



Founded 1988

**AUGMENTED REALITY SYSTEM FOR
REHABILITATION: NEW APPROACH BASED
ON HUMAN INTERACTION AND
BIOFEEDBACK**

YEE MON AUNG
(B.Eng & M.Sc.)

THIS THESIS IS SUBMITTED
FOR THE REQUIREMENT OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY TO
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING & INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY
JANUARY 2016

Certificate of Original Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Student Name: Yee Mon Aung

Production Note:

Signature of Student: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 24 Jan 2016

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Adel Al-Jumaily for his immense knowledge, continuous advice, insightful ideas and discussions, patience, motivation, and remarks on many occasions that are extremely helpful in improving my research work and thesis. Without his leadership and vision this work could not be possible.

My special thanks to non-clinical trials participants who provided me with their own EMG data for my research. Thanks also extend to all colleagues and staff members in the Center of Health Technology, University of Technology Sydney. I also place on record my sense of gratitude to one and all who directly or indirectly having lent me a helping hand during my candidature.

Special thanks and gratitude must go to my parents, Mr. Aung Thein and Ms. San San Cho for their continuous support in numerous ways, love, encouragement, and for motivating me to seek higher education. I would also like to sincerely thank to my husband, Dr. Myo Min Latt for sharing expertise, and sincere and valuable suggestion and encouragement extended to me. I am also very grateful to my sisters, Ms. May Mon Aung and Ms. Su Mon Aung who were always there to support me spiritually, their continuous encouragement and making it easy for me to concentrate on my research.

Finally, thank you UTS for the great experience and knowledge you gave me, I acknowledge your support by granting me the Australia Postgraduate Award (APA) scholarship.

Table of Contents

Certificate of Original Authorship	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iii
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables.....	xiv
List of Abbreviations.....	xv
Abstract.....	xviii
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
<hr/>	
1.1. Background.....	2
1.2. Technology based Rehabilitation Systems.....	4
1.2.1. <i>Robotic Systems</i>	4
1.2.2. <i>Virtual Reality Systems</i>	5
1.2.3. <i>Augmented Reality Systems</i>	7
1.2.4. <i>Feedback in Rehabilitation System</i>	8
1.3. Limitations of Technology based Rehabilitation Systems	9
1.4. Objectives and Contributions	10
1.5. Organization of Thesis	12
1.6. Peer-Reviewed Publications.....	13
1.7. Summary.....	15

Chapter 2 Literature Review17

2.1. Introduction	17
2.2. Human Nervous System	17
2.2.1. <i>Neurological Disorder</i>	19
2.2.1.1. Cerebrovascular Accident or Stroke.....	21
2.2.1.2. Traumatic Brain Injury	23
2.2.1.3. Spinal Cord Injury	24
2.3. Rehabilitation	26
2.4. Neuroplasticity	27
2.5. Robot based Rehabilitation Systems.....	31
2.5.1. <i>End-Effector Robots</i>	32
2.5.2. <i>Exoskeletons or Wearable Robots</i>	35
2.5.2.1. External Force Exoskeleton System.....	35
2.5.2.2. Internal Force Exoskeleton System.....	39
2.5.2.3. Alignment-Free Exoskeletons	41
2.6. Game based Rehabilitation Systems.....	43
2.6.1. <i>Virtual Reality System</i>	43
2.6.2. <i>Augmented Reality System</i>	45
2.7. Biosignal in Rehabilitation	50
2.7.1. <i>Electrical Signals from Muscles – the Electromyogram (EMG)</i>	50
2.7.2. <i>Biosignal Driven Rehabilitation Systems</i>	51
2.7.3. <i>Control Algorithms in Rehabilitation Systems</i>	53
2.7.3.1. Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN)	55
2.7.3.2. Extreme Learning Machine	56
2.7.4. <i>Biofeedback</i>	56
2.8. Summary.....	57

Chapter 3 Augmented Reality based Upper Limb Rehabilitation System58

3.1. Introduction	58
3.2. Design Considerations	59
3.2.1. <i>Biological Targets</i>	59

3.2.2.	<i>Serious Game Design Theory</i>	60
3.3.	EMG Biofeedback for Upper Limb Rehabilitation	62
3.4.	Augmented Reality for Upper Limb Rehabilitation.....	63
3.4.1.	<i>AR Applications</i>	64
3.4.2.	<i>Contents</i>	64
3.4.3.	<i>Interaction</i>	65
3.4.4.	<i>AR Technologies</i>	65
3.4.4.1.	Objects Tracking in AR.....	65
3.4.4.2.	Collision Detection in Augmented Reality	68
3.4.4.3.	Bounding Volumes (BV).....	70
3.4.5.	<i>The Physical World</i>	73
3.4.6.	<i>Participants</i>	73
3.5.	Thesis Contribution-1: Augmented Reality based RehaBio System	74
3.5.1.	<i>System Input</i>	74
3.5.2.	<i>System Framework</i>	75
3.5.2.1.	Database Module	76
3.5.2.2.	Therapeutic Exercise Module.....	77
3.5.2.3.	Development of Biofeedback Simulation Module	77
3.5.3.	<i>System Output</i>	80
3.5.4.	<i>Development of AR based Therapeutic Exercises</i>	80
3.5.4.1.	Therapeutic Exercise Attributes	82
3.5.4.2.	Game Design for Ping-Pong Rehabilitation (PPR)	83
3.5.4.3.	Game Design for Circular Motion Rehabilitation (CMR)	84
3.5.4.4.	Game Design for Feeding Animal Rehabilitation (FAR)	85
3.5.4.5.	Game Design for Transfer Object Rehabilitation (TOR).....	86
3.5.4.6.	Game Design for Balloon Collection Rehabilitation (BCR).....	86
3.5.4.7.	Colour Tracking Algorithm.....	87
3.5.4.8.	Collision Detection Algorithm	90
3.5.5.	<i>Experiments and Results</i>	91
3.5.5.1.	Participants	92
3.5.5.2.	Setting and Apparatus.....	92
3.5.5.3.	Experiment 1: Analysis of Muscles Contribution during Shoulder Articulation.....	93
3.5.5.4.	Experiment 2: Therapeutic Rehabilitation Exercises.....	95
3.5.5.5.	Experiment 3: Real-Time Muscle Simulation.....	102

3.6. Summary.....	104
-------------------	-----

Chapter 4 Real Time Biosignal Driven Virtual Human

Arm.....	106
-----------------	------------

4.1. Introduction	106
4.2. Relationship between Neural Command and Muscle Activation..	107
4.2.1. <i>Electromechanical Delay</i>	107
4.2.2. <i>Muscle Activation Model</i>	108
4.3. Description of BP Algorithm in Mathematics	109
4.3.1. <i>Limitations and Improvements</i>	113
4.3.2. <i>Momentum terms</i>	113
4.3.3. <i>Variable Learning Rate</i>	114
4.4. Description of ELM Algorithm in Mathematics	115
4.5. Thesis Contribution-2: Continuous Joint Angle Prediction.....	118
4.5.1. <i>Neural-Muscle Activation Model</i>	119
4.5.2. <i>Motion Recording</i>	120
4.5.3. <i>Proposed Prediction Model with BPNN</i>	121
4.5.4. <i>Proposed Prediction Model with ELM</i>	122
4.5.5. <i>Experiments and Results</i>	124
4.5.5.1. Participants	124
4.5.5.2. Data Collection.....	125
4.5.5.3. Experiment-1: Neural-Muscle Activation Model.....	126
4.5.5.4. Experiment-2: Prediction Models in Offline Mode	128
4.5.5.5. Experiment-3: Prediction Models in Online Mode	131
4.6. Thesis Contribution-3: Development of Virtual Human Arm Model	133
4.6.1. <i>Kinematic Model</i>	134
4.6.1.1. Forward Kinematics	136
4.6.1.2. Inverse Kinematic.....	138
4.6.2. <i>Virtual Human Arm Model</i>	141
4.6.3. <i>Experiment and Results</i>	142
4.6.3.1. Manual Articulation	142
4.6.3.2. FK and IK Articulation.....	142
4.7. Chapter Summary	147

Chapter 5 Illusion based Upper Limb Rehabilitation

System.....	149
--------------------	------------

5.1. Introduction	149
5.2. Mirror Therapy in Upper Limb Rehabilitation	150
5.2.1. <i>Ownership Illusion</i>	152
5.2.2. <i>Limitation of Existing MT Rehabilitation System</i>	153
5.3. Thesis Contribution-4: Augmented Reality-based Illusion System (ARIS).....	154
5.3.1. <i>Database Module</i>	155
5.3.2. <i>Multiple Colour Tracking Module</i>	156
5.3.3. <i>Illusion Environment Module</i>	157
5.3.4. <i>Real-time Data Acquisition Module</i>	160
5.3.5. <i>Therapeutic Exercise Module</i>	161
5.3.6. <i>Experiment and Results</i>	162
5.3.6.1. Participants	162
5.3.6.2. Setting and Apparatus	162
5.3.6.3. ARIS Procedures	163
5.3.6.4. Training Phase	164
5.3.6.5. Testing Phase.....	165
5.3.6.6. Result Discussion	167
5.4. Demonstration in Port Kembla Hospital	172
5.5. Summary.....	174

Chapter 6 Summary, Conclusion and Future Research 175

6.1. Thesis Summary.....	175
6.2. Recommendation for Future Research.....	177
6.3. Conclusion.....	178

Appendix A 180

A.1 Comparison Table from Literature	180
A.2 Algorithms	185
A.3 Questionnaires.....	190

<i>A.3.1 RehaBio System</i>	190
<i>A.3.2 ARIS System</i>	192
A.3.2.1 ARIS Questionnaire A.....	192
A.3.2.2 ARIS Questionnaire B.....	193
A.4 Ethical Approval.....	196
A.5 Email Communication with Dr. Geoffrey Murray (Port Kembla Hospital).....	196
Bibliography	202

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Healthcare Continuum from Disease to Handicap.....	2
Figure 1-2: Rehabilitation Robotic Systems.....	5
Figure 1-3: Components of Virtual Reality Application	6
Figure 1-4: Virtual Reality based Upper Limb Rehabilitation Exercise	7
Figure 1-5: Augmented Reality based Upper Limb Rehabilitation Exercise	8
Figure 2-1: Structure of Typical Neuron	19
Figure 2-2: Human Nervous system	20
Figure 2-3: Overview of the Neurons in a Nerve Impulse Pathway.....	20
Figure 2-4: Normal Artery vs. Atherosclerotic Artery	21
Figure 2-5: Three Types of Cerebrovascular Accident or Stroke.....	22
Figure 2-6: Example of Traumatic Brain Injury	23
Figure 2-7: Example of Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)	25
Figure 2-8: Schematic representation of basic motor control mechanisms and its components	51
Figure 2-9: Biological neural network.....	54
Figure 2-10: Artificial neural network.....	54
Figure 3-1: Human Upper Limb Articulations	62
Figure 3-2: Different Categories for Object Tracking Algorithm	66
Figure 3-3: Object representation	67
Figure 3-4: Broad Phase	69
Figure 3-5: Overlap rejection test between Bounding Volume	70
Figure 3-6: Common Types of Bounding Volumes	71
Figure 3-7: Sphere-swept Volumes	72
Figure 3-8: Collision Detection Methods	73
Figure 3-9: Architecture of RehaBio System	74
Figure 3-10: The GUI of RehaBio system (Level 2 & Level 3).....	75
Figure 3-11: Database Module in RehaBio	76

Figure 3-12: Augmented Reality based Rehabilitation Exercises in RehaBio	77
Figure 3-13: Biofeedback Simulation GUI with Electrode Sites	79
Figure 3-14: Flow Chart of Biofeedback Simulation Module.....	81
Figure 3-15: Therapeutic Game Attribute in RehaBio System.....	83
Figure 3-16: Ping Pong Rehabilitation Exercise.....	85
Figure 3-17: Circular Motion Rehabilitation Exercise	86
Figure 3-18: Feeding Animal Rehabilitation Exercise	87
Figure 3-19: Transfer Object Rehabilitation Exercise.....	88
Figure 3-20: Balloon Collection Rehabilitation Exercise.....	88
Figure 3-21: Flowchart of the proposed <i>Colour Tracking Algorithm</i>	89
Figure 3-22: Arrangement of Red-Green-Blue Values in RehaBio system	90
Figure 3-23: Common types of Axis Aligned Bounding Box representations.....	91
Figure 3-24: Axis Aligned Bounding Boxes in Balloon Collection Rehabilitation	92
Figure 3-25: The performances of 4 shoulder muscles during shoulder flexion-extension articulation from one subject. The grey areas represent the 0.68 quantile (i.e. mean+s.d.) of the EMG distribution.	94
Figure 3-26: The performances of 4 shoulder muscles during shoulder abduction-adduction articulation from one subject. The grey areas represent the 0.68 quantile (i.e. mean+s.d.) of the EMG distribution.	95
Figure 3-27: The performances of 4 shoulder muscles during elbow flexion-extension articulation from one subject. The grey areas represent the 0.68 quantile (i.e. mean+s.d.) of the EMG distribution.	96
Figure 3-28: The performances of 4 shoulder muscles during shoulder flexion followed by abduction-adduction and then shoulder extension articulation from one subject. The grey areas represent the 0.68 quantile (i.e. mean+s.d.) of the EMG distribution.	97
Figure 3-29: Muscle contributions in respective upper limb movement across 10 trials from 10 subjects.....	98
Figure 3-30: Muscle Performance in each Exercise	100
Figure 3-31: Trajectory Performances of each Exercise	101
Figure 3-32: Questionnaire results from each Exercise.....	103
Figure 3-33: Real Time Muscle Simulations Module Graphical User Interface.....	104
Figure 4-1: Notation for three-layered network.....	110
Figure 4-2: Local minima	113
Figure 4-3: Backpropagation network (a) without momentum term and (b) with momentum term	114
Figure 4-4: The overall concept of proposed real time virtual arm simulation	118
Figure 4-5: Schematic drawing of joint angle recording during shoulder abduction-adduction movement.....	120
Figure 4-6: Structure of proposed BPNN model	121

Figure 4-7: Detail sequence of BPNN based prediction model.....	123
Figure 4-8: Detail sequence of ELM based prediction model	124
Figure 4-9: (a) FlexComp System from Thought Technology (b) Locations of the Electrode Sites.....	125
Figure 4-10: Electromechanical delay of one subject during isotonic contraction.....	126
Figure 4-11: Average electromechanical delay value from 15 subjects during shoulder abduction-adduction motion	127
Figure 4-12: Average electromechanical delay value from 15 subjects during shoulder flexion-extension motion	127
Figure 4-13: NRMSE results of BPNN model during offline estimation.....	130
Figure 4-14: Adjusted coefficient of determination of BPNN during offline estimation..	130
Figure 4-15: NRMSE of ELM model during offline estimation	131
Figure 4-16: Adjusted coefficient of determination of ELM during offline estimation....	131
Figure 4-17: Graphical representation of 5-fold cross-validation online result from one of the trials by one subject	132
Figure 4-18: Kinematic Chain of Virtual Human Arm.....	136
Figure 4-19: Hierarchy structure of Virtual Human Arm Model	141
Figure 4-20: <i>Manual Articulation</i> of VHA model at different positions and orientations in Blender.....	143
Figure 4-21: <i>Manual Articulation</i> of VHA model at different positions and orientations in Flash Professional CS6	143
Figure 4-22: Coordinate space of game stage in Flash and VHA model	144
Figure 4-23: Graphical simulation of <i>Prediction Mode</i> in CMR exercise	145
Figure 4-24: Graphical simulation of <i>Threshold Mode</i> in CMR exercise	146
Figure 5-1: Schematic diagram of MT (left) and actual MT box (right).....	150
Figure 5-2: System Architecture of the ARIS, red dot represents the location of colour markers and green dot represents the site of electrodes.....	155
Figure 5-3: Database Module in ARIS	156
Figure 5-4: Architecture of Multicolour Tracking Module	157
Figure 5-5: Flowchart of Illusion Environment Module	158
Figure 5-6: Graphical representation of illusion environment module.....	159
Figure 5-7: The concept of data transfer from Matlab platform to CS6 platform in real-time via UDP communication represented by dashed arrows	160
Figure 5-8: Locations of Four Colour Markers	162
Figure 5-9: Ownership Illusion in ARIS System.....	165
Figure 5-10: The screen shot of one participant in “Right Arm Training” with <i>Threshold Mode</i>	166
Figure 5-11: Error rates achieved via different training sessions in PPR and CMR	167

Figure 5-12: Screen shots of right arm performance of subject 10 in CMR exercise during training phase (a) after 1st trial (b) after 2nd trial and (c) after 3rd trial	168
Figure 5-13: Results of two-way repeated ANOVA on sense of ownership and arm synchronicity in PPR and CMR exercises	170
Figure 5-14: Average error graph between real and virtual joint angle.....	171
Figure 5-15: Results of “Questionnaire A” on feeling of ownership perception in ARIS	172
Figure 5-16: Results of “Questionnaire B” for ARIS	173

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Clinical Exercises vs. RehaBio Exercises	84
Table 4.1: Average offline computational time between BPNN and ELM.....	130
Table 4.2: Average online prediction results ELM Vs. BPNN	133
Table 4.3: Average joint ROM and its comfort zone of human upper limb.....	135
Table 4.4: The D-H parameters for a VHA model	135
Table 4.5: Iteration time and norm error results from random trajectory motion in <i>Prediction Mode</i> with θ_1 vary from 45° to 55° and θ_2 vary from 30° to 40° while the rest of the angles remain at 0°	144
Table 4.6: Iteration time and norm error results from CMR exercise in <i>Prediction Mode</i> with θ_2 vary from -80° to -90° and θ_3 vary from 80° to 90° while the rest of the angles remain at 0°	145
Table 5.1: Results of one-way repeated measures ANOVA on trajectory	168

List of Abbreviations

3-D	Three Dimensional
AABB	Axis-Aligned Bounding Box
AD	Anterior Deltoid
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
APTA	American Physical Therapy Association
AR	Augmented Reality
ARIS	AR based Illusion System
BB	Biceps Brachii
BCR	Balloon Collection Rehabilitation
BPNN	Back Propagation Neural Network
BV	Bounding Volumes
CIMT	Constraint Induced Movement Therapy
CMR	Circular Motion Rehabilitation
CNS	Central Nervous System
CPM	Continuous Passive Motion
CT	Computed Tomography
CVA	Cerebrovascular Accident
D-H	Denavit-Hartenberg
DOF	Degree of Freedom
DOPs	Discrete Orientation Polytopes
EE	Elbow Extension
EF	Elbow Flexion

ELM	Extreme Learning Machine
EMD	Electromechanical Delay
EMG	Electromyogram
FAR	Feeding Animal Rehabilitation
FK	Forward Kinematic
fMRI	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging
FP	Forearm Pronation
FS	Forearm Supination
IAV	Integrated Absolute Value
IK	Inverse Kinematic
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
IR	Inward Rotation
LE	Lower Extremity
MAV	Mean Absolute Value
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MT	Mirror Therapy
MVC	Maximum Voluntary Contraction
MVF	Mirror Visual Feedback
NRMSE	Normalised Root Mean Squared Error
OBB	Oriented Bounding Box
OR	Outward Rotation
PD	Posterior Deltoid
PM	Pectoralis Major
PNS	Peripheral Nervous System
PPR	Ping Pong Rehabilitation
PV3D	Papervision3D
RE	Real Environment
RF	Radial Flexion
RGB	Red, Green, Blue
RHI	Rubber Hand Illusion
ROM	Range of Motion
SAB	Shoulder Abduction

SAD	Shoulder Adduction
SCI	Spinal Cord Injury
SE	Shoulder Extension
SEC	Series Elastic Component
sEMG	Surface Electromyography
SF	Shoulder Flexion
SHE	Shoulder Hyperextension
SLFNs	Single-hidden Layer Feedforward Networks
SSLs	Sphere Swept Lines
SSPs	Sphere Swept Points
SSRs	Sphere Swept Rectangles
TBI	Traumatic Brain Injury
TDF	Time Domain Feature
TIA	Transient Ischemic Attack
TMS	Transcranial Magnetic Simulation
TOR	Transfer Object Rehabilitation
TOT	Task Oriented Therapy
UDP	Universal Datagram Protocol
UE	Upper Extremity
UF	Ulnar Flexion
VAR	Variance
VE	Virtual Environment
VHA	Virtual Human Arm
WA	Willison Amplitude
WE	Wrist Extension
WF	Wrist Flexion
WHE	Wrist Hypertension
WL	Waveform Length
ZC	Zero Crossing

Abstract

Rehabilitation is the process of training for someone in order to recover or improve their lost functions caused by neurological deficits. The upper limb rehabilitation system provides relearning of motor skills that are lost due to any neurological injuries via motor rehabilitation training. The process of motor rehabilitation is a form of motor learning via practice or experience. It requires thorough understanding and examination of neural processes involved in producing movement and learning as well as the medical aspects that may affect the central nervous system (CNS) or peripheral nervous system (PNS) in order to develop an effective treatment system. Although there are numerous rehabilitation systems which have been proposed in literatures, a low cost upper limb rehabilitation system that maximizes the functional recovery by stimulating the neural plasticity is not widely available. This is due to lack of motivation during rehabilitation training, lack of real time biofeedback information with complete database, the requirement of one to one attention between physiotherapist and patient, the technique to stimulate human neural plasticity.

Therefore, the main objective of this thesis is to develop a novel low cost rehabilitation system that helps recovery not only from loss of physical functions, but also from loss of cognitive functions to fulfill the aforementioned gaps via multimodal technologies such as augmented reality (AR), computer vision and signal processing. In order to fulfill such ambitious objectives, the following contributions have been implemented.

Firstly, since improvements in physical functions are targeted, the *Rehabilitation* system with *Biofeedback* simulation (RehaBio) is developed. The system enhances user's motivation via game based therapeutic exercises and biofeedback. For this, AR based therapeutic games are developed to provide eye-hand coordination with inspiration in

motivation via immediate audio and visual feedback. All the exercises in RehaBio are developed in a safe training environment for paralyzed patients. In addition to that, real-time biofeedback simulation is developed and integrated to serve in two ways: (1) from the patient's point of view, the biofeedback simulation motivates the user to execute the movements since it will animate the different muscles in different colors, and (2) from the therapist's point of view, the muscle simulations and EMG threshold level can be evaluated as patient's muscle performance throughout the rehabilitation process.

Secondly, a new technique that stimulates the human neural plasticity is proposed. This is a virtual human arm (VHA) model that driven by proposed continuous joint angle prediction in real time based on human biological signal, Electromyogram (EMG). The VHA model simulation aims to create the illusion environment in Augmented Reality-based Illusion System (ARIS).

Finally, a complete novel upper limb rehabilitation system, Augmented Reality-based Illusion System (ARIS) is developed. The system incorporates some of the developments in RehaBio and real time VHA model to develop the illusion environment. By conducting the rehabilitation training with ARIS, user's neural plasticity will be stimulated to re-establish the neural pathways and synapses that are able to control mobility. This is achieved via an illusion concept where an illusion scene is created in AR environment to remove the impaired real arm virtually and replace it with VHA model to be perceived as part of the user's own body. The job of the VHA model in ARIS is when the real arm cannot perform the required task, it will take over the job of the real one and will let the user perceive the sense that the user is still able to perform the reaching movement by their own effort to the destination point. Integration with AR based therapeutic exercises and motivated immediate intrinsic and extrinsic feedback in ARIS leads to serve as a novel upper limb rehabilitation system in a clinical setting.

The usability tests and verification process of the proposed systems are conducted and provided with very encouraging results. Furthermore, the developments have been demonstrated to the clinical experts in the rehabilitation field at Port Kembla Hospital. The feedback from the professionals is very positive for both the RehaBio and ARIS systems and they have been recommended to be used in the clinical setting for paralyzed patients.