Mitigation of Pollutants for Beneficial Use of Stormwater

By

DANIOUS PRATHEEP SOUNTHARARAJAH

A Thesis submitted in fulfilment for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



School of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

University of Technology Sydney

New South Wales, Australia

February 2016

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

.....

Danious Pratheep Sounthararajah

February 2016.

Acknowledgement

It would not have been possible to achieve this doctorate of philosophy without the help and support of all the people around me. I am highly appreciative to everyone for their help and support during my research.

My first thanks and gratitude goes to my principal supervisor A.Prof. Java Kandasamy for the patient guidance and unwearying mentorship and consideration he provided to me, all the way from the start of the PhD degree through to completion of this degree. I would also like to show my thanks and gratitude to my co-supervisors Prof. S. Vigi Vigneswaran and Dr Paripurnanda Loganathan for their tremendous help and support through my whole PhD study. I would also like to thank Drs Prabhu Sivabalan, Vinh Nguyen, Benjamin Kus, Thamer Mohammed and Amit Chanan for their help and support. I would also like to thank my colleagues and lab mates Chung, Kalaruban, Roobavannan, Tanjina, Sukanya, Gayathri, Alex, Sanjeev, Tanh, Hang, Deng, Muna and Jeong, in CTWW. I had a wonderful time with these guys. I would like to give a special mention to my team member and Senior Technical Officer of Environmental Engineering Laboratories, Md Abu Hasan Johir who had always been supportive in sharing his valuable time and ideas for my research. I am also thankful to the academic and technical support of the University of Technology Sydney and its staff, especially Phyllis, Crieg and Kevin for all my academic support and Rami Haddad and David Hooper for their help and support in the environmental and hydraulics laboratories.

I would like to show my gratitude to University of Technology Sydney and CRC Care for their encouragement and financial support of the study. I am thankful to my dear parents and my sister for providing me with great opportunities in life and encouraging me to enter the field of Engineering. I would like to give special thanks to my dear wife and her family for their love and support in latter stage of my PhD. Last but not least, I would also like to thank the rest of my family and friends who have also provided great support during this time.

DEDICATION OF THIS THESIS

TO MY LOVELY PARENTS

Journal articles published

- Kandasamy J., Sounthararajah D., Sivabalan P., Chanan A., Vigneswaran S. and Sivapalan M. (2014). Socio-hydrologic drivers of the pendulum swing between agricultural development and environmental health: a case study from Murrumbidgee River basin, Australia. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 18(3), 1027-41.
- Sounthararajah D. P., Loganathan P., Kandasamy J. and Vigneswaran S. (2015a). Adsorptive removal of heavy metals from water using sodium titanate nanofibres loaded onto GAC in fixed-bed columns. *Journal of Hazardous materials* 287, 306-16.
- Sounthararajah D. P., Loganathan P., Kandasamy J. and Vigneswaran S. (2015b). Column studies on the removal of dissolved organic carbon, turbidity and heavy metals from stormwater using granular activated carbon. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(11), 5045-5055.
- Sounthararajah D. P., Kandasamy J., Loganathan P. and Vigneswaran S. (2015c). Heavy metals removal by a permeable pavement with zeolite or basalt bedding material and a nano titanate filter. *Journal of Hazardous materials*, Submitted.
- Sounthararajah D. P., Loganathan P., Kandasamy J. and Vigneswaran S. (2015d). Effects of humic acid and suspended solids on the removal of heavy metals from water by adsorption onto granular activated carbon. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(9), 10475-10489.
- Kus B., Sountharajah D.P., Kandasamy J., Vigneswaran S., and Moody G., (2015). Quantifying reductions in water demand due to rainwater tank installations at residential properties in Sydney. *Journal Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Submitted.

Conference papers and presentation

- Sounthararajah D. P., Loganathan P., Kandasamy J., Vigneswaran S. (2014) High rate filtration system for stormwater treatment, FEIT Research Showcase 2014, UTS, Sydney, Australia, 4th September, 2014.
- Sounthararajah D. P., Kandasamy J., Loganathan P., Vigneswaran S. (2014) High rate stormwater treatment system for beneficial use, CRC Communication Conference, Adelaide, South Australia, 10th – 13th September, 2014.
- Kus B., Sountharajah D.P., Kandasamy J., Vigneswaran S., and Moody G., (2015). Quantifying reductions in water demand due to rainwater tank installations at residential properties in Sydney. 10th Conference on Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems, Dubrovnik, Croatia, 26 Sept-3 Oct 2015.

TABLES OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
JOURNAL ARTICLES PUBLISHED	vi
CONFERENCE PAPERS AND PRESENTATIONS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	XV
NOMENCLATURE	xviii
ABSTRACT	XX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	roduction	2
	1.1	Research background	2
	1.2	Research questions, objectives and scope	4
	1.3	Overview of the thesis	5
2	Lite	erature Review	8
	2.1	Water use management	8
	2.2	Potable water demand reduction techniques	10
	2.2.	.1 Water efficient appliances	11
	2.2.	.2 Water efficient fittings	12
	2.2.	.3 Rainwater tanks	13
	2.2.4	.4 Water restrictions and water use education programs	15
	2.2.	.5 Other commons methods	18
	2.3	Treating urban stormwater and reuse	19
	2.3.	.1 Treatment of stormwater	23
	2.3.	.2 WSUD treatment techniques for stormwater	25
	2.3.	.3 Stormwater pollutants	41
	2.3.	.4 Guidelines and standards applied for stormwater	53
	2.4	Conclusions	

	ter consumption pattern in the Sydney metropolitan area and surrounding	
3.1	Introduction	
3.2	Background and data	
3.2	č	
3.2.		
3.2.		
3.2.		
3.2.		
3.3	Results and discussions	
3.3.		
3.3.		
3.3.	-	
3.4	Conclusions	
	ects of humic acid and suspended solids on the removal of heavy metals fr	
	ing granular activated carbon	
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Experimental methodology	85
4.2.	1 GAC	85
4.2.2	2 Humic acid, kaolinite and chemicals	85
4.2.	3 Batch experiments	86
4.2.4	4 Fixed-bed column experiments	87
4.3	Results and discussion	88
4.3.	1 Zeta potential of GAC and kaolinite	88
4.3.2	2 Heavy metals adsorption on GAC in batch experiments	89
4.3. kaol	3 Heavy metals removal in column experiments in the absence of HA linite	
4.3.4	4 Effect of HA on heavy metals removal in column experiments	96
4.3.	5 Effect of kaolinite on heavy metals removal in column experiments.	98
4.3.	6 Effect of HA and kaolinite together on heavy metals removal in colueriments	
4.4	Conclusions	
	sorptive removal of heavy metals from water using sodium titanate nanofil	
	nto GAC in fixed-bed columns	

5.1	Intr	oduction	101
5.2	Exp	perimental methodology	102
5.2	.1	Preparation of TNF	102
5.2	.2	GAC	103
5.2	.3	Characterisation of TNF	103
5.2	.4	Zeta potential	103
5.2	.5	Batch adsorption experiments	104
5.2	.6	Batch desorption and TNF regeneration experiment	105
5.2	.7	Fixed-bed column experiments	105
5.3	Res	ults and Discussion	107
5.3	.1	Characterisation of TNF	107
5.3	.2	Batch adsorption	113
5.4	Cor	nclusions	137
6 Col	umn	studies on the removal of dissolved organic carbon, turbidity and heavy	7
metals f		stormwater using granular activated carbon	
6.1	Intr	oduction	140
6.2	Exp	perimental methodology	141
6.2	.1	GAC and anthracite	141
6.2	.2	Stormwater	142
6.2	.3	Kaolinite and chemicals	145
6.2	.4	Field fixed-bed column experiments	145
6.2	.5	Laboratory batch experiments	146
6.2	.6	Laboratory fixed-bed column experiments	147
6.3	Res	ults and discussion	150
6.3	.1	Zeta potential of GAC	150
6.3	.2	Field fixed-bed column experiments	150
6.3	.3	Laboratory batch experiments	155
6.3	.4	Laboratory fixed-bed column experiments	157
6.4	Fea	sibility study and optimum conditions	163
6.5	Cor	nclusions	163
	-	netals removal by a permeable pavement with zeolite or basalt bedding	
material		a nano titanate filter	
7.1	Intr	oduction	165

7.2 Ma	aterials and methods	
7.2.1	Materials	
7.2.2	Materials characteristics	
7.2.3	Batch experiments	
7.2.4	Pilot-scale experiments	169
7.3 Re	sults and Discussions	
7.3.1	Batch adsorption of metals	
7.3.2	PPS removal of metals	177
7.4 Co	nclusions	
8 Conclu	sions and Recommendations	
8.1 Co	nclusions	
8.1.1	Water demand	
8.1.2	Interactions of pollutions in stormwater	
8.1.3	Novel adsorbent	
8.1.4	Field experiment and pilot scale testing	
8.2 Re	commendations for future studies	
Appendix		190
Reference	es	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	WUSD objectives adapted from BMT WBM Pty Ltd (2009)	10
Figure 2.2	Water efficient appliances and WELS water rating logo	12
Figure 2.3	Water efficient shower heads/roses	13
Figure 2.4	Typical outdoor rainwater tanks	14
Figure 2.5	Daily water use in greater Sydney adapted from Sydney Water	17
	efficiency report 2011/12 (SWC 2012)	
Figure 2.6	Utilising stormwater and rainwater as a resource in the urban	21
	environment (Victorian Government 2011).	
Figure 2.7	Stormwater use components adopted from Philp et al. (2008)	22
Figure 2.8	A schematic illustration of the different mechanisms of heave	52
	metals adsorption at low and high pH in the presence and	

absence of HA

LGAs. Figure 3.2 Water consumption for single households in the Sydney metropolitan area 68 Figure 3.3 Average single dwelling property area and average number of persons per household in Sydney 69 Figure 3.4 Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area 70 Figure 3.4 Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area 70 Figure 3.5 Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area 71 Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO ₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). 93 Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). 94 Figure 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). 95 Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) 97 Ioss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). 97 Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). 108 Figure 5.3	Figure 3.1	Variation in average water consumption in Sydney and its	66
 Figure 3.3 Average single dwelling property area and average number of persons per household in Sydney Figure 3.4 Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area 70 Figure 3.5 Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater 71 tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K - Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	_	LGAs.	
 Figure 3.3 Average single dwelling property area and average number of persons per household in Sydney Figure 3.4 Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 3.5 Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 5.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 5.1 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K - Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	Figure 3.2	Water consumption for single households in the Sydney	68
Figure 3.4 Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area 70 Figure 3.5 Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater 71 tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area 71 Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH 89 values in 0.001 M NaNO3 after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). 93 Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). 93 Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). 94 Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). 95 Figure 5.1 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head point of as generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). 97 Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate). 110 Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 110		metropolitan area	
 Figure 3.4 Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 3.5 Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 5.1 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 110 	Figure 3.3	Average single dwelling property area and average number of	69
 Figure 3.5 Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K - Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		persons per household in Sydney	
 tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory olumn study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	Figure 3.4	Socio economic indicators in the Sydney metropolitan area	70
 Figure 4.1 Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K - Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	Figure 3.5	Average percentages of water savings from rebated rainwater	71
 values in 0.001 M NaNO₃ after 6 h of agitation with standard deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory 93 column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the 94 laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K - Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		tanks in the Sydney metropolitan area	
 deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L, respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory olumn study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	Figure 4.1	Zeta potential values of GAC and kaolinite at different pH	89
 respectively). Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		values in 0.001 M NaNO $_3$ after 6 h of agitation with standard	
 Figures 4.2 Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory olumn study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		deviations (GAC and kaolinite doses of 0.1 g/L and 0.2 g/L,	
 column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study 95 (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		respectively).	
 kaolinite). Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	Figures 4.2	Breakthrough plots of (a) Cu and (b) Pb in the laboratory	93
 Figures 4.3 Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study 95 (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K -	
 laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study 95 (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		kaolinite).	
 acid, K - kaolinite). Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 	Figures 4.3	Breakthrough plots of (a) Zn, (b) Ni, and (c) Cd in the	94
 Figure 4.4 Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 		laboratory column study (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic	
 (HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite) Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head 97 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 110 		acid, K - kaolinite).	
 Figure 4.5 Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 110 	Figure 4.4	Effluent pH variation with time in the laboratory column study	95
 loss generated in the GAC column in the laboratory study (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 110 		(HM - heavy metals, HA - humic acid, K - kaolinite)	
 (HM - heavy metals, K – Kaolinite, INF - influent). Figure 5.1 SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X 108 (middle) and 33000X (right)). Figure 5.2 XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium 110 titanate). Figure 5.3 FTIR pattern of TNF. 110 	Figure 4.5	Simultaneous removal of (a) DOC, (b) turbidity and (c) head	97
Figure 5.1SEM images of TNF (magnifications 5,000X (left), 30,000X108 (middle) and 33000X (right)).Figure 5.2XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium titanate).110Figure 5.3FTIR pattern of TNF.110		0	
(middle) and 33000X (right)).Figure 5.2XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodiumtitanate).Figure 5.3FTIR pattern of TNF.			
Figure 5.2XRD pattern of TNF (A- anatase, R- rutile, T- sodium110titanate).Figure 5.3FTIR pattern of TNF.110	Figure 5.1		108
titanate).Figure 5.3FTIR pattern of TNF.110			
Figure 5.3FTIR pattern of TNF.110	Figure 5.2		110
Figure 5.4Zeta potential of TNF and GAC (ionic strength 10 ⁻³ M112	U		
	Figure 5.4		112
NaNO ₃).			
Figure 5.5Effect of equilibrium pH on heavy metal adsorption from114	Figure 5.5	Effect of equilibrium pH on heavy metal adsorption from	114

	single metal solutions by TNF (ionic strength 10 ⁻³ M NaNO ₃ .	
	Adsorption percentage (%) = metals adsorbed (mg) / metals	
	initially present (mg) x 100).	
Figure 5.6	Langmuir plots for metals adsorption on TNF from single	118
	metal solutions at different pHs.	
Figure 5.7	Metals adsorption by TNF from mixed metals solution at (a)	125
	pH 5.0, (b) pH 6.5 (ionic strength 10^{-3} M NaNO ₃).	
Figure 5.8	Langmuir plots for adsorption of metals on TNF from	127
	solutions containing mixed metals at low and high metal	
	concentrations at pH 5.	
Figure 5.9	Langmuir plots for adsorption of metals on TNF from	128
	solutions containing mixed metals at low and high metal	
	concentrations at pH 6.5.	
Figure 5.10	Langmuir plots for adsorption of metals on TNF from	129
	solutions containing mixed metals at different pHs (not	
	divided into two regions).	
Figure 5.11	Breakthrough plots of (a) Ni, (b) Zn, (c) Cu, (d) Cd and (e) Pb	133
	in GAC and GAC + TNF column study for single metals.	
Figure 5.12	Breakthrough plots of (a) Ni, (b) Zn, (c) Cu, (d) Cd and (e) Pb	136
	in GAC and GAC + TNF column study for mixed metals.	
Figure 6.1	Schematic diagrams of GAC filtration set-up used in the (a)	147
	field study and (b) laboratory study (diagrams not to scale).	
Figure 6.2	(a) DOC and (b) turbidity removals from stormwater by GAC,	152
	and anthracite + GAC columns at velocities of 5, 10, and 11.5	
	m/h for days 1-2, 3-4, and 5-6, respectively in the field	
	(column height 1 m).	
Figure 6.3	Removal efficiencies of single metals adsorption on GAC at	156
	pH 6.5 in laboratory batch experiments (initial metal	
	concentration 5 mg/L, adsorbent doses 0.1-7.5 g/L, 24 h	
	shaking).	
Figure 6.4	Removal efficiencies of mixed metals on GAC at pH 6.5 in	157
	laboratory batch experiments (initial metal concentrations	
	(mg/L): Zn 10.0, Cd 0.2, Ni 0.3, Pb 5.0, and Cu 3.0, adsorbent	

doses 0.5-10 g/L, 24 h shaking).

- Figure 6.5 Breakthrough plots of (a) Ni, (b) Cd, (c) Zn, (d) Cu and (e) Pb 160 in GAC column in the laboratory for mixed metals (column height 0.9 m, filtration velocity 5 m/h, influent pH 6.9-7.2, initial metal concentrations (mg/L): Zn 2.0, Cd 0.04, Ni 0.06, Pb 1.0, and Cu 0.6).
- Figure 6.6 Influent and effluent values of (a) DOC and (b) turbidity in 161 GAC column in the laboratory using synthetic stormwater (column height 0.9 m, filtration velocity 5 m/h, influent pH 6.9-7.2).
- Figure 7.1 Permeable pavement bedding and sub-base arrangement used 170 in the laboratory experiment (not to scale). Sampling points are marked 1, 2, and 3.
- Figure 7.2 Adsorption isotherms of mixed metals at pH 6.5 and an ionic 174 strength of 10⁻³ M NaNO₃ for basalt, zeolite and TNF (Note that the scale of y-axis of TNF is very different from those of others).
- Figure 7.3 Breakthrough plots of Ni, Cd, Zn, Cu, and Pb in basalt, zeolite 178 and zeolite followed by GAC + TNF. Influent concentrations (C_o) of Ni, Cd, Zn, Cu, and Pb were 0.06, 0.04, 2, 0.6 and 1 mg/L, respectively; C represents effluent concentrations (mg/L).

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Sydney water resections applied to the Sydney water main	16
	users from 2003- to date (BOM 2015)	
Table 2.2	WSUD application in treatment process	24
Table 2.3	Summary of pollutant retention by permeable pavements in	28
	Australia	
Table 2.4	Stormwater treatment methods and techniques and their	32

advantages and, disadvantages

Table 2.5	Types, sources, and effects of urban stormwater pollutants	42
Table 2.6	Types of heavy metals and their effect on human	45
	health	
Table 2.7	Treatment technologies for heavy metals removal from	48
	wastewater/ stormwater involving physical or chemical	
	processes	
Table 2.8	Permissible levels of target pollutants for different end uses	55
	and environmental protection	
Table 3.1	Percentage of water saved by installing a rainwater tank for	72
	LGAs in Sydney	
Table 4.1	Physical properties of GAC	85
Table 4.2	Langmuir maximum adsorption capacity $(q_{max} mg/g)$,	91
	coefficients of determination of Langmuir isotherm fit to	71
	data (R^2) and adsorption capacity at an equilibrium metal	
	concentrations equivalent to the influent concentrations (Zn	
	1.80, Cu 0.99, Cd 0.11, Ni 0.12, Pb 1.03) used in column	
	study ($Q_e mg/g$) for heavy metal adsorption on GAC at pH	
	6.5.	
Table 4.3	Effects of humic acid (HA) and kaolinite (K) on the	95
1 abic 4.5	percentages* of cumulative removals of heavy metals (HM)))
	by GAC (Influent concentrations (mg/L): Zn 1.80, Cu 0.99,	
	Cd 0.11, Ni 0.12, Pb 1.03)	
Table 5.1	Some characteristics of heavy metal ions.	115
Table 5.2	Langmuir parameters for individual heavy metals adsorption	119
1 abic 3.2	on TNF (ionic strength 10^{-3} M NaNO ₃) and coefficients of	117
	determination for the Langmuir plots (\mathbb{R}^2).	
Table 5.3	Freundlich isotherm model parameters for the adsorption of	120
	heavy metals on TNF from solutions containing mixed	120
	metals at an ionic strength of 10^{-3} M NaNO ₃ for two pHs and	
	coefficients of determination for the Freundlich isotherm fit	
	to data (R^2) .	
Table 5.4	The Dubinin–Radushkevick isotherm model parameters for	121

	the adsorption of heavy metals (15 mg/L) on TNF from	
	solutions containing mixed metals at an ionic strength of 10 ⁻³	
	M NaNO ₃ for two pHs and coefficients of determination for	
	the Langmuir isotherm fit to data (R^2) .	
Table 5.5	Langmuir parameters for heavy metals adsorption on TNF	124
	from mixed metals solutions (ionic strength 10 ⁻³ M NaNO ₃)	
	and coefficients of determination for the Langmuir plots (R^2)	
	(n denotes number of data points).	
Table 5.6	Adsorption/desorption of metals on TNF (mg/g)	131
Table 5.7	Cumulative adsorption of heavy metals by GAC and GAC +	134
	TNF from single metals and mixed metals solutions after 16	
	h (266 BV) at pH 5.0.	
Table 6.1	Physical properties of anthracite	143
Table 6.2	General characteristics of stormwater collected in Carlton,	144
	Sydney	
Table 6.3	DOC and turbidity levels in influent and effluent water	153
	(based on 9-11 samples taken daily for 6 consecutive days)	
Table 6.4	Effect of flow velocity on DOC and turbidity removals	154
	(based on 9-11 samples taken daily for 2 consecutive days	
	for each velocity)	
Table 6.5	Percentage* of cumulative removals of heavy metals by	161
	GAC after 120 h (666 BV) at pH 6.9-7.2 (Influent	
	concentrations (mg/L): Zn 1.99, Cu 0.87, Cd 0.06, Ni 0.08,	
	Pb 0.90)	
Table 7.1	Langmuir and Freundlich models parameters for heavy	176
	metals adsorption on basalt, zeolite and TNF from mixed	
	metal solutions (pH 6.5, ionic strength 10 ⁻³ M NaNO ₃) and	
	coefficients of determination (R^2) .	
Table 7.2	Cumulative adsorption of heavy metals (q total)	179
Table 7.3	Average effluent metals concentrations ^a (mg/L) and their	180
	trigger values ^b (mg/L)	

NOMENCLATURE

ABS = Australian bureau of statistics

- BOM = bureau of methodology
- C = the bulk phase concentration (mg/L)
- C_0 = initial concentration of adsorbate (mg/L)
- C_e = equilibrium concentration of adsorbate (mg/L)
- C_o = inlet adsorbate concentration (mg/L)
- Cs = the concentration on the external surface (mg/L)
- Ct = concentration of adsorbate at time t (mg/L)
- DOC = dissolved organic carbon
- FTIR = Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
- g = gram
- g/L = gram per litre
- GAC = granular activated carbon
- HA = humic acid
- HCl = hydrochloric acid
- HFO = iron (iii) oxide
- HM = heavy metals
- hr/h = hours
- K_F = Freundlich constants (mg/g)
- K_L = Langmuir constant related to the energy of adsorption (L/mg)
- L = litre
- M = mass of dry adsorbent (g)

m/h = meter per hour

min = minutes

- mL/min = millilitre per minute
- n = Freundlich constant
- $NaNO_3 = sodium nitrate$
- PPS = permeable pavement system

 $Q = Flow rate (cm^3/s)$

- Q_e = amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg/g)
- q_{max} = maximum amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg/g)
- q_o = equilibrium adsorbate uptake per g of adsorbent (mg/g)
- rpm = revolutions per minute
- SEM = Scanning electron microscopy
- SS = suspended solids
- SWC = Sydney water corporation
- TNF = sodium nano titanate
- TOC = total organic carbon
- V = the interstitial velocity (m/s)
- V = volume of the solution (L)
- XPS = X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
- XRD = X-ray diffraction
- ZPC = zero point of charge

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines the efficiency of water demand management techniques throughout Sydney and incorporates possible treatment measures for the removal of the major pollutants of stormwater and their interactions during their removals. After a thorough literature review on the subject in the second chapter, the third chapter discussed the actual reductions in consumption of town water supply due to the widespread installation of rainwater tanks. More specifically it examined the levels of rainwater tank installation in single residential properties in the Sydney metropolitan area and surrounding areas connected to Sydney Water Corporation (SWC) water supply mains. These residential properties' water consumption patterns were based on metered potable water usage between 2002 and 2009. The number of properties in the study database totalled 962,697 single residential dwellings. This was compared against the potable water consumption of residential properties that did install a rainwater tank. By 2009 a total of 52,576 households had registered for a rainwater tank rebate with SWC which represented 5.5% of Sydney's total households. The water usage consumption before and after the installation of the rainwater tank was analysed to quantify the extent to which rainwater tanks reduced mains water consumption. This study showed that the average annual water consumption per household in Sydney's metropolitan area declined from 282 kL/annum (2002) to 200 kL/annum by 2009. The average water consumption fell by 24% over the study period. In many local government authorities (LGAs) in Sydney this reduction was over 28% and up to 33.5%. It may be attributed to effective demand managing techniques such as the Sydney-wide water restrictions, the introduction of water efficient fixtures like taps, dual flush toilets, and efficient shower heads, etc. The average percentage of water savings by installing rainwater tanks across all 44 LGAs was a further 9%. In some

Sydney localities this reduction was up to 15%. On average, a household was able to save around 24 kL of water annually by installing a rainwater tank even without considering other factors that affect water usage. The results were compared against socio-demographic factors using variables such as household size, educational qualifications, taxable income, rented properties, and non-English-speaking background, etc.

Stormwater pollutants have the capacity to damage aquatic environments if they are discharged untreated. Heavy metals constitute some of the most dangerous pollutants of water as they are toxic to humans, animals, and aquatic organisms. These metals are considered to be of major public health concern and therefore need to be removed. Adsorption is a common physico-chemical process used to remove heavy metals. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and suspended solids (SS) are associated pollutants in water systems that can interact with heavy metals during the treatment process. In the fourth chapter, the interactions of DOC and SS during the removal of heavy metals by granular activated carbon (GAC) were investigated in batch and fixed-bed column experiments. Batch adsorption studies indicated that Langmuir adsorption maxima for Pb, Cu, Zn, Cd, and Ni at pH 6.5 were 11.9, 11.8, 3.3, 2.0, and 1.8 mg/g, respectively. With the addition of humic acid (HA) (DOC representative), they were 7.5, 3.7, 3.2, 1.6, and 2.5 mg/g, respectively. In the column experiment, no breakthrough (complete removal) was obtained for Pb and Cu but adding HA made a breakthrough in removing these metals. For Zn, Cd and Ni this breakthrough occurred even without HA being added. Adding kaolinite (representative of SS) had no effect on Pb and Cu but it did on the other metals.

In the fifth chapter, study was undertaken to remove Cu, Cd, Ni, Pb and Zn individually (single metal system) and together (mixed metals system) from water by adsorption onto a sodium titanate nanofibrous material. Langmuir adsorption capacities (mg/g) at 10^{-3} M NaNO₃ ionic strength in the single metal system were 60, 83, 115 and 149 for Ni, Zn, Cu, and Cd, respectively, at pH 6.5 and 250 for Pb at pH 4.0. In the mixed metals system they decreased at high metals concentrations. In column experiments with 4% titanate material and 96% granular activated carbon (w/w) mixture at pH 5.0, the metals breakthrough times and adsorption capacities (for both single and mixed metals systems) decreased in the order Pb > Cd, Cu > Zn > Ni within 266 bed volumes. The amounts adsorbed were up to 82 times higher depending on the metal in the GAC + titanate column than in the GAC column. The study showed that the titanate material has high potential for removing heavy metals from polluted water when used with GAC at a very low proportion in fixed-bed columns.

In the sixth chapter, suspended solids (turbidity) dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and heavy metals removals from stormwater were investigated in batch and fixed-bed column experiments. Field studies revealed that turbidity and DOC in stormwater were effectively removed at filtration velocities of 5, 10 and 11.5 m/h using a 100 cm height GAC filter column. At the higher filtration velocities of 10 and 11.5 m/h, adding a pre-treatment 100 cm height anthracite filter column further improved DOC and turbidity removal. Batch and column laboratory adsorption experiments at pH 6.5-7.2 using GAC showed that the order of removal efficiency for solutions containing single and mixed metals was Pb, Cu > Zn > Ni, Cd. This order was related to the solubility product and first hydrolysis constants of these metals' hydroxides. This study confirmed that GAC filter is effective in removing turbidity, DOC and heavy metals from stormwater.

Permeable pavement systems (PPS) constitute a widespread treatment measure used in sustainable stormwater management and groundwater recharge. However, PPS are not especially efficient in removing heavy metals from stormwater. In the seventh chapter, pilot scale study using zeolite or basalt as bed material in PPS removed 41-72%, 67-74%, 38-43%, 61-72%, 63-73% of Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn, respectively, from synthetic stormwater (pH 6.5) that passed through the PPS for 80 h. Total volume of stormwater was equivalent to runoff in 10 years of rainfall in Sydney, Australia. Metals concentrations in effluent did not satisfy fresh and marine water quality trigger values contained in the Australian and New Zealand guidelines. However, when a posttreatment of a horizontal filter column containing TNF of weight < 1% of zeolite weight and mixed with granular activated carbon was incorporated into the PPS, 77% Ni and 99-100% of all the other metals were removed. Subsequently the effluent satisfactorily met the required standards of marine waters and just met those concerning fresh waters. Batch adsorption data from solutions of metals mixtures fitted the Langmuir model with adsorption capacities in the following the order, TNF >> zeolite > basalt; Pb > Cu > Cd, Ni, Zn.