

A Situational Approach and Intelligent Tool for Collaborative Requirements Elicitation

Chad Raymond COULIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Computing Sciences

University of Technology, Sydney

2007

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Chad Raymond COULIN

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my principal supervisor, Associate Professor Didar Zowghi, for her wisdom, guidance, and support, throughout my candidature. I would also like to thank my Cotutelle supervisor in France, Professor Abd-El-Kader Sahraoui (LAAS-CNRS and IUT Blagnac), and my co-supervisors Dr Vincenzo Gervasi (University of Pisa) and Dr Yusuf Pisan, for the many discussions, valuable advice, and constant encouragement.

A big thank you goes to my colleagues Norazlin Yusop, Nurmuliani, Dr Samiaji Sarosa, and Dr Mahmood Niazi of the Requirements Engineering group at UTS FIT, and Mohamad Hani El Jamal, Mourad Messaadia, and Adel Ouardani, and Nabil Sadou of the Systems Engineering and Integration group at LAAS-CNRS, who made my candidature so enjoyable and rewarding. This thesis would simply not have been possible without the ongoing support and encouragement of my parents Ray and Ruth Coulin, my brother Jarrod Coulin, and my friends Daniel McCormack, Anshu Jayaweera, and Ranga Welaratne. To all of you I am eternally grateful.

A special thanks goes to Professor Alan Davis and Professor Donald Gause for the always insightful and entertaining conversations. And finally, I would like to thank all the people who generously gave their time to participate in the expert interviews, novice questionnaire, case studies, and experiments.

The research presented in this thesis was conducted as part of a Cotutelle agreement between the University of Technology, Sydney and Paul Sabatier University. It was sponsored by the Insearch Doctoral Award Scholarship and the French Embassy in Australia / Australian Academy of Science Cotutelle Grant.

PUBLICATIONS

This thesis includes some parts of the following publications:

Coulin, C & Zowghi, D 2003, ‘Agent-Based Support for Requirements Elicitation’, ESEC/FSE International Workshop on Intelligent Technologies in Software Engineering, Helsinki, Finland, September 1.

Coulin, C & Zowghi D 2004, ‘GONDOLA: An interactive computer game-based teaching and learning environment for Requirements Engineering’, Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality, Riga, Latvia, June 7-8.

Coulin, C 2004, ‘A Novel and Collaborative Approach to Requirements Elicitation with Process Guidelines and Intelligent Tool Support’, International Conference on Requirements Engineering – Doctoral Consortium, Kyoto, Japan, September 7.

Coulin, C & Zowghi, D 2005, ‘Requirements Elicitation for Complex Systems: Theory and Practice’, *Requirements Elicitation in Requirements Engineering for Socio-Technical Systems*, Maté, JL & Silva, A (Eds.), Idea Group, USA.

Zowghi, D & Coulin, C 2005, ‘Requirements Elicitation: A Survey of Techniques, Approaches, and Tools’, *Engineering and Managing Software Requirements*, Aurum, A & Wohlin, C (Eds.), Springer, USA.

Coulin, C, Sahraoui, AEK & Zowghi, D 2005, ‘Towards a Collaborative and Combinational Approach to Requirements Elicitation within a Systems Engineering Framework’, International Conference on Systems Engineering, Las Vegas, USA, August 16-18.

Coulin, C & Sahraoui, AEK 2005, 'A Meta-Model Based Guided Approach to Collaborative Requirements Elicitation for Software Systems Development', International Conference on Software and Systems Engineering and their Applications, Paris, France, November 29 – December 1.

Coulin, C, Zowghi, D & Sahraoui, AEK 2005, 'A Workshop-Centric Situational Approach for Requirements Elicitation', International Workshop on Situational Requirements Engineering Processes, Paris, France, August 29-30.

Coulin, C & Zowghi, D 2005, 'What Do Experts Think About Elicitation? - A State of Practice Survey', Australian Workshop on Requirements Engineering, Melbourne, Australia, November 22.

Coulin, C, Zowghi, D & Sahraoui, AEK 2006, 'A Situational Method Engineering Approach to Requirements Elicitation Workshops in the Software Development Process', *Software Process: Improvement and Practice*, vol. 11, pp. 451-64.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	6
ABSTRACT	15
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	16
1.1 BACKGROUND	16
1.2 PROBLEM	18
1.3 SCOPE	20
1.4 GOALS.....	22
1.5 METHODOLOGY	25
1.6 CONTRIBUTIONS.....	29
1.7 OUTLINE	31
CHAPTER 2: A REVIEW OF THEORY	33
2.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	33
2.2 REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION.....	34
2.2.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	34
2.2.2 WHAT IS A ‘REQUIREMENT’?	34
2.2.3 WHAT IS ‘REQUIREMENTS ENGINEERING’?	36
2.2.4 WHAT IS ‘REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION’?	39
2.2.5 SECTION SUMMARY	41
2.3 THE REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION PROCESS.....	43
2.3.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	43
2.3.2 ELICITATION CONTEXTS	43
2.3.3 PROCESS MODELS	44
2.3.4 UNDERSTANDING THE DOMAINS	47
2.3.5 IDENTIFYING THE SOURCES	48
2.3.6 SELECTING THE METHODS	50
2.3.7 ELICITING THE REQUIREMENTS	51
2.3.8 ORGANIZING THE INFORMATION	53

2.3.9 SECTION DISCUSSION	55
2.4 REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION TECHNIQUES	57
2.4.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	57
2.4.2 TRADITIONAL TECHNIQUES.....	58
2.4.2.1 <i>Interviews</i>	58
2.4.2.2 <i>Questionnaires</i>	60
2.4.2.3 <i>Task Analysis</i>	60
2.4.2.4 <i>Domain Analysis</i>	61
2.4.2.5 <i>Introspection</i>	62
2.4.3 COGNITIVE TECHNIQUES	62
2.4.3.1 <i>Card Sorting</i>	62
2.4.3.2 <i>Laddering</i>	63
2.4.3.3 <i>Repertory Grids</i>	63
2.4.4 GROUP TECHNIQUES	64
2.4.4.1 <i>Brainstorming</i>	64
2.4.4.2 <i>Requirements Workshops</i>	64
2.4.4.3 <i>Focus Groups</i>	66
2.4.4.4 <i>Creativity Sessions</i>	66
2.4.4.5 <i>Nominal Group Technique</i>	67
2.4.5 CONTEXTUAL TECHNIQUES	67
2.4.5.1 <i>Ethnography</i>	68
2.4.5.2 <i>Observation</i>	68
2.4.5.3 <i>Protocol Analysis</i>	69
2.4.5.4 <i>Apprenticing</i>	69
2.4.5.5 <i>Prototyping</i>	69
2.4.6 SECTION DISCUSSION	70
2.5 REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION APPROACHES	73
2.5.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	73
2.5.2 MODELLING APPROACHES	73
2.5.2.1 <i>Goals</i>	74
2.5.2.2 <i>Scenarios</i>	75
2.5.2.3 <i>Use Cases</i>	75

2.5.2.4 Viewpoints.....	76
2.5.2.5 Dialogs.....	77
2.5.3 COMBINATIONAL APPROACHES	77
2.5.3.1 Zooming	78
2.5.3.2 The Inquiry Cycle.....	78
2.5.3.3 SCRAM.....	79
2.5.3.4 Critical Success Chains (CSC)	80
2.5.3.5 Best Practice Guides.....	80
2.5.4 COLLABORATIVE APPROACHES.....	81
2.5.4.1 Joint Application Development (JAD).....	81
2.5.4.2 PIECES	82
2.5.4.3 Creative Problem Solving (CPS)	82
2.5.4.4 Cooperative Requirements Capture (CRC).....	83
2.5.4.5 DSDM	83
2.5.5 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES	84
2.5.5.1 Structured Analysis and Design (SAD).....	84
2.5.5.2 Unified Modelling Language (UML).....	85
2.5.5.3 Soft Systems Methodology (SSM).....	86
2.5.5.4 Quality Functional Deployment (QFD).....	86
2.5.5.5 Agile Methods	87
2.5.6 SOCIAL APPROACHES	87
2.5.6.1 User Centred Design (UCD)	88
2.5.6.3 ETHICS.....	89
2.5.6.3 WinWin.....	89
2.5.7 SECTION DISCUSSION.....	90
2.6 REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION TOOLS.....	93
2.6.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	93
2.6.2 BASIC TOOLS	93
2.6.2.1 Template Tools.....	94
2.6.2.2 Management Tools.....	94
2.6.2.3 Diagramming Tools	95
2.6.2.4 Survey Tools.....	95
2.6.3 METHOD TOOLS	96

2.6.3.1 Goal-based Tools	96
2.6.3.2 Modeling Tools	97
2.6.3.3 Graphical User Interface (GUI) Tools	98
2.6.3.4 Scenario-based Tools.....	98
2.6.3.5 Knowledge Acquisition Tools	99
2.6.4 COGNITIVE TOOLS	99
2.6.4.1 <i>The Requirements Apprentice</i>	100
2.6.4.2 ACME/PRIME.....	100
2.6.4.3 KBRA.....	101
2.6.4.4 <i>AbstFinder</i>	101
2.6.4.5 KBRAS.....	102
2.6.4.6 RECAP.....	102
2.6.4.7 FRED	103
2.6.5 PLATFORM TOOLS	103
2.6.5.1 AMORE.....	103
2.6.5.2 CRETA	104
2.6.5.3 WRET	104
2.6.5.4 ADREAM.....	105
2.6.5.5 RETH.....	105
2.6.6 COLLABORATIVE TOOLS	106
2.6.6.1 <i>GroupSystems</i>	106
2.6.6.2 <i>Hyper Minutes</i>	107
2.6.6.3 <i>Centra Live</i>	107
2.6.6.4 <i>TeamWave Workplace</i>	108
2.6.6.5 <i>iBistro</i>	109
2.6.6.6 <i>Compendium</i>	109
2.6.7 SECTION DISCUSSION	110
2.7 CHAPTER SUMMARY	113
CHAPTER 3: A SURVEY OF PRACTICE.....	116
3.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	116
3.2 PRACTICE IN THE LITERATURE	117
3.2.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	117

3.2.2 WHY IS REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION SO HARD?	117
3.2.3 ROLES OF THE ANALYST.....	119
3.2.3.1 <i>Manager</i>	119
3.2.3.2 <i>Analyst</i>	120
3.2.3.3 <i>Facilitator</i>	120
3.2.3.4 <i>Mediator</i>	121
3.2.3.5 <i>Developer</i>	121
3.2.3.6 <i>Documenter</i>	121
3.2.3.7 <i>Validator</i>	122
3.2.4 CURRENT TRENDS IN PRACTICE	122
3.2.5 COMMON ISSUES AND CHALLENGES	125
3.2.5.1 <i>Processes and Projects</i>	125
3.2.5.2 <i>Communication and Understanding</i>	126
3.2.5.3 <i>Stakeholders and Sources</i>	126
3.2.5.4 <i>Experts versus Novices</i>	127
3.2.5.5 <i>Quality of Results</i>	128
3.2.5.6 <i>Research versus Practice</i>	128
3.2.6 SECTION SUMMARY	129
3.3 IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWS WITH EXPERTS	132
3.3.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	132
3.3.2 METHOD	132
3.3.2.1 <i>Determine specific research goals</i>	133
3.3.2.2 <i>Establish participant criteria</i>	133
3.3.2.3 <i>Develop the questionnaire</i>	134
3.3.2.4 <i>Pilot study and refinement</i>	135
3.3.2.5 <i>Contact potential participants</i>	135
3.3.2.6 <i>Data collection and analysis</i>	136
3.3.3 RESULTS	137
3.3.3.1 <i>General Information</i>	137
3.3.3.2 <i>Experts and Novices</i>	139
3.3.3.3 <i>Process Guidelines</i>	141
3.3.3.4 <i>Tool Support</i>	142
3.3.3.5 <i>Approach Evaluation</i>	143

3.3.3.6 Feedback.....	145
3.3.4 DISCUSSION	145
3.3.5 SECTION SUMMARY	147
3.4 ONLINE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR NOVICES	148
3.4.1 SECTION OVERVIEW.....	148
3.4.2 METHOD	148
3.4.2.1 Determine specific research goals.....	149
3.4.2.2 Establish participant criteria.....	149
3.4.2.3 Develop the questionnaire	150
3.4.2.4 Pilot study and refinement.....	151
3.4.2.5 Contact potential participants	152
3.4.2.6 Data collection and analysis.....	152
3.4.3 RESULTS	153
3.4.3.1 General Information	153
3.4.3.2 State of Practice.....	156
3.4.3.3 Techniques and Tools	160
3.4.3.4 Assistance and Support.....	163
3.4.4 DISCUSSION	165
3.4.5 SECTION SUMMARY	167
3.5 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	169
CHAPTER 4: THE OUTSET APPROACH.....	171
4.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	171
4.2 BACKGROUND	172
4.3 META-LEVELS OF THE APPROACH.....	174
4.4 PROCESS MODEL FOR THE APPROACH	177
4.5 OUTSET APPROACH IN ACTION	183
STEP 1: PROJECT CHARACTERISATION	183
<i>Definition Type</i>	183
<i>Domain Type</i>	183
<i>Deliverable Type</i>	184
STEP 2: METHOD CONSTRUCTION	184

<i>Info Types</i>	184
<i>Tasks</i>	185
<i>Sources</i>	186
<i>Techniques</i>	187
STEP 3: METHOD EXECUTION	188
<i>The Scoping Phase</i>	189
<i>The High-level Phase</i>	191
<i>The Detailed Phase</i>	192
4.6 DISCUSSION	195
4.7 CHAPTER SUMMARY	197
CHAPTER 5: THE MUSTER TOOL	198
5.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	198
5.2 BACKGROUND	199
5.3 DEVELOPMENT OF THE TOOL	202
5.3.1 HIGH-LEVEL REQUIREMENTS	202
5.3.2 ARCHITECTURE AND TECHNOLOGIES	203
5.3.3 DATA REPOSITORY	205
5.3.4 USER INTERFACE.....	205
5.3.5 DATABASE MENU	207
5.3.6 TOOLS MENU	207
5.3.7 ADMINISTRATION MENU.....	208
5.3.8 MISCELLANEOUS MENU.....	209
5.4 PLUG-INS FOR THE TOOL	211
5.4.1 PLUG-IN ARCHITECTURE.....	211
5.4.2 CREATING PLUG-INS	213
5.4.3 PLUG-IN EXAMPLE	213
5.4.4 DEVELOPED PLUG-INS.....	215
5.4.4.1 <i>New Requirements Elicitation Project</i>	215
5.4.4.2 <i>Requirements Elicitation Workshop</i>	215
5.4.4.3 <i>Select Info Types</i>	216
5.4.4.4 <i>Select Goal Subtasks</i>	216

5.4.4.5 Goal Investigation.....	216
5.4.4.6 Example BIS Constraints.....	217
5.4.4.7 Use Case Questionnaire	217
5.4.4.8 IEEE Functional Headers.....	217
5.4.4.9 Features Questionnaire	218
5.4.4.10 Feature Investigation Questionnaire.....	218
5.4.4.11 Non-functional Requirements	218
5.4.4.12 Example BIS Non-functional Requirements.....	218
5.5 MUSTER TOOL IN ACTION	220
5.5.1 PREPARATION	220
5.5.2 PERFORMANCE.....	222
5.5.3 PRESENTATION.....	224
5.6 DISCUSSION	226
5.7 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	228
CHAPTER 6: EMPIRICAL EVALUATIONS	229
6.1 CHAPTER OVERVIEW	229
6.2 EVALUATION FRAMEWORK.....	230
6.3 LAAS CASE STUDY.....	236
6.3.1 PROCEDURES.....	237
6.3.2 RESULTS	238
6.3.2.1 <i>Elicited Information</i>	238
6.3.2.2 <i>Observation Notes</i>	239
6.3.2.3 <i>Feedback Questionnaire</i>	240
6.3.3 DISCUSSION	244
6.4 INSA CASE STUDY EXPERIMENT.....	246
6.4.1 PROCEDURES.....	247
6.4.2 RESULTS	248
6.4.2.1 <i>Elicited Information</i>	248
6.4.2.2 <i>Observation Notes</i>	249
6.4.2.3 <i>Feedback Questionnaire</i>	251

6.4.3 DISCUSSION	255
6.5 IUT EXPERIMENT	257
6.5.1 PROCEDURES.....	258
6.5.2 RESULTS	260
6.5.2.1 <i>Elicited Information</i>	260
6.5.2.2 <i>Observation Notes</i>	263
6.5.2.3 <i>Feedback Questionnaire</i>	264
6.5.3 DISCUSSION	270
6.6 CROSS EVALUATION DISCUSSION.....	273
6.7 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	276
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS	277
7.1 SUMMARY DISCUSSION.....	277
7.2 RESEARCH GOALS	281
7.3 RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS	283
7.4 FUTURE WORK	287
APPENDIX A: INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE.....	290
APPENDIX B: CONSENT FORM	291
APPENDIX C: EXPERT INTERVIEW QUESTIONS	293
APPENDIX D: ONLINE NOVICE QUESTIONNAIRE.....	296
APPENDIX E: REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION	301
APPENDIX F: FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE.....	315
APPENDIX G: OBSERVATION SHEET	317
REFERENCES.....	318

ABSTRACT

Requirements elicitation is a fundamental part of the software development process, and widely regarded as one of its more challenging activities. Many of the current techniques, approaches, and tools are either unknown or too complex for novices, resulting in a significant gap between requirements elicitation theory and practice. Just as important, is the current gap between expert and novice analysts, which can be attributed to the extensive skill set and range of experiences that is often required to successfully conduct this difficult yet vital activity. Consequently, in this research we investigated both the state of the art and the state of practice, in order to develop and evaluate an approach and a tool to support novice analysts elicit requirements for software systems in a workshop environment.

The first stage of the research was a literature review, which involved a thorough review and critical analysis of existing theory on and around the area of requirements elicitation. This was followed by a survey of practice, which consisted of in-depth interviews with experts, and an online questionnaire for novices, used to elicit approach guidelines and tool features. The OUTSET approach was then designed, and the supporting tool MUSTER constructed. The final stage of the research involved the evaluation of the approach and tool through a case study, case study experiment, and formal experiment.

The empirical evaluations conducted showed that using the MUSTER tool improved the overall effectiveness of the requirements elicitation process, while the underlying OUTSET approach improved the overall efficiency. It was also shown that the combination of the approach and tool provided a collective system that was both useful and useable. In the experiment conducted, the MUSTER tool received more than three times the score of the manual tool for effectiveness, and more than double for usability. In addition, the combination of research methods used, and the successful application of Situational Method Engineering (SME) and Group Support System (GSS) principles for the approach and tool respectively, was both novel and unique from any other previous work on requirements elicitation.