Student-generated animations and the

teaching and learning of chemistry

Zeynep Yaseen

MS Science, BS and MS Teaching Chemistry

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

University of Technology Sydney

A thesis submitted in the fulfilment of the requirements for

the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2016

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature:

Date:

Acknowledgments

First of all, I would like to express my special appreciation and thanks to my supervisor Professor Peter Aubusson, who provided extra support, advice and encouragement throughout the research and thesis preparation. I would like to thank him for his patience, guidance and understanding. Without his endless support, this research would not have been possible.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Matthew Kearney for his guidance and assistance.

I express special thanks to Dr. Terry Fitzgerald for his endless support. Without his writing assistance, I would not have completed my thesis on time. Furthermore, I give thanks to my colleague, James Scott, who coded pre-tests and post-test of students, and made helpful contributions in the analysis part of this research.

I gratefully thank University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for the UTS Presidents Scholarship and UTS International Research Scholarship. I also thank the UTS Graduate School conference committee for providing funding support for my attendance at two international conferences: AARE-ASERA and ESERA. I also sincerely thank the Faculty of Art and Social Science at UTS for supporting the fieldwork of this thesis in Turkey.

I also want to thank the students and the chemistry teacher who were the participants in the study's intervention, and the school in which the research was conducted in Turkey.

Finally, I would like to express my most sincere thanks to my family and friends for their patience, support and encouragement in the preparation of this thesis.

Publications and papers produced from this research

Polat-Yaseen, Z. 2015, 'Interactions among Students and with Teacher after Student- Generated Animation: Influences on Students' Science Learning', 11th Conference of the European Science Education Research Association (ESERA), Helsinki, Finland, August 31-September 4, 2015.

Polat-Yaseen, Z. 2014, 'The Influence of Follow-up Class Discussions to High School Students' Science Learning after Creating Animations', 2014 FASS Higher Degree Research Conference, University of Technology Sydney, Australia, November 7-8, 2014.

Polat-Yaseen, Z. 2012, 'The Effects of Student-Oriented Chemistry Animations on Conceptual Learning Processes', 2012 FASS Postgraduate Research Student Conference, University of Technology Sydney, Australia, November 2-3, 2012.

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to:

My supportive husband, Muhammad Yaseen, who has provided me with love and endless support, and to my son Arqam. Without my husband's support this research would not have been possible.

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Publications and papers produced from this research	iii
Dedication	iv
Table of Contents	V
Abstract	xii
Chapter 1 : Introduction to the Thesis	1
1.1. Introduction to the chapter	1
1.2. Background to the research	1
1.3. Research objectives and scope of the study	3
1.4. Significance of the study	5
1.5. Overview of the thesis	6
Chapter 2 : Literature Review	8
2.1. Chapter overview	8
2.2. Theoretical framework	8
2.2.1. Sociocultural theory	9
2.2.2. Representation Construction Approach, Representational Challenge	. 11
2.2.3. Using analogies in science instruction	. 16
2.3. Representations	. 21
2.4. Representations with technology and animations	. 24
2.4.1. Student-generated animations	. 29
2.5. Conclusion	. 31
Chapter 3 : Methodology	34
3.1. Chapter overview	. 34
3.2. Theoretical Perspective of Methodology	. 34
3.3. Research design	. 36
3.3.1. Designed-based research	. 37
3.4. The pilot study	. 41
3.5. Participants and Context of the Study	. 42
3.6. The framework of the activities	. 45
3.7. Data collection and recording procedures	. 49

3.8. Analysis	52
3.8.1. Qualitative analysis	52
3.8.2. Quantitative analysis	59
3.9. Ethics	61
3.10. Reporting the study	63
3.11. Conclusion	63
Chapter 4 : Data Analysis	65
4.1. Chapter overview	65
4.2. Pre- and post-test results	65
4.2.1. Questionnaire	66
4.2.2. Analysis of Questions 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4	66
4.2.3. Analyses of Questions 2.1 and 2.3	69
4.2.4. Analysis of Question 2.2	71
4.3. Creating animations (Phase 2)	79
4.3.1. Partner's interactions	79
4.3.2. Teacher's guidance	92
4.4. Follow-up class discussions (Phase 3 and Phase 4)	111
4.4.1. Overview	111
4.4.2. Student animations (Phase 3)	113
4.4.3. Expert animations (Phase 3)	147
4.4.4. Evaluation of the teaching learning process (Phase 4)	153
4.5. Conclusion	156
Chapter 5 : How Student-Generated Animations and Students' Learning in Ch	emistry
157	
5.1. Introduction	157
5.2. Findings	158
5.2.1. Pre- and post-test results	158
5.3. Implications	168
5.4. Limitations	169
5.5. Further research	170
5.6. Conclusion	171
References	173
Appendices	185
Appendix 1 Ethics Approval	185

Appendix 2a Student Consent form	186
Appendix 2b Teacher Consent form	187
Appendix 3a Student Information form	188
Appendix 3b Teacher Information form	189
Appendix 4 K-Sketch Animation User Guide Worksheet	190
Appendix 5 ESSA Questionnaire	199
Appendix 6a Teacher Interview Questions	201
Appendix 6b Student Interview Questions	202
Appendix 7 RCA Principle Analysing Sheet	203
Appendix 8 ESSA Marking Guideline	208
Key Terms	209

List of Figures

Figure 3.3.1 The methodological position of this research	40
Figure 3.6.1: 11-A Creating animations	47
Figure 3.6.2: 11-B Creating animations	48
Figure 4.2.1 Inter Quartile Range	70
Figure 4.2.2 The percentage of students' drawings for first KMT postulate	72
Figure 4.2.3 The percentage of students' drawings for second KMT postulate	73
Figure 4.2.4 The percentage of students' drawings for third KMT postulate	73
Figure 4.2.5 The percentage of students' drawings for fourth KMT postulate	74
Figure 4.2.6 The percentage of students' drawings for fifth KMT postulate	74
Figure 4.2.7: S4's pre-test Q2.2	76
Figure 4.2.8: S4's post-test Q2.2	76
Figure 4.2.9: S6's pre-test Q2.2	77
Figure 4.2.10: S6's post-test Q2.2	77
Figure 4.2.11: S7's pre-test Q2.2	78
Figure 4.2.12: S7's post-test Q2.2	78
Figure 4.2.13: S13's pre-test Q2.2	79
Figure 4.2.14: S13's post-test Q2.2	79
Figure 4.3.1: S4 & S7 animation	80
Figure 4.3.2: S6 & S9's solid, liquid and gas animation	81
Figure 4.3.3: S16 & S17 animation	83
Figure 4.3.4: S1 & S8's solid and liquid animation	84
Figure 4.3.5: S24's liquid part animation	85
Figure 4.3.6: S12 & S24's from solid to liquid animation	86
Figure 4.3.7: S12's gas particles animation	87
Figure 4.3.8: S24's correction for gas particles animation	87
Figure 4.3.9: S12's motion to heat animation	88

Figure 4.3.10: S12's steam animation	89
Figure 4.3.11: S12 & s24's solid and liquid particles (K=Kati)	90
Figure 4.3.12: S24's giving motion to heat animation	90
Figure 4.3.13: S4 & S7's animation	93
Figure 4.3.14: S6 & S9's animation	94
Figure 4.3.15: First part of S1 & S8's animation	95
Figure 4.3.16: S12 & S24's first drawings for solid	95
Figure 4.3.17: Second part of S1 & S8's animation	96
Figure 4.3.18: S13 & S14's animation	98
Figure 4.3.19: S20 & S25's animation view	99
Figure 4.3.20: S3 & S5's animation	
Figure 4.3.21: S6 & S9's solid, liquid, gas particles animation	
Figure 4.3.22: S12 & S24's first drawings of solid particles animation	
Figure 4.4.1: K-sketch expert animations's liquid phase	112
Figure 4.4.2: Solid, liquid gas	112
Figure 4.4.3: S6 & S9 animation solid state	113
Figure 4.4.4: S6 & S9 animation enlarged solid particles	114
Figure 4.4.5: S6 & S9 animation solid to gas state	115
Figure 4.4.6: S6 & S9 animation enlarged gas particles	115
Figure 4.4.7: S1 and S8 space arrangement	118
Figure 4.4.8: S1and S8 structure of matters in animation	120
Figure 4.4.9: S4 and S7 animation	122
Figure 4.4.10: S3 and S5 animation	125
Figure 4.4.11: S15, S16 and S17's animation solid, liquid and gas states	131
Figure 4.4.12: S15, S16 and S17's animation, particles	132
Figure 4.4.13: S13 and S14 animation	135
Figure 4.4.14: S21 and S27's animation	139

4	1
	4

List of Tables

Table 3.5.1: The Framework of the Interventions	43
Table 3.8.1: RCA Principles Analysing Sheet for Class 11-B	54
Table 4.2.1 Questions 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 Descriptive Statistics	68
Table 4.2.2 Questions 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test	68
Table 4.2.3 Questions 1.1 and 1.2 Paired Samples Test	69
Table 4.2.4 Questions 2.1 and 2.3 Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test	70
Table 4.2.5 Question 2.2 Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test	72
Table 4.2.6 Question 2.2 Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test Based on five postulate Test Statistics ^a	75

Abstract

This study investigates the use of student-generated animations in the teaching and learning of chemistry. Previous research has identified the potential for animations to contribute to student learning in science. In particular, animations have the capacity to represent the dynamic processes and motions that may be inherent in some chemical concepts. This study focuses on animations that students produced with the support of their teacher and their fellow students. The literature review brings ideas together from social constructivism, representational pedagogy and analogical reasoning to highlight the implications of this research.

The research investigated a teaching intervention that was designed to investigate the following conjecture: That students working in groups to create their own animations to represent their conceptions, when supported by their teacher to analyse the animations in group discussions, develop their understanding of science concepts.

The participants in the study were 28 Year 11 Science students and their science teacher. The concept the students were learning was states of matter. The teaching intervention included training the students in the use of animation software, followed by the students working in pairs or groups of three to create animations representing their conceptions of solid, liquid and gaseous states of matter. Students were supported by their teacher and encouraged to discuss ideas as they constructed their animations. After completion of the animations, the teacher facilitated the students to analyse and discuss their own animations as well as animations that had been produced by experts. Data collection included pre- and post-tests, classroom observation, videorecording of lessons, collection of artefacts (the students' animations) and interviews with the teacher and students.

Use of the student-generated animations created an opportunity to represent and discuss conceptions of the states of matters, including dynamic elements of their conceptualisations. The teacher's scaffolding of the groups during their animating, helped them to accurately represent their conceptions. The teacher became aware of her students' misconceptions and otherwise hidden understandings as they created their animations. In their analysis of the various animations, students identified differences and similarities among their animations. As well, by comparing attributes of their conceptions of states of matter with attributes shown in their animations, they identified

those that were matching and mismatching. They also critiqued expert animations of states of matter. Data from pre/post-tests, observations and interviews indicate that the students improved their understanding of states of matter through the teaching/learning process that occurred during the intervention.