CONSERVATION OF 19TH AND EARLY 20TH CENTURY OIL PAINTINGS: STUDIES OF PIGMENT DISCOLOURATION BY SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

By Rachel Elizabeth White

SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY AUSTRALIA 2007

Certificate

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

> Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

> > Signature of Author

For Lawson

Table of Contents

Li	st of	Figures	vi
List of Tables xv			
No	omen	aclature x	vii
A۱	bstra	act xx	viii
A	cknov	wledgements	kix
1	Intr	coduction	1
	1.1	Pigment interaction in historic oil paintings	2
	1.2	Motivation	3
	1.3	Thesis structure	5
	1.4	Publications	6
		1.4.1 Proceedings and prizes	6
2	Disc	colouring interactions of historic oil paint pigments	8
	2.1	Discolouration of artistic pigments	9
	2.2	Oil painting	10
	2.3	Discolouration of oil paintings	15
	2.4	Chemistry of pigment interaction	22
		2.4.1 Copper sulfide production	23
3	Scar	nning Electron Microscopy: Instrumentation and Techniques	27
	3.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy	28
		3.1.1 SEM instrumentation	29
		3.1.2 X-ray microanalysis	31
		3.1.3 X-ray mapping and scatter diagrams	33

	3.2	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy	35
		3.2.1 ESEM instrumentation and features	35
		3.2.2 Hydration techniques	38
		3.2.3 Use in conservation studies	41
4	Exr	perimental Techniques	43
1	4 1	Sample preparation	44
	1.1	4.1.1 Pigment samples	44
		4.1.2 Paint samples	45
		4.1.3 Interaction samples	48
		4.1.4 Solvent action on paints	49
	4.2	Optical microscopy	51
	1.2	4.2.1 Time resolved microscopy	51
	4.3	Characterisation techniques	52
	1.0	4.3.1 XRD	52
		4.3.2 Thermal Analysis	53
		4.3.3 SEM EDS	53
	4.4	X-ray Mapping	55
	4.5	ESEM	56
		4.5.1 ESEM instrumentation	56
		4.5.2 ESEM techniques	56
5	Obs	servation of pigment interaction	63
0	51	Interactions between paint lavers	64
	5.2	Cadmium vellow and malachite interaction	70
	0.2	5.2.1 Aqueous media interaction	70
		5.2.2 Oil paint interaction	77
		5.2.3 Solvent activation of interaction	83
	5.3	Interaction of cadmium vellow with other copper compounds	85
		5.3.1 Time resolved interactions	85
	5.4	Summation	88
0			0.0
6	Cha	aracterisation of cadmium yellow and malachite interaction	89
	6.1	XRD	90
		6.1.1 Pigment characterisation	90
		6.1.2 Characterisation of interaction products by XRD	94 06
	C 0	b.1.3 Effect of progressive discolouration Effect of progressive discolouration 1	90
	6.2	I nermal Analyses	10
	6.3	$\operatorname{SEM} \operatorname{EDS} \dots \dots$	12

		6.3.1 Pigment characterisation	112
		6.3.2 Characterisation of interaction products	117
	6.4	Summation	128
7	X-ra	ay Mapping	129
	7.1	X-ray maps and scatter diagram analyses	130
		7.1.1 Unreacted pigment mixtures	130
		7.1.2 Pigment mixtures reacted in water	142
	7.2	Artifacts	164
	7.3	Summation	168
8	Dyn	namic ESEM studies of pigment interaction	169
	8.1	Hydration experiment procedures	170
		8.1.1 Hydration of dry samples	170
		8.1.2 Maintaining hydrated samples	178
	8.2	Summation	180
9	Disc	cussion of key results	182
10	Con	clusions	191
	10.1	Interaction between cadmium yellow	
		and malachite pigments	192
	10.2	Suitability of SEM as a pigment analysis	
		technique \ldots	193
	10.3	Significance for painting conservators	194
Bi	bliog	graphy	196

List of Figures

2.1	Summer by Phillips Fox, 1912. Art Gallery of New South Wales, Syd-	
	ney, Australia (reproduced with permission)	18
2.2	Optical micrograph of the cross-section of a paint fragment taken from	
	the lower region of Phillip Fox's $Summer$ (1912), showing the darkened	
	interface between cadmium yellow and emerald green paints (repro-	
	duced with permission).	19
2.3	Phase diagram for the system Cu-S, from Roseboom-Jr (1966)	26
3.1	Schematic diagram showing the ESEM vacuum system. The vacuum system consists of five stages of increasing vacuum level. The stages	
	are the specimen chamber, first environmental chamber (EC1), second	
	environmental chamber (EC2), electron column and electron gun. The	
	column and chamber regions are separated by two pressure limiting	
	apertures (PLAs). The PLAs are placed close together to minimize pri-	
	mary electron scattering (adapted from Philips Electron Optics, 1996).	
	IP=ion pump, DP=diffusion pump, RT=rotary pump. (Morgan 2005).	36
3.2	Vapour pressure diagram for maintaining relative desired humidity in	
	the ESEM (adapted from Philips Electron Optics (1996)).	40

4.1	The preparation of oil paint in a traditional manner. Images (a),	
	(b) and (c) show the preparation of malachite paint by blending cold	
	pressed linseed oil with malachite pigment using a spatula. Image (d)	
	shows the use of a muller to ensure even consistency of a cadmium	
	yellow paint	47
5.1	Three year old paint layers of lead white and vermillion pigments placed	
	in contact (a) wet-on-wet paint and (b) wet-on-dry paint, showing no	
	visual colour difference compared to fresh layers (WOF = 5cm)	66
5.2	Three year old mixed paint layer of lead white and vermillion pigments,	
	showing no dark discolouration (WOF = 5cm). \ldots \ldots \ldots	67
5.3	Three year old paint layers of lead white and cadmium yellow pigments	
	placed in contact (a) wet-on-wet paint and (b) wet-on-dry paint, show-	
	ing no visual colour difference compared to fresh layers (WOF = 5 cm).	68
5.4	Malalchite paint over cadmium yellow paint layer. This visible dis-	
	colouration occurred over a four month period. A view of the (a)	
	topside and (b) underside of the paint layer, showing the dark dis-	
	colouration spreading into the cadmium yellow paint layer from the	
	interface with the malachite paint (WOF = 5cm). $\dots \dots \dots \dots$	69
5.5	Mixtures of cadmium yellow and malachite pigments as (a) separate	
	dry pigments and (b) mixed dry pigments. Increasing discolouration	
	is visible after mixing with deionised water at (c) 0 minutes, (d) 7	
	minutes, (e) 26 minutes, (f) 47 minutes and (g) 122 minutes (WOF $=$	
	7cm)	71
5.6	A dark coloured interaction product forms at the interface between	
	cadmium yellow and malachite pigment pastes (WOF = 6 cm)	72
5.7	An optical micrograph of the darkening of cadmium yellow particles	
	on a malachite pigment substrate resulting from a brief hydration in	
	the ESEM (WOF = 0.25 mm)	73

5.8	An optical micrograph showing the discoloured interface formed be-	
	tween cadmium yellow and malachite pigment pastes during hydration	
	in the ESEM (WOF = 1mm). $\dots \dots \dots$	74
5.9	Optical micrograph of the dark discolouration layer that has formed	
	on a bright orange cadmium sulfide pigment particle after contact with	
	malachite and water (WOF = 0.5 mm)	75
5.10	ESEM micrograph of the dark discolouration layer on cadmium sulfide	
	pigment particle, showing the bright cadmium sulfide particle covered	
	with the lower contrast discoloured layer	76
5.11	A dark coloured interface between cadmium yellow (upper layer) and	
	malachite (lower layer) oil paints. Image (a) was acquired at three and	
	a half months and image (b) was taken two years after the paints were	
	made and placed in contact (WOF = 4cm). $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	78
5.12	A dark coloured interface region in a microtomed cross-section of cad-	
	mium yellow (left) paint in contact with malachite (right) paint (WOF $$	
	$= 3 \mathrm{mm})$	79
5.13	Colour change in malachite oil paint over two years. Fresh malachite	
	paint (top) and malachite paint aged naturally for two years in the	
	laboratory (bottom). The darkening occurs on the surface and without	
	the influence of other pigments (WOF = 5cm)	80
5.14	An aged malachite paint layer cut to show the true colour of the paint	
	layer beneath the olive coloured surface layer. The darker surface is	
	caused by the uptake of copper ions into the oil medium (WOF = 6cm).	81
5.15	Fresh (top) and two year old (bottom) cadmium yellow oil paints,	
	showing slight yellowing due to the aging of the linseed oil medium	
	(WOF = 5cm).	82
5.16	Discolouration of cadmium yellow pigment on contact with copper sul-	
	fate solution. The series of images was taken over one minute (WOF	
	$= 8 \mathrm{cm}). \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	86

5.17	Time-lapse images of cadmium yellow particles being discoloured by	
	the flow of water across the polyethylene glycol - copper sulfate sub-	
	strate. The water dissolves the copper ions allowing them to discolour	
	the cadmium yellow pigment. This series of images was taken over 45	
	seconds (WOF = 5mm). \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	87
6.1	X-ray diffraction pattern for cadmium yellow pigment, showing Greenock-	
	ite and cadmium zinc sulfide peaks	91
6.2	X-ray diffraction pattern for cadmium yellow pigment after exposure to	
	deionised water, showing the presence of cadmium sulfate and hydrated	
	cadmium sulfate.	92
6.3	X-ray diffraction pattern for malachite pigment, showing malachite	
	peaks	93
6.4	X-ray diffraction pattern for completely discoloured cadmium yellow	
	and malachite mixture. Peaks shown are the discolouration prod-	
	ucts covellite (CuS), otavite (CdCO ₃) and cadmium sulfate hydrate	
	$(CdSO_4.H_2O)$	95
6.5	Series of progressively discoloured cadmium yellow and malachite pig-	
	ment mixes. The top pattern is unreacted pigment mix, with patterns	
	for increasing discolourations in order downwards	97
6.6	Discoloured cadmium yellow and malachite mixtures in (a) one-to-	
	one, (b) ten-to-one and (c) one-to-ten weight ratios (cadmium yellow	
	to malachite) (WOF = 10cm)	99
6.7	The diffraction pattern of discoloured cadmium yellow and malachite	
	in a one-to-one weight mixture, showing the presence of the reactant	
	pigments with cadmium carbonate and copper sulfide	100
6.8	The diffraction pattern of discoloured cadmium yellow and malachite	
	in a one-to-ten weight mixture, showing the discolouration products	
	cadmium carbonate and copper sulfide with malachite only. \ldots .	101

6.9	The diffraction pattern of discoloured cadmium yellow and malachite	
	in a ten-to-one weight mixture, showing the discolouration products	
	cadmium carbonate and copper sulfide with cadmium yellow only	102
6.10	TG curves of the five systems studied: cadmium yellow, malachite and	
	reaction blends of 10:1, 1:1 and 1:10 by mass. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	104
6.11	DTG curves of the five systems studied: cadmium yellow, malachite	
	and reaction blends of 10:1, 1:1 and 1:10 by mass	105
6.12	Mass spectra response curves (in arbitrary units as intensity is non-	
	quantitative) for 18 amu for the five systems studied: cadmium yellow,	
	malachite and reaction blends of 10:1, 1:1 and 1:10 by mass	106
6.13	Mass spectra response curves (in arbitrary units as intensity is non-	
	quantitative) for 44 amu for the five systems studied: cadmium yellow,	
	malachite and reaction blends of 10:1, 1:1 and 1:10 by mass	107
6.14	Mass spectra response curves (in arbitrary units as intensity is non-	
	quantitative) for 64 amu for the five systems studied: cadmium yellow,	
	malachite and reaction blends of 10:1, 1:1 and 1:10 by mass	108
6.15	Cadmium yellow pigment particles, indicating average particle diame-	
	ter of 75nm	113
6.16	EDS x-ray spectrum of cadmium yellow pigment particles, showing the	
	presence of zinc with the cadmium and sulfur. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	114
6.17	A micrograph of malachite pigment particles, showing particle sizes of	
	$1\mu m$ to $5\mu m$.	115
6.18	EDS x-ray spectrum for malachite pigment particles.	116
6.19	BSE image of cadmium yellow and malachite pigments after reaction	
	in water for seven hours	118
6.20	A BSE micrograph of a discoloured cadmium yellow (left) and mala-	
	chite (right) interface.	119

٩

6.21	A micrograph of the interface region from Figure 6.20, cadmium yel-	
	low (left) and malachite (right), showing plate-like cadmium sulfate	
	crystals on the surface of the malachite region	120
6.22	A micrograph of the malachite region near the interface from Figure	
	5.15, showing micron length needle-like protrusions from the malachite	
	particles	121
6.23	Cadmium yellow particles partially discoloured with copper sulfate so-	
	lution, showing darker regions at the particle edges	124
6.24	Cadmium sulfide substrate (lower bright area) with copper sulfide coat-	
	ing (upper darker area).	125
6.25	EDS spectrum at 20 kV of copper sulfide layer from Figure 6.24. Cop-	
	per and sulfur are detected with a small amount of cadmium from the	
	substrate.	126
6.26	EDS spectrum at 20 kV of cadmium sulfide substrate from Figure 6.24.	
	Cadmium and sulfur are detected with a small amount of copper from	
	some residual copper sulfide layer as visible in the micrograph (Figure	
	6.24)	127
7.1	X-ray maps for an unreacted cadmium yellow and malachite pigment	
	mix. Image (a) secondary electron image, and x-ray maps for (b)	
	cadmium, (c) copper and (d) sulfur (20 kV, WOF = 43μ m)	131
7.2	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur (left) and for cadmium and	
	sulfur (right) from unreacted cadmium yellow and malachite pigment	
	mixture x-ray maps (Figure 7.1).	133
7.3	X-ray maps at 15 kV for a pressed pellet of unreacted cadmium yellow	
	and malachite pigment mix. Image (a) secondary electron image, and	
	x-ray maps for (b) cadmium, (c) copper and (d) sulfur (15 kV, WOF	
	$= 47 \mu \mathrm{m}).$	135

7.4	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur (left) and for cadmium and sul-	
	fur (right) from the pressed unreacted cadmium yellow and malachite	
	pigment mixture x-ray maps (Figure 7.3).	136
7.5	Scatter diagram for copper and sulfur (left) showing the mid region	
	between the copper and sulfur nodes. The visual representation of	
	that region on the secondary electron image (right) (15 kV, WOF =	
	$47\mu m$)	137
7.6	Scatter diagram for copper and sulfur (left) showing the copper node.	
	The visual representation of the copper region on the secondary elec-	
	tron image (right) (15 kV, WOF = 47μ m)	139
7.7	Scatter diagrams for cadmium-sulfur and copper-sulfur (top) showing	
	the selection of the sulfur node. The visual representation of the cad-	
	mium sulfide region on the secondary electron image (bottom) (15 kV, $$	
	WOF = 47μ m)	140
7.8	Pseudo-coloured image of the pressed unreacted pigment mixture. The	
	blue colour indicates the copper-containing regions; the yellow shows	
	the cadmium sulfide phase; and the lack of a light blue colour indicates	
	no common copper-sulfur phase. (15 kV, WOF = 47μ m)	141
7.9	X-ray maps for a cadmium yellow and malachite pigment mix reacted	
	in water for one hour. Image (a) secondary electron image, and x-ray	
	maps for (b) cadmium, (c) copper and (d) sulfur (WOF = 31.5μ m).	143
7.10	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur (left) and for cadmium and	
	sulfur (right) from x-ray maps of a cadmium yellow and malachite	
	pigment mixture reacted for one hour (Figure 7.9).	144
7.11	X-ray maps for a completely discoloured cadmium yellow and malachite	
	pigment mix. Image (a) secondary electron image, and x-ray maps for	5
	(b) cadmium, (c) copper and (d) sulfur (20 kV, WOF = 47μ m)	146

7.12	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur (left) and for cadmium and	
	sulfur (right) from x-ray maps of a completely discoloured cadmium	
	yellow and malachite pigment mixture (Figure 7.11)	147
7.13	X-ray maps for a pressed sample of completely discoloured cadmium	
	yellow and malachite pigment mix. Image (a) secondary electron im-	
	age, and x-ray maps for (b) cadmium, (c) copper and (d) sulfur (15	
	kV, WOF = 47μ m).	149
7.14	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur (left) and for cadmium and	
	sulfur (right) from x-ray maps of a completely discoloured and pressed	
	cadmium yellow and malachite pigment mixture (Figure 7.13)	150
7.15	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur (left) and for cadmium and	
	sulfur (right) with copper sulfide, cadmium carbonate and a previously	
	unidentified high-copper copper sulfide phase nodes indicated. $\ . \ . \ .$	152
7.16	Copper-sulfur scatter diagrams of the pressed completely reacted pig-	
	ment mixture (left) with the selected area visualised on the secondary	
	electron image (right) (15 kV, WOF = 47μ m)	153
7.17	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur with the copper region selected	
	and overlaid on the SE image. This area is high-copper copper sulfide	
	species not identified by XRD (15 kV, WOF = 47 μ m)	155
7.18	Scatter diagrams (copper-sulfur on the left and cadmium-sulfur on the	
	right) of the pressed completely reacted pigment mixture with the cad-	
	mium containing phase selected and visualised on the SE image (15 kV,	
	WOF = 47μ m)	157
7.19	Scatter diagrams (copper-sulfur on the left and cadmium-sulfur on the	
	right) of the pressed completely reacted pigment mixture with the area	
	between the phase nodes selected and visualised on the SE image (15 $$	
	kV, WOF = 47μ m).	158

7.20	A pseudo-coloured image of the pressed completely reacted pigment	
	mixture. Purple represents the copper- and sulfur- containing phase;	
	blue the copper regions; green the cadmium-containing phase. The	
	absence of yellow region indicates there is no cadmium sulfide phase	
	present (15 kV, WOF = 47μ m)	159
7.21	Scatter diagrams for copper and sulfur for unpressed samples of (a)	
	unreacted pigment mix, (b) pigment mix reacted for one hour and (c)	
	completely discoloured pigment mixture. These show that the associ-	
	ation between copper and sulfur increases with the extent of reaction.	161
7.22	Copper-sulfur scatter diagrams for unreacted pigment mix (left) and	
	the completely discoloured pigment mixture (right), showing the in-	
	crease of copper-sulfur phase association after discolouration	162
7.23	Cadmium-sulfur scatter diagrams for unreacted pigment mix (left) and	
	the completely discoloured pigment mixture (right), showing the break-	
	down of the common cad mium-sulfur phase after discolouration	163
7.24	Scatter diagram of cadmium and sulfur for pure cadmium yellow pigment	1.165
7.25	Scatter diagram of copper and sulfur at 5 kV and 15 kV, showing the	
	lack of resolution at 5 kV	167
8.1	Gaseous secondary electron image of water droplets forming on a mixed	
	cadmium yellow and malachite pigment sample during hydration in the	
	ESEM	171
8.2	ESEM images, (a) and (b), showing the surface crust of reaction prod-	
	ucts of a cadmium yellow and malachite pigment mixture after hydra-	
	tion in the ESEM for four hours.	172
8.3	Back-scattered electron images of (a) a dry malachite (left) and cad-	
	mium yellow (right) pigment interface, (b) the interface after 1 hour	
	of hydration and (c) after 2 hours of hydration, showing the darkening	
	in the boxed region	174

- 8.4 Series of back-scattered electron images showing cadmium yellow (bright regions) and malachite pigment interface. Image (a) is before hydration, (b) the interface during hydration and (c) the interface after dehydration, showing the development of a mid-brightness region.
 176
- 8.5 Series of back-scattered electron images showing mid-brightness region formed between malachite (left darker region) and cadmium yellow (right bright region) during hydration at accelerating voltages of (a) 25 kV, (b) 15 kV and (c) 10 kV.
- 8.6 BSE images of an interface between hydrated cadmium yellow and malachite pigments. Image (a) is the first image taken of the hydrated sample after pump-down sequence. Image (b) is the dehydrated interface after 80 minutes of maintained hydration. Image (c) is an optical micrograph of the interface after dehydration (WOF = 1mm). . . . 179

List of Tables

1	List of symbols and abbreviations	cvii
2.1	Oil absorption values	11
4.1	Pigments used	44
4.2	Paint layers prepared	46
4.3	Solvent action on paints	50
4.4	Back-scattered contrast coefficients at 25 kV	60
4.5	Contrast visible between interaction products	62
5.1	Paint layer discolourations	65
5.2	Solvent action on paints	84

Nomenclature

-

Table 1: List of symbols and abbreviations

amu	atomic mass units
BSE	backscattered electrons
DTG	Differential Thermogravimetric Analyses
E_{\circ}	Electron beam energy
ESEM	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope
nA	nanoamps
nm	nanometre
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
Т	Torr
TG	Thermogravimetric Analyses
WOF	width of field
XRD	X-ray Diffraction

Abstract

The discolouration of artistic oil paintings due to pigment interaction has been a concern for artists and painting conservators since the early 1800s. Since then there has been considerable speculation on the origin and mode of this discolouration. This project sought to determine what discolouring interactions between pigments exist in historic oil paintings and to understand the mechanisms involved. The discolouring pigment system was studied using x-ray diffraction, thermal analysis, x-ray microanalysis techniques and hydration experiments using an Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope.

A discolouring chemical interaction between cadmium yellow (a cadmium sulfide pigment) and malachite (basic copper carbonate) was identified. The darkening reaction between copper containing pigments and the range of cadmium sulfide pigments was established to be the only discolouring that occurs between artistic pigments investigated in this work. This interaction occurs due to copper ions being mobile in the drying oils used for oil painting. The copper ions are taken up by the oil medium and transported throughout the oil layer to adjoining paint layers. Any cadmium sulfide present in the oil painting will undergo ion exchange at its surface with the copper ions in the medium to produce copper sulfide. The copper-cadmium ion exchange was found to continue until the cadmium sulfide is completely converted to copper sulfide. For the combination of cadmium yellow and malachite it was established that the discolouring copper sulfide was covellite, CuS.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge my gratitude for the guidance, knowledge and assistance of my principal supervisor Associate Professor Matthew Phillips and my great appreciation of the assistance and support of my co-supervisors Dr Paul Thomas and Dr Richard Wuhrer.

Special thanks are due to Katie McBean and Mark Berkahn for their invaluable help and support over the course of this project. Jean Paul Guerbois, Dr Norman Booth and Anthea Harris are acknowledged for their extensive technical assistance. I would like to express my gratitude to Paula Dredge from the Art Gallery of New South Wales for providing samples and images along with her ongoing assistance with all facets of painting conservation. Thanks also to the friendly and helpful staff at Parkers - Sydney Fine Art Supplies in the Rocks.

The friendship, support and assistance I received throughout from Kin Friolo, Katie McBean, Dr Brian Reedy, Dr Scott Morgan and Victor Lo has been greatly appreciated. Thank you to my proof readers, Dr Ross White, Dr John Miles and Lawson Goulter for their valuable input.

Finally, I'd like to recognise my family and thank them for their continued love and support.