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Review question/objective

The objective of this review is to identify the impact of physical and psychological factors on the health related quality of life in adult patients diagnosed with liver cirrhosis.

Background

All chronic liver diseases stimulate a degree of repetitive hepatocyte injury that alters the normal liver architecture and ends in cirrhosis. Liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma secondary to liver cirrhosis are a major public health burden reporting increasing mortality and morbidity in Australia and globally. The four leading causes of cirrhosis are harmful alcohol consumption, viral hepatitis B and C and metabolic syndromes related to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and obesity.

A cirrhotic liver is characterized by the presence of regenerative nodules surrounded by fibrous bands that inhibit the passing of molecules between blood and functional units of liver hepatocytes leading to liver dysfunction. In addition, the presence of fibrous bands disrupt the normal vascular architecture increasing resistance within the liver sinusoids and contributing to increased portal vein pressure.

Early stages of cirrhosis is referred to as compensated liver disease with no reported symptoms or evidence of impaired liver function. However, mortality rate, signs and symptoms of liver failure increase as the severity of cirrhosis increase. Transition from compensated to decompensated cirrhosis is marked by one or more physiological changes. The physiological changes include increased portal vein pressure, impaired synthetic function, electrolyte imbalance and malnourishment. These physiological changes trigger the development of physical signs and symptoms and impact on the psychological wellbeing of the individual living with cirrhosis. The physical signs and symptoms include oesophageal varices, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, jaundice, irregular sleep patterns,
muscle cramps, pruritus, fatigue, impaired mobility, breathlessness, abdominal discomfort, gastrointestinal symptoms, change of body image and pitting oedema. Psychological symptoms include stress, depression, and anxiety

Living with liver cirrhosis has a marked impact on the quality of life of the individual. Health related quality of life (HRQOL) is the individual's perception of their physical, cognitive, emotional, and social functioning. Studies report that physiological, physical and psychological factors affect the quality of life of patients with cirrhosis which can be problematic and debilitating. There is strong evidence which indicates that disease severity is associated with an impairment of the patients' HRQOL. For example, gross ascites causes abdominal discomfort, breathlessness, increased stress and anxiety related to body image, immobility and an increased likelihood of falls. In addition, the management of ascites involves frequent invasive procedures, an increase in pill burden and implementation of dietary restrictions all of which impact on HRQOL.

Despite the clear relationship between HRQOL and severity of disease, there has been no systematic review undertaken to determine the physical, psychological and physiological factors that affect the HRQOL of patients with liver cirrhosis. This systematic review will therefore identify the best available evidence related to the impact of physical, psychological and physiological factors on the health related quality of life in adult patients with liver cirrhosis. The results of the review will increase clinician's knowledge, and highlight areas of clinical management that may require additional strategies and treatment plans to improve symptom relief and HRQOL.

**Inclusion criteria**

**Types of participants**

This review will consider studies that include adult patients, aged 18 years clinically diagnosed with compensated or decompensated liver cirrhosis. Studies investigating non-liver disease related cirrhosis, the use of herbal medications, pre and post liver transplant and/or hepatocellular carcinoma patients, include non-cirrhotic patients, include inpatient data, non-liver related co-morbidities, patients undergoing interferon therapies and clinical trial studies investigating the use of medications or alternate interventions on HRQOL will be excluded.

**Types of intervention(s)/phenomena of interest**

Studies will be included if they have assessed the following physical and psychological factors on the HRQOL of patients. The physical factors will include but not limited to oesophageal varices ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, jaundice, irregular sleep patterns, muscle cramps, pruritus, fatigue, impaired mobility, breathlessness, abdominal discomfort, gastrointestinal symptoms, change of body image and pitting oedema. The psychological factors include stress, depression, and anxiety.

**Types of outcomes**

Studies will be included if they have objectively measured quality of life, including measuring any of the following domains: Physical wellbeing, Social and family wellbeing, Emotional and psychological wellbeing and Functional wellbeing.

Studies will be included if quality of life has been measured using objective scales including but not limited to: Liver Disease Quality of Life 1.0 (LDQOL), Short Form 36 Profile (SF-36), Chronic Liver
Disease Questionnaire (CLDQ), Liver disease symptom index 2.0 (LDSI 2.0), Hepatitis quality of life questionnaire.

**Types of studies**

This review will consider experimental study designs including randomised controlled trials, non-randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies and descriptive studies for inclusion.

**Search strategy**

The search strategy aims to find both published and unpublished studies. A three-step search strategy will be utilised in this review. An initial limited search of Cochrane review, MEDLINE and CINAHL will be undertaken followed by analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract, and of the index terms used to describe article. A second search using all identified keywords and index terms will then be undertaken across all included databases. Thirdly the reference list of all identified reports and articles will be searched for additional studies. Studies published in the English language will be considered for inclusion in this review. Studies published from 1990 will be considered for inclusion in the review as new interventions and medical management substantially improved overall liver function and health outcomes.

The databases to be searched include: Medline, CINAHL, Embase, Pubmed, CENTRAL, Scopus

The search for unpublished studies will include: ProQuest Dissertation & Theses and MedNar

Initial key words to be used will be:

Cirrhosis
Quality of life
Health related quality of life
Encephalopathy
Ascites
Portal hypertension
Oesophageal varices
Muscle cramps
Cognitive impairment
Sleep disturbance
Pitting oedema
Depression
Pruritus
Health distress
Activity
Memory
Hypo-natraemia
Hypo-albuminemia
Anxiety
Alexithymia
Abdominal bloating
Abdominal pain
Diarrhoea
Faecal incontinence

Assessment of methodological quality

Quantitative papers selected for retrieval will be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity prior to inclusion in the review using standardised critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute Meat Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-MAStARI) (Appendix I). Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer.

Data collection

Quantitative data will be extracted for papers included in the review using the standardised data extraction tool from JBI-MAStARI (Appendix II). The data extracted will include specific details about the interventions, populations, study methods and outcomes of significance to the review question and specific objectives.

Data synthesis

Quantitative papers will, where possible be pooled in statistical meta-analysis using JBI-MAStARI. All results will be subject to double data entry. Effect sizes expressed as odds ratio (for categorical data) and weighted means differences (for continuous data) and their 95% confidence intervals will be calculated for analysis. Heterogeneity will be assessed statistically using the standard chi-square and also explored using sub-group analyses based on different quantitative study designs included in this review. Where statistical pooling is not possible the findings will be presented in narrative form including tables and figures to aid in data presentation where appropriate.

Conflicts of interest

No conflicts of interest can be identified or foreseen in relation to this proposed systematic review.

Acknowledgements
References


Appendix I: Appraisal instruments

MAStARI Appraisal instrument

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomised Control / Pseudo-randomised Trial

Reviewer .......................... Date ................................

Author .............................. Year ........ Record Number ........

1. Was the assignment to treatment groups truly random? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
2. Were participants blinded to treatment allocation? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
3. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed from the allocator? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
4. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
5. Were those assessing outcomes blind to the treatment allocation? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
6. Were the control and treatment groups comparable at entry? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
7. Were groups treated identically other than for the named interventions ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
8. Were outcomes measured in the same way for all groups? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
9. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Overall appraisal: Include ☐ Exclude ☐ Seek further info. ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________
## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Descriptive / Case Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unclear</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Was study based on a random or pseudo-random sample?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Were confounding factors identified and strategies to deal with them stated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Were outcomes assessed using objective criteria?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If comparisons are being made, was there sufficient descriptions of the groups?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Was follow up carried out over a sufficient time period?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall appraisal:**
- Include □
- Exclude □
- Seek further info □

**Comments (Including reason for exclusion)**

---

Created by XMLmind XSL-FO Converter.
# JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Comparable Cohort/Case Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unclear</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is sample representative of patients in the population as a whole?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Are the patients at a similar point in the course of their condition/illness?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has bias been minimised in relation to selection of cases and of controls?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are confounding factors identified and strategies to deal with them stated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are outcomes assessed using objective criteria?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Was follow up carried out over a sufficient time period?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall appraisal: [ ] Include [ ] Exclude [ ] Seek further info. [ ]

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
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Appendix II: Data extraction instruments

MAStARI data extraction instrument

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JBI Data Extraction Form for Experimental / Observational Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer ............................................... Date .........................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author ..................................................... Year ..........................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal ..................................................... Record Number ...............</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study Method**

- RCT  □
- Quasi-RCT  □
- Longitudinal  □
- Retrospective  □
- Observational  □
- Other  □

**Participants**

- Setting
  
  Population

**Sample size**

- Group A
- Group B

**Interventions**

- Intervention A

- Intervention B

**Authors Conclusions:**

- 

- 

**Reviewers Conclusions:**

- 

- 

**Study results**

**Dichotomous data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Intervention ( ) number / total number</th>
<th>Intervention ( ) number / total number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Continuous data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Intervention ( ) number / total number</th>
<th>Intervention ( ) number / total number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>