Accepted Manuscript

A comparative analysis of lncRNAs in prostate cancer exosomes and their parental cell lines

Alireza Ahadi, Samantha Khoury, Maria Losseva, Nham Tran

PII:	\$2213-5960(16)30069-1
DOI:	doi: 10.1016/j.gdata.2016.05.010
Reference:	GDATA 524

To appear in: Genomics Data

Received date:17 May 2016Accepted date:23 May 2016



Please cite this article as: Alireza Ahadi, Samantha Khoury, Maria Losseva, Nham Tran, A comparative analysis of lncRNAs in prostate cancer exosomes and their parental cell lines, *Genomics Data* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.gdata.2016.05.010

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Title: A comparative analysis of IncRNAs in prostate cancer exosomes and their

parental cell lines

Authors: Alireza Ahadi¹, Samantha Khoury¹, Maria Losseva¹, Nham Tran^{1,2,#}

Affiliations:

1. Noncoding RNA Cancer Laboratory, Centre for Health Technologies, Faculty of

Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology, Sydney,

NSW, Australia.

2. The Sydney Head and Neck Cancer Institute, Sydney Cancer Centre, Royal

Prince Alfred Hospital, Australia.

#Corresponding author: nham.tran@uts.edu.au

Scherich Minnes

Abstract

Prostate cancer is the second leading cancer in men world-wide. Due to its heterogeneous nature, a considerable amount of research effort has been dedicated in identifying effective clinical biomarkers with a focus on proteins, messenger RNA and microRNAs [1]. However, there is limited data on the role and expression of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) in prostate cancer exosomes [2]. This array dataset which is linked to our publication describes the profiling of human lncRNAs in prostate cancer and their exosomes from five different cell lines [3]. From this dataset, we identified a list of statistically significant prostate cancer lncRNAs which are differentially expressed in the exosomes compared to their parent cell lines. This dataset has been deposited into Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE81034).

Specifications

Organism/cell	Homo sapiens/ Prostate Cancer Cell Lines: LNCaP, PC3,
line/tissue	DU145, VCaP, / Healthy Prostate Cell Lines: PNT2
Sex	Male
Sequencer or array	Agilent Oligo nucleotide array
type	
Data format	Raw text files
Experimental factors	Tumor vs normal cell line
Experimental features	Extraction of total RNA from exosomes of prostate cancer and healthy cell lines, followed by IncRNA profiling using the
A O F	Human IncRNA array v2.0 (8 x 60K, Arraystar)
Consent	NA
Sample source	Sydney, Australia
location	

Direct link to deposited data

[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE81034]

Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

The experiment design and data analysis pipeline is shown in Figure 1. In the following sections, we review the experiment design, quality assessment of the prepared data and the analysis pipeline for this dataset.

Tissue Culture of prostate cancer cell lines

Prostate cancer cell lines, LNCaP, PC3, and DU145 were cultured in RPMI medium, VCaP cells were grown in F12:DMEM and PNT2 cell lines in defined KSFM (Invitrogen, USA). All cells were incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂, and supplemented with 1% v/v penicillin, streptomycin, glutamine and 10% v/v fetal calf serum. As Fetal Calf Serum contains exosomes of bovine origin all media were depleted of these bovine exosomes. To remove bovine exosomes, we utilized the ultracentrifugation protocol devised by Théry, C. et al.[2].

Isolation of exosomes using ultracentrifugation

Harvested culture was centrifuged twice, firstly at 500g for 30 minutes, and then at 2,000g for 10 minutes to remove dead cells and any debris. The resulting supernatant was then centrifuged at 10,000g for 30 minutes to separate microparticles and other proteins from the exosomes. The supernatant was collected from this step and then centrifuged at 100,000g for 120 minutes to obtain an exosomal pellet. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet retained. The exosomal pellet was then re-suspended in PBS and centrifuged at 100,000g for 90 minutes. This resulting pellet contains the exosomes which was subjected to RNA isolation.

RNA extraction procedures

RNAzol RT (Molecular Research Center, USA) was used to extract total RNA from both cellular and exosomal sources [4]. 1mL of RNAzol was added to the exosomal pellet and homogenised using a blunt needle syringe. This homogenate is added to 0.4mL of water and left to incubate on ice for up to 15 minutes. The sample was

then centrifuged at 4°C, at 12,000g for 15 minutes. 1mL of the resulting supernatant was removed and purification performed via the addition of 5uL of 4-bromoanisole. The sample was incubated for 3-4 minutes, and centrifuged at 12,000g, 4°C, for 10 minutes. The supernatant was removed from the sample, and 1 volume of isopropanol was added with 5uL of glycogen (25mg/mL) to precipitate the RNA. This mixture was then incubate on dry ice for 15 minutes followed by centrifugation at 12,000g, 4°C, for 10 minutes. The resulting RNA pellet was then washed twice in 75% ethanol and centrifuge at 8,000g for 5 minutes to collect the pellet. After discarding the supernatant, the exosomal RNA pellet was solubilized with the addition of 50uL of RNA/DNA free water.

Preparation of IncRNAs for hybridization to Agilent arrays

RNA Integrity and concentration was assessed post RNA extraction and prior to sample labeling. The sample RNA integrity number (RIN) was determined using a Bioanalyzer 2100 to assess RNA quality. RNA concentration, protein contamination and organic compound contamination was measured for all samples using the

NanoDrop ND-1000. Agilent Low RNA Input Linear Amplification Kit PLUS was used for sample amplification and labeling. Hybridization was performed using the Agilent SureHyb Hybridization Chambers. The labeled cRNAs were hybridized onto the Human IncRNA array v2.0 (8 x 60K, Arraystar) for the global profiling of 33,045 human IncRNAs. The IncRNAs in this array were collected from databases such as RefSeq, UCSC Known genes, and Ensembl. After washing the array slides, they were scanned using the Agilent Scanner G2505B. Agilent Feature Extraction software (version 10.7.3.1) was then used to analyze the acquired array images for 1) outlier analysis, 2) net signal statistics analysis, 3) local background statistical analysis, and 4) reproducibility analysis of the signals.

Data normalization and analysis

Quantile normalization was performed using the GeneSpring GX v11.5.1 software package (Agilent Technologies). After quantile normalization of the raw data, IncRNAs of which 6 out of 10 samples had flags in Present or Marginal ("All Targets Value") were adjusted by Combat program to remove batch effects and were chosen

for differentially expressed LncRNA screening. In the next step, quality assessment of IncRNA data for the different cell lines was performed by 1) investigating the box plot of the normalized intensity values to compare the distributions of intensities among different samples (Figure 2A) and 2) inspecting the scatter plot of the log₂ scaled values of the averaged normalized signal values of the exosomes versus the cells of each cell line to assess the lncRNA expression variations (Figure 2B). To identify differentially expressed lncRNA, a fold change filtering with a threshold of fold change >=2.0 was performed. Generation of the heatmaps and the hierarchical cluster analysis was performed using Agilent GeneSpring GX v11.5.1.

Discussion

Our dataset represents a novel categorization of IncRNA levels in prostate cancer exosome and their parental lineages. We have profiled four common prostate cancer cell lines and compared these to the normal PNT2 cells. Our array data suggested that IncRNAs are present in abundance in both healthy and prostate cancer exosomes. We normalized the data using quantile normalization and then filter the data by using a two-fold threshold. From this the dataset, we also identified 26

down-regulated and 19 upregulated IncRNAs which were common to these exosomes. Furthermore, these exosomal IncRNAs appeared to be enriched for miRNA seeds with a preference for miR-17, miR-18a, miR-20a, miR-93, miR-106b and the *let-7* family members [3]. With these observation, we put forward the notion that IncRNAs can act as miRNA sponges and their specific enrichment in exosomes is an important step in prostate cancer carcinogenesis. The heat maps clearly showed the similarities and differences in the IncRNA expression between cancer exosomes/cells versus the normal exosomes/cells (Figure 3). With this dataset, it may be possible to discover potential exosomal IncRNAs which can be utilised for prostate cancer diagnosis.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Experimental Overview of the project and the data processing pipeline. Four difference prostate cancer cell lines were grown and the media harvested from these cell lines were used to isolate exosomes. Total RNA was then extracted from both cellular and exosomal sources. Integrity and concentration of RNA were assessed after RNA extraction and prior to sample labeling. Agilent low RNA input linear amplification kit PLUS was used for sample amplification and labeling. After washing, slides were scanned with the Agilent DNA Microarray Scanner. Data was extracted using Agilent feature extraction software. Normalization and further data analysis was performed using GeneSpring v11.5.1 software.

Figure 2. A) Box plot representing intensities distributions **B)** A representative scatter plot of PC3 exosomes vs PC3 cell. The green lines indicate fold change lines whereby the default fold change value is 2.0.

Figure 3. A heatmap representing the differential lncRNA expression in the parental

prostate cancer cell lineages and their exosomes. "red" indicates high relative

expression and "blue" indicates low relative expression.

References

- 1. Khoury S, Tran N: Circulating microRNAs: potential biomarkers for common malignancies. *Biomark Med* 2015, **9**(2):131-151.
- 2. Walsh AL, Tuzova AV, Bolton EM, Lynch TH, Perry AS: Long noncoding RNAs and prostate carcinogenesis: the missing 'linc'? *Trends Mol Med* 2014, **20**(8):428-436.
- 3. Ahadi A, Brennan S, Kennedy PJ, Hutvagner G, Tran N: Long non-coding RNAs harboring miRNA seed regions are enriched in prostate cancer exosomes. *Scientific reports* 2016, 6:24922.
- 4. Khoury S, Ajuyah P, Tran N: Isolation of small noncoding RNAs from human serum. *J Vis Exp* 2014(88):e51443.

CCC CCC

Prostate cancer cell lines

Four prostate cancer cell lines, LNCaP, PC3, DU145, VCaP, and Healthy Prostate Cell Lines: PNT2



- 3) Box plots
- 4) Fold change analysis

Data Analysis

Wet lab procedures

Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3