

Comparison of the effects of manual
acupuncture, electroacupuncture and TENS on
regional pressure pain thresholds

Examination of the reliability of baseline
algometry pressure pain threshold readings

Steve Szabo BAppSci (Env Health), BHealthSci (Acupuncture).

A thesis submitted as partial requirement for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy by research

Faculty of Science
University of Technology, Sydney
September 2007

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. Additionally, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Abstract

Background: Since 1999, research at the College of Traditional Chinese Medicine at the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) has focused on the effects of a series of manual acupuncture (MA) interventions upon regional pressure pain thresholds (PPT). The present research contains two separate studies and both provided a replication of manual acupuncture (MA) to Large Intestine 4 (LI4) (the standard UTS intervention) and an acupoint, Liver 3 (LR3), that has been used in a previous UTS study. The current study extends the research to examine the effects of electroacupuncture (EA) and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on regional PPT. In addition, the research examined a range of variables that could possibly influence the PPT values obtained, independent of experimental interventions, and thus impact on the reliability of the algometry technique and experimental design employed.

Aims: Study 1: To compare the effects of MA to LI4, TENS to LI4, EA to LI4 and EA to LR3 on PPT measured at ten regional sites.

Study 2: To compare the effects of MA to LI4, MA to LR3, EA to LR3 and EA to a nonacupoint (NAP-Foot) on PPT measured at ten regional sites.

The reliability of algometry study: To compare mean PPT values (kg/cm^2) by regional site and gender. To evaluate the stability of regional PPT values (kg/cm^2) by site over time with respect to two timeframes; (i) extended time frame of at least four weeks; (ii) sequence of five measures within ten minutes.

Design: Both Study 1 and Study 2 were within subjects experiments that used the same research paradigm including randomisation and dual blinding (subject and assessor) and involved healthy volunteers. PPT was measured before and after each intervention at ten PPT measurement sites (both acupoints and nonacupoints) across the body. In addition, subjects rated their levels of pain, intervention sensation and tension experienced during, and anxiety prior to the intervention, on visual analogue scales (VAS).

The data for the reliability of algometer study were collected as the baseline PPT values (kg/cm^2) for all subjects and across visits in Study 1 and Study 2.

Results: Study 1: Among the ten sites significant increases from preintervention PPT means were obtained at eight sites following MA to LI4 (mean PPT range 3.5%-10.4%), six sites following TENS to LI4 (3.4%-10.9%), and nine sites following both EA to LI4 (6.4%-15.8%) and EA to LR3 (6.4%-10.9%). The effects on PPT of EA to LI4 were significantly greater than that produced by TENS to LI4 at four sites; and

that of MA to LI4 at two sites. EA to LR3 significantly elevated PPT more than TENS to LI4 at two sites; and that of MA to LI4 at one site.

Study 2: Following both MA to LI4 and EA to LR3 significant increases in mean PPT were achieved at all ten sites (ranging from 9.6% - 15.6 and 8.6% - 16.9% respectively). For both MA to LR3 and EA to NAP-Foot the significant increases involved nine of the ten measurement sites (ranging from 8.1% - 16.4% and 7.1% - 12.7% respectively). EA to NAP-Foot was significantly less effective than MA to LI4 (two sites), MA to LR3 (two sites) and EA to LR (three sites). The effect on PPT of MA to LI4 and EA to LR3 were statistically greater than that produced by MA to LR3 at one site each. For both studies, the analyses of the subjective perceptions associated with each intervention showed that nonspecific effects did not appear to contribute to changes in PPT. Results from Study 1 and 2 found that TENS, EA and MA were all effective in increasing PPT. While TENS was less effective it should be noted that the way the TENS intervention was set up was not typical of a clinical treatment.

The results from the reliability of algometry study showed that typically males had higher PPT values (kg/cm^2) than females. While mean PPT values remained stable in a sequence of five measures over a ten minute period, the longer timeframe of four weeks did affect mean PPT levels, independent of gender. Typically, for both genders, mean PPT was lower at the first visit compared with the fourth visit. This may reflect changes in familiarity with the experimental protocol.

Conclusions: The PPT effects following MA to LI4 were consistent with previous research at UTS. The interventions of EA to LI4 and EA to LR3 elicited similar significant increases in mean % PPT to those following the UTS standard intervention of MA to LI4. The results of the reliability of algometry study illustrate the importance of well controlled experimental design. The randomisation process must ensure equal proportions of subjects will receive each different intervention at each visit in sequence and if males and females are involved, a stratification of randomisation is required so that a balanced design by gender and visit is achieved. Where possible, a within subjects experimental design should be considered.

Acknowledgements

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to the many members of the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS) Faculty of Science, Department of Health Sciences and the College of Traditional Chinese Medicine for the academic, scientific and technical help they afforded me. Without this guidance and support this research program would not have been possible. The financial assistance provided by the Faculty of Science was also essential.

I particularly would like to thank my principal supervisor Dr Deirdre Cobbin who was present every minute of the hundreds of data collection hours not only to ensure correct blinding procedures but to monitor and assist with all aspects of data collection. Deirdre's intellectual input has significantly contributed to the drafting of this thesis as well as ensuring all data parameters were analysed.

I would also like to thank my co supervisor Dr Chris Zaslowski who performed all the interventions throughout the research in a professional and consistent manner. Additionally Chris was very helpful when I was learning how to use the statistical program provided by UTS.

As a result of this project, I have developed many skills in using a variety of computer software packages.

I am especially appreciative of the volunteers who took part in and completed all four interventions.

Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1	Background	1
1.2	Back to basics	2
1.3	Replications and expansions of previous acupuncture related studies at UTS	4
1.4	Aims for Study 1	6
1.5	Aims for Study 2	6
1.6	Aims for Study 3	7
1.7	Format of thesis	8

Chapter 2: Literature review

2.1	Methods of measuring pain thresholds	11
2.1.1	Electrical pain	11
2.1.2	Ischaemic pain	12
2.1.3	Thermal pain	12
2.1.4	Pressure pain	13
2.2	Review of the reliability of algometry parameters	13
2.2.1	Rate of pressure applied	13
2.2.2	Diameter of the algometer tip	14
2.2.3	Stability of PPT readings over time	15
2.2.4	Optimum number of PPT measures required in a single session	16
2.2.5	Gender and PPT	17
2.3	Experimental pain research related to the current research interventions	18
2.3.1	Ischaemic experimental pain research	18
2.3.2	Thermal experimental pain research	20
2.3.3	Electrical experimental pain research	25
2.3.4	Mechanical experimental pain research	29
2.4	UTS studies examining MA and PPT experimental pain research	32
2.5	Stimulation parameters of MA, EA and TENS	34

2.5.1	MA	34
2.5.2	Comparison of low and high frequency stimulation for EA and TENS	35
2.5.3	Low frequency stimulation	35
2.5.4	High frequency stimulation	36
2.5.5	Alternating between low and high frequency stimulation	36
2.5.6	Intensity of electrical stimulation	37
2.6	Selection of intervention sites	37

Chapter 3: Methods

Methods for Study 1

3.1	Aim for Study 1	39
3.2	Design	39
3.3	Subjects	39
3.4	Interventions	40
3.4.1	Intervention sites	40
3.4.2	Intervention types	40
3.5	Regional PPT measurement sites	42
3.6	Measuring PPT	44
3.7	Subjects' perceptions concerning each intervention period	45
3.8	Assessment of acupuncturist behaviour	46
3.9	Treatment recognition by subjects	47
3.10	Statistical analysis	47
3.10.1	PPT analysis	47
3.10.2	Subject perceptions concerning each intervention period	49

Methods for Study 2

3.11	Subjects	50
3.12	Intervention	51
3.12.1	Intervention sites	51
3.12.2	Intervention types	51
3.13	PPT measurement order	53

Methods for Reliability of algometry study

3.14	Aim	54
3.15	Methods	54
3.16	Statistical analysis	54

Chapter 4: The reliability of algometry results

4.1	Aim one: to compare mean pre intervention PPT for males and females at each site	55
4.2	Aim two: to compare mean baseline PPT across intervention visits	57
4.3	Aim three: comparison of mean pre intervention PPT across the order of measures taken at each site	64
4.4	Summary of results	68
4.5	Discussion of the reliability of algometry	72

Chapter 5: Results

5.1	Study 1	75
5.1.1	Aim one: comparison of the effects on PPT of the four intervention (ie en block independent of measurement site)	75
5.1.2	Aim two: comparison of the effects of the four interventions by individual measurement site	77
5.1.2a	Within intervention comparison	77
5.1.2b	Between intervention comparison (ie examination of the relative effects on PPT of the four interventions by site)	79
5.1.3	Aim three: comparison of distribution of regional PPT effects by intervention	80
5.1.4	Aim four: evaluation of subject perceptions between interventions	82
5.1.5	Aim five: comparisons of relationships between pairs of subject variables	85
5.1.6	Summary of Study 1 results	86
5.2	Study 2	89
5.2.1	Aim one: comparison of the effects on PPT of the four intervention (ie en block independent of measurement site)	89
5.2.2	Aim two: comparison of the effects on PPT of the four interventions by individual measurement site	90
5.2.2a	Within intervention comparison	90
5.2.2b	Between intervention comparison (ie examination of the relative effects on PPT of the four interventions by site)	92

5.2.3	Aim three: comparison of distribution of regional PPT effects by intervention	94
5.2.4	Aim four: evaluation of subject perceptions between interventions	97
5.2.5	Aim five: comparison of relationships between pairs of subject variables	100
5.2.6	Summary of Study 2 results	101
	Chapter 6: Discussion of results for Study 1 and Study 2	104
	References	116
	Appendices	
	Appendix I: Statistical analysis tables for the reliability of algometry study	123
	Appendix II: Statistical analysis tables for Study 1	138
	Appendix III: Statistical analysis tables for Study 2	146
	Appendix IV: Information sheet and consent form	149
	Appendix V: The algometer	151

Tables

Table 2.1: Review of five studies that concerned the use of electrical pain thresholds and MA and/or EA between 1973 – 1977.	26
Table 2.2: Findings from studies that compared the effects of low and high frequency stimulation on pain threshold.	35
Table 3.1: Summaries height, weight and age by gender for all subjects as well as their responses to the following questions; Do you believe in acupuncture? And would you be willing to receive acupuncture as a form of therapy? Demographic data collected from 12 female and 12 male research subjects.	40
Table 3.2: The ten regional body sites at which PPT was measured throughout Study 1. The site identification, anatomical location and relation to TCM channels and Western Medical Science (WMS) segmental regions are reported. Note the term <i>cun</i> relates to a TCM anatomical measuring system.	43
Table 3.3: The regional sites at which post intervention effects on PPT would be predicted by neural segment theory or by TCM channel theory.	44
Table 3.4 Summaries height, weight and age by gender for all subjects as well as their responses to the following questions; Do you believe in acupuncture? And would you be willing to receive acupuncture as a form of therapy? Demographic data collected from 12 female and 12 male research subjects.	50
Table 3.5: The ten regional body sites at which PPT was measured throughout Study 2. The site identification, anatomical location and relation to TCM channels and Western Medical Science (WMS) segmental regions are reported. Note the term <i>cun</i> relates to a TCM anatomical measuring system.	52
Table 3.6: The regional sites at which post intervention effects on PPT would be predicted by neural segment theory or by TCM channel theory.	53

Table 4.1.1: Comparison of pre intervention PPT for males and females for Study 1. PPT measures are represented as kg/cm ² . The p values and F ratios are shown. Mean values and percentage difference between males and females independent of measurement site are shown in the final row of the table.	56
Table 4.1.2 Comparison of pre intervention PPT for males and females for Study 2. PPT measures are represented as kg/cm ² . The p values and F ratios are shown. Mean values and percentage difference between males and females independent of measurement site are shown in the final row of the table.	57
Table 4.1.3: Comparisons of female subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit throughout Study 1. Mean PPT values and percentage difference for visits V2-V4 compared to visit 1 are provided for each site for each of the four visits.	59
Table 4.1.4: Comparisons of male subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit during Study 1. Mean PPT values and percentage difference for visits V2-V4 compared to visit 1 are provided for each site for each of the four visits.	60
Table 4.1.5: Comparison of both male and female subject mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit independent of measurement site during Study 1.	61
Table 4.1.6: Comparisons of female mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit recorded during Study 2. Mean PPT values and percentage difference for visits V2-V4 compared to visit 1 are provided for each site for each of the four visits.	62
Table 4.1.7: Comparisons of male subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit recorded during Study 2. Mean PPT values and percentage difference for visits V2-V4 compared to visit 1 are provided for each site for each of the four visits.	63
Table 4.1.8: Comparison of male and female subject mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit independent of measurement site during Study 2. Mean PPT values and percentage difference for visits V2-V4 compared to visit 1	64

are provided for each site for each of the four visits.	
Table 4.1.9: Comparisons of female subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by order of measure recorded during Study 1 for each site.	65
Table 4.1.10: Comparisons of male subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by order of measure recorded during Study 1 for each site.	65
Table 4.1.11: Comparisons of female subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by order of measure recorded during Study 2 for each site.	66
Table 4.1.12: Comparisons of male subjects mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by order of measure recorded during Study 2 for each site.	67
Table 4.1.13: Comparison of pre intervention PPT (kg/cm ²) for males and females separately and combined for Study 1. The table also shows the rank order of threshold from lowest to highest overall and by gender.	69
Table 4.1.14: Comparison of pre intervention PPT (kg/cm ²) for males and females separately and combined for Study 2. The table also shows the rank order of threshold from lowest to highest overall and by gender.	70
Table 4.1.15: Overall mean PPT in kg/cm ² and relative rankings of baseline PPT for the eight regional measurement sites included in both Study 1 and Study 2. Bold indicates the same relative ranking for both studies.	71
Table 5.1.1: Mean percentage change in PPT from preintervention mean, independent of measurement site, following the four interventions. The F statistic from Tukey simultaneous test is included, as is the probability value (p) and the 95% confidence intervals (CI).	76
Table 5.1.2: Statistically significant differences for between intervention comparisons of the mean percentage change in PPT post intervention,	76

independent of measurement site, following the four interventions (p = adjusted probability value calculated from Tukey simultaneous test).	
Table 5.1.3: Mean percentage change in PPT from preintervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following interventions of TENS to LI4, EA to LI4, EA to LR3 and MA to LI4. Statistically significant increases and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) are displayed. The adjusted p values are indicated as: *** p <0.0001, ** p <0.001, * p <0.05.	78
Table 5.1.4: Statistically significant differences between the effects of the four interventions on post intervention mean percentage change in PPT, by regional measurement site (p = adjusted probability value calculated from Tukey simultaneous test).	79
Table 5.1.5: Summary of observed distribution of significant changes to regional PPT by intervention, and the distributions predicted by neural segment theory and/or by TCM channel theory.	81
Table 5.1.6: Comparison of mean percentage scores for pain, needle sensation, tension, anxiety and changes in acupuncturist's behaviour, each recorded on 100mm VAS by subjects for the 21 minutes of each intervention. The F statistic from Tukey simultaneous tests are included, as are the p values and standard deviation (sd).	82
Table 5.1.7: Correlation matrix of Pearson product moment r for VAS scores for pain, needle sensation, tension, anxiety and acupuncturist's behaviour change, recorded for the 21 minutes procedure in four interventions.	85
Table 5.2.1: Mean percentage change in PPT from preintervention mean, independent of measurement site, following the four interventions. The F statistic from Tukey simultaneous test is included, as is the probability value (p) and the 95% confidence intervals (CI).	90
Table 5.2.2: Statistically significant differences for between intervention comparisons of mean percentage PPT post intervention, independent of measurement site, following the four interventions.	90

Table 5.2.3: Mean percentage change in PPT from preintervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following interventions of MA to LI4, MA to LR3, EA to LR3 and EA to NAP-Foot. Statistically significant increases and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) are displayed. The adjusted p values are indicated as: *** p <0.0001, ** p <0.001, * p <0.05.	91
Table 5.2.4: Significant differences between the effects of the four interventions on post intervention mean percentage change in PPT, by regional measurement site (p = adjusted probability value calculated from Tukey simultaneous test).	92
Table 5.2.5: Summary of observed distribution of significant changes to regional PPT by intervention, and the distributions predicted by neural segment theory and/or by TCM channel theory.	96
Table 5.2.6: Comparison of mean percentage scores for pain, needle sensation, tension, anxiety and changes in acupuncturist's behaviour, each recorded on 100mm VAS by subjects for the 21 minutes of each intervention. The F statistic from Tukey simultaneous tests is included, as are the p values and standard deviation (sd).	97
Table 5.2.7: Correlation matrix of Pearson product moment r for VAS scores for pain, needle sensation, tension, anxiety and acupuncturist's behaviour change, recorded for the 21 minutes procedure in four interventions.	100
Table 6.1: Comparison of measurement sites used in the six UTS research studies, showing the mean percentage increases in PPT following the standardised MA intervention to LI4. Statistically significant increases are shown in bold.	105
Table 6.2: Comparison of mean % change in PPT and probability value (p) following MA to LR3 recorded in Yuan (2002) and the current Study 2. Bold indicates a significant elevation of mean % PPT was evident.	111
Table 6.3: Comparison of pre intervention PPT for Study 1. PPT measures are represented as kg/cm ² . The table also shows the rank order of threshold from lowest to highest. Bold indicates sites involved in one or	113

more nonsignificant outcome elevations.	
Table 6.4: Study 1 regional measurement sites where mean PPT as not significantly elevated shown by relevant intervention and relative threshold ranking.	113
Table 6.5: Comparison of pre intervention PPT for Study 2. PPT measures are represented as kg/cm ² . The table also shows the rank order of threshold from lowest to highest. Bold indicates sites involved in a nonsignificant outcome elevation.	114
Table 6.6: Study 2 regional measurement sites where mean PPT was not significantly elevated shown by relevant intervention and relative threshold ranking.	114

Figure 3.1: 100mm Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for recording subjects' beliefs in the effectiveness of MA and their willingness to receive the modalities as therapy. Completed after the first session.	40
Figure 3.2: Regional PPT measurement sites used throughout Study 1.	42
Figure 3.3: Time line showing sequence of events completed during each intervention session.	45
Figure 3.4: The 100mm visual analogue scales used to record subjects' perceptions relating to the 21 minute intervention period for each experimental session.	46
Figure 3.5: 100mm Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for recording subjects' beliefs in the effectiveness of MA and their willingness to receive the modalities as therapy. Completed after the first session.	50
Figure 3.6 Regional PPT measurement sites used throughout Study 2.	51
Figure 4.1.1 Comparison of preintervention mean PPT for males and females for Study 1.	56
Figure 4.1.2 Comparison of preintervention mean PPT for males and females for Study 2.	57
Figure 4.1.3: Comparisons of female subject mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit recorded for Study 1. Mean PPT values provided for each site for each of the four visits.	58
Figure 4.1.4: Comparisons of male mean baseline PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit during Study 1. Mean PPT values provided for each site for each of the four visits.	60
Figure 4.1.5: Comparisons of female mean pre intervention PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit during Study 2. Mean PPT values provided for each site for each of the four visits.	62
Figure 4.1.6: Comparisons of male subjects mean preintervention PPT (kg/cm ²) by visit for Study 2. Mean PPT values provided for each site for each of the four visits.	63

Figure 4.1:7: Comparisons of female subjects mean preintervention PPT across the order of readings taken at each site for Study 1.	65
Figure 4.1:8: Comparisons of male subjects mean preintervention PPT across the order of readings taken at each site for Study 1.	66
Figure 4.1:9: Comparisons of female subjects mean preintervention PPT across the order of readings taken at each site for Study 2.	67
Figure 4.1:10: Comparisons of male subjects mean preintervention PPT across the order of readings taken at each site for Study 2.	68
Figure 5.1.1: Mean % increase in PPT following the four interventions of TENS to LI4, EA to LI4, EA to LR3 and MA to LI4 independent of measurement site.	76
Figure 5.1.2: Comparison of the relative effects on PPT of the four interventions by site.	78
Figure 5.1.3: Comparison of the pain experienced, sensation, feeling of tension, anxiety and acupuncturist's behaviour change between interventions (the vertical axis indicates the mean percentage VAS score and the horizontal axis indicates four interventions).	83
Figure 5.2.1: Mean % increase in PPT following the four interventions of MA to LI4, MA to LR3, EA to LR3 and EA to NAP-Foot independent of measurement site.	90
Figure 5.2.2: Comparison of the relative effects on PPT of the four interventions by site.	91
Figure 5.2.3: Comparison of the pain experienced, sensation, feeling of tension, anxiety and acupuncturist's behaviour change between interventions (the vertical axis indicates the mean percentage VAS score and the horizontal axis indicates four interventions), with no any statistically significant difference among interventions for any of these subjective variables.	98