

# **HULA: HABITUAL URINALYSIS IS A LABORIOUS ACTIVITY**

**Noreen MURRAY**

**A thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements for  
admission to the Degree of Masters of Nursing (Research)**

**University of Technology, Sydney**

**November 2005**

## **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis is written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

**Signature of Candidate**

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

---

## **DEDICATION**

I wish to dedicate this thesis to my mother who died on 28<sup>th</sup> Jan 1997. Mum, your unconditional love, encouragement, and constant support of all of my endeavours and those of my brothers and sister, still fills me with inspiration and confidence to always embrace a challenge. I thank you.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The HULA study was made possible by funding received from a NHMRC grant awarded to St. George Hospital in 1998.

This study was a collaborative piece of work between the Division of Women's and Children's Health and the Division of Medicine at St. George Hospital. Kogarah. I would like to both acknowledge and thank all those who worked with me to make it happen.

My sincere thanks to Dr. Caroline Homer, research midwife on this project and one of my university supervisors for her support, professional guidance and encouragement. Thanks too to Professor Lesley Barkley and Dr. Margaret Cook for their advice and support. A special thanks to my dear friend and colleague Julie Curtis CNS, who coordinated the study with me. You kept my spirits up during those long hours of data collection.

I wish to acknowledge the contribution made by Professor Mark Brown, Dr. Greg Davis and Dr. George Mangos.

I also wish to thank Rob Isaccs for his contribution in developing the data base for this study and to Therese Balsadarre for her help with data entry. My special thanks to all the midwives who work in antenatal services at St. George hospital for embracing this study. Thank you to Dr. Hargood and her midwife for recruiting women at St George private hospital.

I am particularly grateful to the women who participated in the HULA study.

Thank you to my husband Ross and our beautiful children Anna Maria and Andres for enriching my life. A very special thanks to my darling Aunt Eileen who has provided such support and has enable me to finish my thesis.

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>ADIPS</b>	Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society
<b>ANC</b>	Antenatal Clinic
<b>ASSHP</b>	Australasian Society into the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy
<b>BC</b>	Birth Centre
<b>BP</b>	Blood Pressure
<b>DAU</b>	Day Assessment Unit
<b>GDM</b>	Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
<b>GH</b>	Gestational Hypertension
<b>GP</b>	General Practitioner
<b>GTT</b>	Glucose Tolerance Test
<b>IUGR</b>	Intrauterine Growth Restriction
<b>ISSHP</b>	International Society into the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy
<b>MSU</b>	Mid Stream Urine
<b>NHMRC</b>	National Health and Medical Research Council
<b>PC</b>	Protein/Creatinine ratio
<b>RCT</b>	Randomised Controlled Trial
<b>PE</b>	Pre-eclampsia
<b>SGA</b>	Small for Gestational Age
<b>SLE</b>	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
<b>STOMP</b>	St. George Outreach Maternity Program
<b>UTI</b>	Urinary Tract Infection
<b>WHO</b>	World Health Organization

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine whether routine urinalysis in the antenatal period facilitates diagnosis of pre-eclampsia. The research question was: can routine urinalysis during pregnancy be discontinued in women with normal results of dipstick urinalysis and microscopy at the first antenatal visit?

**Design:** A prospective observational study was undertaken.

**Setting:** A metropolitan public hospital and a private hospital in Sydney (NSW).

**Participants:** One thousand women were enrolled at their first antenatal visit (March to November 1999), and 913 completed the study.

**Research Variables:** The primary outcome was a diagnosis of hypertension (gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, or pre-eclampsia superimposed on chronic hypertension). Other variables were proteinuria, haematuria, parity, past history of pre-eclampsia, renal disease, diabetes mellitus and multiple pregnancy.

**Results:** Thirty-five women had dipstick proteinuria at their first antenatal visit. In 25 (25/35) of these women, further dipstick proteinuria was detected during pregnancy, and two (2/35) were diagnosed with pre-eclampsia. Of the 867 without dipstick proteinuria at the first visit, 338 (39%) had dipstick proteinuria ( $\geq 1+$ ) at some time during pregnancy. Only six women developed proteinuria before the onset of hypertension. Women who had an abnormal result of a midstream urine test at their first visit, were more likely to have a urinary tract infection diagnosed during pregnancy than women with a normal result, however, the numbers were small.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that urinalysis can be omitted from the routine antenatal care of 'low risk' women, provided that urinalysis and microscopy is conducted on a carefully collected mid stream specimen of urine at the booking visit.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>DEDICATION .....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Organisation of this thesis .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>CHAPTER TWO: BACKGROUND: THE LITERATURE .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Why test urine in pregnancy?.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Hypertension in pregnancy .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Gestational hypertension .....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Pre-eclampsia .....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Chronic hypertension.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Pre-eclampsia superimposed on chronic hypertension .....</i>	<i>8</i>
<b>Monitoring of hypertension .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Proteinuria.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<i>Measuring proteinuria .....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Asymptomatic bacteruria .....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Haematuria .....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Nitrites and leucocyte esterase .....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Glycosuria.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Screening test protocol for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<b>Collecting and testing the sample.....</b>	<b>14</b>

<i>Mid stream specimen of urine</i> .....	14
<i>Automated vs eyeball testing</i> .....	15
<i>Twenty four hour urine collection vs spot urine protein/creatinine ratio</i> .....	16
<b>Whom to test?</b> .....	17
<b>Summary of the evidence</b> .....	18
<b>PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS</b> .....	19
<i>Pilot Study</i> .....	19
<i>Telephone Survey</i> .....	20
<i>Cost analysis of urinalysis</i> .....	23
<i>Justification for the HULA Study</i> .....	24
<i>Summary</i> .....	24
<b>CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY</b> .....	26
<b>Design</b> .....	26
<b>Ethics approval</b> .....	26
<b>Method</b> .....	26
<b>Population attending St. George Hospital</b> .....	26
<b>Sample size</b> .....	27
<b>Recruitment Procedure</b> .....	27
<b>Blood pressure monitoring</b> .....	29
<b>Definitions</b> .....	29
<i>Pre eclampsia</i> .....	29
<i>Proteinuria</i> .....	29
<i>Haematuria</i> .....	29
<b>Study Protocols</b> .....	30
<b>Management of abnormal urine results</b> .....	30
<b>Study sites and coordination</b> .....	31
<b>Data collection</b> .....	32
<b>Outcome Measures - Classification</b> .....	33
<b>Outcomes</b> .....	33
<b>Data Entry</b> .....	34
<b>Quality Assurance</b> .....	34



<b>Data Analysis.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Results of HULA Study - Demographics .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Urine tests at the first visit .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Proteinuria during pregnancy and pre-eclampsia.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Microscopic examination and culture of urine .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Primary questions .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Secondary questions .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<i>Proteinuria.....</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Nitrites, blood and leucocyte esterase .....</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Quantification of Protein .....</i>	<i>48</i>
<b>Limitations.....</b>	<b>49</b>
<i>Study Design .....</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Compliance with the ASSHP Consensus Statement.....</i>	<i>50</i>
<b>Practice changes made as a result of the study .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Recommendations based on the evidence.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Need for further research.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>CHAPTER SIX: EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Evidence Based Practice.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Audit – feedback loop.....</b>	<b>55</b>

<b>Getting Evidence Into Practice</b> .....	<b>55</b>
<i>The Audit Result</i> .....	56
<b>Why get evidence?</b> .....	<b>56</b>
<b>Finding evidence</b> .....	<b>56</b>
<b>Why put evidence into practice?</b> .....	<b>58</b>
<b>Conclusion</b> .....	<b>59</b>
<b>EPILOGUE: PERSONAL REFLECTION</b> .....	<b>60</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	<b>62</b>
<b>Appendix A: Instructions for the midwives about the process of the study</b> .....	<b>63</b>
<b>Appendix B: Instructions for collection of urine sample (MSU)</b> .....	<b>64</b>
<b>Appendix C: HULA Primary Data Sheet</b> .....	<b>65</b>
<b>Appendix D: HULA Subsequent Data Sheet</b> .....	<b>67</b>
<b>Appendix E: Information Statement: The HULA Study</b> .....	<b>68</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>69</b>
<b>CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS</b> .....	<b>75</b>
<b>COPY OF PUBLISHED PAPERS</b> .....	<b>76</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1: Types of hospitals who responded to the National Telephone Survey.....	21
Table 2: Cost of routine urine testing per woman per visit .....	24
Table 3: Demographics are reported in percentages.....	38
Table 4: Dipstick urine testing at first visit N=902 .....	38
Table 5: Development of hypertension and pre-eclampsia .....	41
Table 6: Development of hypertension and subsequent urinary tract infection according to the results of midstream urine tests at the first antenatal visit (n=913).....	41

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: Flow chart of proteinuria detected during pregnancy and outcomes .....	39
Figure 2: Detection of dip-stick haematuria in a cohort of 1,000 pregnant women.....	44