.3	Page, Link, Script, and Data set Requirements Commands	160
6.4	Window and User Requirements Commands	160
6.5	Functions for Evaluation of Requirements	161
6.6	A Simple Requirement Function	161
6.7	Features of the Model Verification Module	162
7.1	WebML Units Present in the Design	169
7.2	The T1 Test Case	172
7 3	The To 1 To 2 and To 3 Test Cases	173

7.4	The T3.1 and T3.2 Test Cases	175
7.5	The T4 Test Case	176
7.6	The T5 Test Case	177
7.7	Summary of the Test Cases	178
7.8	The "artist" Table Content	178
7.9	The "album" Table Content	178
7.10	The "user" Table Content	178
7.11	The "cart" Table Content	178
7.12	Simulation Results for Test Case T1 and T2.1	180
7.13	Simulation Results for Test Case T2.3	182
7.14	Script "CheckLogin" variables for Test Case T3.1	183
7.15	Simulation Results for Test Case T3.1	184
7.16	Simulation Results for Test Case T4	184
7.17	The "cart" Table Content	184
7.18	Simulation Results for Test Case T3.2	185
7.19	Simulation Results for Test Case T5	185
7.20	Implementation Results for Test Case T1	187
7.21	Implementation Results for Test Case T2.1	188
7.22	Implementation Results for Test Case T2.2	188
7.23	Implementation Results for Test Case T2.3	189
7.24	Implementation Results for Test Case T3.1	189
7.25	Implementation Results for Test Case T3.2	190
7.26	Implementation Results for Test Case T4	190
7.27	Implementation Results for Test Case T5	191
7.28	The Functional Requirements Assessment Results	195
7.29	Comparison of the Implementation and Simulation Treatments	197
T2 1	User Interaction Stimuli	227

C.1	Simulator Control Stimuli	228
E.1	The Experiment Stimuli	248
E.2	The Contents of the Simulator "pages" Table	258
E.3	The Contents of the "links" Table	260
E.4	The Contents of the "scripts" Table	264
E.5	The Contents of the "scriptvars" Table	267
E.6	The Contents of the "datas" Table	269

### Glossary

**ACM** – Association for Computing Machinery

Functional requirement – A description of a function a system or system component must be able to perform (*IEEE standard glossary of software engineering terminology* – 610.12-1990 1990)

Functional Content – Measure used in this research for the evaluation of each treatment and defined as the observable factors a treatment provides and their structure and value

Functional Information – Measure used in this research for the evaluation of each treatment and defined as the level of contribution of the observable factors for the evaluation of functional requirements

**HDL** – Hardware Description Language (Garzotto et al. 1991b)

HDM – The Hypertext Design Model (Garzotto et al. 1991b)

IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

**OOHDM** – The Object-Oriented Hypermedia Design Model (Schwabe and Rossi 1995a)

**Prototyping** – A development technique that uses a preliminary version of part or all of the software product for user feedback, feasibility evaluation, or other issues supporting the development process (*IEEE standard glossary of software engineering terminology* – 610.12-1990 1990)

RMM - The Relationship Management Methodology (Isakowitz et al. 1995)

Simulation – "(1) A model that behaves or operates like a given system when provided with a set of controlled inputs. (2) The process of developing or using a model as in (1)." (*IEEE standard glossary of software engineering terminology* – 610.12-1990 1990)

**Software Development Cycle** – The period of time ranging from the start of the software product project to its delivery (*IEEE standard glossary of software engineering terminology* – 610.12-1990 1990)

Software Life Cycle – The period of time ranging from the conceptualization of the software product project to when it is no longer available (*IEEE standard glossary of software engineering terminology* – 610.12-1990 1990)

SRS – System Requirements Specification

UIM - The proposed User Interaction Model

UML – The Unified modelling Language (OMG 2005)

Use cases – capture who (actor) does what (interaction) with the system, for what purpose (goal), without dealing with system internals. A complete set of use cases specifies all the different ways to use the system, and therefore defines all behaviour required of the system, bounding the scope of the system (Malan and Bredemeyer 2001)

Validation – Confirmation by examination and provisions of objective evidence that the particular requirements for a specific intended use are fulfilled (*IEEE standard for software verification and validation – 1012-1998* 1998)

**Verification** – Confirmation by examination and provisions of objective evidence that specified requirements have been fulfilled (*IEEE standard for software verification and validation* – 1012-1998 1998)

VHDL – VHSIC Hardware Description Language (VHSIC being an acronym for Very High-speed Integrated Circuit) (*IEEE Standard VHDL Language Reference Manual* – 1076 2002)

**WAD** – A Web Application Design. A high-level description of how a system is organized and operates, usually using one or more Web Application Design Models. It shows the objects or object classes in a system and, where appropriate, the relationships between these entities (Sommerville 2004)

**WADM** – A Web Application Design Model. Allows the representation of the result of the design activity by providing a framework or language which can be used to compare and document the specifications of applications (Lowe and Hall 1999)

Web Engineering – Web engineering is the establishment and use of sound scientific, engineering and management principles and disciplined and systematic approaches to the successful development, deployment and maintenance of high quality Web-based systems and applications (Murugesan et al. 1999)

WebML – The Web Modeling Language (Ceri et al. 2000)

WDL - The developed Web-design Description Language

WSM - The proposed Web-design Simulation Model

#### Abstract

# Evaluation of Web Applications Through Simulation of Web Designs

The development of Web applications continues to pose numerous difficulties for Web developers due to the inherent complexity of the projects. Although methodologies have been proposed to tackle the development of these projects, they are especially concerned with setting guidelines and defining tasks to better structure the design phase. For this purpose, several design models have been developed and used in the design of Web applications, providing a suitable level of abstraction and independence from a specific implementation. However, the other phases of the Software Development Cycle have not received the same level of attention from researchers. In particular, the test phase is lacking in theory and tools to effectively and efficiently verify the project requirements. Evaluation of the functional requirements of a system under development is commonly done by its partial implementation and test. This requires the development and coding of a prototype

of the system to be able to verify the design. Furthermore, this prototyping effort could be partially or totally in vain if tests find that the design does not meet the intended requirements.

This research argues that it is possible to simulate Web application design models for the verification of functional requirements. Furthermore, it claims that simulation is able to provide as much functional information as an implementation would. The research proposes a multi-layer Web-design Simulation Model, which was developed to enable simulation of Web application designs and takes into consideration developers' key design concerns. Furthermore, a Web-design Description Language was especially developed to provide meaningful simulation of design models. It borrows concepts from the hardware engineering field where simulation is extensively used for design verification. By performing simulation directly on the designs, the need for prototyping for functional evaluation is reduced or no longer necessary and verification of the requirements can be performed as soon as a design is available. This has the potential to contribute to a faster Software Development Cycle of Web applications.

To prove the feasibility of the simulation and the meaningfulness of its application, an experiment on a selected Web application design was conducted. This entailed a comparison between the implementation and simulation results for the functional requirements evaluation. The comparison was performed by assessing the functional content and information of the results that both methods provided. The comparison showed that, although both are suitable for verification of functional requirements, the proposed Simulation Model provides additional functional information and a more intuitive analysis for the evaluation of Web application designs.