1 2	Response to "Comment on 'Seagrass Viviparous Propagules as a Potential Long-Distance Dispersal Mechanism' by A. C. G. Thomson et al."
3 4	Alexandra C. G. Thomson ^{1,*} , Paul H. York ^{2,3} , Tim M. Smith ^{2,4} , Craig D. H. Sherman ² , David J. Booth ⁵ , Michael J. Keough ⁴ , D. Jeff Ross ⁶ , Peter I. Macreadie ^{1,7}
5	¹ Plant Functional Biology and Climate Change Cluster (C3), University of Technology Sydney, Broadway NSW 2007,
6 7 8 9 10	Australia, ² Centre of Integrative Ecology, Deakin University, 75 Pigdons Road Waurn Ponds, VIC 3216, Australia, ³ Centre for Tropical Water and Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER), James Cook University, Cairns QLD 4870, Australia, ⁴ Department of Zoology, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia
11 12 13	 ⁵ School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Broadway NSW 2007, Australia, ⁶ Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart TAS 7001, Australia, ⁷ Centre for Integrative Ecology, Deakin University, 221 Burwood Hwy, Burwood 3125, VIC, Australia.
14	*Email: Alexandra.C.Thomson@student.uts.edu.au
15	Our original article (Thomson et al. 2014) presented data exploring Zostera nigricaulis
16	asexually-produced vegetative propagules as a potential long-distance dispersal mechanism for
17	seagrasses. We found that the vegetative propagules of Z. nigricaulis were able to maintain buoyancy
18	and photosynthetic health for more than 85 days, which suggested capacity for long-distance
19	dispersal. While long-term establishment of propagules in situ was not successful due to poor seasonal
20	conditions, highly-successful establishment and growth in mesocosm-based experiments gave support
21	for positive establishment opportunities. Resilience of seagrass meadows relies on the ability of
22	seagrass to successfully recolonise denuded areas or disperse to new areas (Macreadie et al. 2014),
23	and this research demonstrated that although successful establishment may be rare, vegetative
24	propagules show re-establishment potential for declining seagrass populations. These results are
25	consistent with results found by Stafford-Bell et al. (2015), where prolonged dispersal of Zostera
26	muelleri vegetative fragments was predicted.
27	Sinclair et al. (2015) argue that, in the case of Thomson et al. (2014), seagrass vivipary has
28	been confused with vegetative (clonal) growth. We agree that the term vivipary was not the
29	appropriate term to use when referring to an asexually derived propagule. We originally used the term
30	to try and distinguish the specialised asexually-produced Z. nigricaulis vegetative propagules from
31	adult plants becoming dislodged from the sediment and split into smaller fractions due to disturbance.
32	We agree that these structures should be referred to as (asexual) vegetative propagules, and welcome
33	the opportunity to correct this error.
34	Further to this, Sinclair et al. (2015) contend that the genetic distinctiveness of propagules
35	was not appropriately demonstrated, and suggest testing the genetic distinctiveness of the propagules
36	as a way to determine if they were in fact viviparous. We disagree, as each propagule was individually
37	picked from the parent plant, as stated in the methods. We have already conceded that the propagules
38	are vegetative, and therefore this comment loses its relevance.
39	Sinclair et al. (2015) also assert that potential vegetative propagule dispersal over long
40	distances was not supported by appropriate data. We disagree and believe this was suitably addressed

1

- 41 by showing that propagules maintained health and buoyancy over an extended (> 3 months) period.
- 42 While we did not experimentally demonstrate dispersal, we did show evidence of long-term survival,
- 43 both on the water surface and while planted in mesocosm experiments. Although the *in situ*
- 44 experiments failed to establish, the number of vegetative propagules trialled were extremely small,
- 45 given the number of propagules that are produced in natural seagrass meadows annually.
- Contrary to the evidence presented in Thomson et al. (2014), Sinclair et al. (2015) maintain
 that vegetative propagules would not be capable of establishment or survival *in situ*. Whilst we agree
 that establishment *in situ* was unsuccessful in this occasion, it was largely due to propagule
- dislodgement caused by poor weather conditions and sediment scouring, rather than mortality. We do
- 50 not believe this demonstrates that propagules are incapable of establishment and survival. Rather, the
- 51 evidence suggests that in this case the original point of propagule settlement did not provide adequate
- 52 conditions for establishment. Our research successfully showed that given the right conditions,
- propagules can survive within a new environment, as displayed by the 100% survival rate in the
- mesocosm transplantation. While Sinclair et al. (2015) acknowledge this, the significance of our result
 is completely undermined by the overall conclusion reached by Sinclair et al. (2015), where they state
 that propagules are not capable of establishment and survival.
- 57 Lastly, we disagree with Sinclair et al. (2015) that the spreading of existing clones would not introduce genetic variability into existing meadows. If given the chance to successfully disperse long-58 59 distances, an asexual-produced vegetative propagule has the potential to bring new genetic material to 60 a genetically distinct meadow, which would only require a low establishment rate to be biologically 61 and genetically significant (Rousset 1997; Slatkin 1987). As a result, the movement of asexual 62 propagules between locations can facilitate enhanced genetic diversity if those propagules are able to successfully recruit and sexually reproduce within the new population. Additionally, if those 63 64 propagules carry novel alleles, they will contribute new gene variants to the population and contribute to increasing both genetic and genotypic diversity. 65
- We agree with Sinclair et al. (2015) that utilising correct biological definitions is essential for
 understanding the biology and variation in seagrass meadows; however, we reassert our findings that
 Z. nigricaulis asexually-produced vegetative propagules show potential as a long-distance dispersal
 mechanism.

2

70 **REFERENCES**

- Macreadie, P.I., P.H. York, and C.D. Sherman. 2014. Resilience of Zostera muelleri seagrass to small scale disturbances: the relative importance of asexual versus sexual recovery. *Ecology and evolution* 4: 450-461.
- Rousset, F. 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation
 by distance. *Genetics* 145: 1219-1228.
- Sinclair, E.A., R. Hovey, J. Statton, M.W. Fraser, M.L. Cambridge, and G.A. Kendrick. 2015.
 Comment on 'Seagrass Viviparous Propagules as a Potential Long-Distance Dispersal
 Mechanism'by ACG Thomson et al. *Estuaries and Coasts*: 1-4.
- Slatkin, M. 1987. Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. *Science* 236: 787 792.
- Stafford-Bell, R., A. Chariton, and R. Robinson. 2015. Prolonged buoyancy and viability of Zostera
 muelleri Irmisch ex Asch. vegetative fragments indicate a strong dispersal potential. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 464: 52-57.
- Thomson, A.C., P.H. York, T.M. Smith, C.D. Sherman, D.J. Booth, M.J. Keough, D.J. Ross, and P.I.
 Macreadie. 2014. Seagrass Viviparous Propagules as a Potential Long-Distance Dispersal
 Mechanism. *Estuaries and Coasts*: 1-14.