

University of Technology, Sydney

Faculty of Science

**A STUDY OF THIN-FOIL ROLLING
AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN Al-
Fe-Mn-Si LIGHT GAUGE FOIL ALLOY**

Part 2

Course: N054 Doctorate in Science – (by Thesis)

Author: Matthew Mansell

Supervisor: Dr. Greg Heness

2007



CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work presented in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

14th December 2006.

CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY.....	2
CONTENTS.....	3
LIST OF FIGURES.....	6
LIST OF TABLES.....	15
CHAPTER 8 DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERIMENTAL PLAN.....	16
8.1. Sample Preparation.....	20
8.1.1. Collection of 8150 Samples.....	20
8.1.2. Preparation of AA8150 Samples.....	23
8.2. Surface Studies – Experimental Method.....	26
8.2.1. Introduction.....	26
8.2.2. Surface Study Techniques.....	27
8.2.3. Experimental Method.....	29
8.3. Microstructural Evaluation – Experimental Method.....	33
8.2.1. Microstructure Evaluation – Initial Analysis.....	36
8.2.2. Detailed Microstructure Evaluation.....	36
8.2.3. Mechanical Testing for Light Gauge Samples.....	38
RESULTS, DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....	39
CHAPTER 9 ALLOY INVESTIGATION - RESULTS.....	40
9.1. Surface Studies.....	40
9.1.1. Surface Roughness.....	42
9.1.2. Surface Oxides and Oxide Depth.....	53
9.1.3. Surface Composition.....	62
9.2. Microstructural Evaluation of AA8150.....	79
9.2.1. Microstructure Evaluation – Initial Analysis.....	79
9.2.2. Detailed Microstructure Evaluation.....	105

9.2.2.	Other Observations.....	134
9.2.2.1.	Anneal Temperature	134
9.2.2.2.	The Effects of Recovery during Cold Rolling.....	135
CHAPTER 10	ALLOY INVESTIGATION – DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	137
10.1.	Surface Studies	137
10.1.1.	Summary.....	142
10.2.	Microstructure Evaluation.....	143
10.2.1.	Recrystallisation and Recovery	145
10.2.2.	Phase-Particle Discussion.....	147
10.2.3.	Synopsis.....	153
10.3.	Processing Variables	157
10.3.1.	Annealing Temperature	157
10.3.2.	Cold Rolling Recovery – Exit Temperature.....	161
CHAPTER 11	SUMMATION	162
APPENDICES	168
APPENDIX 1	PROPERTIES OF PURITY ALUMINIUM.....	169
APPENDIX 2	AFFECTS OF ADDITIONS TO 1XXX SERIES ALLOYS.....	171
APPENDIX 3	1145 SPECIFICATIONS.....	173
APPENDIX 4	LUBRICANTION VISCOSITY FACTORS	176
APPENDIX 5	TAKING A MEVIL MEASURE.....	178
APPENDIX 6	SAMPLE PREPARATION SOP	179
APPENDIX 7	OPERATION OF A MULLENS TESTER.....	187
APPENDIX 8	OPERATION OF A ERICKSON TESTER.....	188
APPENDIX 9	BINDING ENERGY FOR OXYGEN, CARBON, ALUMINIUM, SILICON, MANGANESE AND IRON.....	189
APPENDIX 10	8150 COMPOSITION	201
APPENDIX 11	SURFACE SCAN INFORMATION.....	203

APPENDIX 12	RECOVERY AND RECRYSTALLISATION.....	212
REFERENCES	223

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 8.1.	Processing routes for 8150 product used in trial.	21
Figure 8.2.	Sample location in coil. The samples are collected 40mm in from the exposed edge, and 40mm in from the coil outer diameter	23
Figure 8.3	Schematic of an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy that employs the photoelectric effect.	27
Figure 8.4.	‘Colony’ mode solidification.....	33
Figure 8.5.	Unaligned rods.....	33
Figure 9.1.	Surface roughness for shiny sample processed using 5-7 Ra roll finish – B1 (a) surface scan (b) box analysis.....	44
Figure 9.2.	Surface roughness for matte sample processed using 5-7 Ra roll finish – B1 (a) surface scan (b) box analysis.....	45
Figure 9.3.	Surface roughness for shiny sample processed using 14-16 Ra roll finish – B2 (a) surface scan (b) box analysis.....	46
Figure 9.4.	Surface roughness for matte sample processed using 14-16 Ra roll finish – B2 (a) surface scan (b) box analysis.....	47
Figure 9.5.	Surface roughness for shiny sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 (a) section scan (b) three dimensional image	49
Figure 9.6.	Surface roughness for msjte sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish - B1 (a) section scan (b) three dimensional image	50
Figure 9.7.	Surface roughness for shiny sample processed using 14-16 Ra roll finish – B2 (a) section scan (b) three dimensional image	51
Figure 9.8.	Surface roughness for matte sample processed using 14-16 Ra roll finish – B2 (a) section scan (b) three dimensional image	52
Figure 9.9.	Depth profile for oxygen, aluminium and oxides for shiny thermal treated sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish –B1	54
Figure 9.10.	Three dimensional representation of peak count for shiny thermal treated sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish –B1, (a) oxygen (b) aluminium and aluminium oxide.....	55
Figure 9.11.	Depth profile for oxygen, aluminium and oxides for matte thermal treated sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish –B1	56
Figure 9.12.	Three dimensional representation of peak count for matte thermal treated sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish –B1, (a) oxygen (b) aluminium and aluminium oxide.....	57

Figure 9.13.	Depth profile for oxygen, aluminium and oxides for shiny thermal treated sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish –B2	58
Figure 9.14.	Three dimensional representation of peak count for shiny thermal treated sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish –B2, (a) oxygen (b) aluminium and aluminium oxide	59
Figure 9.15.	Depth profile for oxygen, aluminium and oxides for matte thermal treated sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish –B2	60
Figure 9.16.	Three Dimensional representation of peak count for matte thermal treated sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish –B2, (a) oxygen (b) aluminium and aluminium oxide	61
Figure 9.17.	General surface scans on aluminium sample processed using 5 – 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235°C, (a) shiny surface (b) matte surface	63
Figure 9.18.	General surface scans on aluminium sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285°C, (a) shiny surface (b) matte surface	64
Figure 9.19.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) aluminium (b) oxygen	66
Figure 9.20.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) manganese (b) copper	67
Figure 9.21.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) carbon	68
Figure 9.22.	High resolution scan for matte sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) aluminium (b) Oxygen	69
Figure 9.23.	High resolution scan for matte sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) manganese (b) copper	70
Figure 9.24.	High resolution scan for matte sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) magnesium (b) carbon	71
Figure 9.25.	High resolution scan for matte sample processed using 5 - 7 Ra roll finish – B1 samples thermally treated at 235 °C, (a) nitrogen.....	72
Figure 9.26.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285 °C, (a) aluminium (b) oxygen	73

Figure 9.27.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285 °C, (a) manganese (b) magnesium.....	74
Figure 9.28.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285 °C, (a) tin (b) carbon	75
Figure 9.29.	High resolution scan for matte sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285 °C, (a) aluminium (b) oxygen	76
Figure 9.30.	High resolution scan for shiny sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285 °C, (a) manganese (b) tin	77
Figure 9.31.	High resolution scan for matte sample processed using 14 - 16 Ra roll finish – B2 samples thermally treated at 285 °C, (a) carbon (b) fluorine	78
Figure 9.32.	Stage micrometer at 500x, 1 division = 10 microns.....	80
Figure 9.33.	Microstructure at 220µm for AA8150 – middle sample. There is a large number of darker rectangular particle as well as some lighter more oval particles	81
Figure 9.34.	Microstructure at 220µm for AA8150 - edge sample. Similar microstructure to the middle sample, with a large number of darker rectangular particle as well as some lighter more oval particles	81
Figure 9.35.	Microstructure at 145µm - pre-anneal, middle and edge sample. Like the 220µm samples, both samples appear similar in appearance.	83
Figure 9.36.	Microstructure at 145µm – post-anneal, middle and edge samples. There does not appear to be any significant differentiation between pre and post annealed sample, middle to edge.	83
Figure 9.37.	Grain structure at 145µm – Sample taken at 200x to pre-anneal, middle and edge sample. ‘M’ represents the middle sample and ‘E’ the edge sample. Evidence of complete recrystallisation is present with some large in-homogenous grain growth.	84
Figure 9.38.	Grain structure at 145µm – post-anneal, middle and edge samples at 400x. The present of a higher number of small rains is apparent on the edge samples when compared to the middle samples	84
Figure 9.39.	Microstructure at 63µm – pre-anneal, middle and edge sample. Like the 220µm and 145µm samples the structure for edge and middle samples pre anneal is similar.	85

Figure 9.40.	Microstructure at 63 μ m – post-anneal, middle and edge samples. There does not appear to be any significant difference in appearance between middle and edge. The presence of fine precipitates in both samples is evident on close inspection.	85
Figure 9.41.	Grain structure at 63 μ m – sample taken at 200x to pre-anneal, middle and edge sample. ‘M’ represents the middle sample and ‘E’ the edge sample. Evidence of complete recrystallisation is present with large in-homogenous grain growth occurring in the M samples.	86
Figure 9.42.	Grain structure at 63 μ m – post-anneal, middle and edge samples at 400x. The present of a higher number of small grains is apparent on the edge samples when compared to the middle samples	86
Figure 9.43.	Microstructure at 12 μ m (500x) – B1 (235°C for 100 hours) post-anneal taken 40mm inform edge. The intermetallic particles are aligned in the rolling direction, with some several microns in length. There is a fine distribution of dispersoid particles through the matrix.	88
Figure 9.44.	Microstructure at 12 μ m (500x) – B2a (285°C for 2 hours) post-anneal taken 40mm inform edge. The intermetallic particles are aligned in the rolling direction, with some several microns in length. There is a fine distribution of dispersoid particles through the matrix.	88
Figure 9.45.	Grain structure at 12 μ m (200x) – B1 (235°C for 100 hours). Evidence of complete recrystallisation with large in-homogenous grain growth across the full width of the sample.	89
Figure 9.46.	Grain structure at 12 μ m (200x) – B2a (285°C for 2 hours). The present of a higher number of small homogenous grains which are less than half width of the sample.	89
Figure 9.47.	Grain structure at 12 μ m (400x) – B1 (235°C for 100 hours) Closer inspection of the sample confirms complete recrystallisation with large in-homogenous grain growth across the full width of the sample.	90
Figure 9.48.	Grain structure at 12 μ m (400x) – B2a (285°C for 2 hours). Closer inspection of the sample confirms complete recrystallisation the presence of a higher number of small grains less than half sample width.	90
Figure 9.49.	EDX analysis with Particle inset (2975x) for 2.7mm sample exit hot rolling. Bright rectangular particles aligned in rolling direction with fracture evident. Particles found to be composed of aluminium, iron, manganese and silicon.	92
Figure 9.50.	Micrograph of 2.7mm structure showing large bright rectangular particles up to 20 μ m with high aspect ratios. Fracture is evident.	93

Figure 9.51.	Micrograph of 2.7mm structure showing high volume of large bright rectangular particles in aluminium matrix.....	93
Figure 9.52.	EDX analysis with Particle inset (1488x) for 220µm sample in W-temper. Bright feather shaped particle aligned in rolling direction with fracture evident. Particles found to be composed of aluminium, iron, manganese and silicon.	94
Figure 9.53.	Micrograph of 220µm structure showing large bright rectangular particles up to 20µm. Fracture is evident.	95
Figure 9.54.	Micrograph of 220µm structure showing high volume of large bright rectangular particles in aluminium matrix with aspect ratios up to 10.	95
Figure 9.55.	EDX analysis with Particle inset (2975x) for 145µm sample in O temper. Bright rectangular particle aligned in rolling direction with multiple fractures evident. Particles found to be composed of aluminium, iron, manganese and silicon.	96
Figure 9.56.	Micrograph of 145µm structure showing large bright rectangular particles with multiple fractures and aspect ratios between 5-10.	97
Figure 9.57.	Micrograph of 145µm structure showing high volume of large bright rectangular particles in aluminium matrix up to 10µm in length.	97
Figure 9.58.	EDX analysis of dark areas with Particle inset (1488x) for 63µm sample. Bright rectangular particles aligned in rolling direction generally less than 10µm with aspect ratio around 5. Dark area found to voids in aluminium matrix.	98
Figure 9.59.	Micrograph of 63µm structure showing large bright rectangular particles with multiple fractures. The larger one were measured and found to be below 10µm with aspect ratios around 5.....	99
Figure 9.60.	Micrograph of 63µm structure showing high volume of large bright rectangular particles in aluminium matrix generally below 10µm in length. No evidence of precipitation or dispersoids. Bright rectangular particles contrast smaller duller, no oval particles	99
Figure 9.61.	EDX analysis with Particle inset (2975x) for 12µm sample in O temper at 285°C for 2 hours. Bright rectangular particle aligned in rolling direction with multiple fractures evident. Particles found to be composed of aluminium, iron, manganese and silicon. No evidence of dispersoids or precipitates in matrix.....	101
Figure 9.62.	Micrograph of 12µm structure showing bright and dull particles in aluminium matrix below 10µm in length. No evidence of precipitation or dispersoids.....	102

Figure 9.63.	Micrograph of 12 μ m O temper structure showing high volume of large bright rectangular particles and duller more oval particles in aluminium matrix generally below 10 μ m in length. No evidence of precipitation or dispersoids. Bright rectangular particles contrast smaller duller, no oval particles.....	102
Figure 9.64.	SEM micrograph of 2.7mm sample (1000x). Intermetallic's are generally rectangular in shape, with several larger more rounded phases present. The matrix appears to have undergone particle and possibly full recrystallisation.....	107
Figure 9.65	SEM micrograph of 2.7mm sample (2000x). Large bright block-shaped intermetallic particle shows multiple fraction sites. Above right is a duller, more rounded intermetallic which shows signs of plastic deformation. The matrix appears to have undergone particle and possibly full recrystallisation with intermittent dull spherical dispersoids visible.	107
Figure 9.66.	Spectrum of matrix is essentially purity aluminium with pseudo-silicon reading	108
Figure 9.67.	EDS spectrum of large bright particle show high percentage of iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.....	109
Figure 9.68.	EDS spectrum of dull oval particle show iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.	110
Figure 9.69.	EDS spectrum of spherical dispersoids contain manganese and possibly silicon, with lower levels of iron.....	111
Figure 9.70.	The measured area distribution of particle sizes for the cold-rolled 220 μ m sample. There is a notable increase in particle count for particles with areas below 6 μ m ² . The average aspect ratio falls below three for particles less than 1 μ m ² implying a change in the morphology of the predominate phase.	113
Figure 9.71.	SEM micrographs of 220 μ m sample (x400). Intermetallic's are evenly distributed across the matrix, varying in size and shape. They are generally rectangular in shape with several larger more rounded particles.....	113
Figure 9.72.	SEM micrograph of 220 μ m sample (2000x). Several brighter particles are fracturing in several locations resulting in intermetallic stringers in the rolling direction. The matrix has an elongated grain structure with intermittent dull spherical dispersoids visible.	114
Figure 9.73.	SEM micrograph of 220 μ m sample (5000x). Dispersoids are clearly seen intermittently spread through the matrix. Particles showing multiple fracture sites with some voiding visible.....	114

Figure 9.74.	EDS spectrum of large bright particles show a high percentage of iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.....	115
Figure 9.75.	EDS spectrum of dull oval particle show iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.	116
Figure 9.76.	EDS spectrum of spherical dispersoids contain manganese and possibly silicon, with lower levels of iron.....	117
Figure 9.77.	The measured area distribution of particle sizes for the foil rolled 12 μ m sample pre-annealed. There is a notable increase in particle count for particles with areas below 1 μ m ² and a further increase for particles with area below 0.40 μ m ²	119
Figure 9.78.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m pre-annealed sample (1000x). Intermetallic's are generally rectangular in shape aligned in the direction of rolling.	119
Figure 9.79.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m pre-annealed sample (2000x). Bright and dull intermetallic particles 'string' in the rolling direction. The matrix has an un-recrystallised sub-grain type structure of less than 1 μ m, with random spherical dispersoids visible.....	120
Figure 9.80.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m pre-annealed sample (5000x). Dispersoids are clearly seen intermittently spread through the matrix with a mean spacing of between 2 μ m to 10 μ m.	120
Figure 9.81.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m pre-annealed sample (9000x). The microstructure yields an un-recrystallised sub-grain type structure of less than 1 μ m. Spherical dispersoids less than 0.1 μ m in size are clearly seen intermittently spread randomly the matrix with a mean spacing of between 2 μ m to 10 μ m.	121
Figure 9.82.	EDS spectrum of large bright particles show a high percentage of iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.....	122
Figure 9.83.	EDS spectrum of dull oval particle show iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.	123
Figure 9.84.	EDS spectrum for the spherical dispersoids show higher levels of iron than manganese. This implied that the precipitation of iron rich particles have occurring during foil processing.....	124
Figure 9.85.	The measured area distribution of particle sizes for the foil rolled 12 μ m sample post-annealed. There is a notable increase in particle count for particles with areas below 0.40 μ m ² and a corresponding decrease in average aspect ratio.	126
Figure 9.86.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m post-annealed sample (1000x). Intermetallic's are generally rectangular in shape aligned in the direction of rolling.	126

Figure 9.87.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m post-annealed sample (2000x). Bright and dull intermetallic particles are aligned in the rolling direction. The matrix has a recrystallised grain structure of generally greater than 1 μ m and below 5 μ m with random spherical precipitates and dispersoids visible.	127
Figure 9.88.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m post-annealed sample (5000x). Dispersoids are clearly seen intermittently spread through the matrix with a mean spacing below 1 μ m.....	127
Figure 9.89.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m post-annealed sample (9000x). The precipitates/dispersoids are randomly distributed in the homogenously recrystallised matrix.	128
Figure 9.90.	SEM micrograph of 12 μ m post-annealed sample (9000x). The accelerate voltage is reduced from 15kV to 10kV to emphasize the precipitates/dispersoids embedded in the matrix.....	128
Figure 9.91.	EDS spectrum of large bright particles show a high percentage of iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.....	129
Figure 9.92.	EDS spectrum of dull oval particle show iron and some secondary manganese and silicon readings.	130
Figure 9.93.	EDS spectrum of spherical dispersoids return to higher concentrations of manganese and possibly silicon, with lower levels of iron.....	131
Figure 9.94.	The distribution of Mullen’s results for 8150 alloys demonstrates the sensitivity of mechanical properties to final annealing temperature. The red results are for a 20°C higher annealing temperature than the blue.	134
Figure 9.95	Erickson results of 120 μ m 8150 product versus time. The Erickson values decrease by over 10% once the rolling temperatures exceeded 160°C.	135
Figure 9.96.	Metallurgical comparison of 8150 rolled at below 160°C compared to those rolled at greater than 160°C. The presence of Large Random Grains at 100x and 200x explains the Loss in Strength via the Hall-Petch Relationship.....	136
Figure 10.1.	Mansell Curve – Film thickness versus film strength expressed as a function of rolling lubricant temperature. Thinner gauges reduce the “good” operational window, increasing the likelihood of ladder, herringbone or both occurring.....	141
Figure 10.2.	TEM of an 8150 type alloy (1.35%Fe, 0.6%Mn) cold rolled to 130 μ m and partially annealed. A well defined substructure exists with particles pinning many of the boundaries.....	152
Figure 10.3.	Al-Fe-Mn compositional limits using a two dimensional chart to based on the 8150 alloy compositional boundaries.....	155

Figure 10.4. Al-Fe-Mn-Si compositional limits using a three dimensional graph based on the 8150 alloy composition boundaries..... 155

Figure 10.5 Microstructural comparison of alloy 8150 annealed at different temperatures. 158

LIST OF TABLES

Table 8.1.	Composition of AA8150 cast block	20
Table 8.2.	Additional rolling parameters for the sample trial metal.....	22
Table 8.3.	Definitions of surface roughness measures used in defining surface roughness.....	30
Table 8.4.	Registered composition limits of AA 8150 alloy	35
Table 9.1.	Additional rolling parameters for the sample trial metal.....	40
Table 9.2.	Operational thin-foil rolling boundaries used at Yennora to produce aluminium foils.....	41
Table 9.3.	Image and box analysis results for surface roughness for matte and shiny surfaces of samples annealed at 235 °C and 285°C.	42
Table 9.4.	Section analysis results for surface roughness for the matte and shiny surfaces of samples annealed at 235 °C and 285°C.	48
Table 9.5.	Summary of XPS quantification (atomic %) for surface composition of samples thermally treated at 235 °C and 285°C	62
Table 9.6.	Burst test comparison between AA1145 and AA8150 (B2a) samples thermally treated at 285°C	79
Table 9.7.	Void Fraction Results	103
Table 9.8.	Aspect Ratio Fraction Results	104
Table 9.9.	EDS summary for 2.7mm 8150 alloy.....	106
Table 9.10.	EDS Summary for 0.22mm 8150 alloy	112
Table 9.11.	EDS Summary for 12µm Pre-annealed 8150 alloy	118
Table 9.12.	EDS Summary for 12µm Post-annealed 8150 alloy	125
Table 9.13.	Phases in 8150 alloy during thermo-mechanical processing.....	133
Table 10.1.	8150 Alloy Composition Used on Trial Coils.....	160