## **Brain Activity in People with Spinal Cord Injury, with Applications to Brain-Computer Interfaces for Neuroprosthetic Control**

Presented By

#### **Peter R Boord B.E. (Hons)**

A thesis submitted for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

Department of Health Sciences

Faculty of Science

University of Technology, Sydney

**November 2005**

#### **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

> Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

> > Signature of Candidate

### **Acknowledgments**

I wish to thank my supervisors Prof. Ashley Craig and Prof. Hung Nguyen for their advice and encouragement throughout my candidature, and Assoc. Prof. Glen Davis for his help and cheerful advice. I am grateful to Dr James Middleton for allowing me to set up shop at Moorong Hospital, and to all the participants in this study who allowed me to read their brain-waves! I thank Dr Yvonne Tran for her helpful conversations over the years, and Dr Philip Siddall for his help and guidance in the study on neuropathic pain. I thank David Herbert for his help in acquiring some of the neuropathic pain data. I also thank Neopraxis and the Australian Research Council for their financial support of the project. Thanks go to Susan and Michael Texler for their help in proof-reading the thesis. Finally, I thank Ivy Wang for her ongoing support that allowed me to focus on and complete this project.

## **Publications**

#### Publications arising from this thesis

#### **Discrimination of Left and Right Hip Motor Imagery for Neuroprosthetic Brain-Computer Interfaces**

Boord P, Craig A, Tran Y, Nguyen H.

Submitted to *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 18 April 2005

**Brain-Computer Interface -** FES **Integration: Towards <sup>a</sup> hands-free neuroprosthesis command system**

Boord P, Barriskill A, Craig A, Nguyen H.

Published in *Neuromodulation*, 7(4):267-276 (2004)

#### **Levels of brain wave activity (8-13 Hz) in persons with spinal cord injury**

Tran Y, Boord P, Middleton J, Craig A.

Published in *Spinal Cord*42:73-79 (2003)

#### **Alpha band activity during eye-closure in people with spinal cord injury**

Boord, P., Tran, Y., Middleton, J., Craig, A., & Barriskill, *A.*

Published in *Proceedings ofthe 8 International Functional Electrical Stimulation Society Conference*, pp. 265-268 (2003)

#### **Developing a "hands-free" neuroprosthesis command system**

Boord P, Craig A, Barriskill A, Nguyen H.

Published in *Proceedings ofthe 8th International Functional Electrical Stimulation Society Conference,* pp.7~10 (2003)

#### Other related publications

#### **Altered brain wave activity in persons with chronic spinal cord injury**

Herbert D, Tran Y, Craig A, Boord P, Middleton J, Siddall P.

Submitted to *International Journal of Neuroscience* 2005

**The efficacy and benefits of environmental control systems for the severely disabled**

Craig A, Tran Y, Mclsaac P, Boord P.

Published in Medical Science Monitor 11(1):RA32-9 (2005)

**Using independent component analysis to remove artefact from EEG measured during stuttered speech**

Tran Y, Craig A, Boord P, Craig D.

Published in Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing 42(5):627-33 (2004)

**The Mind Switch Environmental Control System: Remote Hands Free Control for the Profoundly Disabled**

Mclsaac P, Craig A, Tran Y, Boord P.

Published in Technology and Disability 14:15-20 (2002)

#### Abstracts

**Evidence of reduced intracortical inhibition as a mechanism of neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury**

Boord PR, Siddall P, Tran Y, Herbert D, Middleton J, Craig A.

Published in *Proceedings ofthe International Associationfor the Study ofPain 11th World Congress on Pain* (2005)

### **Table of Contents**



# CHAPTER 1.













# Appendices........................

# References.......................

# **List of Figures**







Figure 2-44 EEG power spectral density curves for paraplegia (black) and tetraplegia (red) groups with neuropathic pain, in the eyes-closed and eyes-open state 100 Figure 2-45 EEG power spectral density curves for paraplegia (black) and tetraplegia (red) groups without neuropathic pain, in the eyes-closed and eyes-open state... 100 Figure 3-1 Motor homunculus showing the topographical representation of motor areas over the motor cortex... 115 Figure 3-2 Mental MMI trial format... 118 Figure 3-3 Left hip MMI video frame sequence, with the first frame in the upper left comer showing the visual cue of a left arrow (superimposed on a cross) for initiating left hip MMI.. 120 Figure 3-4 Right hip MMI video frame sequence, with the first frame in the upper left comer showing the visual cue of a right arrow (superimposed on a cross) for initiating right hip MMI... 121 Figure 3-5 Bilateral hands MMI video frame sequence, with the first frame in the upper left comer showing the visual cue of an upward arrow (superimposed on a cross) for initiating bilateral hand MMI... 122 Figure 3-6 EEG electrode channel locations used for the study of movement and MMI, with the top ofthe figure representing the front ofthe head.....................124 Figure 3-7 Laplacian weighting for an electrode and its neighbours........................... 127 Figure 3-8 Laplacian derivation sites, showing the sixteen electrode sites recalculated with Laplacian derivations, with the top of the figure representing the front ofthe head.. 128 Figure 3-9 Event related desynchronisation (ERD) processing steps............................130 Figure 3-10 Event related synchronisation (ERS) processing steps.............................. 131 Figure 3-11 ERD/ERS topograph... 133 Figure 3-12 Bilateral hand movement alpha-band topograph for blank (black) and video (red) display conditions... 138 Figure 3-13 Main effect of DISPLAY on peak alpha-ERD during hand movement.... 139 Figure 3-14 Peak alpha-ERD at individual electrode sites during bilateral hand movement for blank (blue) and video (red) display conditions.................. 140 Figure 3-15 Bilateral hand MMI alpha-band topograph for blank (black) and video (red) display conditions.. 142 Figure 3-16 Main effect of DISPLAY on peak alpha-ERD during hand MMI...........143



![](_page_15_Picture_647.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_725.jpeg)

for blank (blue) and video (red) display conditions in spinal cord injury.. 223

![](_page_17_Picture_669.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_741.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_767.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_21.jpeg)

## **List of Tables**

![](_page_21_Picture_694.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_390.jpeg)

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

### **Thesis abstract**

The aim of this thesis was to investigate brain activity changes in people with spinal cord injury (SCI), the possible basis for such changes, and the impact these changes are likely to have on signals used by brain-computer interfaces (BCI). BCI monitor brain activity and issue commands to operate electrical devices upon detection of specific changes in brain activity under a users control. BCI development is usually conducted with able-bodied people (for the sake of convenience), with the general assumption that the BCI developed will also be suitable for people with disabilities. This assumption may be flawed because the disability may be associated with significant changes in the brain activity signals used by BCI. Due to the paucity of BCI research in SCI, it is important to assess brain activity in people with SCI to determine if BCI are a suitable means of hands-free control in this population.

BCI could have significant potential for the operation of neuroprostheses in SCI. Neuroprostheses restore lost motor function to people with SCI through the patterned electrical stimulation of paralysed muscles, and have been used to restore hand grasp and release in people with tetraplegia, and standing and stepping in people with paraplegia. Restoring lost motor function brings with it the problem of how the restored function is to be operated by the user. SCI, by its very nature, reduces the capacity to voluntarily control the musculature, and therefore limits the ability of a user to issue commands to a neuroprosthesis. Chapter <sup>1</sup> critically reviewed the command interface requirements of neuroprostheses, and the ability of various BCI to meet those requirements. The review highlighted the potential of two BCI types potentially suited to neuroprosthetic control: 1) BCI based on the detection of eye-closure, and 2) BCI based on the detection of imagined movement of the lower extremities; referred to as eye-closure based BCI (EC-based BCI), and mental motor imagery based BCI (MMIbased BCI) respectively.

The studies in this thesis focused on brain activity in SCI during rest, movement and mental motor imagery (MMI). Chapter 2 examined brain activity in SCI and ablebodied groups during rest with the eyes-open (EO) and eyes-closed (EC). Studies were conducted to compare differences in brain activity with lesion level (paraplegia or tetraplegia), and with the presence/absence of neuropathic pain. Neuropathic pain is an

xxiv

intractable disease that occurs in about 50% of people with SCI, and whose aetiology is largely unknown. There is evidence to suggest, however, that central mechanisms are involved in the genesis of neuropathic pain, so a separate study was conducted to identify brain activity changes with SCI neuropathic pain and the potential effect of these changes on BCI performance. Brain activity was measured with the electroencephalogram (EEG), which uses sensors to record small signals on the scalp reflecting underlying neuronal activity in the brain. The EEG was spectrally analysed to obtain measures of signal power in the delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands. This allowed comparison of the results with the wide body of EEG literature, and helped to elucidate the changes in the brain associated with SCI. A notable finding in this thesis was of brain activity changes in people with tetraplegia that were similar to changes associated with neuronal atrophy, suggesting that people with tetraplegia may be more likely to suffer cognitive impairments and be at greater risk of developing dementia of the Alzheimer's type. Brain activity changes were also observed in people with SCI neuropathic pain, supporting and extending the view of how central processes may be involved in the genesis of this disease.

For sophisticated neuroprosthetic control, BCI need to be developed beyond the single-command capability of the EC-based BCI. To identify additional BCI signals, the study in Chapter 3 focused on brain activity in able-bodied people reactive to imagined movement of the lower extremities. BCI signals have previously been obtained by imagination of the feet, but it has not been possible to detect differences in the EEG between the left and right foot, due to the location of the cortical foot representation in the brain. To counter this difficulty the study in Chapter 3 investigated imagined movement of the left and right hip and found that they could be distinguished in the EEG. This finding gave support to the proposal that lower extremity motor imagery could be used for the operation of neuroprostheses in SCI.

A difficulty in detecting brain reactivity to imagined movement arises because the exact moment of movement imagination cannot be measured. This is in contrast to executed movement, where the precise moment of movement can be detected from sensors attached to the limb being moved. Brain activity reactive to imagined movement has been demonstrated as a potential BCI signal, but it has been suggested that it may not be detected among all people. The study in Chapter 3 employed a novel approach

xxv

for the detection of brain activity reactive to imagined movement, and demonstrated that BCI signals reactive to motor imagery are widely prevalent in able-bodied people.

After finding significant changes in brain activity during rest in people with SCI compared with able-bodied controls in Chapter 2, it was necessary in Chapter 4 to examine and confirm if left and right hip motor imagery could be distinguished in people with SCI. The study found that left and right hip motor imagery could be distinguished in people with paraplegia, though with a diminished capacity compared with able-bodied controls. The ability to distinguish between left and right hip motor imagery was further diminished in people with tetraplegia. The study, however, found little difference in brain activity reactive to imagined movement in the beta frequency band. These signals have been successfully used as a BCI signal in a single-case study of a person with tetraplegia, but with a lack ofreplication, it has remained unknown whether these signals can be detected widely in people with SCI. The findings in Chapter 4 show that beta frequency band signals reactive to motor imagery are widely prevalent among people with SCI, suggesting that these signals have potential for use in BCI for neuroprosthetic control.

## **Abbreviations**

![](_page_26_Picture_194.jpeg)

**XXVll**

![](_page_27_Picture_50.jpeg)

 $\overline{1}$