

By

Thelma-Jean Whelan



This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

2005

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I herby certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree. I also certify that this thesis has been written by me and that to the best of my knowledge it contains no previously published material. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of this thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all sources of information and literature used are indicated in this thesis.

Signature of candidate

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Thelma-Jean Whelan

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Mick Wilson for his supervision, encouragement and support over the past three years. I would not have gotten to this point without his help. I will always be grateful for the opportunities you have given me and consider it a privilege to have worked with you.

Dr Kamali Kannangara, thank you for all your help, support and friendship. It has been a privilege working with you. To the past and present members of the research group, thank you for your friendship and encouragement.

Dr Andrew Shalliker and his research group at UWS, thank you for your friendship, support and encouragement. It has been great working with you all. Your advice and guidance over the past year and a half have been very much appreciated.

I would also like to acknowledge my supervisor Brian Reedy for his contributions to this project and to my colleagues at UTS, thanks for all your support and encouragement over the years.

To my friends, thank you for your support, encouragement and for listening to me. Your friendship is invaluable!

Most importantly I would like to thank my husband Lindsay and my Dad, Mum, Anna, Andrew and my two nieces for their love, support, encouragement and for believing in me. They have been with me throughout the three years and I could not have completed this without them and their prayers. I am very blessed to have all of you in my life. Thank you particularly to Lindsay, who over the last months of my thesis was there with me, supporting me loving me and not letting me quit. Thank you for your patience and for believing in me. I love you!

Finally, to my Lord and saviour, the creator of everything, thank you for giving me this opportunity. All that I am and all that I do is because of you and the Grace that you have shown me.

Do you not know? Have you not heard?

The Lord in the everlasting God, the Creator of the ends of the earth. He will not grow tired or weary and his understanding no one can fathom. He gives strength to the weary and increases the power of the weak. Even youths grow tired and weary and young men stumble and fall; but those who hope in the Lord will renew their strength. They will soar on wings like eagles; they will run and not grow weary, they will walk and not be faint.

Isaiah 40:28-31

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURESVI		
LIST OF TABLES X		
ABSTRACTXI		
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION1		
1.1. Alumina Industry2		
1.1.1. The Australian alumina industry		
1.1.2. Bauxite		
1.1.3. Bayer Process		
1.1.4. Crystallisation		
1.1.4.1. Nucleation		
1.1.4.2. Crystal Growth		
1.2. Humic Substances		
1.2.1. Soil and aquatic humic substances10		
1.2.2. Bayer humic substances		
1.2.3. Host guest theory		
1.2.4. Organic Fractionation15		
1.2.4.1. Organics in red mud15		
1.2.4.2. Other insoluble Organics16		
1.2.5. Organics in solution		
1.2.5.1. Process differences due to temperature		
1.2.5.2. Small molecular weight molecules		
1.2.5.3. Intermediate molecular weight molecules		
1.2.5.4. Large molecular weight molecules		
1.2.5.5. Host guests in Bayer liquor extracts		
1.3. INSTRUMENTAL TECHNIQUES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF HUMIC SUBSTANCES		
1.3.1. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy analysis of humic substances 28		

1.3.2. Pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of humic substances	30
1.3.3. Infrared spectroscopy analysis of humic substances	32
1.4. Liquid Chromatography	34
1.4.1. Definition of chromatography	34
1.4.2. Parameters of HPLC	35
1.4.2.1. Retention Factor	36
1.4.2.2. Selectivity	36
1.4.2.3. Efficiency	37
1.4.2.4. Resolution	37
1.4.3. Liquid chromatographic modes of separation	38
1.4.3.1. Normal phase	3 8
1.4.3.2. Reversed phase	39
1.4.3.3. Ion-exchange	39
1.4.3.4. Size-exclusion	40
1.4.4. Multidimensional Chromatography	40
1.4.4.1. Limitations of one-dimensional HPLC separations	40
1.4.4.2. Multidimensional HPLC separations	42
1.4.5. Liquid chromatographic analysis of Bayer humic substances	45
1.5. This work	47
CHAPTER 2: EXPERIMENTAL	48
2.1. BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES	49
2.1.1. Extraction of humic substances from the Bayer liquor	49
2.1.2. Solvent extraction of Bayer humic substances	51
2.2. CHARACTERISATION OF BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES	52
2.2.1. Elemental Analysis	52
2.2.2. pH Analysis	53
2.2.3. Ash analysis	53
2.2.4. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy	54
2.2.5. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy	54
2.2.5.1. Solution state ¹ H NMR	54
2.2.5.2. Solution state ¹ H- ¹ H NMR	55

2.2.5.3. Solid state ¹³ C NMR	5
2.2.6. Gas chromatography/ mass spectrometry analysis	5
2.3. ONE-DIMENSIONAL HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS. 57	7
2.3.1. Chemicals	3
2.3.2. Instrumentation	3
2.3.3. Sample preparation and chromatographic separation conditions	8
2.4. Reversed phase column study for the separation of humic standards 59	9
2.4.1. Chemicals	9
2.4.2. Instrumentation	2
2.4.3. Sample preparation and chromatographic separation conditions	2
2.5. Two-dimensional HPLC separation of Bayer humic substances	3
2.5.1. Chemicals	3
2.5.2. Instrumentation	3
2.5.3. Sample preparation and chromatographic separation conditions	4
2.5.4. Liquid chromatography/ mass spectrometry analysis of two-dimensional HPLC fractions	5
CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISATION OF THE BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES	7
3.1. INTRODUCTION	8
3.2. Elemental Composition	8
3.3. ANALYSIS OF BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES BY NMR	0
3.3.1. Solution state ¹ H NMR	0
3.3.2. Solution state ¹ H- ¹ H NMR	2
3.3.3. Solid state ¹³ C NMR	6
3.4. ANALYSIS OF BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES BY FTIR	9
3.5. CONCLUSIONS	1
CHAPTER 4: DEVELOPMENT OF A REVERSED PHASE HIGH- PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHIC METHOD FOR	

4.2. Reversed phase HPLC separation
4.3. Ion-suppression HPLC separation
4.4. Ion-pair HPLC separation
4.5. Solvent extraction of the Bayer humic substances
4.6. Conclusions
CHAPTER 5: STUDY OF THE SELECTIVITY OF REVERSED PHASE COLUMNS FOR THE SEPARATION OF SMALL COMPOUNDS AS HUMIC MIMICS
5.1. INTRODUCTION
5.2. Comparison of retention Behaviour
5.2.1. Information theory
5.2.2. Factor analysis125
5.2.3. Reversed phase column comparison using information theory and factor analysis
5.3. Comparison of Band shape
5.4. Comparison of elution order
5.5. Reversed phase column selection
5.6. Conclusions
CHAPTER 6: UNRAVELLING THE COMPLEXITY OF BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES USING MULTIDIMENSIONAL HPLC 164
6.1. INTRODUCTION
6.2. DEVELOPMENT OF A TWO-DIMENSIONAL HPLC SEPARATION FOR BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES
6.3. MASS SPECTROMETRY ANALYSIS OF SECOND DIMENSIONAL BANDS
6.4. Separations and Humic substances behaviour
6.5. Conclusions
CHAPTER 7: OVERVIEW

7.1. DIFFICULTIES WITH ONE-DIMENSIONAL HPLC SEPARATIONS OF BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES
7.2. Reversed phase columns for separations of Bayer humic substances 197
7.3. TWO-DIMENSIONAL HPLC SEPARATIONS OF BAYER HUMIC SUBSTANCES
7.4. CONCLUSIONS
REFERENCES
APPENDIX A 226
APPENDIX B
APPENDIX C 228

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1	: Diagram illustrating the extraction and isolation of the Bayer humic substances
FIGURE 2.2	: Structures of the polycarboxylic acids and polyphenol compounds used in reversed phase column study
FIGURE 2.2	(CONTINUED): Structures of the polycarboxylic acids and polyphenol compounds used in reversed phase column study
FIGURE 3.1	: Solution ¹ H NMR spectrum of the Bayer humic substances. Resonances A- N are assigned in the text
FIGURE 3.2	: ¹ H- ¹ H Homonuclear 2-D-correlation (COSY) spectrum of the aliphatic region of the Bayer humic substances. Assignments are described in the text
FIGURE 3.3	¹ H- ¹ H Homonuclear 2-D-correlation (COSY) spectrum of the aromatic region of the Bayer humic substances. Assignments are described in the text
FIGURE 3.4	: Cross polarisation (contact time of 1 ms) ¹³ C solid-state NMR spectrum of the Bayer humic substances. Structural groups are assigned
FIGURE 3.5	: Fourier transform Infra-red (FTIR) spectrum of the Bayer humic substances
FIGURE 4.1	: HPLC separation of the Bayer humic sample using reversed phase chromatography with a linear water/acetonitrile gradient at a rate of change of 1% min ⁻¹ . AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 4.2	: HPLC separation of the Bayer humic sample using ion-suppression chromatography with a linear 1% formic acid/acetonitrile gradient at a rate of change of 1% min ⁻¹ . AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 4.3	: HPLC separation of the Bayer humic sample using ion-pair chromatography with linear PIC A/acetonitrile gradient at a rate of change of 1% min ⁻¹ . AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 4.4	: HPLC gradient separation of the Bayer humic sample using ion-pair chromatography with linear PIC A/acetonitrile gradient at a rate of change of 0.17% min ⁻¹ . AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 4.5	: Development of a stepwise gradient for the separation of the Bayer humic sample. Isocratic PIC A [5 mM] for 30 min followed by a linear gradient from 100 % PIC A [5 mM] to 20% acetonitrile at 0.10% min ⁻¹ , then the gradient was held at 80% PIC A [5 mM] and 20% acetonitrile for 60 min,

1	the gradient was continued running from 80% PIC A [5 mM] and 20% acetonitrile to 60% PIC A [5 mM] and 40% acetonitrile at 0.083% min ⁻¹ . The gradient was then held for 120 minutes. AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 4.6:	Coptimum HPLC separation of the Bayer humic sample. Isocratic PIC A [5 mM]/acetonitrile for 10min followed by a linear gradient from 100% PIC A[5 mM] to 18% acetonitrile at 0.056% min ⁻¹ , then 82% PIC A [5 mM] and 18% acetonitrile to 57% PIC A [5 mM] and 43% acetonitrile at 0.083% min ⁻¹ , then 50% PIC A [5 mM] and 50% acetonitrile for 5min. AU=arbitrary units. * =solvent change artefact
FIGURE 4.7:	FTIR spectra of the solvent fractions: (a) Bayer humic sample, (b) diethyl ether fraction, (c) ethyl acetate fraction, (d) isopropyl alcohol fraction and (e) water fraction
FIGURE 4.8:	Solution ¹ H NMR spectra of the solvent fractions: (a) Bayer humic sample, (b) diethyl ether fraction, (c) ethyl acetate fraction, (d) isopropyl alcohol fraction and (e) water fraction
FIGURE 4.9:	Solution ¹ H NMR spectra of the solvent fractions – aliphatic region: (a) Bayer humic sample, (b) diethyl ether fraction, (c) ethyl acetate fraction, (d) isopropyl alcohol fraction and (e) water fraction
FIGURE 4.1(0: Solution ¹ H NMR spectra of the solvent fractions – aromatic region: (a) Bayer humic sample, (b) diethyl ether fraction, (c) ethyl acetate fraction, (d) isopropyl alcohol fraction and (e) water fraction
FIGURE 4.11	1: GC/MS of methylated solvent fractions: (a) Bayer humic sample, (b) diethyl ether fraction, (c) ethyl acetate fraction, (d) isopropyl alcohol fraction and (e) water fraction. See Table 4.2 for chemical assignments. 106
FIGURE 4.12	2: HPLC of fractions. (a) Blank, (b) Bayer humic sample, (c) diethyl ether fraction, (d) ethyl acetate fraction, (e) isopropyl alcohol fraction and (f) water fraction. *=solvent change artefact
FIGURE 4.13	3: Diagrammatic representation of the proposed "hidden host guest model" (a) and "micellar host guest model" (b)
FIGURE 5.1:	Geometric plot visually representing the practical or effective peak capacity between the two chromatographic columns under comparison 131
FIGURE 5.2:	Structures of the polycarboxylic acids and polyphenol compounds used in this study <i>(repeat of Figure 2.2)</i>
FIGURE 5.2	(CONTINUED): Structures of the polycarboxylic acids and polyphenol compounds used in this study (<i>repeat of Figure 2.2</i>)
FIGURE 5.3	Normalised plot of the Luna C18 column versus the Luna Cyano column, number according to order of elution on the Luna C18

FIGURE 5.4: Geo colur	metric plot showing the practical peak capacity for the Luna C18 nn versus the Luna Cyano column
FIGURE 5.5: Nor colur	malised plot of the Luna C18 column versus Waters XTerra [™] RP ₁₈ nn, numbered according to elution order on the Luna C18
FIGURE 5.6: Geo	metric plot showing the practical peak capacity for the Luna C18
colur	nn versus the Waters XTerra™ RP ₁₈ column
FIGURE 5.7: Nor	malised plot of the Luna C18 column versus Aqua C18 column,
numb	bered according to elution order of the Luna C18
FIGURE 5.8: Geo	metric plot showing the practical peak capacity for the Luna C18
colur	nn versus the Aqua C18 column
FIGURE 5.9: Nor numb	malised plot of the Luna C18 column versus Synergi polar-RP column, pered according to the elution order of the Luna C18
FIGURE 5.10: Ge	cometric plot showing the practical peak capacity for the Luna C18
colur	nn versus the Synergi polar-RP column
FIGURE 5.11: HI	PLC chromatograms for the separation of phthalic acid on (a) Luna
C18,	(b) Luna cyano, (c) Xterra [™] RP ₁₈ , (d) Aqua C18 and (e) Synergi
polar	-RP
FIGURE 5.12: HE acid (e) S	PLC chromatograms for the separation of 1,2,4-benzenetricarboxylic on (a) Luna C18, (b) Luna cyano, (c) Xterra [™] RP ₁₈ , (d) Aqua C18 and ynergi polar-RP
FIGURE 6.1: Sch	ematic diagram of two-dimensional HPLC column switching system;
(a) S	ystem configuration for the separation of the Bayer humic sample on
BioS	ep-S2000 SEC; (b) system configuration for the "heart-cutting" of the
elutio	on band in the first dimension and; (c) flushing of the sample loop onto
the S	ynergi polar-RP column
FIGURE 6.2: Sept colur	aration of the Bayer humic sample on the BioSep-S2000 size-exclusion nn in the first dimension. AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 6.3: Bay (b) an polar	rer humic fractions cut from the first dimension at 3.80min (a), 5.88min ad 7.08min (c) and separated in the second dimension on the Synergi -RP column. AU=arbitrary units
FIGURE 6.4: Thr	ee-dimensional surface representation of the three fractions cut from
the fi	rst dimension at 3.80min, 5.88min and 7.08min that were subsequently
separ	ated in the second dimension
FIGURE 6.5: HPI	LC chromatogram of the fraction cut at 6.92 minutes in the first
dime	nsion that was subsequently separated in the second dimension. Bands
at 15	.25 (1), 17.30 (2) and 20.20 (3) minutes were collected for further
analy	rsis by mass spectrometry. AU=arbitrary units

FIGURE 6.6: Negative ion ESI mass spectrum of the band collected at 15.25 minutes from the second dimension fraction cut at 6.92 minutes
FIGURE 6.7: CID product ion spectra of band 1 collected at 15.25 minutes
FIGURE 6.8: Negative ion ESI mass spectrum of the band collected at 17.30 minutes collected from the second dimension fraction cut at 6.92 minutes
FIGURE 6.9: CID product ion spectra of band 2 collected at 17.30 minutes
FIGURE 6.10: Negative ion ESI mass spectra of the band at 20.20 minutes collected from the second dimension fraction cut at 6.92 minutes
FIGURE 6.11: CID product ion spectra of band 3 collected at 20.20 minutes
FIGURE 6.12: Contour plot of the fractions cut from the first dimension at 3.32, 3.64, 3.80, 4.20, 4.68, 5.08, 5.48, 5.88, 6.28, 6.68, 7.08, 7.48 and 7.88 minutes that were subsequently separated in the second dimension
FIGURE 6.13: Three-dimensional surface representation of the fractions cut from the first dimension at 3.32, 3.64, 3.80, 4.20, 4.68, 5.08, 5.48, 5.88, 6.28, 6.68, 7.08, 7.48 and 7.88 minutes that were subsequently separated in the second dimension
FIGURE 6.14: Chromatograms of consecutive fractions cut form the first dimension at 6.34 (a), 6.92 (b), 7.00 (c), 7.08 (d) and 7.16 (e) minutes and separated in the second dimension
FIGURE 7.1: Optimum HPLC separation of the Bayer humic sample. Isocratic PIC A [5mM]/acetonitrile for 10min followed by a linear gradient from 100% PIC A[5mM] to 18% acetonitrile at 0.056% min ⁻¹ , then 82% PIC A [5mM] and 18% acetonitrile to 57% PIC A [5mM] and 43% acetonitrile at 0.083% min ⁻¹ , then 50% PIC A [5mM] and 50% acetonitrile for 5min. AU=arbitrary units. * =solvent change artefact.
FIGURE 7.2: Three-dimensional surface representation of the fractions cut from the first dimension at 3.32, 3.64, 3.80, 4.20, 4.68, 5.08, 5.48, 5.88, 6.28, 6.68, 7.08, 7.48 and 7.88 minutes that were subsequently separated in the second dimension

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.1:	¹³ C CP/MAS NMR analysis of the insoluble organic matter in deposits from a refinery operating at 250-255°C
TABLE 1.2:	¹³ C CP/MAS NMR analysis of molecular weight fractions of soluble organic matter from a refinery operating at 250-255°C
TABLE 1.3:	Yields, pH and elemental analysis of the Bayer humic substances fractions (dry ash free basis) from a refinery operating at 250-255°C
TABLE 1.4:	Comparison of py-GC/MS data at 450°C between low molecular weight (<1.2 kDa) fraction from a low temperature and high temperature Bayer liquor. Selective relative abundance (%) to phenol
TABLE 3.1:	Elemental and pH analysis of the Bayer humic substances
TABLE 3.2:	Estimates of the proportions of different carbon types in the Bayer humic substances as measured by Solid-State ¹³ C NMR spectroscopy
TABLE 4.1:	Solvents used for the continuous solvent extraction of the Bayer humic substances and the % yields
TABLE 4.2:	GC/MS spectra chemical assignments for Bayer humic substances and solvent fractions
TABLE 4.3:	Percentage composition of different carbon types in the Bayer humic substances as measured by solid-state ¹³ C NMR spectroscopy
TABLE 5.1:	List of bonded stationary phase supports used in this study 133
TABLE 5.2:	System attributes used to determine the measure of orthogonality for the four chromatographic columns compared with the Luna C18 column 138
TABLE 5.3:	Summary of the peak width at half height values for each of the chromatographic columns studied
TABLE 5.4:	Summary of the USP tailing factors for each of the chromatographic columns studied
TABLE 5.5:	Elution order comparison of the Luna C18 column with the four chromatographic columns chosen for this study

ABSTRACT

Soluble organic species called humic substances are important in the Bayer process due to their adverse effect on the industrial scale production of alumina from bauxite. During the Bayer process the bauxite is subjected to a high temperature caustic digestion using sodium hydroxide. Most of the organic matter associated with the bauxite (up to 0.3%) ends up in the liquor. The soluble organic species can accumulate in the process liquor as the caustic solution is recycled for the digestion of fresh bauxite after the precipitation of the aluminium hydroxide trihydrate. In this work the humic substances were extracted from the Bayer process liquor obtained from a refinery plant operation at Kwinana Alcoa, Western Australia. The whole fraction as well as sub fractions obtained from a continuous solvent extraction were characterised by elemental and ash analysis, infrared spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. High-performance liquid chromatography was used to further investigate the composition and structure of Bayer humic substances.

In this study a one-dimensional HPLC separation was developed for Bayer humic substances that achieved a level of separation previously unreported in the literature. The one-dimensional HPLC method separated the Bayer humic substances into compound classes. The analysis of solvent fractions allowed further assignment of the separation. Small molecules and three discrete clusters of macromolecules were observed that are believed to represent micellar like aggregates of different amounts of polar groups as supported by the results of the NMR, FTIR and GC/MS analyses. Within these clusters there was some degree of further resolution. Certain stable

configurations of molecular weights that are controlled by polarity through intramolecular binding were observed which provided strong evidence for a supramolecular structure to humic material rather than the existence of random conformational material.

To further enhance the one-dimensional separation, model compounds were studied to find the most appropriate reversed phase column for the separation of the type of compounds found in humic substances. Five new generation columns were studied with the Phenomenex Synergi polar-RP column found to offer the best performance in terms of separation. This column was later used in the development of the twodimensional HPLC separation.

Finally, a two-dimensional reversed phase HPLC separation was successfully developed for the separation of Bayer humic substances using novel methodology developed in our laboratories, which successfully resolved uniform band profiles that showed promise of being essentially pure individual components. With the aid of mass spectrometric analysis of three second dimensional bands, the results of the separation strongly supported a host guest model for these compounds. It was concluded that small molecules are held in some way in some supramolecular structure by larger molecules (host guest complexes). The results suggested that the lower molecular weight material is capable of holding small guests more than larger molecular weight material making the supposition that the micellar host guest model is more probable than a model where hosts hide within the guests.