

Energy Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at
The University of Technology Sydney**

Najmeh Kamyab Pour

**Supervised by
Professor Doan B. Hoang**

December 2015

©Copyright by Najmeh Kamyab Pour, 2015
All Rights Reserved

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date:

Abstract

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs), as distributed networks of sensors with the ability to sense, process and communicate, have been increasingly used in various fields including engineering, health and environment, to intelligently monitor remote locations at low cost. Sensors (a.k.a nodes) in such networks are responsible for four major tasks: data aggregation, sending and receiving data, and in-network data processing. This implies that they must effectively utilise their resources, including memory usage, CPU power and, more importantly, energy, to increase their lifetime and productivity. Besides harvesting energy, increasing the lifetime of sensors in the network by decreasing their energy consumption has become one of the main challenges of using WSNs in practical applications. In response to this challenge, over the last few years there have been increasing efforts to minimise energy consumption via new algorithms and techniques in different layers of the WSN, including the hardware layer (i.e., sensing, processing, transmission), network layer (i.e., protocols, routing) and application layer; most of these efforts have focused on specific and separate components of energy dissipation in WSNs. Due to the high integration of these components within a WSN, and therefore their interplay, each component cannot be treated independently without regard for other components; in another words, optimising the energy consumption of one component, e.g. MAC protocols, may increase the energy requirements of other components, such as routing. Therefore, minimising energy in one component may not guarantee optimisation of the overall energy usage of the network.

Unlike most of the current research that focuses on a single aspect of WSNs, we present an Energy Driven Architecture (EDA) as a new architecture for minimising the total energy consumption of WSNs. The architecture identifies generic and essential energy-consuming constituents of the network. EDA as a constituent-based architecture is used to deploy WSNs according to energy dissipation through their constituents. This view of overall energy consumption in WSNs can be applied to optimising and balancing energy consumption and increasing the network lifetime.

Based on the proposed architecture, we introduce a single overall model and propose a feasible formulation to express the overall energy consumption of a generic wireless sensor network application in terms of its energy constituents. The formulation offers a concrete expression for evaluating the performance of a wireless sensor network application, optimising

its constituent's operations, and designing more energy-efficient applications. The ultimate aim is to produce an energy map architecture of a generic WSN application that comprises essential and definable energy constituents and the relationships between these constituents so that one can explore strategies for minimising the overall energy consumption of the application. Our architecture focuses on energy constituents rather than network layers or physical components. Importantly, it allows the identification and mapping of energy-consuming entities in a WSN application to energy constituents of the architecture.

Furthermore, we perform a comprehensive study of all possible tasks of a sensor in its embedded network and propose an energy management model. We categorise these tasks into five energy consuming constituents. The sensor's energy consumption (EC) is modelled based on its energy consuming constituents and their input parameters and tasks. The sensor's EC can thus be reduced by managing and executing efficiently the tasks of its constituents. The proposed approach can be effective for power management, and it also can be used to guide the design of energy efficient wireless sensor networks through network parameterisation and optimisation.

Later, parameters affecting energy consumption in WSNs are extracted. The dependency between these parameters and the average energy consumption of a specific application is then investigated. A few statistical tools are applied for parameter reduction, then random forest regression is employed to model energy consumption per delivered packet with and without parameter reduction to determine the reduction in accuracy due to reduction.

Finally, an energy-efficient dynamic topology management algorithm is proposed based on the EDA model and the prevalent parameters. The performance of the new topology management algorithm, which employs Dijkstra to find energy-efficient lowest cost paths among nodes, is compared to similar topology management algorithms. Extensive simulation tests on randomly simulated WSNs show the potential of the proposed topology management algorithm for identifying the lowest cost paths. The challenges of future research are revealed and their importance is explained.

Acknowledgements

I first thank my supervisor Prof. Doan Hoang, for his full support and valuable advice during my PhD study. Without his help, it would have been impossible to complete the PhD journey. I also thank my spouse and my parents for their selfless care and warm encouragement. I would also like to thank my little kids who were born during my PhD and brought great inspiration and happiness to my life.

Finally, I thank my colleagues and friends in both school of Information Technology and iNext group for the happy time they have shared with me.

List of publications

Journal

1. **N Kamyabpour**, DB Hoang, “Energy Efficient Parametric Topology Management and Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks”, *Computer Communications*, elsevier (submitted).

Conference

2. DB Hoang, **N Kamyabpour**, “Energy-Constrained Paths for Optimization of Energy Consumption in Wireless Sensor Networks”, *IEEE Fourth International Conference on Networking and Distributed Computing (ICNDC)*, 2013
3. **N Kamyabpour**, DB Hoang, “Statistical Analysis to Extract Prevalent parameters on Overall Energy Consumption of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN)”, *IEEE 13th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies (PDCAT)*, 2012
4. DB Hoang, **N Kamyabpour**, “An energy driven architecture for wireless sensor networks”, *IEEE 13th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies (PDCAT)*, 2012
5. **N Kamyabpour**, DB Hoang, “A Task Based Sensor-Centric Model for Overall Energy Consumption”, *IEEE 12th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies (PDCAT)*, 2011
6. **N Kamyabpour**, DB Hoang, “A hierarchy energy driven architecture for wireless sensor networks”, *2010 IEEE 24th International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops (WAINA)*, 2010
7. **N Kamyabpour**, DB Hoang, “Modeling overall energy consumption in wireless sensor networks”, *IEEE 11th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies (PDCAT)*, 2010

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Wireless sensor	1
Figure 1-2. Two practical applications of a wireless sensor network.	2
Figure 1-3. Energy Consuming Constituents	8
Figure 1-4. Research methodology	10
Figure 1-5. Research steps	11
Figure 1-6. Model Evaluation	13
Figure 1-7. The procedure of capturing data from the event detector application.....	13
Figure 1-8. Thesis Structure	16
Figure 2-1. CodeBlue architecture for emergency response	22
Figure 2-2. ALARM-NET architecture (P.Kumar, 2012).....	23
Figure 3-1. Energy consuming constituents	40
Figure 3-2. General State Diagram of an individual constituent.....	43
Figure 3-3. An example of the data generation sequence in an individual constituent.....	43
Figure 3-4. Randomly deployed sensors and sinks in the application	49
Figure 3-5. Average number of neighbours for different transmission radius and network size..	50
Figure 3-6. Residual energy for different sensor radius ($rTx = 130$, Selective)	51
Figure 3-7. Residual energy for different sensor radius ($rTx = 130$, Random)	51
Figure 3-8. Residual energy with respect to different transmission radius ($rsense = 30$, Selective).....	54
Figure 3-9. Residual energy with respect to different transmission radius ($rsense = 30$, Random)	54
Figure 3-10. Optimum sensor radius and transmission radius for Selective routing method	56
Figure 3-11. Optimum sensor radius and transmission radius for Random routing method	57
Figure 4-1. Sensor-centric view of a Wireless Sensor Network	61
Figure 4-2. System design in term of constituents' tasks.....	63
Figure 4-3. Number of packets of (a) overall EC, (b) individual, (c) local and (d) global constituents against the frequency of observations	75
Figure 4-4. (a) constituent's packet flows in different time slices; (b) overall EC in different time slices.....	77
Figure 4-5. (a) Model-predicted and observed values of EC for several random runs; (b) the error range of the model; (c) comparing the variation of the error value with global packet flows.....	80
Figure 5-1. (a) Monotonic function used in Spearman correlation [http://goo.gl/Ol81E], (b) Pearson vs. Spearman correlations [source: quickiwiki]	86
Figure 5-2. Decision tree based on the Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset (Foster Provost, 2013).	89
Figure 5-3. (continued on next page) Scatter graphs to display relationships between the parameters and average energy consumption; the x-axis is the parameter value for an experiment and y-axis is the average energy consumption of the global constituent for that experiment. Configuration parameters (i.e., transmission radius, size of network, number of sinks) are set by user at the beginning of the experiment, while other parameters are observed at the end of the experiment from the simulator.	91
Figure 5-4. The prediction accuracy (error) of random forest regression models compared with actual energy consumption (blue): with all parameters (green), only relevant parameters (red)..	97

Figure 6-1. The effect of network size on (a) the average number of hops, and (b) the average number of neighbours; (c) the effect of transmission radius on average number of neighbours.	101
Figure 6-2. Illustration of level of a node and relay nodes	102
Figure 6-3. Pseudo-code for PDTM	103
Figure 6-4. pseudo-code for DDTM	104
Figure 6-5. The effect of PDTM parameters on performance (a) Average number of hops, (b) Average number of Neighbours, (c) Average Distance (d), Average Energy Consumption.	108
Figure 6-6. Applying DDTM to a network of 10 nodes in four timeslots.	110
Figure 6-7. Applying PDTM on the same network as in Figure 6-6.	110
Figure 6-8. Applying PDTM on the same network in the previous figure: (a) the first time slot, (b) after nine time slots	111
Figure 6-9. Applying DDTM on a network of 50 nodes: (a) the first time slot, (b) after nine time slots.	112
Figure 6-10. Applying DDTM and PDTM on a 50-node network: (a) the total number of dead nodes in each timeslot; (b) the total number of received packets in the sink.	113
Figure 6-11. Comparison between PDTM and DDTM: (a) number of received packets by sink; (b) packet loss.	114
Figure 6-12. Comparison between PDTM and DDTM: (a) lifetime, (b) dead nodes at end of network	115
Figure 6-13. Comparison between PDTM and DDTM over time for a 100-node network: (a) energy consumption, (b) number of received packets.	117

List of Tables

Table 4-1. Individual parameters	64
Table 4-2. Local parameters.....	67
Table 4-3. Global parameters.....	68
Table 4-4. Environment parameters.....	70
Table 4-5. Sink Parameters	70
Table 4-6. Packet flow of different constituents	74
Table 4-7. The predication evaluation.....	79
Table 5-1. Configuration parameters in the global constituent and their values.....	90
Table 5-2. Selection of relevant parameters on average consumed energy per delivered packet. The bold-italic numbers in each row are the highest values of correlation between the parameters and average energy consumption. The grey rows indicate parameters with not enough evidence to be prevalent.	94
Table 5-3. Evaluation of random forest regression of models with all parameters and models with only prevalent parameters, with actual data in regard to four criteria defined in Section 4.3.3 ...	96
Table 6-1. Comparison between PDTM and DDTM at the end of the experiment for a network with 50 nodes	113
Table 6-2. Comparison between PDTM and DDTM on all simulations	115

Table of Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgements	III
List of publications	IV
Journal	IV
Conference	IV
List of Figures	VI
List of Tables	VIII
Table of Contents	IX
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Defining Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) requirements	2
1.2 Motivation and Research Issues	3
1.3 Research Aims and Objectives	6
1.4 Research questions and their contribution to knowledge	9
1.4.1 Characterisation	9
1.4.2 Methods/Mean	10
1.4.3 Feasibility	10
1.4.4 Selection	11
1.4.5 Generalisation	11
1.4.6 Risks	12
1.5 Research objectives and scope	12
1.6 Research Contribution	14
1.7 Justification	15
1.8 Structure of the Thesis	15
Chapter 2. Background and literature review	18
2.1 Healthcare Monitoring Networks	19
2.1.1. Sensor hardware capabilities	21
2.1.2. Architectures	23
2.1.3. Communication technologies	24
2.2. Energy consumption in WSN	25
2.2.1. Physical Layer	27
2.2.2. Link Layer	28

2.2.3. MAC Layer	29
2.2.4. Network Layer.....	30
2.2.5. Congestion Control	34
2.2.6. Application Layer.....	34
2.2.7. Energy Harvesting.....	35
Chapter 3. A Generic Energy-Driven Architecture in Wireless Sensor Networks	37
3.1 Problem statement.....	37
3.2 Overall Energy Consumption Formulation	39
3.3 Individual Constituents	41
3.4 Group Energy Consumption.....	44
3.4.1 Local Constituent	44
3.4.2 Global Constituent.....	46
3.5 Environment Constituent.....	48
3.6 Sink Constituent	48
3.7 Experimental Results.....	49
3.8 Summary and Remarks	58
Chapter 4. Task-based sensor-centric model for overall energy consumption	60
4.1 Problem statement.....	60
4.2 Tasks-based Energy Driven Model (EDM)	62
4.2.1 Individual	66
4.2.2 Local.....	67
4.2.3 Global.....	69
4.2.4 Environment.....	69
4.2.5 Sink.....	69
4.3 Estimating model coefficients and evaluation	70
4.3.1 Profiling.....	70
4.3.2 Model generation.....	71
4.3.3. Evaluation Criteria	72
4.3.4 Refine the model	73
4.4 Experimental results.....	74
4.4.1 Experiment setting.....	74
4.4.2 Results	78
4.5 Summary and Remarks	81
Chapter 5. Statistical Analysis for Prevalent Parameter Selection for Energy in WSNs.....	83

5.1	Mathematical Background	83
5.1.1	Parameter reduction	84
5.1.2	Model Generation with Random Forest Regression	88
5.2	Experimental Evaluation.....	89
5.2.1	Experimental Setting.....	90
5.2.2	Results.....	92
5.3	Summary.....	96
Chapter 6.	Parametric Dijkstra-based Topology Management and Routing Algorithm	98
6.1	Network model and preliminary study	99
6.2	The PDTM algorithm.....	100
6.3.	Experimental Result and Discussion	105
6.3.1	Distance Dijkstra-based Topology Management algorithm	105
6.3.2.	Simulation Settings	106
6.3.3.	Results and Discussion	108
6.3.3.1.	PDTM parameters and Network Performance.....	108
6.3.3.2.	Comparison Based on Network Topology and Routing.....	109
6.3.3.3.	Comparison Based on Network Performance.....	114
6.3.3.4.	Comparison Based on number of dead nodes and lifetime.....	115
6.3.3.5.	Comparison Based on Energy Consumption	116
6.4	Summary and remarks	116
Chapter 7.	Conclusion and future work	118
7.1	Conclusions.....	118
7.2	Future Directions	120
Appendix A.	Statistical tools for parameter selection	123
Appendix B.	Simulator detail of Topology Management Algorithm.....	126
A.1	Define node characteristics	126
A.2	Define wireless sensor network characteristics	126
A.3	Create graph of sensors	128
A.4	Start Network	129
A.5	Dijkstra Algorithm	130
References	133

