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ABSTRACT

Pre-evaporated Stratified Injection (PSI) is a low-cost mechanical fuel injection system which dramatically reduces exhaust gas emissions in small IC engines. In two-stroke engines, this is achieved by incorporating a mechanically driven coaxial air-fuel injector within the upper half of an engine’s main piston. In four stroke engines the injector cylinder is located in the cylinder head with the injector piston driven by the valve cam. In both configurations, the relative motion of the injector piston draws a rich air-fuel mixture from the carburetor, captures and compresses for sufficient time under most operating conditions to substantially evaporate fuel before direct injection into the cylinder.

This technology allows for stratified charge combustion without wall wetting (spray impingement), leading to lower hydrocarbon (HC) and carbon monoxide (CO) emissions in four-stroke engines, and for the first time allowing low cost, small two-stroke engines to meet non-handheld emission standards.

INTRODUCTION

The current state of worldwide legislation would indicate a combined hydrocarbon (HC) plus oxides of nitrogen (NOx) ceiling of 8 g/kWh and carbon monoxide limits of 549 g/kWh by 2010, with some regions demanding this level by 2007 [1]. To meet these new emission standards, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) recommends manufacturers employ catalysts and secondary air injection, adding further expense to OHV four-stroke engines [1].

PSI can position a pre-evaporated air-fuel mixture within the cylinder such that combustion is enhanced to a level where catalysts and secondary air injection are not required on OHV four-strokes in order to easily meet the new emission standards. The PSI technology allows small two-stroke engines to meet the new standards, as the pre-evaporated air-fuel charge can be injected after the exhaust port has closed, eliminating short-circuit losses and thus over 90% of two-stroke emissions [2].

As two-stroke engines generally have low levels of NOx emissions as a result of intrinsic exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) and lower combustion temperatures, with PSI the two-stroke cycle potentially offers emission advantages over the four-stroke cycle.

In either the two or four-stroke configuration, the modifications required to small engines in current manufacture are minimal. The design and performance of the PSI system in its two-stroke four cycle configuration, in conjunction with CFD simulation and testing data, is presented in the following sections.

PSI TECHNOLOGY

Historically DI systems have been classified into two general categories, single-fluid type (fuel only) and dual-fluid type (mixture of air and fuel) injection. High pressure single-fluid type DI systems have been in production for automotive applications for some time, but the cost and complexity of reducing injected fuel particles to a size small enough to substantially avoid wall wetting have prevented their introduction into the small engine market.

Dual-fluid DI systems use pressurized air to enhance fuel atomization with relatively low injection pressures. The Orbital Engine Company introduced an electronically controlled air-assist direct injection system for applications such as motorcycle and marine engines [3]. Furthermore, Piaggio developed the FAST (Fully Atomized Stratified Turbulence) system comprising a separate piston-compressor assembly to deliver the air-fuel mixture into the combustion chamber [4].

PSI technology represents a simple low-cost alternative to the DI systems outlined above. The PSI injection system comprises an injector piston which is located coaxially within the main piston and may be mechanically actuated by means such as a secondary connecting rod linked to an offset crankpin, or the pivoting motion of the main connection rod, as sketched in Figure 1.

As the injector piston moves downwards, a rich air-fuel mixture enters the injection chamber via a duct and is compressed (typically 250 kPa) prior to injection into the...
Figure 1: One PSI application – two-stroke power from four-cycle operation
combustion chamber through a valve located in the piston crown. As the injection event occurs after completion of the scavenging process (after the exhaust port has closed), charge losses through short-circuiting of the two-stroke cycle are eliminated. This mechanism effectively separates the fuel charging process from the scavenging process and increases the engine's specific power output through supercharging.

Engine speed and load can be varied by adjusting the air-fuel mixture which may be generated by simple carburetor technology. Modification to the carburetor involves reducing the venturi throat sufficiently to obtain an air-fuel mixture in the vicinity of 3:1. The primary air stream into the crankcase can remain un-throttled. Apart from simplicity, the main difference to other systems is evaporation of the fuel prior to the injection event. This enables a rich air-fuel cloud to be positioned around the spark plug to promote stratified charge combustion. Thus, flame quenching effects in dilute mixture areas can be avoided, as a significant factor for increased emissions due to unstable combustion at idle and light load operation. Initial testing has indicated that the improved combustion efficiency allows two-strokes to achieve better engine performance and lower fuel consumption than standard overhead valve (OHV) four-stroke engines.

The advantages of the PSI technology compared to other direct injected two-stroke systems and optimized OHV four-stroke engines are many. As can be seen from the following summary, it becomes difficult to separate the technical from the commercial:

- HC+NOx emissions less than 8 g/kWh
- Inexpensive uncomplicated technology
- Reduced packaging size (downsizing)
- Consistent mixture preparation through dual stage metering
- Stable low load combustion through fuel stratification
- Increased compression ratio possible due to reduced knocking tendency
- Reduction of pumping losses through un-throttled crankcase induction

**INJECTION PROCESS**

Although DI is generally accepted as the most effective way to enhance the combustion process, injecting fuel particles small enough to allow for complete evaporation before combustion generates a conflict between fuel droplet size and injection penetration as illustrated in Figure 2 [5]. A finely atomized fuel cloud is always desirable, since large fuel droplets enhance unwanted wall wetting (a main shortcoming with conventional DI systems) and localized non-homogenous air-fuel mixtures.

Even at the relatively slow speed of 3,000 rpm, the available time for the fuel to evaporate is less than 5 ms. This sets a maximum fuel particle SMD (Sauter Mean Diameter) of around 7 µm, which typically requires injection pressures in excess of 12 MPa. However, such high pressures generate long spray patterns and lead to surface wetting, pockets of steep air-fuel ratio gradients and unstable air flow distribution, all of which contribute to degraded combustion and increased emissions. Multiple burst injection reduces injection penetration, but in a turbulent environment it also means more fuel is dispersed, so a stoichiometric mixture in the spark plug zone just before ignition time, at every speed and condition, is very difficult to achieve.

Injecting air with the fuel lowers the fuel droplet size significantly, and low pressure air-fuel injection can achieve fuel particles as small as 7 µm SMD, which is small enough to evaporate sufficiently for low emission combustion (see Figure 3). Small engines fitted with the Orbital Engine Company's air-assist fuel injectors for example, readily achieve HC+NOx emissions as low as 12 g/kWh. At around 0.6 MPa, the injector pressure is significantly lower than single-fluid type DI systems, the injected plume is shorter and there is less surface wetting [3].
A third alternative, one not actively pursued until now, is to pre-evaporate the fuel prior to injection. If the air-fuel mixture is captured within the injector chamber under the hot piston crown over one engine cycle, there is sufficient time and heat for almost complete evaporation, even at higher engine speeds. And because the fuel is pre-evaporated, surface wetting in the confined space of a small engine ceases to be an issue.

As illustrated in Figure 3, SMD values of PSI are substantially below other air-fuel injection systems for the same injection pressure. Not only does this take wall wetting out of contention, but because the PSI jet is directed towards the location of the spark plug, a wide tolerance is available for the placement of a stratified air-fuel charge in the vicinity of the spark plug at ignition time at various loads and speeds.

The amount of fuel injected is accurately metered in a two stage process. Firstly, preparation of the rich mixture with a typical ratio in between 2:1 and 3:1 in a reduced venturi throat carburetor, and secondly, injection of a pre-determined amount of air-fuel mixture into the cylinder. Thereby, a more accurate air-fuel mix can be obtained than through a traditional single stage carburetion process – and equally apparent combining a pre-mixed charge with air in the cylinder will provide better mixing than direct injection.

In addition, the PSI technology increases the air-fuel change by up to 25%. This supercharging provides extra oxygen at the vicinity of the spark plug when high levels of EGR are used to improve fuel economy and reduce NOx.

Figure 4 shows the sequential distribution of the injected air-fuel cloud. The dynamic flow-field has been simulated by means of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) using CFX solver code.
Table 1 lists some key parameters which influence the in-cylinder mixture formation process and means of control.

Table 1: Parameters affecting in-cylinder mixture formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Means of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel amount injected (engine load)</td>
<td>Variation of injection pressure and flow rate by throttling charge flow entering injector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray pattern and penetration</td>
<td>Variation of injection pressure by throttling of charge flow. Adjustment of injection timing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel stratification</td>
<td>Variation of injection pressure. Adjustment of injection timing. Regulation of scavenging flow field.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCAVENGING PROCESS

Although two-stroke PSI provides satisfactory results with standard transfer port configurations, modifications to the standard geometry are required to achieve optimized variable fuel gradient stratification. In addition, if the main intake air stream entering the crankcase is not throttled, the cylinder contains a full charge of air and a typical EGR of 15% across all loads and speeds. After air-fuel injection, at low loads this equates to a centrally stoichiometric but global air-fuel mixture as lean as 50:1. Indeed, stratification of the cylinder charge in a two-stroke configuration is mandatory if low emission levels are to be realized. This in turn necessitates a residual swirl flow-field (rotation about the cylinder axis) after scavenging, as injection through residual tumble at low loads disrupts the stratification.

CFD modeling has uncovered several port configurations that allow scavenging efficiencies of up to 90% while at the same time producing a residual swirl motion within the cylinder. The preferred configuration provides a variable angle cyclonic swirl with a vortex in which to inject the rich air-fuel mixture at low loads (see Figure 5), and at full loads enough angular turbulence to attain a homogenous charge (see Figure 6).

When pre-evaporated fuel is injected into a variable angle cyclonic swirl, a surprisingly robust stratification at different loads and speeds can be achieved. Primarily this is because at lower throttle settings the pressure differential valve in the top of the piston delays the air-fuel injection until the cyclonic swirl is almost parallel with the piston top, so the global air-fuel mixture becomes more stratified.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND EMISSIONS

Subsequent to CFD modeling, empirical testing has been undertaken with a standard Honda GCV 160 (160 cm³) four-stroke and an off the shelf 160 cm³ two-stroke engine made in Australia by Victa Lawncare Pty Ltd. Implementation of the PSI system into the Victa two-stroke engine involved replacing the piston and minor modifications to the cylinder porting. Key specifications of the test engine are given in Table 2. Preliminary testing has demonstrated that both HC+NOx and CO emissions exceed the target standards.
Table 2: Test engine specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Engine Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore x Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust Port Open/Close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Port Open/Close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication Type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests were carried out at UTS using a TEC PAC II five gas analyzer supplied by Autodiagnostic Systems P/L and an electrical dynamometer and other equipment constructed at UTS in Sydney. The Victa PSI engine is shown attached to the test rig below.

Test results from a PSI piston in an otherwise unmodified two-stroke engine confirm that injecting after the exhaust port has closed reduces HC emissions from over 250 g/kWh down to 28 g/kWh (as measured to Directive 2002/88/EC of the European Parliament) primarily due to the elimination of short circuit losses.

Removing oil from the petrol and adopting a directed oil lubrication system, as well as improvements to the carburetor, reduced emissions to 12.9 g/kWh – to a level comparable with a well manufactured OHV four-stroke engine. Modifications to the port configuration and tuning the PSI timing reduced raw as measured emissions to 7.2 g/kWh.

![Figure 7: Victa engine with PSI piston on the UTS test rig](image)

**Figure 7:** Victa engine with PSI piston on the UTS test rig

**Figure 8:** PSI and OHV four-stroke emissions

This low figure can be attributed to several factors, although at this stage we have been unable to satisfactorily quantify each of these.

- Intrinsic EGR
- Almost total fuel evaporation prior to injection
- Stratification of the air-fuel mixture
- Placement of the fuel cloud to minimize flame quenching in dilute mixtures at low loads
- Increased compression ratio due to supercharging
- Injection away from piston crevice volumes
- Absence of four-stroke valve crevice volumes
- Increased efficiency at low loads through eliminating OHV-train friction

**LUBRICATION**

As two-stroke PSI engines scavenge with pure air and not an air-fuel-oil mixture, a supplementary lubrication system is required. The test engine employed a directed mist of flow limited oil onto the piston components and cylinder walls. The oil was atomised by the initial sonic airflow into the crankcase air inlet. Eliminating the need for lubrication in the lower regions of the crankcase required the use of sealed pre-greased bearings.
Preliminary testing indicates that a 1,000 hour operating life can be achieved with fuel to oil ratios approaching 700:1, primarily because oil can be delivered where it is needed, and because once there it is not dissolved by the fuel. In the Victa engine this equates to a half liter container of oil lasting for 500 operating hours, which means under average circumstances a single container will last for around 10 years.

CONCLUSION

The PSI technology offers small engine manufacturers a highly competitive emissions solution. Fuel losses during scavenging in traditional two-stroke engines are eliminated, the specific fuel consumption is reduced and the specific power output increased through supercharging. Moreover, typical two-stroke high power to weight ratios, torque advantages and simplicity over four-stroke engines are maintained.

A non-conventional port arrangement enables the formation of a residual swirl flow-field after scavenging, so that stratification of the atomized fuel cloud can be achieved by injecting into the center of the cyclonic vortex. This allows stable stratified charge combustion of total air-fuel mixtures up to 50:1 at idle and part load operating conditions.

Given that currently available air-fuel injection systems can easily achieve HC+NOx emissions of less than 12 g/kWh, it is apparent that PSI technology in its well developed state can easily and consistently achieve significantly lower values. As this is accomplished without electronics and additional appliances such as air compressors, fuel pumps, or solenoid injectors, it is also apparent that PSI offers lower servicing and manufacturing costs.

In conjunction with a product cost analysis, the above results demonstrate that the PSI technology can be incorporated within an engine’s piston to meet future stringent emission requirements without a catalyst. This emission level is superior to an optimized OHV four-stroke in the same engine class, and is achieved in minimalist form incorporating mature technologies which require only minimal development cost.

Future research, in conjunction with as yet to be selected licensees, will be directed towards more detailed performance and life tests to optimize both combustion and scavenging processes over a broader range of engine configurations, particularly motorcycles. It is anticipated that a detailed empirical analysis of port and injection timing and geometries will allow HC+NOx emissions to be reduced to negligible levels.
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DEFINITIONS, ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS

ATDC: After top dead center
BCD: Bottom dead center
BTDC: Before top dead center
CFD: Computational fluid dynamics
CO: Carbon monoxide
DI: Direct injection
EGR: Exhaust gas recirculation
FAST: Fully atomized stratified turbulence
HC: Hydrocarbons
IC: Internal combustion
NOx: Oxides of nitrogen
OHV: Overhead valve
PSI: Pre-evaporated Stratified Injection
SMD: Sauter mean diameter
TCD: Top dead center
UTS: University of Technology Sydney