

Optimization of Perceptual Steganography Capacity Using the Human Visual System and Evolutionary Computation

Raniyah Abdullah Wazirali

B.Sc. in Computer Science (Taif University)
M.Sc. in Information Technology (University of Technology, Sydney)

Supervisor: Dr. Zenon Chaczko

A Dissertation submitted to
The University of Technology, Sydney
In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Computing and Communications
University of Technology, Sydney
Sydney, NSW, AUSTRALIA

March 2016

Dedication

I dedicate this to my parents Abdullah and Afnan for their endless support, sincere prayers and encouragements throughout my life and my PhD

I dedicate this to my husband Abdulrahman and my son Battal for their continuous love, sacrifices and patience throughout my years of study

اهداء

لحظات يقف فيها المرء حائرا عاجزا عن التعبير كما يختلج في صدره من صدره من تشكرات لأشخاص أمدوه بالكثير والكثير ، لحظات صار لا بد أن ينطق بهما اللسان و يعترف بفضل الآخرين اتجاهه لأنهم و بصراحة كانوا الأساس المتين الذي بني عليه صرح العلم و المعرفة لديه، و أناروا سبيل بلوغهما

فأهدي ثمرة جهدي التي طالما تمنيت إهدائها و تقديمها في أعلى طبق

إلى التي حملتني وهنا على وهن، و قاست و تألمت لألمي، إلى من رعتني بعطفها وحنانها و سمعت طرب الليل من أجلي، إلى أول كلمة نطقت بها شفثاي أمي الغالية أفنان.

إلى الذي عمل و كد و جد ففاس ثم غلب حتى وصلت إلى هدفي هذا، إلى المصباح الذي لا يبخل إمدادي بالنور، إلى الذي علمني بسلوكه خصالا أعتز بها في حياتي والدي العزيز.

إلى شريك حياتي و توأم روحي الذي شجعني بحبه و حنانه و دعمه الغير محدود على مواصلة مسيرتي العلمية، إلى زوجي الحبيب عبدالرحمن

إلى صغيري الذي كان دافعي و مصدر اصراري و قوتي، إلى ابني و فلذة كبدي بتال

وإلى رياحين حياتي في الشدة و الرخاء إخوتي وأسرتي جميعاً.

ثم إلى كل من علمني حرفاً أصبح سنا برقه يضيء الطريق أمامي.

وفي الأخير أشكر كل من ساهم في إنجاح هذه الرسالة من بعيد أو من قريب.

Originality Statement

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signed

Date

Acknowledgments

All praise is due to Allah (Glorified and Exalted is He), without his boundless favours and blessings none of this work could exist.

Throughout the course of this Thesis, there have been several people who have afforded me with direction, motivation and provision for finishing this work. Firstly, I am indebted to my supervisor Dr. Zenon Chaczko for his continual guidance, support and suggestion. Without his insight, this work would not be possible. I would like also to thank Dr. Roman Danylak for his encouraging comments and suggestions throughout the reviewing stage. His participation was vital to the completion of this thesis.

On the personal front, I would like to express my appreciation to my parents, husband, brothers and sisters, for their nonstop love, truthful prayers and support during the research. I must also express my thanks to all my colleagues and friends in the Department of Computing and Communications at the University of Technology, Sydney.

Lastly, I would like express my thanks to the late King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia for the King Abdullah Foreign Scholarship Program and the Saudi Arabia Culture Mission for providing a chance and funding to engage this research.

Abstract

Efficient solutions for the purpose of delivery of information are called for by the revolution of internet. However concerns and problems over security, distribution of digital content and encapsulation of media artifacts have arisen as a result of these phenomenal developments. Hence, it has become necessary to seek capabilities to transport and secure multimedia with its meta-data in a safe way. Steganography has evolved as an enabler of multimedia applications keeping secret communication and embedded captioning secure.

There is a tolerable outcome that occurs between imperceptibility and steganographic capacity that fit right into the mix. For instance, the more subtle elements are hidden within the cover object having higher capacity, the more degradation is exhibited towards the carrier file, resulting in an increase in the distortion attributed to the information being concealed and at the same time, decreasing the stego file quality.

Suitable use of Evolutionary Algorithm and effective use of the weaknesses of Human Visual System in steganography are investigated in this thesis. Firstly, two high capacity steganography approaches are developed with the use of aforementioned features. The first method aims to overcome the limit capacity of edge based steganography in the spatial domain. The second method proposes a proper threshold selection for each coefficient which increase the capacity of transform domain. An estimate of the embedding rate based on image complexity is also proposed. Moreover, since peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) is largely used as a measure of quality of images of stego, the reliability of current quality assessment metrics for stego images is also

evaluated at the third stage. Follow by developing an Anticipatory Quality Assessment Metric for effective imperceptibility measurement.

All proposed methods are aimed to assist the optimization of the statical and visual characteristics in the cover images while hiding large size of information. To reveal impressive imperceptibility and capacity of the proposed method over the existing dilemmas, a broad range of requirements have been carried out. To indicate the utility and value of all techniques proposed, they all have been empirically validated. The main aspects of image steganography are improved by the suggestions and methods, and are revealed by the results.

Nomenclature

AQAM Anticipatory Quality Assessment Metric

BPCS Bits Plan Complexity Structure

BPP Bits per pixel

CSF Contrast Sensitivity Function

DCT Discrete Cosine Transform

DFT Discrete Fourier Transform

DoG Differences of Gaussian

DWT Discrete Wavelet Transform

EA Evolutionary Algorithm

EBE Edges based data embedding method

GA Genetic Algorithm

GP Genetic Programming

HVS Human Visual System

IQM Image Quality Metric

JND Just Noticeable Distortion

LOG Laplacian of Gaussian

LSB Least Significant Bits Substitution

LSBMR LSB Matching Revisited

MOS Mean Opinion Scores

MSE Mean Square Error

NVF Noise Visibility Function

OPAP Optimal Pixel Adjustment Process

PLCC Pearson Linear Correlation Coefficient

PM1 Plus Minus 1 Algorithm

PSNR Peak Signal to Noise Ratio

PSO Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm

PVD Pixel Value Differencing

ROI Region of Interest

RPF Random pixel embedding method

SDoG Summation of Differences of Gaussian

SQE Subjective Quality Evaluation

SROCC Spearman Rank Order Correlation Coefficient

SSIM Structural Similarity Index

UIQI Universal Image Quality Index

WPM Watson's Perceptual Model

wPSNR Weighted Peak Signal to Noise Ratio

Terms and Definitions

- **Steganography** is the science of concealing information within another non-secret information in an invisible way. It is derived from the Greek “steganos”, meaning "covered". Graphos is also Greek meaning "writing". Steganography mainly aims to hide the presence of the message.
- **Steganoanalysis** is the science of detecting hidden message using steganography.
- **Watermarking** is a pattern of bits inserted into a digital image, audio or video file that identifies the file’s copyright information (author, rights, etc.).
- **Cryptography** is the science of transforming information into an unreadable format.
- **Embedding/Insertion** is the process of mapping one file into another.
- **Extracting** is the process of obtaining the hidden message.
- **Cover-image/carrier** is the name of the original file that used to hide the message inside it.
- **Stego-image** is the name of the file after the embedding process. The cover image and the stego image must be identical.
- **Multi-dimensional media** is the integration of several types of media into one.
- **Encapsulation** is the process of packing multi-media into a single media.
- **Imperceptibility** is the quality of non perceptibility of the hidden file.
- **Capacity** is the number of allowable bits which can be inserted safely without any visible or statistical degradation.

- **Secret Message** is the name of the file or information that is hidden from general view.
- **Metadata** is a set of data that gives details about other data.
- **Optimization** is the process of enhancing and improving the stored results as best possible.
- **Fitness Function** is an objective measure of how close one is to a given solution.
- **Perception** is the ability of noticing something through the senses.

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Originality Statement | i |
| Acknowledgment | ii |
| Abstract | iii |
| Nomenclature | v |
| Nomenclature | v |
| 1 Introduction | 7 |
| 1.1 Overview | 7 |
| 1.2 Research Motivation | 8 |
| 1.3 Research Problem | 9 |
| 1.3.1 Research Hypothesis | 10 |
| 1.3.2 Research Aim | 10 |
| 1.3.3 Research Objectives | 11 |
| 1.4 List of Publications | 11 |
| 1.5 Organization of the Thesis | 12 |
| 2 The Art of Steganography | 15 |
| 2.1 Background | 15 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.2 | Steganographic Architecture | 19 |
| 2.3 | Steganographic Properties | 20 |
| 2.3.1 | Robustness (Security) | 20 |
| 2.3.2 | Undetectability (Imperceptibility) | 21 |
| 2.3.3 | Payload Capacity (Embedding Rates) | 21 |
| 2.3.4 | Trade-off Between Steganography Properties | 22 |
| 2.4 | Image Steganography Methods | 23 |
| 2.4.1 | Spatial Domain Technique | 25 |
| 2.4.2 | Frequency Domain Technique | 27 |
| 2.4.3 | Adaptive Steganography | 34 |
| 2.5 | Steganographic System Evaluation | 42 |
| 2.5.1 | Payload Capacity Evaluation | 42 |
| 2.5.2 | Imperceptibility Evaluation | 43 |
| 2.6 | Summary | 52 |
| 3 | Methodological Perspectives of Steganography | 54 |
| 3.1 | Human Visual System | 55 |
| 3.1.1 | Contrast Sensitivity | 56 |
| 3.1.2 | Contrast Sensitivity Function | 57 |
| 3.1.3 | Just Noticeable Distortion | 59 |
| 3.1.4 | Noise Visibility Function (NVF) | 60 |
| 3.1.5 | Region of Interest | 62 |
| 3.1.6 | Watson's Perceptual Model | 66 |
| 3.2 | Edge Detector Techniques | 69 |
| 3.2.1 | Sobel method | 70 |
| 3.2.2 | Prewitt method | 72 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3.2.3 | Canny Edge Detector | 72 |
| 3.2.4 | Laplacian of Gaussian method | 73 |
| 3.3 | Evolutionary Algorithm | 74 |
| 3.3.1 | Overview | 74 |
| 3.3.2 | Genetic Algorithm (GA) | 75 |
| 3.3.3 | Genetic Programming | 79 |
| 3.4 | Conclusion | 83 |
| 4 | Optimized Embedding Rate in Edge based Steganography | 85 |
| 4.1 | The Problem | 85 |
| 4.2 | Proposed Model | 87 |
| 4.2.1 | The Detection of Edges | 88 |
| 4.2.2 | Embedding Threshold Using CSF | 89 |
| 4.2.3 | Optimized Edges Using Genetic Algorithm | 92 |
| 4.2.4 | Data Embedding | 95 |
| 4.3 | Results and Discussions | 96 |
| 4.3.1 | Imperceptibility Evaluation | 97 |
| 4.3.2 | Detectability Evaluation | 98 |
| 4.3.3 | Payload Capacity Evaluation | 99 |
| 4.3.4 | GA Performance Evaluation | 101 |
| 4.3.5 | Computation Time Evaluation | 103 |
| 4.4 | The Effectiveness of the proposed approach in a Multimedia Sharing App (Case Study) | 105 |
| 4.4.1 | Steganographic Multimedia Sharing App | 109 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion | 116 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5 | Perceptual Threshold in Discrete Wavelet Transform | 117 |
| 5.1 | The Problem | 117 |
| 5.2 | Proposed Model | 120 |
| 5.2.1 | CSF Masking | 121 |
| 5.2.2 | DWT CSF Masks | 122 |
| 5.2.3 | Selection of Coefficients | 127 |
| 5.2.4 | Embedding Level | 130 |
| 5.3 | Experimental Results | 134 |
| 5.3.1 | Imperceptibility Evaluation | 136 |
| 5.3.2 | Payload Capacity Evaluation | 139 |
| 5.3.3 | Genetic Algorithm Evaluation | 142 |
| 5.4 | Conclusion | 144 |
| 6 | Estimating Optimum Embedding Capacity based on Image Complexity | 146 |
| 6.1 | The Problem | 146 |
| 6.2 | Proposed Model | 148 |
| 6.2.1 | Segmentation based on the Brightness Distribution | 149 |
| 6.2.2 | Image Transformation | 152 |
| 6.2.3 | HVS Based Complexity | 153 |
| 6.3 | Experimental Results | 157 |
| 6.3.1 | Capacity Evaluation | 158 |
| 6.3.2 | Imperceptibility Evaluation | 159 |
| 6.3.3 | Genetic Programing Evaluation | 162 |
| 6.4 | Conclusion | 166 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 7 | Anticipatory Quality Assessment Metric (AQAM) | 167 |
| 7.1 | The Problem | 167 |
| 7.2 | Anticipatory Quality Assessment Metric | 170 |
| 7.3 | Experimental Results | 173 |
| 7.4 | Conclusion | 177 |
| 8 | Conclusions and Future Work | 180 |
| 8.1 | Overview | 180 |
| 8.2 | Research Findings | 181 |
| 8.2.1 | Increase in Capacity of Edge Based Steganography . . . | 181 |
| 8.2.2 | Define a Perceptual Threshold for Transform Domain . | 181 |
| 8.2.3 | Estimate Embedding Rate | 182 |
| 8.2.4 | Design an Anticipatory Quality Assessment Metric . . | 182 |
| 8.2.5 | Develop a Steganographic Image Sharing App (Case Study) | 183 |
| 8.3 | Research Contribution | 183 |
| 8.4 | Future Directions | 186 |
| | Bibliography | 199 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Steganography concept | 8 |
| 2.1 | Relationship between steganography and other fields | 16 |
| 2.2 | Embodiment disciplines related to concealing information | 18 |
| 2.3 | Architecture of steganography | 19 |
| 2.4 | Trade-off factors in steganography | 20 |
| 2.5 | Image steganography techniques | 24 |
| 2.6 | Switching LSBs to fourth bit plane | 27 |
| 2.7 | Representation of One Byte | 27 |
| 2.8 | Relation between cover image, stego image and the extracted image for various LSBs | 28 |
| 2.9 | Discrete Cosine Transform Diagram | 33 |
| 2.10 | DWT vertical operation | 34 |
| 2.11 | DWT horizontal operation | 35 |
| 2.12 | Flowchart diagram of SSIM for steganography | 48 |
| 2.13 | PSNR-HVS flowchart | 50 |
| 3.1 | Demonstration of the apparent brightness | 56 |
| 3.2 | Example of Michelson contrast with sinusoidal waves | 58 |
| 3.3 | CSF curve | 59 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.4 | Visibility threshold $JND(k)$ applied in varied gray levels . . . | 61 |
| 3.5 | Comparison of cover images (a) and resulted NVF images (b) | 62 |
| 3.6 | Ranking of subimages (blocks) based on ROI score calculation | 67 |
| 3.7 | Contrast masking function with the description of masked threshold | 70 |
| 3.8 | Various edge detector approaches | 71 |
| 3.9 | General Flowchart for Genetic Algorithm | 80 |
| 3.10 | GP Tree | 81 |
| 4.1 | General architecture of the proposed model | 88 |
| 4.2 | Edges visibility for 2 different threshold value | 92 |
| 4.3 | Edge GA edge training flowchart | 93 |
| 4.4 | Edge GA edge training flowchart | 96 |
| 4.5 | Decision tree for embedding evaluation | 97 |
| 4.6 | Embedding rate for edge and non-edge pixels | 98 |
| 4.7 | The cover image and the resulted stego image of the proposed method with different embedding rate | 103 |
| 4.8 | Cover Images with its bit planes and the correspondence stego image with its bit plane | 104 |
| 4.9 | Stego images with 10%, 30% and 50% embedding rate with its correspondence bit plane | 105 |
| 4.10 | Bit plan comparison | 106 |
| 4.11 | High level design of multimedia sharing app | 110 |
| 4.12 | Low level design of multimedia sharing app | 111 |
| 4.13 | Class diagram of multimedia sharing app | 112 |
| 4.14 | Photo App sequence diagram of multimedia sharing app . . . | 113 |
| 4.15 | Gallery Sequence Diagram part 1 of multimedia sharing app . | 114 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.16 | Gallery sequence diagram part 2 of multimedia sharing app | 115 |
| 5.1 | DWT HVS Architecture diagram | 121 |
| 5.2 | CSF masking diagram | 122 |
| 5.3 | A five level wavelet decomposition | 124 |
| 5.4 | 5-level Bi9/7 wavelet decomposition of CSF for 6-weight mask | 125 |
| 5.5 | Band-average CSF mask with 6 unique weights | 126 |
| 5.6 | CSF curve shown with the 6-weight band-average CSF mask | 127 |
| 5.7 | Maximum embedding rates in Lenna | 135 |
| 5.8 | Different cover images with different embedding rates | 136 |
| 5.9 | Histogram analysis | 140 |
| 5.10 | Quality vs. capacity in Lenna left and Peppers right | 141 |
| 5.11 | Quality vs. capacity in Baboon left and Airplane right | 141 |
| 5.12 | Quality vs. capacity in House left and Tiffany right | 142 |
| 5.13 | Fitness function evaluation for various crossovers | 143 |
| 5.14 | Fitness function evaluation for various mutations | 144 |
| 6.1 | General Architecture of the proposed model | 150 |
| 6.2 | Segmentation Phase Diagram | 151 |
| 6.3 | DCT transform | 154 |
| 6.4 | The relation between image complexity and image degradation | 161 |
| 6.5 | Relation between capacity and the number of iterations | 162 |
| 6.6 | Differences between cover and stego image after 50 generations | 163 |
| 6.7 | Differences between cover and stego after 200 generations | 164 |
| 6.8 | Differences between cover and stego after 300 generations | 165 |
| 7.1 | Normalized subjective and objective score | 169 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.2 | Subjective assessment scale (a) quality and (b) visual comfort | 174 |
| 7.3 | Normalized image metrics with subjective evaluation | 175 |
| 7.4 | PLCC of PSNR and MOS | 176 |
| 7.5 | PLCC of SSIM and MOS | 177 |
| 7.6 | PLCC of UIQI and MOS | 178 |
| 7.7 | PLCC of AQAM and MOS | 179 |
| 8.1 | Normal Photo App Screen | 189 |
| 8.2 | Taking a picture | 190 |
| 8.3 | Photo App Filters | 191 |
| 8.4 | Inserting a message | 192 |
| 8.5 | Applying an overlay | 193 |
| 8.6 | Saving and loading images | 194 |
| 8.7 | Uploading an image | 195 |
| 8.8 | Gallery.php | 196 |
| 8.9 | Login.php | 196 |
| 8.10 | LoggedInGallery.php | 197 |
| 8.11 | Search.php | 197 |
| 8.12 | Register.php | 198 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2.1 | Comparison between steganography, watermarking and cryptography | 17 |
| 2.2 | Quantization table | 31 |
| 2.3 | The modified quantization table | 32 |
| 2.4 | Key literature on texture features steganography approaches . | 37 |
| 2.5 | Key literature on HVS steganography approaches | 39 |
| 2.6 | Key literature on EA steganography approaches | 41 |
| 2.7 | Mean opinion scores rating | 52 |
| 3.1 | Table for frequency sensitivity | 67 |
| 4.1 | The performance in Lenna image of various quality metrics for different embedding rates | 99 |
| 4.2 | The performance in Baboon image of various quality metrics for different embedding rates | 100 |
| 4.3 | The performance in Peppers image of various quality metric for different embedding rates | 101 |
| 4.4 | The performance in Airplane image of various quality metric for different embedding rates | 102 |
| 4.8 | Iteration vs PSNR and wPSNR | 103 |
| 4.9 | The computation time for LSB substitution and the proposed method | 104 |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 4.5 | Various Threshold vs Embedding Capacity | 107 |
| 4.6 | The capacity (bits) of the proposed and other methods | 108 |
| 4.7 | Parameter Settings for Genetic Algorithm | 108 |
| 5.1 | Adaptive CSF masking for a five-level DWT | 130 |
| 5.2 | The quality performance for various embedded rates for the proposed method and other embedding techniques | 138 |
| 5.3 | Maximum embedding capacity | 141 |
| 5.4 | Relation between the number of iteration and imperceptibility | 142 |
| 6.1 | GP parameter sitting | 157 |
| 6.2 | Maximum embedding capacity in bits | 159 |
| 6.3 | The effect of different block sizes | 159 |
| 6.4 | The effect of different local variance | 160 |
| 6.5 | The effect of ROI | 160 |
| 7.1 | Steganography approaches | 174 |
| 7.2 | Performance Comparison using PLCC and SROCC | 175 |