

Radiation Damage
Effects within Materials

By

Joel Davis

A Thesis Submitted for the degree of
Master of Science by Research

University of Technology, Sydney

2015

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student Joel Davis:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Matthew Phillips (UTS), Dr Richard Wuhrer (UWS) and Dr Greg Lumpkin (ANSTO) for their support and assistance throughout this project.

I would also like to thank Dr Ken Short and Mihail Ionescu (ANSTO) for assistance with ion implantation on the PI³ and STAR accelerators.

I would like to thank Mark Blackford and Robert Aughterson (ANSTO) for their assistance with transmission electron microscopy.

I would like to make an extended special thanks to Dr Ric Wuhrer for his friendship, motivation and technical contributions during this project, without his assistance, time and effort this project would not have been possible.

Finally I would like to thank the ANSTO department of Materials Engineering for supporting me through this project and allowing access to all the instrumentation required.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.....	I
CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
TABLE OF ACRONYMS.....	X
ABSTRACT.....	XII
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Significance of this Research.....	2
1.3 Aims and Objectives.....	2
1.4 Statement of Main Findings.....	3
1.5 Publications arising from this Work.....	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW: NUCLEAR REACTORS & MATERIALS.....	6
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Nuclear Reactors.....	6
2.3 Current Reactor Materials.....	9
2.4 New Reactor Materials.....	10
3 Literature Review RADIATION DAMAGE.....	16
3.1 Introduction.....	16
3.2 Types of Radiation Damage.....	16
3.3 Radiation Damage Characterization.....	18
4 EXPERIMENTAL: RADIATION DAMAGE & TESTING METHODS.....	28
4.1 Introduction.....	28
4.2 Ion Implantation Versus Neutron Irradiation.....	28
4.3 Plasma Immersion Ion Implantation (PI ³).....	29
4.4 Small Tandem for Applied Research (STAR) Accelerator.....	31
4.5 Materials Selection.....	32
4.6 Instrumentation Selected.....	34
5 EXPERIMENTAL: CHARACTERISATION OF MATERIALS.....	35
5.1 Introduction.....	35
5.2 Materials.....	35
5.3 Pre Irradiation Sample Preparation.....	35
5.4 Characterisation of Materials.....	36
5.4.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).....	36
5.4.2 SEM Secondary Electron Imaging.....	36
5.4.3 SEM Backscattered Electron and Backscattered Electron Channeling Contrast Imaging.....	37
5.4.4 Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS).....	37
5.5 Atomic Force Microscopy Analysis (AFM).....	38
5.6 Microhardness Measurement.....	38

5.7	Electron Backscatter Diffraction (EBSD).....	38
5.8	Computer Modeling of Damage (SRIM).....	39
5.9	Cross Sectional Sample Preparation.....	39
5.10	Mechanical Polishing.....	39
5.10.1	Cross Sectional Ion Beam Polishing.....	40
5.10.2	Focused Ion Beam (FIB).....	40
5.10.3	Precision Ion Beam Polishing (PIPS).....	41
5.11	TEM Sample Preparation.....	41
5.11.1	Focused Ion Beam.....	41
5.12	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Characterisation.....	42
6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	43
6.1	Introduction.....	43
6.2	First Initial Experiment.....	43
6.3	Second Initial Experiment:.....	51
6.4	Investigation of various steels.....	57
6.4.1	Investigation of 430 Grade Stainless Steel.....	58
6.4.2	Investigation of 304 Grade Stainless Steel.....	60
6.4.3	Investigation of 2205 Grade Stainless Steel.....	62
6.4.4	Investigation of MA956 Grade Stainless Steel.....	66
6.5	STAR Accelerator Results.....	69
6.5.1	Further investigation of the 2205 Duplex Steel.....	72
6.6	Cross-section Method Development for Shallow Damage Layers.....	76
6.6.1	Mechanical Polishing.....	76
6.6.2	Large-Scale Ion Beam Cross Sectional Polishing.....	77
6.6.3	Cross Section Preparation Using Focused Ion Beam.....	79
6.6.4	Cross Section Preparation Using Precision Ion Beam Polishing.....	80
6.6.5	EBSD study of irradiated 316 stainless steel cross section.....	82
6.7	Sample Preparation for Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	84
6.8	Transmission Electron Microscopy Results.....	86
6.9	Chapter Conclusion.....	90
7	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK.....	92

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Schematic diagram of a nuclear power plant using a pressurised-water reactor, the most common commercially used type of fission power reactor. [Encyclopaedia Britannica Inc.]	7
Figure 2-2: The ITER tokamak reactor design. The fuel is contained in a doughnut-shaped vessel and heated to 10 times the temperature of the sun’s core, forming a plasma. [iter.org].....	8
Figure 3-1: The energy spectrum for neutrons taken from a variety of reactor types and a monoenergetic proton beam. Reproduced from Stoller, R. E.; Greenwood, L. R. J. Nucl. Mater. 1999, 271–272, 57–62.	20
Figure 3-2: Primary knock-on atom (PKA) damage fraction produced as a function of PKA energy for three neutron spectra (DEMO, IFMIF, and HFR) compared with ion-beams (20MeV and 10MeV heavy ions). Serruys et al. (2008) [38].....	21
Figure 4-1: Plasma immersion ion implantation (PI ³) instrument used for this project, which is housed at the Institute of Materials Engineering, ANSTO.	30
Figure 4-2: Schematic diagram showing the plasma immersion ion implantation (PI ³) process [54].	31
Figure 4-3: The STAR accelerator used for this project, which is housed at the Centre for Accelerator Science, ANSTO.....	32
Figure 6-1: Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) showing some evidence (13 nm) of sputtering and surface degradation was measured when using argon ions.	44
Figure 6-2: Optical image showing the regions where instrumented indentation was performed in the shielded and irradiated regions.....	44
Figure 6-3: Results from the instrumented indentation to a maximum load of 250 mN as performed on the shielded region of the argon implanted steel.	45
Figure 6-4: Results from the instrumented indentation to a maximum load of 250 mN as performed on the unshielded region of the argon implanted steel.	45
Figure 6-5: EBSD Map of 316 stainless steel before ion implantation. Map shows euler angle colouring with a very high pattern indexing rate.	46
Figure 6-6: Backscattered electron image showing the irradiated and shielded regions and also the region where the EBSD map was taken from on the polished stainless steel surface. Argon ions were used for implantation.	47
Figure 6-7: Corresponding EBSD map showing the loss of EBSD pattern indexing in the irradiated region (top of map).	48
Figure 6-8: Backscattered electron image showing the irradiated and shielded regions and also the region where the EBSD map was taken from on the polished stainless steel surface. Helium ions were used for implantation.	49
Figure 6-9: Corresponding EBSD map showing the loss of EBSD pattern in the irradiated region (right hand side of the map). Note the area mapped was shielded using a silicon wafer and gave a gradient of damage across the mapped region unlike the hard edge given by the TEM grid used in figure 6.8.	49
Figure 6-10: EDS spectrum showing argon present in the stainless steel ~0.2 weight % was found. However, this may not be considered representative of the true concentration as the interaction volume of X-ray generation will be predominantly below the region of deposited argon into the surface of the steel. As a result the fraction of argon present maybe higher.	50
Figure 6-11: Secondary electron image showing the irradiated region.....	52
Figure 6-12: Corresponding EBSD line scans showing the EBSD pattern is still present in the irradiated region.....	53

Figure 6-13: Secondary electron image showing the cross-section of the irradiated region mounted in epoxy resin and polished to a 1 micron diamond finish. Figure 6-14 below shows this region in high magnification.....	54
Figure 6-14: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image showing the cross-section of the irradiated region and a line of radiation damage caused by the helium ions approximately 10µm under the surface. Scratches remain in the top edge of the cross section due to edge rounding during mechanical polishing.....	55
Figure 6-15: Secondary electron image showing the cross-section of the irradiated sample after heavy etching. The line of radiation damage caused by the helium ions approximately 10µm under the surface has been completely etched away.	56
Figure 6-16: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image showing the irradiated and shielded regions of the 430 grade stainless steel. The region where the EBSD map was taken from on the polished stainless steel surface is also shown. Helium ions were used for implantation.....	59
Figure 6-17: EBSD orientation map showing the partial indexing of the EBSD pattern in the non-shielded irradiated region (lower half) of the 430 grade stainless steel.	60
Figure 6-18: Backscattered electron channelling contrast image showing the irradiated (lower) and shielded region (upper) of the 304 grade stainless steel. Helium ions were used for implantation.	61
Figure 6-19: EBSD orientation map showing the complete loss of indexing of the EBSD pattern in the irradiated region (lower half) of the 304 grade stainless steel.....	62
Figure 6-20: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image showing the irradiated and shielded regions of the 2205 grade stainless steel. The region where the EBSD map was taken from on the polished stainless steel surface is also shown. Helium ions were used for implantation.....	63
Figure 6-21: EBSD orientation map showing the partial or complete loss of pattern indexing from the grains in the irradiated region (lower half) of the 2205 grade steel.	64
Figure 6-22: EBSD phase map showing the irradiated region (lower half) of the 2205 grade steel. The red colour is the FCC phase and the blue colour is the BCC phase. We can see that in the irradiated region (lower half) that the FCC phase was not able to be indexed however the BCC phase still shows partial indexing.	65
Figure 6-23: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image showing the irradiated (lower) and shielded region (upper) of the MA956 grade stainless steel. Helium ions were used for implantation.....	67
Figure 6-24: EBSD orientation map showing the partial indexing of the EBSD pattern in the irradiated region (lower half) of the MA956 grade stainless steel.....	68
Figure 6-25: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image of the 430 grade steel showing the cross-section of the irradiated region and a line of radiation damage caused by the helium ions approximately 9.7 µm under the surface.	69
Figure 6-26: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image of the 304 grade steel showing the cross-section of the irradiated region and a line of radiation damage caused by the helium ions approximately 9.6 µm under the surface.	70
Figure 6-27: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image of the 2205 grade duplex steel showing the cross-section of the irradiated region and a line of radiation damage caused by the helium ions approximately 7.6 µm under the surface.	71
Figure 6-28: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image of the MA956 grade ODS steel showing the cross-section of the irradiated region and a line of radiation damage caused by the helium ions approximately 8 µm under the surface.....	72

Figure 6-29: Backscattered electron channeling contrast image of the 2205 grade duplex steel at high magnification showing the damage region caused by the helium ions at a grain boundary.....	73
Figure 6-30: EBSD phase map of the cross section of the 2205 grade duplex steel grain boundary region showing the BCC phase in blue on the left grain and the FCC phase in red on the right grain.....	74
Figure 6-31: X-ray mapping of the cross section of the 2205 grade duplex stainless steel showing the corresponding grains as seen in figure 6.30. The X-ray mapping shows which elements are specific to the BCC and FCC phases.....	75
Figure 6-32: Illustration demonstrating the Stopping Range of Ions in Matter (SRIM) calculations put the expected peak damage layer at a depth of ~ 150 nm for 316 stainless steel.....	76
Figure 6-33: The result of mechanical polishing of the cross sectioned stainless steel samples showing edge rounding well beyond the 100nm below surface area of interest. The area above the steel is an epoxy resin which is much softer and allows for the polishing rate to differ causing the rounding of the stainless steel edge.....	77
Figure 6-34: Schematic diagram showing the method of polishing performed in the large scale ion beam cross sectional polisher. [Hitachi High Technologies America, Inc. 2015].....	78
Figure 6-35: Blank stainless steel sample prepared using the JEOL cross sectional polisher with edge retention in the sub 100nm region.	79
Figure 6-36: SEM image of the partially milled cross section using the focused ion beam.....	80
Figure 6-37: Schematic diagram of the PIPS instrument [Gatan Inc.].....	81
Figure 6-38: Precision ion beam polished cross section of the 316 stainless steel un-irradiated test sample showing excellent edge retention in the sub 150nm region.....	82
Figure 6-39: Backscattered electron channelling contrast image showing the helium ion implantation damage layer in the PIPS prepared cross section with a depth that agrees with SRIM calculations.	83
Figure 6-40: EBSD band contrast map (left) and orientation map (right) of the cross section showing a partial loss of indexing in the damage region.	84
Figure 6-41: EBSD map of the area in the irradiated region to determine the grain boundary of interest prior to FIB milling.	86
Figure 6-42: Low magnification STEM image of the FIB prepared cross section showing the grain boundary of the helium implanted duplex stainless steel. BCC phase is the lower region; FCC phase is the upper region.....	88
Figure 6-43: High magnification TEM image showing the grain boundary between the two phases. We can see in this image the significant increase in the amount of defects in the FCC phase compared to the BCC phase.....	89
Figure 6-44: TEM images of the grain boundary between phases shown in under-focus (left) and over-focus (right) condition. The lower images are digitally blown up regions of the corresponding images above for ease of viewing. Fresnel-contrast imaging is used to reveal the voids and point defects in the damage layer.....	90

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Table showing commercial nuclear reactors currently in use and proposed designs [10 - 33].	9
Table 4-1: Table showing materials used in various types of nuclear reactors. M.T. Simnad, Nuclear reactor materials and fuels, in Encyclopedia of Physical Science and Technology, Third Edition, R.A. Meyers (Ed.), Academic Press, 2001, pp. 775 – 815.	33
Table 5-1: Compositions and crystal structures of the stainless steel materials being studied in this project.	35
Table 6-1: Compositions and crystal structures of the stainless steel materials being studied in this project.	58

TABLE OF ACRONYMS

AFM	Atomic force microscopy
AGR	Advanced gas-cooled reactor
ANSTO	Australian nuclear science and technology organisation
ASB	Angular selective backscatter
BCC	Body centred cubic
BSECCI	Backscattered electron channelling contrast imaging
BSEI	Backscattered electron imaging
BWR	Boiling water reactor
DEMO	DEMONstration power plant (relating to ITER)
EBS	Electron backscatter diffraction
EDS	Energy dispersive spectroscopy
FBR	Fast neutron reactor
FCC	Face centred cubic
FFTF	Fast flux test facility
FIB	Focused ion beam
HFIR	High flux isotope reactor
HFR	High flux reactor
IFMIF	International fusion materials irradiation facility
ITER	International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor
LWGR	Light water graphite reactor
Magnox	Magnesium non-oxidising
ODS	Oxide dispersed steel
PBR	Pebble-bed reactor
PHWR	Pressurised heavy water reactor
PI ³	Plasma immersion ion implantation
PIPS	Precision ion beam polishing
PKA	Primary knock-on atom
PWR	Pressurised water reactor
RBMK	<i>reaktor bolshoy moshchnosty kanalny</i> , high-power channel reactor
RBS	Rutherford backscattering spectrometry
RF	Radio frequency

SCWR	Super critical water cooled reactor
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SFT	Stacking fault tetrahedra
SRIM	Stopping range of ions in matter
STAR	Small tandem for applied research accelerator
STEM	Scanning transmission electron microscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
TRISO	Tri-isotopic fuels
WDS	Wavelength dispersive spectroscopy
XRD	X-Ray diffraction

ABSTRACT

Radiation damage effects within materials are a key area of research as we strive to build longer lasting, safer and more economical nuclear reactors. Proposed materials must be tested in an appropriate manor to determine their suitability for these applications. The use of neutrons as a means to simulate a reactor environment is extremely slow and costly. Charged particle implantation has been used as a rapid, cost effective method that can be tailored to meet specific experimental requirements. We must, however, understand the fundamental differences between neutron and charged particle radiation damage studies before we can draw conclusions from the results.

Electron microscopy has long been a very important tool for the characterisation of radiation damage; used with complimentary techniques the electron microscope and its associated detectors can give insight into the mechanisms of damage like no other instrument. This research aims to gain an understanding of the radiation damage tolerance of structural reactor materials and ideally fill a gap in the knowledge base of using scanning electron microscopy based techniques to characterise this damage.

A novel use of electron backscatter diffraction has been used to reveal trends in radiation damage studies of stainless steel reactor materials, this application of EBSD has not been seen before in the literature. Results from these studies show a clear difference in the tolerance to radiation damage of FCC and BCC crystal structures within the stainless steels. Significant advances have been made in the development of cross sectional sample preparation methods enabling the findings of these studies using EBSD to be validated by traditional transmission electron microscopy techniques.