

Anticipatory Models of Load Balancing in Cloud Computing

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
By
Shahrzad Aslanzadeh



University of Technology Sydney
New South Wales, Australia

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student: *Shahrzad Aslanzadeh*

Date: 04/14/2016

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Zenon Chaczko for his support, continuous guidance, meticulous suggestions and his inexhaustible patience especially during preparation of this dissertation. At many stages in the course of this research, I benefited from his advice, particularly so when exploring new ideas.

Also I would like to thank Dr. Christopher Chiu, who as a good friend was always willing to help and give his best suggestions. Many thanks to Christopher Mcdermid for helping me through my case study design.

I will be thankful to my close friends for their friendly support and caring.

I express my personal love and appreciation to my mum, dad and my sister for constant motivation and support.

Finally, I would like to thank my husband Alireza, who was always there cheering me up and stood by me through the good times and the bad.

Abstract

Cloud Computing is a recent arrival to the world of IT infrastructure. The concept allows companies to maximise utilisation of their potentials and consequently boost their performance. One of the main benefits of Cloud Computing is the significant increase in efficiency of executing business plans. Additionally, Cloud Computing provides large-scale applications with powerful computing power across global locations. Yet Cloud users are able to share their data easily by using replication methodologies.

Cloud Computing structure has been developed based on a multi-tenancy concept. Therefore, availability and efficiency of the resources are important factors in the Cloud architecture. However, as the numbers of users are increasing rapidly, the load will have a significant impact on performance and operation of the Cloud systems. Accordingly, optimised load balancing algorithms that can manage the Cloud load in a time- and cost-efficient manner are required.

Much research in recent years has been dedicated to optimising load balancing in Cloud Computing. This optimisation is demonstrated through a balanced network of interacting resources. The goal of this network is to minimise the wait time and maximise utilisation of the throughput.

This thesis provides a set of solutions which mitigate the problem of load balancing in the Cloud. The dissertation investigates a novel class of heuristic scheduling algorithms that improves load balancing in workflow scheduling applications.

Furthermore, it proposes a new anticipatory replication methodology with the objective of improving data availability to enhance the load balancing between the Cloud sites.

In summary, this research innovation implicates the design of optimised load balancing algorithms that consider the magnitude and direction of the load in workflow applications. Furthermore, by architecting the anticipatory replication algorithm, it minimises the numbers of the replicas and enhances the effective network usage in Cloud-based systems.

Contents

I.	Principal Theory and Concepts	2
	Background	3
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	Rational	4
1.3	Research Contribution.....	6
1.4	Research Questions	6
1.5	Formulation of the Hypothesis	8
1.5.1	Approach and Hypothesis Validation.....	9
1.5.1.1	Research Action Study	9
1.5.1.2	Experiments.....	9
1.5.2	Expected Outcomes.....	10
1.6	Outline of the Thesis	11
1.7	Related Publications	17
	Literature Review.....	19
2.1	Cloud Computing	19
2.1.1	Cloud Characteristics	24
2.1.2	Cloud Taxonomy	25
2.1.3	Cloud Architecture	26
2.1.4	Cloud Stack	28
2.1.4.1	Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)	28
2.1.4.1.1	Characteristics of IaaS.....	29
2.1.4.2	Platform as a Service (PaaS)	29
2.1.4.2.1	Characteristics of PaaS.....	30
2.1.4.3	Software as a Service (SaaS).....	30
2.1.4.3.1	Characteristics of SaaS.....	30
2.2	Cloud Computing: Challenges and Benefits	31
2.3	Load Balancing in Cloud Computing.....	34
2.3.1	Load Balancing: Concept, Definition, Benefits, Challenges.....	34
2.3.2	Load Balancing Measurement Criteria.....	35

2.3.3 Load Balancing Methods.....	36
2.4 Focus of This Research	38
2.4.1 Load Balancing Algorithms: Independent vs Dependent.....	38
2.4.1.1 Dependent Load Balancing Algorithms	39
2.4.1.2 Independent Load Balancing Algorithms.....	45
2.5 The Quest of This Research	49
2.5.1 The Anticipatory Approach.....	49
2.5.2 Workflow Scheduling.....	51
2.5.3 Replication Strategy	53
2.5.4 Research Issues	55
2.5.4.1 Workflow Scheduling.....	55
2.5.4.2 Replication Methodology	55
2.6 Summary	58
Research Methodology.....	59
3.1 Research Design.....	59
3.2 Research Method.....	60
3.2.1 Research Rules	62
3.3 Modelling Approaches	62
3.3.1 Black-box Modelling Approaches.....	62
3.3.2 White-Box Modelling Approaches	63
3.3.3 Gray-Box Modelling Approach.....	63
3.4 Experimental Methodologies Overview.....	65
3.4.1 Anticipatory Approach.....	65
3.4.2 Spring Tensor Model.....	65
3.4.2.1 Mathematical Apparatus.....	66
3.4.3 Anticipatory Replication Methodology	69
3.5 Summary of the Chapter	70
Research Action Study	71
4.1 Introduction	71
4.2 Load Balancing	72
4.3 Presence.....	75

4.4	Cloud Metrics.....	77
4.5	The Case Study: HDM Load Monitoring.....	79
4.5.1	Load Monitoring Tool	81
4.5.2	HDM Load Tolerance	83
4.5.2.1	Testing Tool	83
4.5.2.2	Page Analyser.....	84
4.5.2.3	Load Test-10 Virtual Users	86
4.5.2.3.1	10 Users-Load time vs Clients Active.....	86
4.5.2.3.2	10 Users - Number of the Active Requests vs Clients Active.....	86
4.5.2.3.3	10 Users - HDM Content Type Distribution	87
4.5.2.3.4	10 Users HDM Content Load Distribution	87
4.5.2.4	Load Test - 20 Virtual Users	88
4.5.2.4.1	20 Users-Load Time Vs Clients Active.....	88
4.5.2.4.2	20 Users- Number of the Active Requests Vs Clients Active.....	88
4.5.2.4.3	20 Users - HDM Content Type Distribution	89
4.5.2.4.4	20 Users HDM Content Load Distribution	89
4.5.2.5	Load Test - 50 Virtual Users	90
4.5.2.5.1	50 Users-Load Time Vs Clients Active.....	90
4.5.2.5.2	50 Users -Number of the Active Requests vs Clients Active.....	90
4.5.2.5.3	50 Users- HDM Content Type Distribution	91
4.5.2.5.4	50 Users HDM Content Load Distribution	91
4.5.2.6	Load Test - 100 Virtual Users	92
4.5.2.6.1	100 Users-Load Time Vs Clients Active.....	92
4.5.2.6.2	100 Users- Number of the Active Requests Vs Clients Active.....	92
4.5.2.6.3	100 Users - HDM Content Type Distribution	93
4.5.2.6.4	100 Users HDM Content Load Distribution	93
4.5.2.7	Results Analysis	94
4.5.2.7.1	Average Load Time Results	94
4.5.2.7.2	HDM Content Type Distribution Results	94
4.5.2.7.3	HDM Content Load Distribution Results.....	96
4.6	Overall Analysis and Proposed Solution.....	97
4.7	Conclusion.....	98
II.	Contribution to Research.....	99

STEM-PSO Based Task Scheduling Algorithm	100
5.1 Introduction	101
5.2 Load Balancing Problem Formulation	102
5.3 STEM-PSO Scheduling Algorithm.....	104
5.3.1 Particle Swarm Optimisation.....	104
5.3.2 STEM Algorithm.....	105
5.3.3 The Fundamentals of STEM-PSO.....	111
5.4 Experiment Results	112
5.4.1 Total Execution Time.....	113
5.4.2 CPU Load and CPU Time	114
5.4.3 Memory Rate.....	115
5.4.3.1 Workflow Soft Error Rates.....	116
5.5.4 Comparison of STEM-PSO Results	117
5.5.5 Experiment Analysis	118
5.5 Conclusion.....	119
Load balancing & Data Replication Strategy.....	120
6.1 Introduction	120
6.2 Problem formulation	122
6.3 Proposed Methods	123
6.3.1 SDDRC Architecture Design	123
6.3.2 SDDRC Algorithm.....	125
6.4 Results and Analysis	131
6.4.1 Mean Job Execution Time.....	133
6.4.2 Effective Network Usage	134
6.4.3 Total Number of the Replicas	135
6.4.3.1 Applying Soft Error Rates on Memory	136
6.4.4. Analysis of SDDRC Results.....	137
6.4.5 Experiment Analysis	138
6.5 Conclusion.....	139
Conclusion.....	140
7.1 Review.....	140

7.2 Thesis contribution	141
7.2.1 Discussion	141
7.2.2 Limitations	143
7.3 Future work	144
7.4 Final Remarks	146
III. Bibliography and publications.....	147
Bibliography.....	148
Appendix	175
9.1 Experiment Specifications.....	175
9.1.1 HDM System.....	175
9.1.1.1 Development specifications	176
9.1.2 STEM-PSO Implementation Details	179
9.1.3 Cloudsim Specification Details.....	183
9.1.3.1 Adding a new file in Tier structure.....	188

List of Figures

Figure 1.1- Thesis outline.....	14
Figure 2.1-Litriture review high-level mind map.....	20
Figure 2.2- IT evolution (KPMG 2011)	21
Figure 2.3- Comparing Cloud Computing, Cluster Computing and Grid Computing (Modified from Google trend).....	22
Figure 2.4 -Cloud taxonomy	26
Figure 2.5 -Reference model of Cloud architecture, adopted from, (Buya et al. 2008).....	27
Figure 2.6- Cloud stack categorisation, adopted from (Barkat et al. 2014)	28
Figure 2.7 -Load balancing categories	36
Figure 3.1 - Cloudsim architecture (Adopted from Calheiros 2011)	61
Figure 3.2 - Thesis modelling approaches (Adopted from DTU compute website)	64
Figure 3.3- Elastic sub-network model	67
Figure 4.1-Server availability model (Chaczko et al. 2014).....	73
Figure 4.2- Hybrid load balancing model (Chaczko et al. 2014).....	74
Figure 4.3- HDM System	79
Figure 4.4- Conceptual Architecture, Data Centric Model (Chaczko et al. 2011).....	80
Figure 4.5- High-level HDM database design.....	81
Figure 4.6- HDM load balancing model (Chaczko et al. 2011)	82
Figure 4.7- System health monitoring UI.....	83
Figure 4.8- Load time vs clients active - 10 virtual users.....	86
Figure 4.9- Requests per second vs clients active – 10 virtual users.....	86
Figure 4.10- HDM content type distribution - 10 virtual users	87
Figure 4.11-HDM content load distribution - 10 virtual users	87
Figure 4.12- Load time vs clients active - 20 virtual users.....	88
Figure 4.13- Requests per second vs clients active – 20 virtual users.....	88
Figure 4.14- HDM content type distribution - 20 virtual users	89
Figure 4.15- HDM content load distribution -20 virtual users	89
Figure 4.16- Load time vs clients active - 50 virtual users.....	90
Figure 4.17- Requests per second vs clients active – 50 virtual users.....	90
Figure 4.18-HDM content type distribution - 50 virtual users	91
Figure 4.19- HDM content load distribution -50 virtual users	91
Figure 4.20-Load time vs clients active - 100 virtual users.....	92
Figure 4.21- Requests per second vs clients active – 100 virtual users.....	92
Figure 4.22- HDM content type distribution - 100 virtual users	93
Figure 4.23 - HDM content load distribution - 100 virtual users	93
Figure 5.1- Example of workflow modelling.....	103
Figure 5.2- STEM workflow parameters (Bond, angle, dihedral, non-local interaction)	107
Figure 5.3- Sample tasks allocations on (PC1-PC4)	112
Figure 5.4- Comparison of total execution time between STEM-PSO and HEFT	113
Figure 5.5- Comparison of total CPU Time between STeM-PSO and HEFT	114
Figure 5.6-Comparison of total Memory utilisation rate between STEM-PSO and HEFT	115
Figure 6.1– High level SDDRC system architecture.....	124

Figure 6.2- GRMS high-level architecture.....	125
Figure 6.3- GRMS Tier insertion	126
Figure 6.4-Creating the VM data inputs in Cloudsim	132
Figure 6.5 -Initiaing the VM creating at runtime	132
Figure 6.6- Mean job execution time	133
Figure 6.7- Effective network usage	134
Figure 6.8 -Total number of replications.....	135
Figure 9.1-HDM system high-level design	175
Figure 9.2- STEM-PSO high-level logical design	179
Figure 9.3-Pegasus workflow data generator	180
Figure 9.4- STEM-PSO front end	180
Figure 9.5- Hessian Evaluation Rate	181
Figure 9.6- PSO algorithm input -front end	181
Figure 9.7- STEM-PSO main class code.....	182
Figure 9.8- Cloudsim high-level design	183
Figure 9.9- Cloudsim class architectures	185
Figure 9.10-Cloudsim submission time frontend	187
Figure 9.11- Inserting a node in Tier structure.....	188
Figure 9.12- Updating the pointer place in Tier structure	189

List of Tables

Table 1.1- Thesis part I and part II structure	12
Table 2.1- Benefits of the Cloud Computing	32
Table 2.2 -Challenges in the Cloud Computing	33
Table 2.3- Summary of the reviewed dependent scheduling algorithms	44
Table 2.4- Summary of the reviewed independent algorithm	48
Table 2.5- Summary of the reviewed workflow scheduling algorithms	56
Table 2.6- Summary of the reviewed replications methodologies	57
Table 4.1- VM properties	85
Table 4.2- Summary of No. of clients vs average load time.....	94
Table 4.3-HDM content type distribution results – 10, 20,50, 100 virtual users.....	95
Table 4.4-HDM average content type load time distribution results 10,20,50,100 virtual users	96
Table 4.5- Average user load time vs average html load time	97
Table 5.1- Interpretation of bond, angle, dihedral and non-local connections between tasks on workflow model (Aslanzadeh & Chaczko 2015).	108
Table 5.2 -CPU load utilisation rate using STeM-PSO.....	114
Table 5.3-Analysing the impact of soft error rates on Memory rate	116
Table 5.4- Total workflow execution time applications using STEM-PSO and HEFT	117
Table 5.5- CPU utilisation rate of workflow applications using STEM-PSO and HEFT	117
Table 5.6- Memory utilisation rate of workflow applications using STEM-PSO and HEFT ..	117
Table 6.1 -Analysing the impact of soft error rates on Memory rate	136
Table 6.2 - Mean job execution time using SDDRC (in milliseconds).....	137
Table 6.3 - Effective network usage using SDDRC (in percentage).....	137
Table 6.4 - Total number of replication using SDDRC.....	137

Glossary

AMAZON EC2	Amazon Elastic Cloud Computing
ANM	Anisotropic Network Model
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph
DPSO	Discrete Version Of PSO
ENM	Elastic Network Models
FCFS	First Come First Served
FMOC	Finite Multi-Order Context
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GBEST	Global Best Position
GNM	Gaussian Network Model
GRMS	Global Replica Management System
HDM	Hospital Data Management
HEFT	Heterogeneous Earliest Finish Time
HTML	Hyper Text Mark-up Language
I/O	Input/Output
IAAS	Infrastructure As A Service
ICT	Information & Communication Technology
IOT	Internet Of Things
IT	Information Technology
LFU	Least Frequently Used
LRU	Least Recently Used
MAKESPAN	Total Length Of Schedule
MBIT/S	Megabit Per Second
NIST	National Institute Of Standards And Technology
NP PROBLEM	Non-Deterministic Polynomial Time
PAAS	Platform As A Service
PBEST	Best Local Position
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimisation
QOS	Quality Of Service
RC	Relative Cost

SAAS	Software As A Service
SDDRC	Smart Dynamic Data Replication In Cloud Computing
SHEFT	Scalable Heterogeneous Earliest Finish Time
SLA	Service Level Agreement
STEM	Generalised Spring Tensor Model
STEM-PSO	Generalised Spring Tensor Model- Particle Swarm Optimisation
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
VM	Virtual Machine
XML	Extensible Mark-up Language
XMPP	Extensible Messaging And Presence Protocol