



Microwave Image Reconstruction of 3-D Dielectric Scatterers via Stochastic Optimization Approaches

By

Tony Huang

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of
Engineering, University of Technology, Sydney.

January 2007

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Tony Huang

ABSTRACT

The reconstruction of microwave images is generally considered as a nonlinear and ill-posed inverse scattering problem. Such problems are generally solved by the application of iterative numerical methods. However, the accuracy of images reconstructed by traditional methods is heavily dependent on the choice of the initial estimate used to solve the problem. Thus, with the aim to overcome this problem, this research work has reformulated inverse problems into global optimization problems and investigated the feasibility of solving such problems via the use of stochastic optimization techniques. A number of global inverse solvers have been implemented using different evolutionary strategies, namely the rivalry and cooperation strategies, and tested against a set of imaging problems involving 3-D lossless and lossy scatterers and different problem dimensions. Our simulation results have shown that the particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique is more effective for solving inverse problems than techniques such as the genetic algorithms (GA) and micro-genetic algorithms (μ GA). In addition, we have investigated the impact of using different PSO neighborhood topologies and proposed a simple hybrid boundary condition to improve the robustness and consistency of the PSO technique. Furthermore, by examining the advantages and limitations of each optimization technique, we have proposed a novel optimization technique called the micro-particle swarm optimizer (μ PSO). With the proposed μ PSO, excellent optimization performances can be obtained especially for solving high dimensional optimization problems. In addition, the proposed μ PSO requires only a small population size to outperform the standard PSO that uses a larger population size. Our simulation results have also shown that the μ PSO can offer a very competitive performance for solving high dimensional microwave image reconstruction problems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank A/Prof. Ananda Mohan Sanagavarapu for his supervision and providing me with innumerable encouragements, productive discussions and timely advices throughout the duration of this Ph.D. research work. His support has ensured the smooth completion of this Ph.D. dissertation.

In addition, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the Key University Research Center on Health Technologies, Faculty of Engineering of UTS for their financial support.

Finally, I would like to show my deepest gratitude to my parents, brothers and Cheryl for their constant supports and encouragements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
LIST OF FIGURES	V
LIST OF TABLES	XV
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS.....	XVI

CHAPTER 1.

INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 MOTIVATION AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.2 METHODOLOGY	3
1.3 LITERATURE REVIEW ON MICROWAVE IMAGING.....	6
1.3.1 <i>Forward Solvers</i>	6
1.3.2 <i>Inverse Solvers</i>	13
1.3.3 <i>Microwave Imaging Systems, Methods and Applications</i>	21
1.4 CONTRIBUTIONS	23
1.5 THESIS OUTLINE	24

CHAPTER 2.

GENETIC ALGORITHM – A NATURAL EVOLUTIONARY BASED

APPROACH TO MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION	26
2.1 INTRODUCTION TO GENETIC ALGORITHM.....	26
2.1.1 <i>Selection Operation</i>	31
2.1.2 <i>Crossover Operation</i>	34
2.1.3 <i>Mutation Operation</i>	36
2.1.4 <i>Simulation Results</i>	37

2.2	SCHEMA THEOREM.....	39
2.3	MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION USING GA	42
2.3.1	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction of Homogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer via GA.....</i>	43
2.3.2	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction of Inhomogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer via GA.....</i>	52
2.4	SUMMARY	60

CHAPTER 3.

MICRO-GENETIC ALGORITHM AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE IN THE RECONSTRUCTION OF MICROWAVE IMAGES 63

3.1	INTRODUCTION TO MICRO-GENETIC ALGORITHM.....	63
3.2	COMPARISON BETWEEN μ GA AND GA PERFORMANCES FOR MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION	68
3.2.1	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction Problem Involving a Single Homogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer</i>	69
3.2.2	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction Problem Involving a Single Inhomogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer.....</i>	73
3.2.3	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction Problem Involving a Single Inhomogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer – High Dimension.....</i>	77
3.3	SUMMARY	82

CHAPTER 4.

PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZER AND ITS APPLICATION IN MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION 84

4.1	THE ORIGINAL PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZER.....	84
4.2	COMMON IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE ORIGINAL PSO.....	87
4.2.1	<i>Inertia Weight.....</i>	87
4.2.2	<i>Constriction Factor.....</i>	88
4.2.3	<i>Neighborhood topologies</i>	89

4.2.4	<i>Boundary Conditions</i>	92
4.3	A NOVEL HYBRID BOUNDARY CONDITION FOR ROBUST PSO PERFORMANCE	98
4.4	MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION USING PSO.....	111
4.4.1	<i>Advantage of Applying Damping Boundary for Microwave Image Reconstruction</i>	111
4.4.2	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction of Homogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer via PSO</i>	114
4.4.3	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction of Inhomogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer via PSO</i>	115
4.4.4	<i>Microwave Image Reconstruction of Inhomogeneous Lossy Dielectric Scatterer via PSO</i>	118
4.4.5	<i>Effect of Using Different PSO Neighborhood Topologies for Microwave Image Reconstruction</i>	125
4.5	SUMMARY	129

CHAPTER 5.

	MICRO-PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZER – A NOVEL ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION	131
5.1	MOTIVATION	131
5.2	MICRO-PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZER	132
5.2.1	<i>Choice of a Suitable Parameter w</i>	137
5.2.2	<i>Choice of a Suitable Parameter m</i>	141
5.2.3	<i>Choice of a Suitable Neighborhood Topology</i>	143
5.3	PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKS.....	144
5.4	APPLICATION OF μ PSO FOR 3-D MICROWAVE IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION	157
5.4.1	<i>Homogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer</i>	157
5.4.2	<i>Inhomogeneous Lossless Dielectric Scatterer</i>	162
5.4.3	<i>Inhomogeneous Lossy Dielectric Scatterer</i>	164
5.5	SUMMARY	171

CHAPTER 6.	
CONCLUSION.....	173
6.1 SUMMARY	173
6.2 FUTURE RESEARCH	176
REFERENCES	178
APPENDIX A.	
COMPARISON OF FDTD AND MOM RESULTS FOR DIELECTRIC SCATTERING.....	195
APPENDIX B.	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	197

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1: The flow of an iterative microwave image reconstruction process ...	4
Fig. 1.2: The unit cell used in Yee's original FDTD algorithm	11
Fig. 1.3: (a) The reconstructed profile of a 2-D cylinder of radius 1.5λ and refractive index 1.005. (b) The cross section of the refractive index on the x-axis	15
Fig. 1.4: (a) The reconstructed profile of a 2-D cylinder of radius 1.5λ and refractive index 1.05. (b) The cross section of the refractive index on the x-axis	16
Fig. 2.1: The problem surface described by the 2-D Ackley function shown in (2.1)	28
Fig. 2.2: The relationships between the problem parameters, genes, chromosomes, and GA population	30
Fig. 2.3: The roulette wheel portrayed by the initial GA population. The width of each slot is proportional to the relative fitness of each corresponding chromosome	32
Fig. 2.4: An example showing how two parent chromosomes are chosen under the tournament selection approach. The fitness of each chromosome is shown in the fitness column of Table 2.1	33
Fig. 2.5: An example showing how new offspring are generated by the crossover operation. The crossover operation is repeated along with the selection operation until the new population for the next generation is filled	35

Fig. 2.6: An example showing how a new offspring would change its binary string after been selected to undergo the mutation operation	37
Fig. 2.7: Pseudo code for the GA	38
Fig. 2.8: Flowchart of the GA	38
Fig. 2.9: Average <i>OF</i> value obtained by 50 GA simulations for the minimization problem defined in (2.1)	39
Fig. 2.10: Three schemata of the GA population shown in Table 2.1	40
Fig. 2.11: The first problem scenario considered in the investigation of using GA for the reconstruction of microwave images. The dielectric scatterer is denoted by the shaded area in the investigation domain	44
Fig. 2.12: The average <i>OF</i> values (RMSE between the measured and computed scattered fields) obtained by the GA for different SNR values	46
Fig. 2.13: (a) The actual and reconstructed distributions of ϵ_r values for the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.11. The images are reconstructed using the GA, and the SNR considered are from 1dB to 7dB	48
Fig. 2.13: (b) The reconstructed distributions of ϵ_r values for the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.11. The images are reconstructed using the GA, and the SNR considered are from 8dB to 15dB	49
Fig. 2.13: (c) The reconstructed distributions of ϵ_r values for the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.11. The images are reconstructed using the GA, and the SNR considered are from 16dB to 20dB	50
Fig. 2.14: The optimization performance obtained by the GA for the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.11. The SNR is assumed to be 20dB	51
Fig. 2.15: The numbering of sub-cells within the investigation domain and the arrangement of the UCA	53

Fig. 2.16: Four different problem scenarios used to demonstrate the extra difficulties associated microwave image reconstruction problems of inhomogeneous scatterers. (a) A lossless homogeneous scatterer is located in the sub-cell #18. (b) Similar to (a), except the scatterer has been moved to sub-cell #17. (c) A lossless inhomogeneous scatterer with its inhomogeneity located at the sub-cell #18. (d) Similar to (c), except the inhomogeneity has been moved to sub-cell #1754

Fig. 2.17: The electric field values received at the UCA for (a) homogeneous scenarios, and (b) inhomogeneous scenarios55

Fig. 2.18: The arrangement of the UCAs and the inhomogeneous lossless dielectric scatterer considered for the second investigation. The top, front, and side views of the scatterer have been assumed to be transparent so that the inner medium can be revealed. The inner medium is denoted by the dark shaded sub-cells57

Fig. 2.19: The optimization performance of the GA for solving the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.1858

Fig. 2.20: Images showing the distribution of ϵ_r values within the inhomogeneous lossless scatterer given in Fig. 2.18. (a) Actual distribution. (b) GA result59

Fig. 3.1: Flowchart of the μ GA operations65

Fig. 3.2: An illustration showing how elitism, selection and crossover operations are used to create the population of the next generation. Chromosome C is assumed to be the fittest chromosome in the population66

Fig. 3.3: Pseudo code for the μ GA68

Fig. 3.4: Comparison of the average fitness value minimized by the μ GA and GA for the image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 2.1171

Fig. 3.5: Comparison of the final reconstructed images for the problem given in Fig. 2.11. (a) Actual distribution of ε_r values. (b) μ GA result. (c) GA result	72
Fig. 3.6: Comparison of μ GA and GA performances for solving the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.18	74
Fig. 3.7: Images showing the distribution of ε_r values within the scatterer given in Fig. 2.18. (a) Actual distribution. (b) μ GA result	75
Fig. 3.7: Images showing the distribution of ε_r values within the scatterer given in Fig. 2.18. (c) GA result	76
Fig. 3.8: A new problem scenario considered for the investigation of μ GA's performance in solving high dimensional problems. The top, front, and side views of the scatterer have been assumed to be transparent	78
Fig. 3.9: The average fitness value minimized by the μ GA for the image reconstruction problem shown in Fig. 3.8	79
Fig. 3.10: Images showing the distribution of ε_r values within the scatterer given in Fig. 3.8. (a) Actual distribution. (b) μ GA result	81
Fig. 4.1: Pseudo code for the original PSO	87
Fig. 4.2: An illustration demonstrating how 20 particles are connected under (a) <i>gbest</i> , (b) <i>lbest</i> , (c) von Neumann, (d) wheel, (e) four clusters, and (f) pyramid topologies	90
Fig. 4.3: An illustration showing the feature of different boundary conditions. (a) For absorbing boundaries, the velocity of the particle is zeroed and the particle is stopped at the boundary. (b) For reflecting boundaries, the sign of the velocity is reversed and the particle is reflected back to the problem space after the impact. (c) For invisible boundaries, the particle is allowed to	

escape the boundary of the problem space and is ignored by the fitness evaluator93

Fig. 4.4: Comparison of optimization performances between the three boundary conditions for the Rastrigin function of $N = 3$. (a) Test case 1. (b) Test case 294

Fig. 4.4: Comparison of optimization performances between the three boundary conditions for the Rastrigin function of $N = 3$. (c) Test case 3. (d) Test case 495

Fig. 4.5: Flowchart of the PSO algorithm with common modifications97

Fig. 4.6: Pseudo code for the common implementation of the PSO97

Fig. 4.7: An arbitrary 1-D scenario used to analyze the properties of the three existing boundary conditions99

Fig. 4.8: An arbitrary 1-D scenario used to highlight the advantage of the proposed damping boundary condition104

Fig. 4.9: Comparison of PSO performances offered by the four boundary conditions for the Rastrigin function of $N = 3$. (a) Type I case, $-5 \leq x_n \leq 5$, $1 \leq n \leq 3$. (b) Type II case, $0 \leq x_n \leq 10$, $1 \leq n \leq 3$ 107

Fig. 4.9: Comparison of PSO performances offered by the four boundary conditions for the Rastrigin function of $N = 30$. (c) Type I case, $-5 \leq x_n \leq 5$, $1 \leq n \leq 30$. (d) Type II case, $0 \leq x_n \leq 10$, $1 \leq n \leq 30$ 108

Fig. 4.9: Comparison of PSO performances offered by the four boundary conditions for the Rosenbrock function of $N = 3$. (e) Type I case, $-5 \leq x_n \leq 5$, $1 \leq n \leq 3$. (f) Type II case, $0 \leq x_n \leq 10$, $1 \leq n \leq 3$ 109

Fig. 4.9: Comparison of PSO performances offered by the four boundary conditions for the Rosenbrock function of $N = 30$. (g) Type I case, $-5 \leq x_n \leq 5$, $1 \leq n \leq 30$. (h) Type II case, $0 \leq x_n \leq 10$, $1 \leq n \leq 30$ 110

Fig. 4.10: Comparison of PSO performances offered by the four boundary conditions for the microwave image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 2.11112

Fig. 4.11: Comparison of images reconstructed by the PSO using four different boundary conditions113

Fig. 4.12: Comparison of the average fitness value minimized by the GA, μ GA and PSO techniques for the image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 2.11115

Fig. 4.13: Comparison of the average fitness value minimized by the μ GA and PSO techniques for the image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 3.8116

Fig. 4.14: (a) The actual distribution of ε_r values inside the inhomogeneous lossless dielectric scatterer given in Fig. 3.8116

Fig. 4.14: The reconstructed final image of the inhomogeneous lossless dielectric scatterer shown in Fig. 3.8. (b) PSO result. (c) μ GA result117

Fig. 4.15: The first inhomogeneous lossy dielectric scatterer considered in the investigation. The top, front and side views are assumed to be transparent so that the location of the malignant tissue can be revealed. The malignant tissue is represented as the dark shaded cell119

Fig. 4.16: The second inhomogeneous lossy dielectric scatterer considered in the investigation. The top, front and side views are assumed to be transparent so that the location of the malignant tissue can be revealed. The malignant tissue is represented as the dark shaded cell120

Fig. 4.17: The PSO performance obtained for the lossy dielectric scatterer shown in Fig. 4.15	123
Fig. 4.18: Comparison of the actual and final reconstructed distribution of ϵ_r and σ values for the lossy dielectric scatterer shown in Fig. 4.15	123
Fig. 4.19: The PSO performance obtained for the lossy dielectric scatterer shown in Fig. 4.16	124
Fig. 4.20: Comparison of the actual and final reconstructed distribution of ϵ_r and σ values for the lossy dielectric scatterer shown in Fig. 4.16	124
Fig. 4.21: The imaging scenario considered for the investigation of using different PSO neighborhood topologies for solving high dimensional microwave image reconstruction problem	126
Fig. 4.22: (a) Comparison of performances offered by the <i>gbest</i> , <i>lbest</i> and von Neumann topologies for the problem shown in Fig. 4.21. (b) Zoomed in version	127
Fig. 4.23: Comparison of the actual distribution of ϵ_r inside the investigation domain shown in Fig. 4.21 and images reconstructed by the three neighborhood topologies	128
Fig. 5.1: Pseudo code for the proposed μ PSO	136
Fig. 5.2: Flowchart of the proposed μ PSO	137
Fig. 5.3: Percentage of each w_{init} value achieving the best performance for the population size of (a) 3 particles, and (b) 5 particles	140
Fig. 5.4: Comparison of optimization performances for the Schwefel function when different m values are used. (a) Overall performance. (b) Zoomed in version	142

Fig. 5.5: Comparison of optimization performances for the Rastrigin function of different dimensions. (a) $N = 100$. (b) $N = 200$	147
Fig. 5.5: Comparison of optimization performances for the Rastrigin function of different dimensions. (c) $N = 500$. (d) $N = 1000$	148
Fig. 5.6: Comparison of optimization performances for the Rosenbrock function of different dimensions. (a) $N = 100$. (b) $N = 200$	149
Fig. 5.6: Comparison of optimization performances for the Rosenbrock function of different dimensions. (c) $N = 500$. (d) $N = 1000$	150
Fig. 5.7: Comparison of optimization performances for the Griewank function of different dimensions. (a) $N = 100$. (b) $N = 200$	151
Fig. 5.7: Comparison of optimization performances for the Griewank function of different dimensions. (c) $N = 500$. (d) $N = 1000$	152
Fig. 5.8: Comparison of optimization performances for the Schwefel function of different dimensions. (a) $N = 100$. (b) $N = 200$	153
Fig. 5.8: Comparison of optimization performances for the Schwefel function of different dimensions. (c) $N = 500$. (d) $N = 1000$	154
Fig. 5.9: Comparison of optimization performances for the Ackley function of different dimensions. (a) $N = 100$. (b) $N = 200$	155
Fig. 5.9: Comparison of optimization performances for the Ackley function of different dimensions. (c) $N = 500$. (d) $N = 1000$	156
Fig. 5.10: Comparison of the μ PSO and PSO performances for solving the microwave image reconstruction problem shown in Fig. 2.11	158
Fig. 5.11: The final image reconstructed by the μ PSO for the problem scenario shown in Fig. 2.11	159

Fig. 5.12: (a) Comparison of the μ PSO and PSO performances for solving the problem shown in Fig. 4.21. (b) Zoomed in version	160
Fig. 5.13: The final image reconstructed by the μ PSO for the problem shown in Fig. 4.21	161
Fig. 5.14: Comparison of the μ PSO and PSO performances for solving the problem shown in Fig. 3.8	163
Fig. 5.15: The final image reconstructed by the μ PSO for the problem shown in Fig. 3.8	163
Fig. 5.16: The third lossy dielectric scatterer considered in our investigation. The top view, front view and side view have assumed to be transparent so that the location of two small malignant tissues can be revealed. The malignant tissues are represented as the dark shaded cells	165
Fig. 5.17: Comparison of the optimization performance obtained by the μ PSO and PSO for the image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 4.15	166
Fig. 5.18: The final image reconstructed by the μ PSO for the problem shown in Fig. 4.15. (a) ϵ_r values. (b) σ values	167
Fig. 5.19: Comparison of the optimization performance obtained by the μ PSO and PSO for the image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 4.16	168
Fig. 5.20: The final image reconstructed by the μ PSO for the problem shown in Fig. 4.16. (a) ϵ_r values. (b) σ values	169
Fig. 5.21: Comparison of the optimization performance obtained by the μ PSO and PSO for the image reconstruction problem given in Fig. 5.16	170
Fig. 5.22: Comparison of the actual and final reconstructed distribution of ϵ_r and σ values for the lossy dielectric scatterer shown in Fig. 5.16	170

Fig. A.1: Comparison of FDTD and FEKO results196

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: A randomly generated initial population for the problem defined in (2.1)	31
Table 2.2: Summary of the GA parameters used to solve the microwave image reconstruction problem shown in Fig. 2.11	45
Table 3.1: Summary of parameter values used to implement the μ GA for solving the microwave image reconstruction problem shown in Fig. 2.11	70
Table 3.2: Summary of parameter values used to implement the μ GA for solving the microwave image reconstruction problem shown in Fig. 2.18	74
Table 3.3: Summary of parameter values used to implement the μ GA for solving the microwave image reconstruction problem shown in Fig. 3.8	79
Table 5.1: Parameters used for the implementation of μ PSO	144
Table 5.2: Results obtained by the μ PSO and PSO for five test functions of different dimensions. The best solution found in each test case has been highlighted in bold	146

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS

ABC	Absorbing boundary condition
ADI-FDTD	Alternating direct implicit finite-difference time-domain
BIM	Born iterative method
CT	Computed tomography
DBIM	Distorted Born iterative method
DT	Diffraction tomography
EM	Electromagnetic
FDTD	Finite-difference time-domain
FEM	Finite element method
FMM	Fast multipole method
GA	Genetic algorithm
GCPSO	Guaranteed convergence particle swarm optimizer
GLM	Gel'fand-Levitan-Marchenko
MGM	Modified gradient method
MLFMA	Multilevel fast multipole algorithm
MoM	Method of moment
MRTD	Multiresolution time-domain
NKM	Newton Kantorovich method
OF	Objective function
OUI	Object under investigation
PEC	Perfectly electrically conducting
PML	Perfectly matched layer
PSO	Particle swarm optimization
PSTD	Pseudospectral time-domain
RCS	Radar cross section
RMSE	Root mean square error
SNR	Signal-to-noise ratio
UCA	Uniform circular array
UWB	Ultra-wideband
μ GA	Micro-genetic algorithm
μ PSO	Micro- particle swarm optimizer
$\phi(\mathbf{r})$	Total phase
$\phi_0(\mathbf{r})$	Incident phase
$\phi_1(\mathbf{r})$	Scattered phase

$\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$	dyadic Green's function
n_δ	Change in refractive index
$\psi(\mathbf{r})$	Total field
$\psi_0(\mathbf{r})$	Incident field
λ	Wavelength
μ	Permeability
σ	Conductivity
ω	Angular frequency
β	A parameter used to adjust the increment of the inertia weight
α	Constant
φ, φ_1 and φ_2	Constants
$\rho(t)$	Scaling factor
$\Delta x, \Delta y$ and Δz	Dimensions of a FDTD cell
a	Radius of a homogeneous cylinder
c_1 and c_2	Acceleration constants
D	Dynamic range of the problem space
\mathbf{E}	Total electric field
\mathbf{E}_{inc}	Incident field
\mathbf{E}_s	Scattered field
g_{best}	Global best neighborhood topology
g_{best}	Best solution found by the entire swarm
H	Schema
\mathbf{H}	Total magnetic field
k	Wavenumber
k	Constriction factor
L	Length of the chromosome
l_{best}	Local best neighborhood topology
m	A parameter used to adjust the μ PSO repulsion
N	Problem dimension
N_{bit}	Number of bits per gene
N_{chro}	Number of chromosomes
N_{gene}	Number of genes per chromosome
$N_{i,best}$	Best solution found by the neighborhood of the i^{th} particle
N_{sub}	Size of the sub-population
$O(\mathbf{r})$	Inhomogeneity
P_{cross}	Crossover probability
$p_{i,best}$	Best solution found by the i^{th} particle
P_{mut}	Mutation probability
r_1, r_2 and r_3	Uniformly distributed random variables in the range of $[0,1]$
r_3	Uniformly distributed random variable in the ranges of $[1, N_{chro}]$
r_4	Uniformly distributed random variable in the ranges of $[1, L]$

rep_i	Amount of repulsion experienced by the i^{th} particle
s_{th} and f_{th}	threshold values for the success and failure, respectively
u_{\max}	Maximum wave phase velocity
$V_i(t)$	Velocity of the i^{th} particle
V_{\max}	Maximum velocity
w	Inertia weight
w_{init}	The initial value of the inertia weight
w_{\max}	Maximum allowed value for the inertia weight
$X_i(t)$	Position of the i^{th} particle
ε	Permittivity