

Nanoscale Electromagnetic Elements: Computational Aspects

by

Brenton Thomas

A thesis
presented to the University of Technology Sydney
in fulfillment of the
requirement for the degree of
Master of Science 2007

Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, 2007

© Brenton Thomas 2007

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

I further authorize the University of Technology Sydney to reproduce this thesis by photocopying or by other means, in total or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

Signature

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Abstract

The use of the Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) and the Transmission Line Matrix (TLM) algorithm to model nanoscale electromagnetic elements is investigated. It is found that comparable accuracy of the TLM algorithm to the FDTD algorithm combined with significant practical reductions in computation time render TLM a superior algorithm for nanoscale optoelectronic problems. It is also found that as the TLM algorithm implicitly incorporates “split fields” into its definition that it lends itself to a natural and simple implementation of the Berenger Perfectly Matched Layer from FDTD that appears not to have been reported in the literature. In the course of the research an extension to the Drude Lorentz complex permittivity model of gold is developed that provides coverage across the entire optical spectrum. This extended model is then used to validate the TLM algorithm against known optical response of a variety of objects including gold films, nano spheres and nano rods. The effect of coupling between elements of an array of nano scale objects is investigated with a consistent blue shift in the extinction spectra for arrays of closely spaced nanoscale objects observed.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors Mike Ford and Geoff Anstis as well as the Institute for Nanoscale Technology at the University of Technology Sydney for providing me with the opportunity to return to study after a long hiatus. Many thanks also to Matthew Arnold for reminding me that the universe is causal and for pointing me at Vial et al's gold model. Also thanks to Nadine Harris and Mike Cortie for producing DDScat nano rod results at short notice.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Abstract | iii |
| Acknowledgements | iv |
| Table of Contents | v |
| List of Figures | vii |
| List of Tables..... | ix |
| Chapter 1 Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Objectives | 1 |
| 1.2 Strategy..... | 1 |
| Chapter 2 Time Domain Nanoscale Electromagnetic Modeling Suite..... | 3 |
| 2.1 Application Framework..... | 3 |
| 2.2 Front End Application | 5 |
| 2.3 Backend Application | 8 |
| Chapter 3 Basic Time Domain Algorithms | 9 |
| 3.1 Time Domain Algorithm Overview | 9 |
| 3.2 Yee Finite Difference Time Domain | 10 |
| 3.3 Transmission Line Matrix Algorithm..... | 13 |
| 3.3.1 A Fundamental Difference in Time Stepping..... | 22 |
| 3.4 Absorbing Boundary Conditions..... | 23 |
| 3.4.1 FDTD ABC's..... | 23 |
| 3.4.2 A New TLM Absorbing Boundary Condition..... | 24 |
| 3.5 Absorbing Boundary Performance | 27 |
| Chapter 4 General Complex Material Models..... | 32 |
| 4.1 Complex Material Modeling | 32 |
| 4.2 Auxiliary Differential Equation..... | 34 |
| 4.3 Recursive Convolution | 36 |
| 4.4 Z Transform..... | 42 |
| 4.4.1 Lookup Table Z Transform | 43 |
| 4.4.2 Z Transform via Bilinear Transform | 44 |
| Chapter 5 Complex Material-Time Domain Algorithm Implementation..... | 46 |
| 5.1 FDTD Complex Material Implementation | 47 |
| 5.1.1 Auxiliary Differential Equation FDTD Implementation | 47 |
| 5.1.2 Recursive Convolution FDTD Implementation | 48 |
| 5.1.3 Z Transform FDTD Implementation | 51 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 5.2 TLM Complex Material Implementation..... | 58 |
| Chapter 6 Optical Frequency Gold Validation and Extension..... | 63 |
| 6.1 Gold at Optical Frequencies..... | 63 |
| 6.2 Model Validation | 69 |
| 6.3 Algorithm Validation | 70 |
| Chapter 7 Computation Time..... | 74 |
| Chapter 8 Gold Nano-Spheres | 79 |
| Chapter 9 Nanorod Absorption..... | 90 |
| Chapter 10 Conclusion and Future Directions..... | 93 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 High Level System Architecture..... | 4 |
| Figure 2 Modeling View | 5 |
| Figure 3 Job Creation Screen | 6 |
| Figure 4 Data Display View | 7 |
| Figure 5 Yee Cell Position Offset | 11 |
| Figure 6 TLM Port Voltage Geometry | 14 |
| Figure 7 One Dimensional Absorbing Boundary Test Space..... | 28 |
| Figure 8 Three Dimensional Absorbing Boundary Test Space..... | 28 |
| Figure 9 Comparison of TLM and FDTD Absorbing Boundaries on a one dimensional space | 29 |
| Figure 10 Comparison of TLM and FDTD Absorbing Boundaries on a three dimensional space | 30 |
| Figure 11 Energy Flow into the TLM grid from the absorbing boundaries | 31 |
| Figure 12. Single Term Drude Gold Model. | 64 |
| Figure 13. Single Term Drude + Single Term Lorentz Gold Model..... | 65 |
| Figure 14. Single Term Drude + Dual Term Lorentz, Gold Model. | 66 |
| Figure 15. Mie Theory comparison of the new gold model extinction with actual..... | 69 |
| Figure 16 Thin Film Validation Space and Interacting Pulse | 70 |
| Figure 17 FDTD Auxiliary Differential Equation 10nm Gold Film | 72 |
| Figure 18 FDTD Z Transform via Tables 10nm Gold Film..... | 72 |
| Figure 19 FDTD Recursive Convolution 10nm Gold Film..... | 73 |
| Figure 20 TLM Z Transform 10nm Gold Film | 73 |
| Figure 21 Run Time Comparison – Main Grid - Same Number Of Iterations..... | 75 |
| Figure 22 Run Time Comparison - ABC – Same Number Of Iterations | 76 |
| Figure 23 ABC Run Time Comparison – Same Effective Duration – Time Step Half CFL. | 77 |
| Figure 24 Run Time Comparison – Same Effective Duration – Time Step Half CFL..... | 77 |
| Figure 25 Run Time Comparison – Same Effective Duration | 78 |
| Figure 26 ABC Run Time Comparison – Same Effective Duration | 78 |
| Figure 27 Gold Nanosphere Extinction Experiment Problem Geometry | 79 |
| Figure 28 Mie Extinction Efficiency Curves – New Model..... | 81 |
| Figure 29, Experimental space containing a150nm sphere. | 81 |
| Figure 30, Stair casing effects on a 60nmSphere. | 82 |
| Figure 31 Calculated Extinction Efficiency – Various Sphere Diameters | 83 |
| Figure 32 Calculated Extinction Efficiency – 150nm Sphere Various Sphere Separation | 84 |
| Figure 33 Attenuation within an absorbing boundary enclosed space | 85 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 34 Extinction within an absorbing boundary enclosed space | 86 |
| Figure 35 Electric Field Surrounding a 120nm Sphere..... | 87 |
| Figure 36 Poynting Field Surrounding a 120nm Sphere..... | 88 |
| Figure 37 Electric Field Surrounding a 120nm sphere a few time steps after pulse interaction.... | 89 |
| Figure 38. Experimental space containing a 100nm gold rod..... | 90 |
| Figure 39. TLM extinction of an array of gold rods compared to DDScat..... | 91 |
| Figure 40. FDTD extinction of an array of gold rods compared to DDScat..... | 92 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1 Time, Fourier and Z Transform domain function correspondence | 41 |
| Table 2 Gold Model Coefficients Traditional Format..... | 67 |
| Table 3 Gold Model Coefficients, Model Independent Format | 68 |