THE MILITARIZATION & WEAPONIZATION OF OUTER SPACE–FROM PLAYGROUND TO BATTLEGROUND: LEGAL PERSPECTIVES ON THE USE OF FORCE

JACKSON NYAMUYA MAOGOTO

THESIS SUBMITTED IN TOTAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MASTERS OF LAW BY RESEARCH DEGREE

ORIGINAL VERSION SUBMITTED IN SEPTEMBER 2006

REVISED & FINAL VERSION SUBMITTED IN JUNE 2007

FACULTY OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY

SUPERVISOR: PROFESSOR SAM BLAY

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this Thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the Thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the Thesis.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

This Thesis developed from a prior publication, Jackson Maogoto, "The Military Ascent into Space: From Playground to Battleground: The New Uncertain Game in the Heavens", *Netherland International Law Review*, (2005), 461-488. Select passages from that publication appear in the Thesis. Where passages or sentences from the Article do appear full acknowledgment is made via footnote referencing.

Signed

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Jackson Nyamuya Maogoto,

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to acknowledge the superb supervision and mentorship by Professor Sam Blay, Faculty of Law, University Technology, Sydney. Throughout the research and writing of the Thesis, he was a great source of intellectual support and inspiration. His 'open door' policy ensured that I had support at every twist and turn of the undertaking. His comprehensive advice and insightful tips made a daunting task much easier.

I acknowledge the diligent work of my research assistant Robb Abbs who tirelessly worked to ensure that the footnotes were cross referenced and the bibliography prepared. Mention should also be made of Associate Professor Steven Freeland (University of Western Sydney) who illuminated aspects of the enquiry with his incisive grasp of Space Law. Lastly and by no means least, I acknowledge the inspiration of General (Rtd) Anthony P V Rogers, OBE, Yorke Distinguished Fellow, University of Cambridge, a soldier, gentleman and scholar.

This Thesis is dedicated to my parents; Mary S G Maogoto my mother and the person who first taught me how to read and write and has through the years been a constant pillar of support and motivation; My late father R Jackson Nyamuya Maogoto Sr. who instilled in me the virtue that the search to know constitutes one of the highest values in society.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAI	TABLE OF ACRONYMS			
INT	RODU	JCTION	1	
		CHAPTER I		
Т	HE SPA	ACE LAW REGIME: REGULATING AN EXTRA- TERRESTRIAL WILD WEST	10	
1.1	Intro	duction	10	
1.2		pace Law Vis-À-Vis Outer Space Law: Two Different		
	-	nes, Different Dynamics	13	
1.3	_	Delimitation of Outer Space: Fragmented Opinion, No		
	Consensus			
	1.3.1	The Functionalist School	17	
		The Spatialist School	17	
	1.3.3	-	19	
1.4	Space	Law: Prevailing Legal Paradigms on Militarization		
	-	Veaponization	19	
		The Limited Test Ban Treaty (1963)	20	
		The Outer Space Treaty (1967)	23	
	1.4.3	The ABM Treaty and SALT I (1972)	25	
		The Moon Agreement (1979)	29	
1.5	The 'l	Peaceful Purposes' Principle: Universally but		
		ested in Substance	31	
1.6	Concl	lusion	35	
		CHAPTER II		
	NEW H	IEIGHTS OF COMBAT: THE SPACEPOWERS' MILITARY ASCENT INTO SPACE	37	
2.1	Intro	duction	37	
2.2	Redef	finition of Space as a Battleground Overshadows		
	Interr	national Peace Efforts (1958–1989)	40	
	2.2.1	Leaps Backward: The US Leads the Way	40	
	2.2.2	Feeble Steps Forward: Efforts in the United Nations	46	
2.3	From	The First Gulf War Into the 21st Century—The		
	Heave	ens Beckon: Space Arms Expansion	49	
	2.3.1	The First Gulf War: Integrated Battle Platforms Come of Age	49	
	2.3.2	START I & II: A Red Card for Strategic Arms	.,	
	2.5.2	Reduction	54	
	2.3.3	Redemption with Sin—Arms (Un)Limitation	56	
	2.3.4	The Wrestle for Space Superiority: An Ascendant China		
	<u> </u>	Joins the Elite 'Space' Club	59	
2.4	Concl	usion	61	

		CHAPTER III			
RI		WEAPONIZATION AND THE UN CHARTER ON FORCE: WALKING A LEGAL TIGHTROPE	63		
111		ON TOROLO WILLIAM OF LEGILE TORTHOLD			
3.1	Introd	luction	63		
3.2	Space	Law and the UN Charter: Peeling a Legal Onion?			
	-	and the Regime of the UN Charter	65		
	3.2.1	Article 2(4): Proscription of Force	66		
	3.2.2	The Concept of Armed Attack	68		
		The Use or Threat of Force	70		
		Article 51: The State's Right to Respond in Self–			
		Defence	70		
		3.2.4.1 The Restrictionist Approach	72		
		3.2.4.2 The Counter–Restrictionist Approach	73		
	3.2.5	The UN Charter and Other Forms of Forcible Self–			
		Help	75		
3.3	The U	N Charter: Any Relevance and Applicability to Outer			
	Space	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	76		
3.4		ntersection of the UN Charter Regime on Force and			
	Space		79		
	-	Direct Physical Military Force in Space: Kinetic/			
		Hypervelocity Weaponry	79		
		3.4.1.1 The Use or Threat of Force Paradigm	79		
		3.4.1.2 The Armed Attack Paradigm	86		
	3.4.2	Indirect Military Force in Space Force:			
		Electromagnetic/Laser/Radiation Weaponry	87		
		3.4.2.1 The Use or Threat of Force Paradigm	87		
		3.4.2.2 The Armed Attack Paradigm	88		
	3.4.3				
		Destruction—A New Calculus	92		
	3.4.4	Reprisals	94		
3.5	Concl	•	96		
		CHAPTER IV			
	FROM	STAR WARS TO SPACE WARS—THE NEXT			
STR		C FRONTIER: PARADIGMS TO ANCHOR SPACE	99		
~		SECURITY			
4.1	Introd	luction	99		
4.2		ies for Anchoring and Securing Space Security	102		
	4.2.1	Re–orientating the Peace and Security Framework	102		
		Coercive Arms Control: 'Coming Down to Earth'	105		
	4.2.3				
		Banning Space Weaponry	110		
	4.2.4	Amending the Outer Space Treaty: A Glimmer of			
	,	'Light'	115		
4.3	Concl	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	118		
	CONCLUSION				
BIBLIOGRAPHY					
DID	LIUG	NATILI	124		

TABLE OF ACRONYMS

ABM Anti–Ballistic Missile

ABM Treaty Treaty on the Limitation of Anti–Ballistic Missile

Systems

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

ASAT Anti–Satellite

BMD Ballistic Missile Defence CAV Combat Aero Vehicle

COPUOS Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space

CVBG Carrier Battle Group

DoDUnited States Department of DefenseEELVEvolved Expendable Launch Vehicle

EMP Electro–Magnetic Pulse

EUropean Union

GPS Global Positioning System

ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization

ICBM Inter–Continental Ballistic Missile

INMARSAT International Mobile Satellite Organization

MAD Mutual Assured Destruction

MIRVs Multiple Independently Targetable Re-entry Vehicles

NASA National Aeronautical and Space Agency

NCA National Command Authorities NMD National Missile Defence

NSDD 42 National Security Decision Directive No 42 NSPD 1 National Space Policy Directive No 1

OOTW Operations Other Than War
PLA People's Liberation Army

SALT I Strategic Arms Limitation Talks Agreement I SALT II Strategic Arms Limitation Talks Agreement II

SDI Strategic Defense Initiative SOV Space Operated Vehicle

START I Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty I
START II Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty II

TMD Theatre Missile DefenceUAV Unmanned Aerial Vehicle

UN United Nations

UN Charter Charter of the United Nations

UNIDIR United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research UNISPACE 82 United Nations Conference on the Exploration and

Peaceful Uses of Outer Space

US United States

USAF United States Air Force

USSPACECOM United States Space Command WMDs Weapons of Mass Destruction

ABSTRACT

The Thesis carries out a critical analysis of the militarization and weaponization of space and its intersection with the international legal regime. It juxtaposes technological advances with the tenets of the United Nations Charter and analyses technological breakthroughs in the weaponization of space against the landscape of the 'peaceful purposes' mantra that underpins the Space Law regime. It highlights the fact that the international arena now has a new game in the making for which it is in many ways ill equipped to handle with dual purpose technology having capabilities for both defensive and offensive purposes. The Thesis consolidates and critiques the initiatives of space faring nations in their endeavours to develop integrated battle platforms through the co-option of space-based sensors, space and missile tracking and deployment of hypervelocity kinetic weapons in outer space.

At the heart of the Thesis is the argument that there is a need to develop and enshrine new principles in order to plug the lacunae in the current regime on the use of force to enhance its capacity to govern the means and methods of space warfare, or at the very least to clarify to what extent the tenets of general international law apply directly to outer space. This will allow the international community to deal with a phenomenon which has quickly moved from fantasy to reality. The Thesis pushes the frontier of current literature by asserting that contemporary technological and engineering breakthroughs make it evident that at the very least there is a need to re-conceptualise and revise the existing Space Law regime, but more importantly a need to develop a new legal framework to specifically address the gathering momentum towards the weaponization of outer space.