

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

Uncertainty Analysis and Optimization by Using the Orthogonal Polynomials

A thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

JINGLAI WU

(2015)

Title of the thesis:

Uncertainty Analysis and Optimization by Using the Orthogonal Polynomials

Ph.D. student: Jinglai Wu E-mail: jinglai.wu@student.uts.edu.au

Supervisor:

Dr Zhen Luo

E-mail: <u>zhen.luo@uts.edu.au</u>

Co-Supervisor:

Prof Nong Zhang

E-mail: nong.zhang@uts.edu.au

Address:

School of Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronic Systems

The University of Technology, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

Certificate of Original Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

JINGLAI WU

Date:

Acknowledgments

I would like to take this opportunity to thank many people for their assistance,

encouragement and support throughout my candidature.

First and foremost, I extend my deep gratitude to my principal supervisor Dr Zhen Luo.

He supported me with outstanding guidance in research direction and academic writing

and gave me many encouragements and other help in my study and life. His knowledge,

hard-working and research attitude fostered my development in various aspects. I also

sincerely thank my co-supervisor, Prof Nong Zhang, for his assistance, guidance and

support over past few years. His extensive experience has been much appreciated through

my research.

I would like to thank Dr Paul Walker and my colleagues Xingxing Zhou, Yu Wang, Lifu

Wang, Sangzhi Zhu, Tianxiao Zhang, Guangzhong Xu, JiagengRuan, Yuhong Fang, Li

Sun, Bo Zhu, who supported me in study or life. I also wish to acknowledge gratefully the

consistent financial support of the following agents: China Scholarship Council (CSC)

and University of Technology, Sydney.

Most especially to my family, words alone cannot express what I own them for their

encouragement and whose patient love enabled me to complete this thesis.

Jinglai Wu

Sydney, August, 2015

II

Publications and Conference

Contributions

International scientific journal publications

- [1] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Yunqing Zhang, Liping Chen, Zhen Luo, Interval method with Chebyshev series for dynamic response of nonlinear systems, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 37(4578-4591), 2013.(Chapter 3)
- [2] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Yunqing Zhang, Nong Zhang, Interval uncertain analysis for multi-body mechanical systems with Chebyshev inclusion functions, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 95(608-630), 2013. (Chapter 3)
- [3] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, A new uncertain analysis method and its application in vehicle dynamics, Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 50-51 (659-675), 2015.(Chapter 4)
- [4] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, A new interval uncertain optimization method for structures using Chebyshev surrogate models, Computers and Structures, 146(185-196), 2015.(Chapter 5)
- [5] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, An interval uncertain optimization method for vehicle suspensions using Chebyshev metamodels, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 38(3706-3723), 2014. (Chapter 5)
- [6] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, A new sampling scheme for developing metamodels with the zeros of Chebyshev polynomials, Engineering

- Optimization, 1-25, 2014. (Chapter 5)
- Jinglai Wu, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Incremental modelling for high-order polynomial surrogate models, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2015, DOI: 10.1016/j.apm.2015.12.002.
- [8] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, Robust topology optimization for structures under interval uncertainty, Advances in Engineering Software, under review, 2015. (Chapter 5).
- [9] <u>Jinglai Wu</u>, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, A new uncertain optimization method for structures using orthogonal series expansions, Computers & Structures, under review, 2015. (Chapter 6)

International scientific conference publications

- [10] **Jinglai Wu**, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, Hybrid uncertainties optimization using the orthogonal polynomials expansion, the 8th Australian Congress on Applied Mechanics (ACAM 8), 23-26 November 2014, Melbourne, Australia.
- [11] Jinglai Wu, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Structural optimization under a description of hybrid uncertainties, the 11th World Congress on Computational Mechanics (WCCM2014), July 20-25, 2014, Barcelona, Spain.
- [12] **Jinglai Wu**, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Interval method for solving multi-body dynamics problems with uncertain parameters, Proceedings: the 5th Asia Pacific Congress on Computational Mechanics (APCOM2013) and 4th International

- Symposium on Computational Mechanics (ISCM2013), 11-14 Dec 2013, Singapore.
- [13] Jinglai Wu, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, A new method for building high order polynomial regression model, Proceedings: the 1st Australasian Conference on Computational Mechanics (ACCM2013), eds. G.P. Steven, and Q. Li, 3-4 October, 2013, Sydney, Australia.
- [14] Jinglai Wu, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Interval uncertain optimization of structures using Chebyshev meta-models, Proceedings: the 10th World Congress on Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization (WCSMO10), May 19-24, 2013, Orlando, USA.
- [15] Jinglai Wu, Zhen Luo, Yunqing Zhang, Liping Chen, Interval analysis method for dynamics systems with uncertain parameters, Proceedings: 4th International Conference on Computational Methods, Paper ID: 057, 15-28 Nov 2012, Gold Coast, Australia.
- [16] Jinglai Wu, Zhen Luo, Nong Zhang, Yunqing Zhang, A Chebyshev meta-model for uncertain optimization of vehicle suspensions, Proceedings: 4th International Conference on Computational Methods, Paper ID: 061, 15-28 Nov 2012, Gold Coast, Australia.

List of figures

Figure 2- 1(a) probabilistic variable (b) fuzzy variable (c) interval variable	8
Figure 3-1 The computation of interval Cosine function	31
Figure 3-2 The interval inclusion function from R ² to R ²	
Figure 3-3 Overestimation of interval arithmetic	
Figure 3-4 Three natural inclusion function for the same function	
Figure 3-5 Errors of arctan x for Chebyshev series and Taylor series	
Figure 3-6 The plot of Chebyshev polynomials	
Figure 3-7 (a) The plot of y_1 ; (b) The plot of y_2	
Figure 3-8 The flow chart of Chebyshev inclusion function solving interval ODEs	
Figure 3-9 Schematic of a double pendulum	
Figure 3-10 The angle of pendulum: (a) top pendulum; (b) bottom pendulum	
Figure 3-11 The calculation flow for uncertain multi-body system	
Figure 3-12 Schematic of slider crank	
Figure 3-13 (a) Rotation angle of crank with uncertain length of the crank; (b) Rotation angle of connecting rod with uncertain length of the crank; (c) Displacement of piston with uncertain length of the crank	1
torque	72
Figure 4-1 The 2 DOF Bicycle model for vehicle	78
Figure 4-2 Steering angle step input	80
Figure 4-3 Mean value for yaw velocity	81
Figure 4-4 Mean value for lateral acceleration	81
Figure 4-5 Standard deviation for yaw velocity	82
Figure 4-6 Standard deviation for lateral acceleration	82
Figure 4-7 The flowchart of the PCCI method	92
Figure 4-8 The roll plan model of a vehicle	95
Figure 4-9 The road input	97
Figure 4-10 IM of z1	98
Figure 4-11 IM of z2	98
Figure 4-12 IV of z1	99
Figure 4-13 IV of z2	
Figure 4-14 MLB and MUB of z1	100
Figure 4-15 MLB and MUB of z2	100
Figure 4-16 VLB of z1	101
Figure 4-17 VUB of z1	101
Figure 4-18 VLB of z2	101

Figure 4-19 VUB of z2	102
Figure 5-1 Interval objective function	106
Figure 5-2 Interval constraints	106
Figure 5-3 Difference of the deterministic optimization and uncertain optimization .	108
Figure 5-4 Flowchart of MIGA	109
Figure 5-5 Flowchart of optimization under interval uncertainty	112
Figure 5-6 Flowchart of Chebyshev surrogate model	117
Figure 5-7 The flowchart of interval optimization	118
Figure 5-8 18-bar planar truss structure	119
Figure 5-9 The model of double wishbone suspension	122
Figure 5-10 Camber angle, caster angle, kingpin inclination angle, and toe angle	
Figure 5-11 Camber angle	123
Figure 5-12 Caster angle	123
Figure 5-13 Kingpin inclination angle	124
Figure 5-14 Toe angle	124
Figure 5-15 The average objective.	126
Figure 5-16 The best objective	127
Figure 5-17 Comparison of camber angle	128
Figure 5-18 Comparison of caster angle	129
Figure 5-19 Comparison of kingpin inclination angle	129
Figure 5-20 Comparison of toe angle	
Figure 5-21 The plot of $sign(x)$ and $tanh(\beta x)$	137
Figure 5-22 Mitchell-type structure design	
Figure 5-23 Deterministic topology optimization	140
Figure 5-24 The RTO of Mitchell-type structure	
Figure 5-25 The iteration history of the RTO for Mitchell beam	
Figure 6-1 (a) The PDF of two bounds; (b) The CDF of two bounds	152
Figure 6-2 The CDF of two bounds for pure interval function	
Figure 6-3 The PDF and CDF of $F(\xi, \eta)$ for different types of random variable	154
Figure 6-4 The iteration history of the objective function	
Figure 6-5 PDF of f	
Figure 6-6 CDF of f	
Figure 6-7 PDF of constraint g1	
Figure 6-8 CDF of constraint g1	
Figure 6-9 CDF of constraint g2	
Figure 6-10 25-bar space truss structure	
Figure 6-11 The iteration history of objective function	
Figure 6-12 PDF of objective <i>f</i>	
Figure 6-13 CDF of objective f	
Figure 6-14 CDF of constraint g_6	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Figure 6-15 PDF of constraint <i>g</i> ₈	168
Figure 6-16 CDF of objective <i>g</i> ₈	168

List of tables

Table 3-1 Comparing inclusion functions	39	
Table 3-2 Parameters of slider crank mechanisms	68	
Table 4- 1 Parameters for a car	80	
Table 4-2 Parameters of the roll plan model		
Table 5-1 The optimization results of 18-bar planar truss	121	
Table 5-2 Optimization results of vehicle suspension	128	
Table 5-3 The compliance of Mitchell-type under the worst condition	141	
Table 6-1 Optimization results of planar truss structure	159	
Table 6-2 Loading conditions	163	
Table 6-3 Member stress limitations	164	
Table 6-4 Optimization results	165	

Abbreviations

PC	Polynomial Chaos		
SRSM	Stochastic Response Surface Method		
ODEs	Ordinary Differential Equations		
DAEs	Differential Algebraic Equations		
K-L	Karhunen-Loeve		
RBDO	Reliability-based Design Optimization		
RDO	Robust Design Optimization		
LSM	Least Square Method		
PCCI	Polynomial-Chaos-Chebyshev-Interval		
IM	Interval Mean		
IV	Interval Variance		
MLB	Mean of Lower Bound		
MUB	Mean of Upper Bound		
VLB	Variance of Lower Bound		
VUB	Variance of Upper Bound		
DOF	Degree of Freedom		
ASO	Active Set Optimization		
MIGA	Multi-Island Genetic Algorithm		
SQP	Sequential Quadratic Programming		
RTO	Robust Topology Optimization		
MMA	Method of Moving Asymptotes		
PDF	Probability Density Function		
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function		
MCST	Monte Carlo Scanning Test		

Abstract

Engineering problems are generally described by mathematic models, and the parameters in mathematic models are usually assumed to be deterministic when solving these models. However, many parameters are hard to obtain accurately in practical application, which leads to the uncertainty of parameters. The uncertain parameters may induce the response of theoretical analysis that is quite different from the actual instance. In order to characterize the response of system more accurately, the uncertainty analysis methods need to be introduced. For the design optimization, considering the uncertainty may help to improve the reliability and robustness of design solution. This thesis investigates both the aleatory (random) uncertainty and epistemic uncertainty (expressed by interval variables in the thesis), by using the Polynomial Chaos (PC) expansion theory and Chebyshev polynomials approximation theory, respectively. Since there are many cases that both types of uncertainty are existed simultaneously, the hybrid uncertainty is also investigated in this thesis. A new hybrid uncertainty analysis method based on the orthogonal series expansion is proposed in this study, which solves the two types of uncertainty in one integral framework. The design optimization under uncertainty is also investigated based on the proposed uncertainty analysis method. The detailed content of this thesis is shown as follows.

The interval uncertainty analysis theory is firstly studied in this thesis. By using the Chebyshev polynomials that have high accuracy in the approximation theory of polynomials, a new Chebyshev inclusion function based on the Chebyshev series expansion is proposed. The Chebyshev inclusion function can compress the wrapping effect of interval arithmetic more efficiently than the traditional Taylor inclusion function, especially for the interval computation of non-monotonic functions. On the other hand,

the Chebyshev inclusion function does not require the derivatives information which has to be given in the computation of Taylor inclusion function. Therefore, the proposed Chebyshev inclusion function is quite easier to implement than the Taylor inclusion function. The Chebyshev inclusion function is applied to solve the ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and differential algebraic equations (DAEs) with interval parameters, which are used to solve the mechanical dynamic systems with interval parameters.

Secondly, the random uncertainty analysis based on the PC expansion is investigated, where the polynomials series are used to approximate the response of a system with respect to the random variables. The hybrid uncertainty analysis method using the orthogonal series expansion is proposed, termed as Polynomial-Chaos-Chebyshev-Interval (PCCI) method, which is the combination of PC expansion method and Chebyshev interval method. Since both the polynomials used in PC expansion and Chebyshev polynomials belong to the orthogonal polynomials, the PCCI method investigates the random uncertainty and interval uncertainty under one integral framework. Two types of evaluation index of hybrid uncertainty are also proposed in the PCCI method, which is then used in the analysis of vehicle dynamics containing hybrid uncertainty.

Thirdly, considering the interval uncertain parameters or variables existed in the optimization problems, the interval optimization design is investigated. To improve the computational efficiency of traditional nested optimization procedure in uncertainty optimization, the interval arithmetic is employed to delete its inner loop optimization. A new Chebyshev polynomials-based surrogate model is proposed to improve the computational efficiency in further. The numerical examples for the vehicle suspension design and truss structure design indicate that the interval optimization method has a good balance between the accuracy and efficiency. The interval optimization method is also

employed to solve the continuous structural topology optimization problem with uncertain load conditions, which gives a more robust solution than the traditional deterministic topology optimization method.

Lastly, the hybrid uncertainty optimization model is proposed by combining the PCCI method and the classical optimization algorithms. To use the traditional mathematical programming method, the sensitivity of objectives and constraints with uncertain parameters are derived. For the application, the proposed hybrid uncertainty optimization method is used in the optimization of a planar truss and a space truss structures. Compared with the deterministic optimization and pure random uncertainty optimization, the hybrid uncertainty optimization provides a more feasible solution.

Key words: interval uncertainty; hybrid uncertainty; Chebyshev polynomials; Polynomial Chaos expansion; orthogonal polynomials.

Contents

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCE CONTRIBUTIONS	III
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
ABBREVIATIONS	IX
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview of the project	1
1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE AND CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE	4
1.3 OUTLINE OF THE THESIS	5
CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Probabilistic uncertainty analysis	9
2.2 Interval uncertainty analysis	14
2.2.1 Interval arithmetic solving the static problems	16
2.2.2 Interval arithmetic solving the dynamic problems	19
2.3 Uncertainty optimization	23
CHAPTER 3 INTERVAL UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS BASED ON CHI	EBYSHEV
SERIES	29
3.1 Interval arithmetic	29
3.1.1 Basic theory of interval arithmetic	29
3.1.2 Interval inclusion function	31
3.2 THE CHEBYSHEV INCLUSION FUNCTION	40
3.2.1 Chebyshev polynomial approximation theory	40
3.2.2 Chebyshev inclusion function	46
3.3 THE ALGORITHM FOR SOLVING INTERVAL ODES	49
3.3.1 The interval ODEs solved by Taylor inclusion function	50
3.3.2 The interval ODEs solved by Chebyshev inclusion function	54
3.3.3 The numerical example	57
3.4 Chebyshev inclusion function solving multibody system	60
3.4.1 The Modeling for multibody dynamic system	60
3.4.2 The interval DAEs solved by Chebyshev inclusion function	63

3.4.3 The numerical example	68
3.5 Summary	72
CHAPTER 4 HYBRID UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS USING ORTHOGO	NAL
SERIES EXPANSION	74
4.1 THE POLYNOMIAL CHAOS EXPANSION THEORY	74
4.1.1 The generalized Polynomial Chaos expansion theory	74
4.1.2 The stochastic response surface method	77
4.1.3 Numerical example	78
4.2 The hybrid uncertain analysis method	82
4.2.1 The Chebyshev interval analysis using LSM	82
4.2.2 The statistical evaluation based on interval variables	86
4.2.3 The bounds evaluation based on random variables	89
4.3 APPLICATION OF HYBRID UNCERTAIN ANALYSIS IN VEHICLE DYNAMICS	94
4.3.1 Model description	94
4.3.2 Hybrid uncertain analysis of vehicle model	96
4.4 Summary	102
CHAPTER 5 OPTIMIZATION UNDER INTERVAL UNCERTAINTY	104
5.1 The interval uncertain optimization model	104
5.2 NESTED OPTIMIZATION METHOD.	108
5.3 THE INTERVAL OPTIMIZATION METHOD	112
5.3.1 Chebyshev surrogate model	112
5.3.2 Optimization algorithm using interval arithmetic	117
5.4 APPLICATION OF INTERVAL OPTIMIZATION	119
5.4.1 The 18-bar planar truss optimization	119
5.4.2 Vehicle suspension optimization	121
5.5 ROBUST TOPOLOGY OPTIMIZATION UNDER INTERVAL UNCERTAINTY	130
5.5.1 Material Density based approach for topology optimization	133
5.5.2 Robust topology optimization under interval uncertainty	134
5.5.3 Numerical implementation of RTO using interval arithmetic	136
5.5.4 Numerical example	140
5.6 Summary	143
CHAPTER 6 OPTIMIZATION UNDER HYBRID UNCERTAINTY	144
6.1 The hybrid uncertain optimization model	145
6.2 THE REALIZATION OF HYBRID UNCERTAIN OPTIMIZATION	147

6.2.1 Hybrid uncertainty analysis model	147
6.2.2 Quantification of hybrid uncertainty	150
6.3 The sensitivity of hybrid uncertain optimization model	154
6.4 Numerical examples	157
6.4.1 Planar truss structure	157
6.4.2 Space truss structure	162
6.5 Summary	169
CHAPTER 7 SUMMARY AND PROSPECT	170
7.1 Summary	170
7.2 Perspective for future work	172
REFERENCES	174