

A Trust-Aware Framework for Service Selection and Service Quality Review in e-Business Ecosystems

Submitted by
Stefan Schmidt

A thesis in total fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy


Faculty of Information Technology
University of Technology, Sydney (UTS)
Sydney, NSW 2007
Australia

2008

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.



Signature of Author

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date

12-06-2008

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors Robert Steele and Tharam Dillon for their encouragement and guidance over the past 3 years. Both provided many hours of advice, research discussions, suggestions, comments and support which made this thesis possible.

Love, gratitude and admiration to Patricia Peters, my spouse and best friend. Patricia not only 'endured' endless hours of discussions about the perception of trust, reputation and credibility concepts by human beings but also helped graciously with the editing of this thesis. Without her support, I would not be who or where I am today.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends who supported me throughout my academic career.

Contents

<i>Certificate of Authorship/Originality</i>	2
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	3
<i>Contents</i>	4
<i>Figures</i>	10
<i>Tables</i>	12
<i>Listings</i>	14
<i>Abstract</i>	15
<i>List of Publications</i>	16
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Thesis Background and Motivation	1
1.2.1 <i>History of the Internet and e-Business</i>	1
1.2.2 <i>Challenges for Digital Business Ecosystems</i>	4
1.2.3 <i>Motivation for Decentralised Service Registration</i>	7
1.2.4 <i>Risks in the Digital Economy</i>	8
1.3 The Importance of Trust in Digital Business Networks	10
1.4 Objectives of this Thesis	14
1.5 Contribution and Scope of the Thesis	15
1.6 Significance of the Thesis	17
1.7 Structure of Thesis	18
1.8 Conclusion	19
1.9 References	20
Chapter 2 Evaluation of Existing Literature	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Definitions of Trust and Reputation Concepts	24
2.2.1 <i>Trust Concepts in Digital Ecosystems</i>	24
2.2.2 <i>Reputation Concepts in Digital Ecosystems</i>	26
2.3 Trust and Reputation Management Protocols	27
2.4 Computational Models for Trust and Reputation-Based Decision Support	29
2.4.1 <i>Critical Aspects for Review</i>	31
2.4.2 <i>Deterministic Models</i>	33
2.4.3 <i>Probability-based Entity Assessment Models</i>	38
2.4.3.1 <i>Bayesian Inference</i>	38
2.4.3.2 <i>Dempster-Shafer Theory</i>	40
2.4.4 <i>Fuzzy Logic-based Models</i>	42
2.4.5 <i>Socio-Cognitive Approaches</i>	46
2.5 Trust or Reputation-Aware Architectures	48
2.5.1 <i>Critical Aspects for Review</i>	48
2.5.2 <i>Community Formation based on Network Security</i>	49

2.5.3	<i>Community Formation based on Behavioural Trust Elements</i>	51
2.6	Summarising Identified Problems	52
2.6.1	<i>General Shortcomings of Trust and Reputation Models</i>	53
2.6.2	<i>Lack of Trust and Reputation-aware Architectures for Distributed Communities</i>	55
2.7	Conclusion	56
2.8	References	57
Chapter 3 Problem Definition		67
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	General Concepts & Definitions	68
3.2.1	<i>Service</i>	68
3.2.2	<i>Product</i>	69
3.2.3	<i>Agent</i>	69
3.2.3.1	<i>Human Agent</i>	70
3.2.3.2	<i>Software Agent</i>	70
3.2.4	<i>Context</i>	70
3.3	Digital Business Concepts	70
3.3.1	<i>E-Commerce</i>	71
3.3.2	<i>E-Market</i>	71
3.3.3	<i>E-Business</i>	71
3.3.4	<i>Digital Business Ecosystem</i>	71
3.4	E-Business Participants	72
3.4.1	<i>Consumer</i>	72
3.4.2	<i>Business Partner</i>	72
3.4.2.1	<i>Business Service Providers & Manufacturers</i>	72
3.4.2.2	<i>Service Broker</i>	72
3.4.2.3	<i>Service Reseller & Retailer</i>	73
3.4.3	<i>Business Domain</i>	73
3.4.4	<i>Business Alliance</i>	73
3.4.5	<i>Business Domain Connector</i>	74
3.5	Behavioural Trust Elements	74
3.5.1	<i>Trust</i>	74
3.5.1.1	<i>Trusting Agent</i>	76
3.5.1.2	<i>Trusted Agent</i>	76
3.5.1.3	<i>Willingness</i>	76
3.5.1.4	<i>Capability</i>	76
3.5.2	<i>Reputation</i>	76
3.5.2.1	<i>Reputation Query</i>	77
3.5.2.2	<i>Witness Reputation Opinion</i>	77
3.5.2.3	<i>Witness Agent</i>	77
3.5.2.4	<i>Reputation Queried Entity</i>	77
3.5.3	<i>Credibility</i>	77
3.5.4	<i>Confidence</i>	78
3.5.5	<i>Trend</i>	78
3.5.6	<i>Suitability/Matching</i>	78
3.5.7	<i>Satisfiability</i>	79
3.5.8	<i>Quality of Service</i>	79
3.6	Problem Definition	79
3.6.1	<i>Definition of Central Problem</i>	79

3.6.2	<i>Additional Identified Issues and Challenges</i>	80
3.6.2.1	<i>Trust-based, Transparent and Autonomous Formation of Business Network</i>	80
3.6.2.2	<i>Trust-based Decision Support</i>	81
3.6.2.3	<i>Information Access & Ownership</i>	81
3.6.2.4	<i>Fairness & Transparency</i>	81
3.6.2.5	<i>Openness</i>	82
3.6.2.6	<i>Scalability & Fault Tolerance</i>	83
3.6.2.7	<i>Infrastructure & Real-Time Aspects</i>	83
3.6.2.8	<i>Interoperability</i>	83
3.6.2.9	<i>Addressing Malicious Behaviour</i>	84
3.7	Selection of Solution Approach	84
3.7.1	<i>Architecture</i>	85
3.7.1.1	<i>Choice of Architectural Style for e-Business Networks</i>	85
3.7.1.2	<i>Choice of Message Formats</i>	87
3.7.2	<i>Choice of Assessment Methodology for Trust, Reputation & Credibility</i>	88
3.8	Research Methodology	89
3.9	Conclusion	91
3.10	References	91
Chapter 4 Definition of Architecture		94
4.1	Introduction	94
4.2	The DEco Arch Framework	95
4.2.1	<i>Motivation for Decentralised Architecture</i>	95
4.2.2	<i>Architecture</i>	98
4.2.3	<i>The Business Domain Connector Agent</i>	110
4.3	Service Discovery & Matching	112
4.4	Business Domain Evolution	113
4.4.1	<i>A Business Service Provider Agent joins an existing Business Domain (Service Registration)</i>	114
4.4.2	<i>A Business Service Provider establishes a new Business Domain</i>	115
4.4.3	<i>Reputation Review of Business Service Providers within a Business Domain</i>	115
4.4.4	<i>Business Domain Voting Criteria and Procedures</i>	116
4.5	Global Business Interaction Choreography	118
4.6	Addressing Malicious Behaviour in Digital Business Ecosystems	120
4.6.1	<i>Identity Fraud</i>	121
4.6.2	<i>Dishonest Opinions</i>	122
4.6.3	<i>Fake Ratings</i>	122
4.6.4	<i>Shilling</i>	123
4.6.5	<i>Man in the Middle Attack</i>	123
4.7	Conclusion	124
4.8	References	125
Chapter 5 Fuzzy Business Partner Assessment and Selection		128
5.1	Introduction	128
5.2	Criteria and Relationships Assessed during Business Partner Trustworthiness Assessment	130
5.2.1	<i>Additional Advantages of Individual Business Partner Element Assessment</i>	131
5.2.2	<i>Trustworthiness Assessment</i>	133
5.2.2.1	<i>Direct Reputation</i>	134

5.2.2.2	<i>Indirect Reputation</i>	134
5.2.2.3	<i>Credibility</i>	135
5.2.3	<i>Business Partner Satisfiability</i>	136
5.3	Choice of Fuzzy Logic for Reputation, Credibility and Trustworthiness Assessment	137
5.4	Business Trustworthiness Assessment	138
5.4.1	<i>Opinion Aggregation</i>	140
5.4.2	<i>Trend Calculation</i>	143
5.4.3	<i>Confidence Calculation</i>	145
5.4.4	<i>Direct Trust, Reputation and Credibility Inference (DEco Arch Stage 1)</i>	146
5.4.5	<i>Trustworthiness Inference (DEco Arch Stage 2)</i>	147
5.5	Business Partner Selection	148
5.5.1	<i>Satisfiability Assessment (DEco Arch Stage 3)</i>	149
5.5.2	<i>Business Selection</i>	150
5.6	Conclusion	150
5.7	References	151
Chapter 6 Business Interaction Review and Trust Adjustment		153
6.1	Introduction	153
6.2	Motivation	154
6.3	Fuzzy Extension of CCCI Metrics	155
6.3.1	<i>Commitment to a Criterion</i>	157
6.3.2	<i>Clarity of a Criterion</i>	159
6.3.3	<i>Influence of a Criterion</i>	160
6.4	Review of Business Transactions	162
6.5	Adjustment of Behavioural Trust Elements	165
6.5.1	<i>Direct Reputation Value Adjustment</i>	165
6.5.2	<i>Adjustment of Direct Trust Value, Indirect Reputation Value and Witness Credibility Value</i>	170
6.6	Conclusion	172
6.7	References	173
Chapter 7 Case Study		174
7.1	Introduction	174
7.2	Case Study Context and Requirements Description	175
7.3	Business Network Architecture	176
7.4	Business Partner Selection Process Details	177
7.4.1	<i>Step 1: Initialise Agent & Load User Preferences</i>	178
7.4.2	<i>Step 2: Find all Registered Business Domain Connectors</i>	180
7.4.3	<i>Step 3: Select most suitable Business Domains and request Business Service Provider Contact Details from Business Domain Connector</i>	182
7.4.4	<i>Step 4: Contact suitable BPAs or Alliances directly and request Offer</i>	184
7.4.5	<i>Step 5: Query Witness Agents for Opinions about Potential Business Partners</i>	187
7.4.6	<i>Step 6: Calculate Weighted Reputation Values</i>	190
7.4.7	<i>Step 7: Calculate Business Element Reputation Values, Direct Trust Value and the Credibility Value for each Potential Business Partner</i>	192
7.4.8	<i>Step 8: Calculate Overall Business Partner Trustworthiness and select most Satisfiable Business Partner</i>	195

7.5	Business Interaction Review	196
7.5.1	<i>Review of Business Transaction</i>	197
7.5.2	<i>Adjustment of Direct Trust Value, Indirect Reputation Value and Witness Credibility value</i>	199
7.6	Conclusion	201
7.7	References	202
Chapter 8 Validation and Verification of Empirical Results		204
8.1	Introduction	204
8.2	Experimentation Methodology and Evaluation Criteria	205
8.2.1	<i>General Evaluation Criteria</i>	206
8.2.2	<i>Experimentation Principles</i>	208
8.2.3	<i>Issues in Experimenting with Behavioural Trust Models</i>	210
8.2.4	<i>Complexity of Comparative Experiments</i>	211
8.3	Experimental Setup	212
8.3.1	<i>Technical Simulation Environments</i>	213
8.3.2	<i>Simulation Environment Parameters</i>	213
8.3.3	<i>Configuration of Average-based Models to Support Comparison and Analysis in the Experiments</i>	217
8.3.4	<i>Validity and Reproducibility of the Experiments</i>	218
8.4	Observations for Individual Business Partner Types	219
8.4.1	<i>Static Business Partner Behaviour Configurations</i>	220
8.4.1.1	<i>Honest Business Partner Type</i>	220
8.4.1.2	<i>Cheating Business Partner Type</i>	223
8.4.2	<i>Dynamic Business Partner Behaviour Configurations</i>	225
8.4.2.1	<i>Random Business Partner Type</i>	225
8.4.2.2	<i>Alternating Business Partner Type</i>	227
8.4.2.3	<i>Cyclic Cheating Business Partner Type</i>	229
8.4.3	<i>Reputation and Credibility Assessment</i>	232
8.5	Observations for Trustworthiness and Satisfiability Measures	234
8.5.1	<i>DEco Arch Stage 2 Trustworthiness Value</i>	234
8.5.2	<i>DEco Arch Stage 3 Satisfiability Value</i>	238
8.6	Other Considerations	242
8.6.1	<i>Statistical Validation of DEco Arch Trustworthiness Assessment Criteria</i>	242
8.6.2	<i>Risk Settings in Fuzzy Logic Controllers</i>	246
8.6.3	<i>Resource Efficiency of the DEco Arch framework</i>	248
8.7	Revisit of Evaluation Criteria	251
8.8	Conclusion	253
8.9	References	254
Chapter 9 Conclusion and Future Work		257
9.1	Introduction	257
9.2	Recapitulation of Research Contributions to the State of the Art	258
9.2.1	<i>Architecture that supports Autonomous Formation of an e-Business Ecosystem</i>	258
9.2.2	<i>Methodology for Business Partner Assessment and Selection</i>	259
9.2.3	<i>Methodology for Business Interaction Review and Adjustment of Behavioural Trust Elements</i>	261
9.2.4	<i>Case Study and Empirical Results</i>	261
9.3	Future Work and Research Directions	262

9.3.1	<i>Ontological Representation of Behavioural Trust Concepts in Digital Business Ecosystems</i>	263
9.3.2	<i>Integration of Ontology Matchmaking Methodology into DEco Arch Service Discovery Model</i>	263
9.3.3	<i>Integration of the DEco Arch E-Business Framework into a Large Field Study</i>	263
9.3.4	<i>Fine-tuning and Auto Adjustment of Fuzzy Rule Bases</i>	264
9.3.5	<i>Reducing Bootstrapping Times for Business Partners entering the DEco Arch framework</i>	264
9.3.6	<i>Extension and Integration of Business Choreography (WS-CDL) into Field Study Environment</i>	265
9.4	References	265
Appendix A	<i>Introduction to Fuzzy Logic</i>	267
A.1	Fuzzy Set Theory	267
A.2	Fuzzy Rule Bases	269
A.3	Fuzzy Inference Methodologies	271
A.4	Fuzzy Expert System Example	272
A.5	References	274
Appendix B	<i>Fuzzy Inference Engines</i>	275
B.1	DEco Arch Stage 1 FLC Controller Configuration	275
B.2	DEco Arch Stage 2 FLC Controller Configuration	277
B.3	DEco Arch Stage 3 FLC Controller Configuration	279

Figures

Figure 1.1 – Adoption ladder of ICT for Business.....	6
Figure 1.2 – Roles in the elementary SOA	8
Figure 2.1 – Decision Tree [96].....	48
Figure 3.1 – A Systems Development Research approach (adapted from [27])	90
Figure 4.1 – Example of the <i>DEco Arch</i> framework.....	100
Figure 4.2 – Layered view of the <i>DEco Arch</i> framework.....	102
Figure 4.3 – Integration of JXTA virtual network layer and <i>DEco Arch</i> business network layer with information data sources (DHT) layer	104
Figure 4.4 – Business partner selection and review in the <i>DEco Arch</i> framework from a service consumer perspective	106
Figure 4.5 – <i>DEco Arch</i> interaction sequence overview	109
Figure 5.1 – Business partner assessment and its influencing factors.....	131
Figure 5.2 – Influencing Factors for the Trust Concept.....	133
Figure 5.3 – Direct reputation and its influencing factors.....	134
Figure 5.4 – Indirect reputation and its influencing factors	135
Figure 5.5 – Satisfiability and its influencing factors	137
Figure 5.6 – Example fuzzy sets for trustworthiness, reputation or credibility values using triangular fuzzy membership functions.....	141
Figure 5.7 – Influence of α -denominator for rate of decay of opinions over time.....	142
Figure 5.8 – Example fuzzy sets for trend value using triangular fuzzy membership functions	144
Figure 5.9 – Example fuzzy sets for confidence value using triangular fuzzy membership functions.....	145
Figure 5.10 – <i>DEco Arch</i> (stage 1) reputation fuzzy inference module for business partner element	146
Figure 5.11 – <i>DEco Arch</i> (stage 2) business partner trustworthiness evaluation model	148
Figure 5.12 – Example fuzzy sets for service suitability and service cost factors using triangular fuzzy membership functions	149
Figure 5.13 – <i>DEco Arch</i> (stage 3) Business Partner Satisfiability Fuzzy Inference	150
Figure 6.1 – Business partner assessment cycle.....	154
Figure 6.2 – Fuzzy membership functions for commitment variable.....	159
Figure 6.3 – Fuzzy membership functions for the clarity variable.....	160
Figure 6.4 – Fuzzy membership functions for the influence variable.....	161
Figure 6.5 – Fuzzy membership functions for the output ‘service quality’ variable.....	162
Figure 6.6 – Fuzzy QoS calculation system architecture	164
Figure 6.7 – Functions for credibility increase, credibility decrease assuming minimal opinion fluctuation	169
Figure 6.8 – Direct reputation assessment & review cycle	170
Figure 6.9 – Complete trust, reputation and credibility assessment & review cycle.....	172
Figure 7.1 – Business Domains & Business Alliances applicable to case study.....	177

Figure 7.2 – Agent Task Configuration in the <i>DEco Arch</i> application	178
Figure 7.3 – Risk configuration in the <i>DEco Arch</i> application	179
Figure 7.4 – Fuzzy rule base editor in the <i>DEco Arch</i> application.....	180
Figure 7.5 – Extract from the <i>DEco Arch</i> stage 1 fuzzy inference process for reputation assessment of service business partner element.....	194
Figure 7.6 – Business review undertaken by the agent owner after the completion of the business interaction	198
Figure 8.1 – Simulation Setting Dialogue of the <i>DEco Arch</i> testbed.....	215
Figure 8.2 – Simulation results in the <i>DEco Arch</i> testbed application.....	217
Figure 8.3 – Direct trust value modelling of <i>VeryGood</i> (honest) potential business partner at cold start	221
Figure 8.4 – Direct trust value modelling of <i>VeryGood</i> (honest) potential business partner	222
Figure 8.5 – Direct trust value modelling of <i>VeryBad</i> (cheating) potential business partner	224
Figure 8.6 – Direct trust value modelling of <i>Neutral</i> potential business partner.....	226
Figure 8.7 – Direct trust value modelling of <i>Neutral</i> potential business partner with periods of consistent behaviour patterns	227
Figure 8.8 – Direct trust value modelling of potential business partner which alternates behaviour from <i>VeryGood</i> to <i>VeryBad</i> at half-time	229
Figure 8.9 – Direct trust value modelling of potential business partner which alternates its behaviour with a probability of 0.1	231
Figure 8.10 – Direct trust value modelling of potential business partner which alternates its behaviour with a probability of 0.05	232
Figure 8.11 – Direct Reputation Value Assessment for Alternating Business Partner Type	233
Figure 8.12 – Business Partner Trustworthiness Assessment for 6 Potential Business Partners with Different Behaviour Patterns and Different Historic Records.....	237
Figure 8.13 – Business Partner Trustworthiness Assessment for 7 Potential Business Partners with Different Behaviour Patterns and Similar Historic Records.....	238
Figure 8.14 – Business Partner Satisfiability Assessment for 6 Potential Business Partners with Different Behaviour Patterns and Historic Records.....	239
Figure 8.15 – Fuzzy Rule Base Surface Visualisation (<i>DEco Arch</i> stage 3 medium risk configuration).....	240
Figure 8.16 – Business Partner Satisfiability Assessment for 7 Potential Business Partners with Different Behaviour Patterns and Similar Historic Records	241
Figure 8.17 – Trustworthiness Assessment Error Rates of <i>DEco Arch</i> Stage 2 Input Parameters	244
Figure 8.18 – Impact of Different Risk Configurations for <i>DEco Arch</i> Business Partner Assessment Modules	248
Figure 8.19 – Execution Time Comparison of MA and TSK-type FIS for small rulebases [3 rules] (left) and large rulebases [30 rules] (right) [28]	250
Figure A.1 – Classical (two-valued) sets vs. fuzzy (multi-valued) sets	268
Figure A.2 – Fuzzification of an input temperature of 30°C.....	269
Figure A.3 – Representation of a Fuzzy Rule.....	269
Figure A.4 – Fuzzy inference system example for simple weather model.....	273

Tables

Table 4.1 – Aggregated reputation votes for a business domain with 6 BPAs (including 1 BDCA).....	117
Table 4.2 – Business domain membership criteria and BDCA selection.....	117
Table 5.1 – Semantic and Numeric Representations of Trustworthiness, Reputation & Credibility Values	140
Table 5.2 – Semantic and Numeric Representations of Trend Measure	144
Table 5.3 – Semantic and Numeric Representations of Confidence Measure.....	145
Table 6.1 – Quality criteria as defined by the trusting agent in the ‘Internet solutions’ business domain and context	156
Table 6.2 – Quality criteria as defined by the trusted agent in the ‘Internet solutions’ business domain and context	156
Table 6.3 – Semantics, description & value ranges of the commitment criterion.....	158
Table 6.4 – Semantics, description & value ranges of the clarity criterion.....	159
Table 6.5 – Semantics, description & value ranges of the influence criterion	161
Table 6.6 – Example service criteria, expected behaviour, influence metrics, and responsibilities specified in the service contract.....	165
Table 6.7 – Example criteria used during review process during or after the business interaction.....	166
Table 7.1 – Initial Travel Requirements	176
Table 7.2 – Business domain discovery results	182
Table 7.3 – Business domain suitability values	183
Table 7.4 – Service attributes and suitability of potential business partners.....	184
Table 7.5 – Business offers collected from BPA (uuid_596[...]).....	186
Table 7.6 – Business offers collected from other BPAs	187
Table 7.7 – Example reputation value table for one potential business partner	190
Table 7.8 – Reputation values, direct trust value and credibility value for a potential business partner.....	195
Table 7.9 – Trustworthiness values for 3 potential business partners	195
Table 7.10 – Satisfiability values for 3 potential business partners	196
Table 7.11 – Service quality values for all business partner elements after review	199
Table 7.12 – Value change decision based on the review outcome	200
Table 8.1 – Relevant simulation model parameters for static behaviour observations for honest (VeryGood) agent configuration at cold start.....	220
Table 8.2 – Relevant simulation model parameters for static behaviour observations for cheating (VeryBad) agent configuration.....	223
Table 8.3 – Relevant simulation model parameters for behaviour observations for random agent configuration	225
Table 8.4 – Relevant simulation model parameters for alternating behaviour observations.....	228
Table 8.5 – Relevant simulation model parameters for behaviour observations for cyclic cheating business partner configuration.....	230
Table 8.6 – Simulation model parameters for <i>DEco Arch</i> stage 2 trustworthiness experiment with 6 potential business partners	235

Table 8.7 – Relevant simulation model parameters for <i>DEco Arch</i> Trustworthiness Assessment Criteria Comparison	243
Table 8.8 – Critical Values for t	245
Table 8.9 – Statistical Data for Trustworthiness Assessment Inputs	245
Table 8.10 – t-scores and Statistic Significance Tests for $H_0(1)$, $H_0(2)$ and $H_0(2)$	246
Table 8.11 – Risk Configuration Impact Experiment Settings.....	247
Table 8.12 – Business Transaction Assessment Execution Time Comparison	249

Listings

Listing 2.1 – Sample RDF response to trust query [48]	35
Listing 4.1 – Simple Attribute Matching Algorithm	113
Listing 4.2 – Extract from WS-CDL Document	119
Listing 5.1 – DHT response to <i>get</i> request by SCA	139
Listing 7.1 – <i>DEco Arch</i> JXTA peer group advertisement	181
Listing 7.2 – Contact detail request	183
Listing 7.3 – Contact detail response	184
Listing 7.4 – Offer request	185
Listing 7.5 – Binding Offer Response	185
Listing 7.6 – DHT ‘put’ witness opinion submission	188
Listing 7.7 – DHT get response for witness opinion	189
Listing B.1 – <i>DEco Arch</i> Stage 1 FLC Controller Configuration	276
Listing B.2 – <i>DEco Arch</i> Stage 2 FLC Controller Configuration	278
Listing B.3 – <i>DEco Arch</i> Stage 3 FLC Controller Configuration	280

Abstract

As e-Business has moved from a niche market to a decisive contributor for the success of most companies, some issues need to be solved in order to assist the continued success of e-Business. The challenge, to deploy fully autonomous business service agents which undertake transactions on behalf of their owners, often fails due to lack of trust in the agent and its decisions. Four aspects can overcome this challenge. Firstly, intelligent agents need to be equipped with self-adjusting reputation, trustworthiness and credibility evaluation mechanisms to assess the trustworthiness of potential counterparts prior to a business transaction. Secondly, such evaluation mechanisms must be transparent and easy to comprehend so agent owners develop trust in their agents' decisions. Thirdly, the calculations of an agent must be highly customisable so that the agent owner can apply his personal experiences and security requirements to govern the decision making process of the intelligent agent. And finally, agents must communicate via standardised and open protocols in order to facilitate interaction between services deployed across different architectures and technologies. This thesis proposes the *DEco Arch* framework which integrates behavioural trust element relationships into various decision making processes found in e-Business ecosystems. We apply fuzzy-logic based soft computing techniques to increase user confidence and therefore enhance the adoption of the proposed assessment and review methodologies. A proof-of-concept implementation of the *DEco Arch* framework has been developed to showcase the proposed concepts in a case study and to conduct empirical experiments to evaluate the robustness and practicability of the proposed methodologies.

List of Publications

- [1] Schmidt, S., Steele, R. & Dillon, T., “Fuzzy Service Selection and Interaction Review in Distributed Electronic Markets”, *4th International Conference on Trust, Privacy & Security in Digital Business*, Regensburg, Germany, 2007, pp. 237-245.
- [2] Schmidt, S., Dillon, T., Steele, R. & Chang, E., “Trust and Reputation Ontologies for Electronic Business”, *9th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems*, Funchal, Madeira - Portugal, 2007, pp. 215-223.
- [3] Schmidt, S., Chang, E., Dillon, T. & Steele, R., “Fuzzy Decision Support for Service Selection in E-Business Environments”, in *IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Multi-Criteria Decision-Making* Honolulu, USA, 2007, pp. 374-381.
- [4] Schmidt, S., Steele, R. & Dillon, T., “DEco Arch: Trust and Reputation Aware Service Brokering in Digital Ecosystems”, in *IEEE International Conference on Digital Ecosystems and Technologies* Cairns, Australia, 2007, pp. 285-291.
- [5] Schmidt, S., Steele, R., Dillon, T. “Fuzzy Trust Evaluation and Credibility Development in Multi-Agent Systems”, *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 7, pp. 492-505, 2006.
- [6] Schmidt, S., Steele, R. & Dillon, T., “Towards Usage Policies for Fuzzy Inference Methodologies for Trust and QoS Assessment”, in *International Conference on Computational Intelligence* Dortmund, Germany, 2006, pp. 263-274.
- [7] Schmidt, S., Steele, R., Dillon, T. & Chang, E., “Fuzzy Service Quality Review in Service Oriented Architectures”, in *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems* Vancouver, Canada, 2006, pp. 2247-2254.
- [8] Schmidt, S., Steele, R., Dillon, T. & Chang, E. 2005b, “Building a Fuzzy Trust Network in Unsupervised Multi-agent Environments”, in *International Workshop on Web Semantics* Napa, Cyprus, 2005, pp. 816-825.
- [9] Schmidt, S., Steele, R., Dillon, T. & Chang, E. 2005a, “Applying a Fuzzy Trust Model to E-Commerce Systems”, in *Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence* Sydney, Australia, 2005, pp. 318-329.