#### UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

# THE CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS OF

## **ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE**

By Thomas Hope

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Information Technology

2015

#### DEDICATION

For my Anna-Lisa who put up with so much for so long and for the two other Tom Hopes I know. My father whose life's circumstances conspired against his education and my son, in the hope that one day it might inspire him.

### **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP / ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged in the text.

I also certify that I am the author of this the thesis and that any help that I have received in my research and preparation of this thesis has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication. Signature of Candidate

Copyright © 2015 by Thomas L. Hope permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page.

Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than Thomas L Hope must be honoured.

Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Professor Eng Chew, without whom this research would never have been completed, for his patience and guidance. I would also like to thank my many colleagues who gave so generously of their time and knowledge.

#### **OVERVIEW CONTENTS**

ABBRE	VIATIONS and TERMS
ABSTR	ACT xvi
1	INTRODUCTION1 -
2	RESEARCH DESIGN AND DATA COLLECTION 21 -
3	LITERATURE REVIEW AND ANALYSIS 39 -
4	SURVEY ANALYSIS 101 -
5	THE INTERVIEWS 112 -
6	FINDINGS 131 -
7	CONCLUSIONS 186 -
8	DISCUSSION 195 -
9	BIBLIOGRAPHY 199 -
10	APPENDIX A - CLASSIFICATION TOOL 213 -
11	APPENDIX B – REFERENCED OBSERVATION SOURCES 224 -
12	APPENDIX C - SURVEY DATA 227 -
13	APPENDIX D – INTERVIEW METHOD 293 -
14	APPENDIX E – TRANSCRIPTS AND INTERVIEW NOTES 294 -
15	APPENDIX F – INTERVIEW ANALYSIS 418 -

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LIST OF	FIGU	RES	. <b>. xiii</b>
LIST OF	TABLI	ES	xiv
ABBRE	VIATIO	DNS and TERMS	xv
ABSTR	АСТ		xvi
1	INTRO	DUCTION	1 -
1.1	Mot	tivation	1 -
1.2	Dev	eloping the Question	2 -
1.3	Defi	initions	- 5 -
1.3	3.1	Architecture	- 5 -
1.3	3.2	Methodology	7 -
1.3	3.3	Programme	7 -
1.3	3.4	Agreed Programme Strategy	- 7 -
1.3	3.5	Alignment	7 -
1.3	3.6	Structuration Theory	7-
1.3	3.7	Organizational Capability	- 9 -
1.3	3.8	Organizational Routines, Practice and Mastery	10 -
1.3	3.9	Architecture as Practice	12 -
1.3	3.10	Communities of Practice	13 -
1.3	3.11	Situational Learning	14 -
1.3	3.12	Knowledge Brokers	14 -
1.3	3.13	Boundary Objects	14 -
1.3	3.14	Structure, Routines and Capability	15 -
1.3	3.15	Critical Success Factors	16 -

	1.4	Con	tribution of this Thesis	17 -
	1.5	Ben	efits	18 -
	1.6	Out	comes	19 -
	1.7	The	sis Organization	19 -
2	R	ESEA	RCH DESIGN AND DATA COLLECTION	21 -
	2.1	Ove	rview	21 -
	2.2	Para	adigms	23 -
	2.3	Desi	ign	24 -
	2.4	Proc	cess	25 -
	2.5	Met	hods	28 -
	2.5.	1	Literature Review	28 -
	2.5.	2	Use of Secondary Sources	28 -
	2.5.3	3	Data Classification Tool	28 -
	2.5.4	4	Data Classification	32 -
	2.5.	5	Survey	33 -
	2.5.	6	Interviews	34 -
	2.6	Axio	om	35 -
	2.7	Desi	ign Decisions	36 -
	2.8	Data	a Collection	37 -
	2.8.	1	Literary Sources	37 -
	2.8.	2	Literature	37 -
	2.8.	3	Survey	38 -
	2.8.4	4	Interviews	38 -
3	LI	TERA	ATURE REVIEW AND ANALYSIS	39 -
	3.1	Hist	orical Context	39 -
	3.2	Lite	rary Structure	48 -

3.3	Lite	rary Themes	- 52 -
3.3	3.1	Definition	- 53 -
3.3	3.2	Scope	- 55 -
3.3	3.3	Fragmentation	- 57 -
3.3	3.4	Case Studies	- 57 -
3.3	3.5	Competing Epistemologies	- 57 -
3.3	3.6	Evolution	- 58 -
3.4	Qua	ntitative Literature Analysis	- 61 -
3.5	The	Critical Success Factors	- 64 -
3.5	5.1	Strategy for Development	- 64 -
3.5	5.2	Formal Methodology	- 64 -
3.5	5.3	Architecture Tools	- 64 -
3.5	5.4	Monitoring and Compliance	- 64 -
3.5	5.5	Commitment and Motivation	- 65 -
3.5	5.6	Consultation and Communication	- 65 -
3.5	5.7	Empirical Summary	- 65 -
3.6	An A	Alternative View	- 66 -
3.7	Inte	rpreting the CSFs	- 67 -
3.8	The	Structure of Architecture Practice	- 71 -
3.8	8.1	Architectonic Activity	- 73 -
3.8	3.2	Architectonic Dynamics	- 80 -
3.8	3.3	Architectonic Activities and Organizational Routines	- 81 -
3.8	3.4	Architectonic Activities and Structuration	- 82 -
3.8	8.5	Corroborating the Architectonic Activities	- 83 -
3.8	8.6	Architectonic Activities and Organizational Capability	- 83 -
3.8	8.7	Routines, Methodology and Activities	- 85 -

	3.8.8		The Root of Certain Failure	- 88 -
	3.9 An 3.9.1		Organizational View	- 88 -
			Interpreting the Model	- 93 -
	3.10	The	Data	- 94 -
	3.10	).1	Realization Activity	- 94 -
	3.10	).2	Cultivation Activity	- 96 -
	3.10	).3	Assimilation Activity	- 97 -
	3.11	Sum	nmary	- 98 -
4	S	URVE	Y ANALYSIS	101 -
	4.1	Surv	/ey	101 -
	4.2	Surv	vey Organization	101 -
	4.3	Den	nographics	101 -
	4.3.1		Work History	101 -
	4.3.	2	Background	101 -
	4.3.	3	Education	102 -
	4.3.	4	Titles and Roles	102 -
	4.3.	5	Vocational Training	102 -
	4.3.	6	Vocational Training Summarized	104 -
	4.3.	7	Bodies of Knowledge	104 -
	4.3.	8	Certification	104 -
	4.4	Criti	ical Success Factors	105 -
	4.4.	1	Alignment with the Business	107 -
	4.4.	2	Coordination with Developers	107 -
	4.4.	3	Purpose of Architecture	107 -
	4.4.	4	Commitment to the Use of Architecture	108 -
	4.4.	5	Consultation and Communication	108 -

	4.4.6			Executing on CSFs 1	- 80.
	4.4.7			AS-IS State 1	.09 -
	4.5		Sum	mary 1	.09 -
5		тн	IE IN	TERVIEWS 1	.12 -
	5.1		The	Participants 1	.13 -
	5.2		Inter	rview Overviews and Analysis 1	.14 -
	5.3		The	Losing Interviews 1	.15 -
	5.	.3.1		IAN – A Federal Government Department 1	.15 -
	5.	.3.2		DAVE – A Large Insurance Company 1	.17 -
	5.4		The	Isolated Interview 1	.19 -
	5.	.4.1		PHIL – An Insurance Company 1	.19 -
	5.5		The	Barrier Interview 1	.20 -
	5.	.5.1		JIM – A Global Insurance Company 1	.20 -
	5.6		The	Enablers Interviews 1	.22 -
	5.	.6.1		ALAN – A State Government Department 1	.22 -
	5.	.6.2		DEAN – A Financial Services Company 1	.22 -
	5.	.6.3		PETE – A Senior Architect at a Big Bank1	.25 -
	5.	.6.4		FRED – Another Big Bank 1	.26 -
	5.7		Cons	sultant Interview 1	.27 -
	5.	.7.1		BILL – A Respected Consultant 1	.27 -
	5.8		Ther	mes 1	.28 -
	5.9		Inter	rviews Insights 1	.30 -
6		FI	NDIN	NGS 1	.31 -
	6.1		Epist	temology of Findings 1	.31 -
	6.2		Key	Findings 1	.32 -
	6.3		Para	ndigms 1	.32 -

6.4	Attr	-ibutes and Dialect	133 -
6.4	.1	Origin and Attributes	133 -
6.4	.2	Nature and Dialects	134 -
6.4	.3	Dialect and CSFs	- 135 -
6.4	.4	Evolution of Architecture	136 -
6.5	Env	ironment and Context	137 -
6.5	.1	Structure, Alignment and Authority	138 -
6.5	.2	Power and Dialogue	146 -
6.5	.3	Organizational Culture and Monitoring	- 147 -
6.5	.4	Contractors and Consultants	151 -
6.6	Arcl	hitecture Programme	152 -
6.6	5.1	Literature and Reality	152 -
6.6	.2	Organizational Capability	153 -
6.6	.3	Strategy and Legitimacy	155 -
6.6	.4	Leadership and the Development of Architecture	159 -
6.6	.5	Roles and Responsibilities	162 -
6.6	.6	Methodology	- 164 -
6.6	.7	An Appropriate Methodology is Communication	- 164 -
6.6	.8	Metric Relevance, Influence and Legitimacy	- 171 -
6.6	.9	Critical Success Factors	173 -
6.7	Pra	ctice	175 -
6.7	.1	Architects' Reality	- 175 -
6.7	.2	Architect Demographics	176 -
6.7	.3	Durée and Dialogue, Methodology and Routine	- 177 -
6.7	.4	Architects in the Durée	179 -
6.7	.5	Enablers and Losers	182 -

	6.7.6	5 Power, Trust and Commitment 2	183 -
7	С	ONCLUSIONS	186 -
7.1	L	Purpose Driven Architecture	186 -
	7.1.1	1 Cultivating 2	186 -
	7.1.2	2 Realizing	186 -
	7.1.3	3 Assimilating 2	186 -
7.2	2	Design and Action	187 -
	7.2.1	1 Sociological Schematic	188 -
	7.2.2	2 Architecture Practice Framework	189 -
7.3	3	A PDAP Approach	191 -
8	DI	ISCUSSION :	195 -
8.1	L	Overview	195 -
8.2	2	Discussion	196 -
8.3	3	Limitations of Research	197 -
8.4	ļ	Research Opportunities	197 -
9	BI	BLIOGRAPHY	199 -
10	AI	PPENDIX A - CLASSIFICATION TOOL	213 -
11	AI	PPENDIX B – REFERENCED OBSERVATION SOURCES	224 -
12	AI	PPENDIX C - SURVEY DATA	227 -
13	AI	PPENDIX D – INTERVIEW METHOD	293 -
14	AI	PPENDIX E – TRANSCRIPTS AND INTERVIEW NOTES	294 -
14	.1	Participant: IAN - Recording: VN860005	295 -
14	.2	Participant: DAVE - Recording: VN860006	313 -
14	.3	Participant: DAVE - Recording: VN860007	316 -
14	.4	Participant: DEAN – Recording: VN860013	322 -
14	.5	Participant: DEAN - Recording: VN860014	330 -

15	i A	PPENDIX F – INTERVIEW ANALYSIS 418 -
	14.14	Participant: DAVE - Notes from passing encounters 417 -
	14.13	Participant: PHIL -Notes from a single interview 415 -
	14.12	Participant: BILL - Recording: VN860041 397 -
	14.11	Participant: FRED - Recording: VN860022 383 -
	14.10	Participant: ALAN - Recording: VN860021 379 -
	14.9	Participant: ALAN - Recording: VN860020 362 -
	14.8	Participant: PETE - Recording: VN860017 352 -
	14.7	Participant: JIM - Recording: VN860016 340 -
	14.6	Participant: DEAN - Recording: VN860015 336 -

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: A Socio-technological Map of Architecture	15 -
Figure 2: Enterprise Architecture in Context	21 -
Figure 3: Information Systems Research Framework 2	25 -
Figure 4: Research Process	25 -
Figure 5: Research Map	27 -
Figure 6: Zachman Framework as Enterprise Ontology	40 -
Figure 7: Framework with Functioning System Perspective	41 -
Figure 8: Zachman Framework2	42 -
Figure 9: Evolution of Architecture Model	60 -
Figure 10: Tally of Sources Reporting Key Areas	62 -
Figure 11: Intersection of Literary CSFs	66 -
Figure 12: Business-IT Dialogue Model of CSFs	69 -
Figure 13: Practice Focus	72 -
Figure 14: Ontology of EA Practice	78 -
Figure 15: Mutual Constitution of Architectonic Activities	80 -
Figure 16: Architecture Practice and the Duality of Structure	82 -
Figure 17: Quadrant Model	83 -
Figure 18: Repositioning by Cultivation	87 -
Figure 19: Architecture Topography Model	90 -
Figure 20: CSFs Distribution across the Architecture Topography	91 -
Figure 21: CSFs Identified in the Literature as Percentage of Sources	05 -
Figure 22: Percentage of Surveyed that Rate Factors Important to Critical	05 -
Figure 23: Percentage of Surveyed that Rate Factors Important to Critical with Bogus Factors 10	06 -
Figure 24: CSFs Identified in the Survey 10	06 -
Figure 25: CSFs Execution 10	09 -
Figure 26: CSFs Executed Very Well or Excellently 10	09 -
Figure 27: Transcript Analysis1	13 -
Figure 28: Architectural Communication Model 10	69 -
Figure 29: Methodology, Routines, Activities, Dialogue and Durée	78 -
Figure 30: Sociological Schematic 18	88 -
Figure 31: Architecture Practice Framework 19	90 -

gure 32: PDAP Schematic	192	2 -

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Perspective-Based Definitions of Architecture	5 -
Table 2: Gregor's Taxonomy of IS Theories	22 -
Table 3: Examples of Observation Classifications	31 -
Table 4: Promoting the Builders' Paradigm	43 -
Table 5: Structure of the Literature	48 -
Table 6: Critical Success Factors	49 -
Table 7: Examples of Different Scopes Found in Commercial Methodology Publications	51 -
Table 8: Publications Demonstrating the Emergent Nature of EA	52 -
Table 9: Examples of Case Studies from Commercial Methodology Literature	57 -
Table 10: Literary Derived CSFs	62 -
Table 11: Activity Definitions	75 -
Table 12: Unified Architectonic Sources	76 -
Table 13: CSFs Mapped to Architectonic Activity	79 -
Table 14: Wognum and Ip-Shing's Aspect Definitions	89 -
Table 15: Realization Observations	94 -
Table 16: Cultivation Observations	96 -
Table 17: Assimilation Observations	97 -
Table 18: Survey Derived CSFs	107 -
Table 19 Principal Survey Points	111 -
Table 20: Interview Themes	129 -

### **ABBREVIATIONS and TERMS**

AoEA	Association of Enterprise Architects
BA	Business Analyst
BPM	Business Process Model
СОР	Community of Practice
CSF	Critical Success Factor
EA	Enterprise Architecture
GERA	Generic Enterprise Reference Architecture
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
IASA	International Association of Software Architects
IS	Information Systems
IT	Information Technology
PDAP	Purpose Driven Architecture Practice
PM	Project Manager
РМО	Project Management Office
SME	Subject Matter Expert
TOGAF	The Open Group Architecture Framework
UML	Unified Modelling Language

#### ABSTRACT

After more than twenty five years of development many organizations still struggle to harness Enterprise Architecture's potential with, according to the literature, perhaps only five per cent of them succeeding.

Seeking the critical success factors (CSFs) of Enterprise Architecture, the research begins with a systematic analysis, to minimize subjectivity, of an eclectic but extensive collection of literature. With few extant sources directly addressing the question much of the data is discursive. Overall, this methodology-centric literature offers, as a result of an ascendant "Builders' paradigm", a plethora of advice on WHAT artefacts to create and HOW to create them, but little on the socially constructed realities of architecture.

While an initial list of CSFs is derived from the literature, tainted by the methodological discourse, they are individually inadequate and collectively less than a compelling explanation of this complex sociotechnical phenomenon. So, concluding that EA's historical development has resulted in a deficient body of knowledge, and influenced by Hevner, March, Park and Ram's (2004) call for alignment with real world experience, the research embarks on the transdisciplinary engagement of primary sources, architects. Over 200 architects from 20 countries and 16 industries were surveyed while architects from both successful and failed programmes were interviewed.

The subsequent analytical integration and interpretation of literary, survey and interview data creates a new rich empirically-founded resource for researchers to exploit and extend that suggests the origins of many of the salient features of architecture. From this integrated analysis an insightful understanding of EA "practice" emerges – in the sense of a "tacit mastery" of the architects. The analysis concludes that the cultivation of a legitimized, purposeful, and socially reproduced *practice*, by the actions of the architects, *is* the foundation of success.

The core contribution of the research is a new sociologically-centric body of knowledge called Purpose Driven Architecture Practice (PDAP). This is a significant alternative 'paradigm' to the prevailing artefact centricity that dominates architecture. PDAP employs empirically substantiated success factors to provide a socio-centric practice framework that management and architects can use to develop an *"enabling"* enterprise architecture programme.

The thesis closes with a call for further research into the sociological aspects of architecture.