

**FUSED VISUALIZATION OF COMPLEX INFORMATION
SPACES**

By
Wu Quan

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Technology, Sydney

July 2006

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

To My Wife, Yu Liu

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Mao Lin Huang, for providing me with academic guidance, inspiration and motivation throughout the course of this research work. Without his care, supervision and friendship, I would not be able to complete my work. Meanwhile, I am impressed by his enthusiasm, persistence and diligence in academic research, which will benefit my future career and life.

My thanks also go to A/Prof. Tom Hintz and Prof. Chengqi Zhang, for helping me get the opportunity to pursue doctorate at the Faculty of Information Technology at the University of Technology, Sydney.

I would also like to appreciate Dr. Quang Vinh Nguyen, Dr. Wu Qiang, A/Prof. Xiangjian He and all the other members of Computer Vision Research Group for their generous helps and suggestions in my research work.

I would like to express my thanks to the Faculty of Information Technology for offering me a Research Scholarship throughout my doctoral program, and for providing me with the financial support for attending several conferences.

Last but not least, I am indebted to my wife Yu Liu who accompanies me and takes care of my life during my study. Without her care, patience and continuous encouragement, I would not be able to finish my study. Great thanks are also due to my parents, parents-in-law, my elder sister Wen Quan, brother-in-law Yuanqing Liu and my cousin Lan Quan, Xiaohui Zhu, Zhiqiang Zhu for their forever affection and constant encouragement.

Contents

Acknowledgements.....	iii
Contents	iv
List of Figures.....	viii
Terminology.....	xii
Abstract.....	xiv
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Information Visualization.....	1
1.1.1 Analytical Information Visualization	3
1.1.2 The Past, Present and Future of Information Visualization.....	5
1.2 Background	6
1.2.1 Information Visualization Models.....	7
1.2.1.1 Abstract Graph Metaphor.....	7
1.2.1.2 Spatial (Geographic) Metaphor.....	8
1.2.1.3 Botanic Tree Metaphor	11
1.2.1.4 DriftWeed Metaphor	11
1.2.1.5 Others	13
1.2.2 Geometric Layout Algorithms.....	13
1.2.2.1 Radial Layout Algorithm	13
1.2.2.2 Force-Directed Layout Algorithm	15
1.2.2.3 HV-Tree Layout Algorithm	19
1.2.2.4 Others	20
1.2.3 Visual Viewing (Navigation) Methods.....	20
1.2.3.1 1D Visual Viewing Methods.....	21
1.2.3.2 2D Visual Viewing Methods.....	23
1.2.3.3 3D Visual Viewing Methods.....	25
1.2.3.4 Multi-D Visual Viewing Methods	26

1.2.4	Problems of the Current Solutions.....	27
1.2.4.1	The Cross Metaphors Navigation Problem.....	27
1.2.4.2	The Convergence Problem in Computational Geometry.....	29
1.2.4.3	The Space Utilization Problem in View Navigation.....	30
1.3	Challenges and Research Objectives.....	32
1.4	Contributions of the Thesis.....	33
1.5	Outline of the Thesis.....	35
Chapter 2	Fused Visualization.....	36
2.1	Fused Visualization Concept.....	36
2.2	Applications of Fused Visualization Concept.....	38
Chapter 3	A Fused Visual Metaphor (<i>Marching-Graph</i>).....	40
3.1	Fusion of Two Visual Metaphors.....	40
3.2	The Framework of Marching-Graph.....	43
3.3	Technical Detail.....	46
3.3.1	Spatial Frame Generation.....	47
3.3.2	Graph Design.....	48
3.4	Navigation within (and Cross) Visual Metaphors.....	51
3.4.1	Navigation with Dual-Focuses.....	51
3.4.2	Marching on the Map.....	53
3.4.3	Intra-Graph Navigation.....	53
3.4.4	Inter-Graph Navigation.....	55
3.5	Summary.....	55
Chapter 4	A Fused Layout Algorithm (<i>Fast Convergence Layout Algorithm</i>).....	56
4.1	Fusion of Two Layout Algorithms.....	56
4.2	Technical Detail.....	58
4.2.1	Data Abstraction.....	60
4.2.2	Imposing a Tree Structure.....	60
4.2.3	Radial Layout of Tree T'	63
4.2.4	The Radial Drawing of Complete G	64
4.2.5	Switching to Force-Directed Drawing.....	64

4.3	An Experimental Evaluation	66
4.3.1	Time Consumption	69
4.3.2	Average Vertex Position Variance	71
4.3.3	Average Vertex Travel Length	73
4.3.4	Predictability	75
4.4	Summary	77
Chapter 5 A Fused Viewing Technique (21DF Browser)		78
5.1	Fusion of Two Viewing Techniques	78
5.2	The Framework of 2+1D Visualization and Navigation	81
5.3	Technical Detail	82
5.3.1	The Linear Distortion Function	88
5.3.2	The Input Parameters	89
5.3.3	The Menu Calculation	90
5.3.4	Locality Information with Item Count	92
5.4	Summary	93
Chapter 6 Case Study 1: Visualization of Outbreak Management System....		95
6.1	Overview	95
6.2	Outbreak Management Systems	97
6.3	The Implementation	99
6.4	Experience	101
6.5	Summary	101
Chapter 7 Case Study 2: Navigate Book Catalogs Using 21DF Browser		107
7.1	Navigation of Online Product Catalogs.....	107
7.2	The Framework of 2+1D Visual Bookstore	111
7.3	The Implementation of Online Bookstore.....	114
7.4	21DF Browser for Navigating Online Book Catalogs	115
7.5	Summary	124
Chapter 8 Conclusions and Future Work.....		125
8.1	Conclusions	125

8.1.1	A Fusion of Two Visual Metaphors	125
8.1.2	A Fusion of Two Layout Algorithms	126
8.1.3	A Fusion of Two Visual Viewing Techniques	127
8.2	Future Work	127
8.2.1	Usability Study Scheme – Marching-Graph.....	128
8.2.1.1	The Systems to be Compared.....	128
8.2.1.2	Evaluating Criteria	128
8.2.1.3	Experimental Set-Up.....	128
8.2.1.4	Participants.....	129
8.2.1.5	Tasks	129
8.2.1.6	Procedure	129
8.2.1.7	Questionnaires.....	130
8.2.1.8	Measurement.....	130
8.2.1.9	Result	130
8.2.2	Usability Study Scheme – 21DF Browser.....	130
8.2.2.1	The Displays to be Compared.....	130
8.2.2.2	Evaluating Criteria	130
8.2.2.3	Experimental Set-Up.....	131
8.2.2.4	Participants.....	131
8.2.2.5	Tasks	131
8.2.2.6	Procedure	132
8.2.2.7	Questionnaires.....	132
8.2.2.8	Measurement.....	132
8.2.2.9	Result	132
	Bibliography	133

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 An example of good information visualization application.	2
Figure 1.2 The pipeline of analytical information visualization.	3
Figure 1.3 Two examples of graph layouts.	8
Figure 1.4 An arcs map showing the worldwide Internet traffic.	9
Figure 1.5 An example of using spatial metaphor to show the crime data.	10
Figure 1.6 An example of the visualization using botanical tree metaphor.	11
Figure 1.7 An example of the visualization using DriftWeed metaphor.	12
Figure 1.8 An example of a free tree.	13
Figure 1.9 Examples of radial drawing.	14
Figure 1.10 A graph drawing through a number of iterations of the spring algorithm.	16
Figure 1.11 Viewing a cluster of particles from a distant object.	17
Figure 1.12 The generation of a quad-tree.	18
Figure 1.13 An example of HV-tree layout of a binary tree.	20
Figure 1.14 An example of the fisheye menu to show a long linear list.	22
Figure 1.15 An example of 2D Hyperbolic Tree Browser.	24
Figure 1.16 An example of 3D Cone Tree visualization.	25
Figure 1.17 An example of Multi-D visual viewing.	27
Figure 1.18 An example of using Color-coding technique.	28
Figure 1.19 The inefficient utilization of display space in Hyperbolic Tree Browser.	31
Figure 2.1 The hierarchical structure of fused visualization.	39

Figure 3.1 A visualization that separates the spatial visual metaphor and other analytical visualizations into different windows.....	42
Figure 3.2 The visualization framework of spatially referenced data.....	44
Figure 3.3 A display of Marching Graph with the focus on “Montana” state of USA.....	47
Figure 3.4 The pipeline of generating Thematic Map.	48
Figure 3.5 A graph layout produced by Force-Directed Layout Algorithm in Marching Graph.	49
Figure 3.6 A display of Marching Graph with state “West Virginia” focused.....	52
Figure 3.7 An example of the change of focus frames.	54
Figure 4.1 Four runs of the initial layouts produced by the same force-directed algorithm on the same graph.....	57
Figure 4.2 Pipeline of fast convergence layout algorithm.	59
Figure 4.3 An example of the radial drawing of graph G rooted at vertex “A”.	62
Figure 4.4 The final force-directed layout of the same graph after transformed from its original radial drawing shown in Figure 4.3.	66
Figure 4.5 The final force-directed layouts of four data sets consisting of 24, 65, 129 and 160 vertices generated by our algorithm.....	68
Figure 4.6 The picture (a) defines the VPV. The picture (b) defines the VTL	72
Figure 4.7 A summary of the experimental results of four data sets.	74
Figure 4.8 Ten runs of AVTL using three methods for each of the four data sets.....	76
Figure 5.1 Navigation is achieved by the combination of 1D and 2D viewing techniques.....	81
Figure 5.2 A Framework of 2+1D Visualization Pipeline.....	82

Figure 5.3 An initial display of a product catalog using 21DF Browser with all children placed on the right side of the root.....	84
Figure 5.4 Three red vertices ‘Beer’, ‘Mineral Water’ and ‘Vegetables’	85
Figure 5.5 Drag the vertex ‘Vegetables’ to an appropriate viewing position for displaying a fisheye menu.	86
Figure 5.6 A popup fisheye menu that displays all items of the ‘Vegetables’ sub-category in a distortional list.	87
Figure 5.7 The fisheye menu.....	89
Figure 6.1 TeCoMed screenshot.	96
Figure 6.2 Chain of disease transmissions among people and animals at hotels (or hospitals) in Nevada.	103
Figure 6.3 Chain of disease transmissions presented by iconic visualization.	104
Figure 6.4 When flight “F01” is focused, all the other regions by which “F01” has passed are highlighted as well.	105
Figure 6.5 Chain of disease transmissions among people and animals at hotels (or hospitals) in New York. Flight “F01” is involved in this chain.	106
Figure 7.1 Grocery express – A traditional online product catalog for online e-commerce	108
Figure 7.2 A Product Catalog Produced by Hyperbolic Tree Browser.	110
Figure 7.3 Amazon.com, Online Shopping for Books.....	111
Figure 7.4 The Framework of 2+1D Visual Bookstore.	112
Figure 7.5 The layout of the online bookstore window.	114
Figure 7.6 An initial display of book catalog using 21DF Browser with all children placed on the right side of the root.....	118
Figure 7.7 Display more vertices of lower level.....	119
Figure 7.8 Two red vertices ‘Authors’ and ‘Noble’	120

Figure 7.9 Drag the vertex ‘Authors’ to an appropriate viewing position for displaying a fisheye menu..... 121

Figure 7.10 A popup fisheye menu that displays all items of the ‘Nobel’ sub-category in a distortional list..... 122

Figure 7.11 Using the *Alphabetic Index* and *Item Count* to help people target the portion that contains the item for which they are looking in fisheye menu. 123

Terminology

Several terms are used throughout this thesis. They are described below:

Analytical Information Visualization: is a visualization that seeks ways to support the understanding of analyzed information through the use of appropriate visual metaphors, and corresponding geometric layouts and navigation methods.

Visual Metaphor: is a visual representation of a data set by means of visual attributes corresponding to a different system that behaves in a similar way and is well-known to users.

A Graph $G(V, E)$: is defined as a pair (V, E) consisting of a finite set V of vertices and a finite set E of edges, where $E = \{(u, v) \mid u, v \in V\}$.

A Visualization Method V_m : could be a modeling scheme, a layout algorithm, or a viewing technique etc.

A set of Properties $P(V_m)$: is a set of technical features of V_m . These technical features could be high interactive speed, high efficiency of space utilization, low computational complexity, different physical references and so on.

A Focus Frame $F_i(G, Q_i)$: consists of a connected sub-graph $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ of G and a queue Q_i of ‘focus’ vertices. Successive focus frames differ only in a few vertices. This sequence of focus frames is the sequence of sub-graphs of G viewed by the user.

A Spatial Frame S_f : is an interactive thematic map that consists of a set of spatial regions. Thus, we have $S_f = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$. There is an independent graph G_i can be derived from a corresponding spatial region R_i .

A Spatial Region R : is a district in the spatial frame (such as a state of a country on the map). We define each spatial region as $R_i = (name_i, x_i, y_i, w_i, h_i, polygon_i, S_f^{R_i})$, in which the $name_i$ is the identifier of the region, x_i and y_i are coordinators of the top-left point of the rectangle that just bounds the polygonal boundary of R_i , w_i and h_i is the width and height of the rectangle respectively, $polygon_i$ contains a series of the boundary points of the region, $S_f^{R_i}$ is a lower level nested spatial frame that contains the sub-regions information of R_i .

A Circular Region $C(G_i)$: is a display space that contains the drawing of a graph G_i . The geometric position of a $C(G_i)$ in the visualization depends on the position of R_i from which the graph G_i is derived.

A Historic Trail H_i : consists of a sequence of small circles displayed above the spatial frame, which represents the recently exploring history of graphs.

A Drawing $D(G_i)$: is a geometric drawing of graph G_i . It consists of a position for each vertex $v \in V_i$ and a route for each edge $e \in E_i$.

Time Consumption TC : is the running time spent in drawing a graph from its initial state to the end state.

Vertex Position Variance VPV : indicates the position change of a vertex from its start position to its end position in the drawing.

Vertex Travel Length VTL : is the length of the entire path that a vertex travels from its start position to its end position of the layout, which may consists of a series of turning points $P_s, P_2, \dots, P_{j-1}, P_j, \dots, P_e$.

Abstract

With the rapid growth of information analysis and data mining technologies, the massive data sets available for access have been merged and refined to manifold information, including raw data and all kinds of analytical results. Since data sets become increasingly complex, the current visual analytical techniques no longer satisfy the needs of exploring and analyzing data.

This situation raises the challenges in the current state of information visualization:

1) Due to the complexity of information, sometimes it is unlikely to use a single visual metaphor to model the intricate information well in a single visualization.

2) Each existing visualization method has its own limitations in terms of satisfying domain specific requirements, when dealing with complex data sets.

The proposed fused visualization methodology attempts to address the above issues by combining multiple existing visualization techniques in a single visualization. It takes the advantages and reduces the weaknesses of the existing methods. We have successfully applied this methodology to each stage of the proposed *Analytical Information Visualization*.

In particular, three fused visualization techniques are developed to improve the quality of existing techniques. First, a fused visual metaphor that combines two visual metaphors in a single visualization allows users to navigate spatially referenced information across two different metaphors. Second, a fused layout algorithm that combines two graph drawing methods achieves the fast convergence in geometric layout for the force-directed layout algorithm; Third, a fused viewing technique that combines 1D and 2D distortional visual viewing methods in one browser resolves the inefficient space utilization problem.

Moreover, the fused layout algorithm has been evaluated against other existing force-directed layout algorithms. Two case studies that apply our techniques to an *outbreak management system* and an *online bookstore* respectively have been delivered.