

Towards the development of a countermeasure device to detect fatigue in drivers from electroencephalography signals

Lal S.K.L. and Craig A.
University of Technology, Sydney

Abstract

Fatigue affects the drivers' ability to continue driving safely. Therefore, on-line monitoring of physiological signals while driving provides the possibility of detecting fatigue in real time. The EEG signal has been found to be the most predictive and reliable indicator. However, little evidence exists on implementing EEG into a fatigue countermeasure device.

The aims were to utilise EEG changes during fatigue for development of fatigue countermeasure software and to test the ability of such software in detecting fatigue. EEG was obtained in twenty truck drivers during a driver simulator task till subjects fatigued. Changes found in delta, theta, alpha and beta activity were used to develop algorithms for the software. The software was designed to detect an alert state and early, medium and extreme levels of fatigue. The software was tested in off-line mode in a separate group of ten truck drivers.

The software was capable of detecting fatigue accurately in all ten subjects. The percentage of time the subjects were detected to be in a fatigue state was significantly different to the alert phase ($p < 0.01$). For 40% of the total driving time subjects were alert and for 60% of the time, the software detected one of the three fatigue states. In on-line analysis the software could alert the three stages of fatigue.

The software could detect fatigue accurately. This is the first countermeasure software that can detect fatigue based on EEG changes in all bands. Future field research is required with the fatigue software to produce a robust and reliable fatigue countermeasure system.

Keywords

countermeasure, electroencephalography, theta, , fatigue, technological, driver fatigue

Introduction

Technological Countermeasures for Fatigue/Drowsiness

Research on Technological Countermeasure to Fatigue

Evidence from the scientific literature suggests reasons exist for giving serious consideration to the implementation of technological countermeasures for driver fatigue. These are:

- (1) Fatigue is a persistent occupational hazard for professional or any long-distance drivers who have schedules to maintain and who may be involved in shift-work.
- (2) Fatigue impairs cognitive skills; hence it can adversely affect the drivers' ability to assess their level of alertness in order to continue driving safely (Brown (1)).

Therefore, on-line monitoring of fatigue/drowsiness while driving provides in real time the possibility of detecting potentially dangerous behaviours that are related to fatigue, such as eye-closing, head nodding and deterioration in alertness. To date, most fatigue countermeasure devices measure some physiological response in the driver such as the electroencephalogram, electro-oculogram, respiratory signals, behavioural recordings such as analysis of the video film of driver's face (Artaud et al. (2)) or changes in the driver's alertness through steering behaviour (Yabuta et al. (3)).

Research has described how the analysis of a driver's breathing regularity can contribute to the prediction of deterioration in alertness (Artaud et al. (2)), however this approach has still not been confirmed in a real-driving situation. Furthermore, videoing the driver's face has also been reported to have a number of technical hurdles and little is known about the feasibility of this approach (Artaud, P. et al., 1994). Others have described adaptive driver systems with telemetric applications in the car aimed at supporting the driver such as route guidance, anti-collision, etc (Michon (4)). These applications can distract the driver by presenting too much information. These types of applications lack driver acceptance because of inadequate warning thresholds (i.e. neither situation-specific nor driver adapted) and there is certainly no guarantee that the systems are designed intelligently to detect fatigue states (Onken & Feraric (5)). Even though a variety of potential countermeasures to fatigue have been developed, the effectiveness of these devices in preventing deterioration in driving performance is disappointing (Desmond & Matthews (6)). This outcome may be attributable to the failure to take into account the variation of fatigue effects with changing task demands (Desmond & Matthews (6)).

Although numerous physiological indicators are available to describe an individual's level of alertness, the EEG signal has been shown in the research presented in this paper and the research of others to be one of the most predictive and reliable (Artaud (2)). However, very little evidence exists on

incorporating EEG signal detection and analysis into a technological countermeasure device for fatigue. Researchers have suggested the possibility of using EEG grouped alpha waves and electrocardiogram in sleep detection systems (Fukuda (7)). However, no evidence exists on the implementation of such a device. An automated drowsiness detector based on ongoing EEG was also developed about twenty years ago by Gevins et al. (8). However, once again progress on the applicability of the device has not been reported. In spite of this, the same researchers suggest that EEG could be used to create an automated system that continuously tracks and compensates for variations in the alertness of a human operator (Gevins et al. (9)).

The aims of this study were:

- (1) to utilise the EEG changes that occur during driver fatigue for the development of software to be incorporated into the development of a fatigue countermeasure device.
- (2) to test the ability of such software to detect the transitional phase to fatigue, transitional-post transitional phase and the post-transitional phase in 'offline data analysis'.

Methods

Subjects

Ten male subjects who were professional truck drivers were randomly selected from a group of 20 drivers investigated for EEG effects during monotonous simulated driving (aged 44 ± 11 years, reported in another study). All consecutive data and statistical analyses that follow have been performed in this group of 10 subjects.

Study protocol

The study was conducted in a temperature-controlled laboratory as the subjects performed a standardised sensory motor driver simulator task. The driving task consisted of 10 minutes of active driving to familiarise the subject, followed by a two continuous hours of driving (speed < 80 km/hr) till the subjects showed physical signs of fatigue. Simultaneous EEG measures were obtained during the driving task. Nineteen channels of EEG were recorded according to the International 10-20 system (Fisch (10)). Physical signs of fatigue were identified using a video image of the driver's face, linked in real time with the EEG measures.

The fatigue anticipating software: towards a technological countermeasure against driver fatigue

The EEG changes that were found during fatigue were used as the basis of developing the fatigue monitoring software. To accomplish this, the EEG changes observed during the alert, transitional, transitional-post-transitional and post-transitional phases of fatigue were used to develop an algorithm that could detect a set of programmed changes that occur during different phases of fatigue. The software was developed using Lab View (version 5.1, National Instruments, USA). The software was designed to detect four different functional states and these are alert, transitional phase (early fatigue stage) of fatigue, the transitional-post transitional phase (medium levels of fatigue) and the post-transitional phase (extreme levels of fatigue) (the phases are described according to (Santamaria & Chiappa (11)). EEG data in the four phases were categorised into four channels represented by colour panels, which were green, yellow, orange and red respectively. As indicated by the colour scale, green is a 'safe' level (alert) and red is a 'dangerous level of fatigue (post-transitional phase). Yellow and orange denote early (transitional phase) and medium (transitional-post transitional phase) level of fatigue, respectively.

Data and statistical analysis

Different algorithms based on the EEG changes observed during fatigue were developed and tested. The fatigue software is capable of analysing EEG data in real-time as well as off-line analysis of previously acquired data. In real time and off line analysis, the fatigue software is capable of acquiring two channels of EEG data (i.e. data acquired from two different EEG sites on the brain) which has been sampled at 256 Hz during the driving task. For the purposes of this paper the results of testing the software on previously acquired EEG data will be reported and hence only the off-line analysis mode will be referred to.

The software performs a fast Fourier transform for spectral analysis of the acquired EEG data. The EEG is then defined in terms of frequency bands including delta (0-4 Hz), theta (4-8 Hz), alpha (8-13 Hz) and beta (13-20 Hz) (Fisch, B. J., 1991). For each band the software computes the EEG magnitude (μV) which is the sum of all amplitudes of all data points within a band's frequency range.

The software computes a baseline mean from a section of data that represents the alert state of the individual. A range of randomly selected epochs, linked in real time to a video recording of the subject's alert state were chosen to represent the baseline. The mean and standard deviation of the EEG

magnitude in this phase is then computed for all four frequency bands in the alert phase. The software allows a threshold coefficient to be defined for each of the frequency bands in terms of the mean and standard deviations that will determine a particular state of the individual i.e. alert or a fatigue state. For each of the four phases mentioned above, a different set of coefficients decides whether the data will be detected as being in the alert (green), transition to fatigue (yellow), transitional to post-transitional (orange) or post-transitional (red) phase. A range of EEG magnitude (mean and SD) values for each phase were programmed into the software. This algorithm determines the percentage of data that will be detected as an alert or one of the fatigue phases for each subject. Using the AND (&)/OR (|) logic an algorithm is defined for the alert and fatigue states. For example (D & T) & A | B indicates that the state of fatigue is indicated only if the delta and theta and either alpha or beta magnitude is within the defined range. This algorithm can be varied depending on the presence of the different EEG waves in a particular phase.

The data collected in the ten truck drivers were analysed using offline analysis. Raw EEG time domain ASCII data was acquired using a physiological monitor (Neurosearch-24, Lexicor, America), were converted to real text format using a program modified from the Neurosearch-24 software, Lexicor, USA (E.exe). Using the previously recorded EEG data from another group twenty truck drivers four test algorithms were developed for the EEG changes that occur during the alert and the three fatigue phases. The software was now programmed to identify the proportion of data from the 10 subjects that was in the alert and fatigue states and allocate them to the colour panels described above. In off-line analysis mode, the data could also be viewed graphically with a line indicating in which panel i.e. alert or one of the fatigue states, a particular epoch had been allocated.

A repeated measures ANOVA was performed to identify if differences existed in the means of the four states. A Scheffé test then identified where the differences existed in the comparison of the means. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$ for all analyses performed.

Results

The results of the off-line analysis using the fatigue detection software on EEG data of the ten truck drivers are shown in Table 9.1. The software categorised the data into an alert, transition to fatigue, transitional- post transitional and a post-transitional phase. Table 9.1 shows the percentage of fatigue status detected in the subjects. The ability of the software to detect fatigue (validated by the video analysis of fatigue) was demonstrated by the fact that the software detected no false positives. In other words, the software did not detect fatigue when the subject was alert.

Table 9.1 Showing the ability of the fatigue software to detect an alert or a fatigue state in each subject (detection shown as percentage values)

Subject No	Alert	Transition to Fatigue	Transitional-post transitional	Post-Transitional
1	37.2	27.7	22.0	13.1
2	36.3	14.3	29.4	20.0
3	35.9	22.5	23.7	17.9
4	18.9	27.2	27.7	26.1
5	34.3	46.9	12.6	6.2
6	46.5	28.8	16.8	8.0
7	29.6	39.6	16.6	14.2
8	65.9	16.1	9.1	8.9
9	39.7	17.3	13.1	29.9
10	52.0	32.4	6.0	9.6
average ± sd	39.6 ± 12.8	27.3 ± 10.4	17.7 ± 7.8	15.4 ± 8.0

The ANOVA showed that there was an overall difference in the comparison of the means of the four states ($F=9.15$, $df=3, 27$, $p=0.0002$). The post-hoc analysis identified that the percentage of time the subjects were detected to be in the transitional-post transitional and post-transitional fatigue phases were significantly different to the alert phase ($p=0.003$ and $p=0.0009$, respectively). The software detected a larger proportion of epochs in the first fatigue state, that is, the transitional phase to fatigue, compared to the other two fatigue phases. That is the subjects' were in the transitional phase of fatigue for a greater proportion of the time than in the transitional-post transitional or the post-

transitional phases of fatigue. For almost 40% of the total driving time the subjects were in an alert state, while for the remaining 60% of the time, the software detected the subjects to be in one of the three fatigue states.

Discussion

The potential of the EEG detecting software

The fatigue detecting software described involved the development of algorithms that were designed to detect different states of fatigue i.e. transitional, transitional-post transitional and post-transitional phases of fatigue. The algorithms were based upon EEG changes reported during driver fatigue in data previously recorded from 20 subjects. The results of testing the software in offline mode on data from a different group of 10 subjects identified that sleep deprived drivers were in a fatigue state for at least sixty percent of the total time they spent driving in the simulator. The software was capable of detecting the three stages of fatigue previously validated by video monitoring. However it should be noted that these results represent a pilot trial of the first prototype of the software and a replication study will be conducted in our unit in order to validate these results. This software is also unique in the sense that it can detect fatigue based on EEG changes occurring simultaneously in the delta, theta, alpha and beta bands. Furthermore, it has the capability to detect fatigue on an individual basis where an algorithm can be computed based on the individual's EEG changes during fatigue. It can also be programmed to detect fatigue based on the mean changes that occur in a sample.

Future research and development of the fatigue monitoring software

More research is required with the fatigue software to produce a robust and reliable fatigue detecting/alerting system. The need for some future modifications of the software has become apparent in this research. These are (1) In both the real-time and offline analysis mode a 'threshold' algorithm is required which can negate major artifacts in the EEG data that can occur due to coughing, sneezing and any large extraneous movements. For example, individual algorithms need to be incorporated into the software that can detect head and body movements, large muscle potentials and eye-movement potentials referenced against an artifact free calibration period. Such an on-line computer rejection of artifact has been described in previous research (Gevins (8)) and may form the basis of detecting and eliminating extraneous signals in the fatigue detecting system described in the current research. (2) Currently the software is only capable of specifying two fatigue levels as indicated in the threshold settings panel even though algorithms can be devised to detect three fatigue phases. The programming of algorithms can be made simpler by modifying the software to be able to set three threshold coefficients to detect the three fatigue states. Simultaneous development of the hardware (Biosync, Mind Switch Pty. Ltd., Sydney) is also occurring, which together with the software will form a fatigue monitoring device. The software's ability to allocate the EEG data into the various colour panels could be used in the future to alert drivers of their fatigue status, for example, yellow indicating light fatigue and red indicating extreme fatigue, using varying levels of auditory feedback.

To date few researchers have investigated the use of EEG as a fatigue countermeasure. Ninomija et al. (12) developed a system which detects sleepy states of drivers using grouped EEG alpha waves and warns them of the dangerous state. They reported an error in their subsystem in the magnitude of 25-35%. In order to improve the reliability of their EEG based system, these researchers suggest that they need to monitor the simultaneous electrocardiogram during driving. The disadvantage apparent in this system is the use of extra electrodes to monitor two separate physiological signals making it more cumbersome than having one recording system to detect fatigue. The same investigators further describe a system based on detecting grouped alpha waves using a convolution with special weighting factors such as moving average methods (Fukuda et al. (7)). They reported that the system separates grouped alpha waves from various kinds of noise and detects low awakening levels as soon as grouped alpha waves appear. However, this group has not reported the further development of their system in a real field condition. In our research we found that even though alpha increases during drowsiness, the magnitude of change in the delta and theta waves are larger and easier to detect. Furthermore, basing fatigue on one EEG variable cannot be as reliable as detecting the simultaneous changes that occur in all the frequency bands. This is the current sophistication of the software described in the current research. Since fatigue is a cortical deactivation that affects all brain waves in one way or the other it can only be beneficial to record and detect changes in all bands in a future EEG based fatigue monitoring device.

As a result of this research other parameters became apparent that need investigation for the feasibility of a fatigue monitoring device in an operational setting. In the laboratory, restrictions on equipment size and weight were of little concern. However, in an applied setting, these restrictions can be important. Furthermore, real time field trials of the fatigue monitoring device are essential. The

system also needs to be shown to be reliable. Furthermore, more work needs to be carried out on EEG based electrodes. The electrodes used with the fatigue monitor should be easy to connect as well as be able to monitor EEG changes accurately for long periods. Data reduction should also be quick in real time to defeat the suddenly occurring fatigue states.

Therefore as discussed previously, a valid measure of fatigue such as the EEG seems promising for the development of a fatigue countermeasure device. The fatigue countermeasure device must provide a valid indication of fatigue, rather than some type of performance impairment (Desmond & Matthews (6)). Furthermore, the stimulus delivered when the performance impairment due to fatigue is detected must successfully restore normal performance. In the future, such an enabling technology could be important in the transport environment that demands alertness and that involves multiple tasks competing for limited attention resources (Gevins et al. (9)). With the advances in miniaturisation of equipment, the use of physiological parameters such as the EEG has become more feasible in operational settings (Rokicki (13)). The use of simple on-line frequency domain analysis procedures to compute EEG alpha, theta, delta and beta bands form the basis of the fatigue detecting software described in this research.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Medical and Health Research Council for Fellowship support (#169309), the Australian Research Council and Motor Accidents Authority for their support.

References

1. Brown, I. D. Prospectus for technological countermeasures against driver fatigue. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 29[4], 525-531. 1997.
2. Artaud, P., Planque, S., Lavergne, C., Cara, H., de Lepine, P., Tarrière, C., & Gueguen, B. An on-board system for detecting lapses of alertness in car driving. 14th E.S.V. Conference Session 2- Intelligent Vehicle Highway system and Human Factors[Munich, Germany]. 1994.
3. Yabuta, K., Iizuka, H., Yanagishima, T., Kataoka, Y., & Seno, T. (1985). The development of drowsiness warning devices (Rep. No. Section 4). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.
4. Michon, J. A. (1993). *Generic intelligent driver support*. London: Taylor and Francis.
5. Onken, R. & Feraric J.P. Adaptation to the driver as part of a driver monitoring and warning system. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 29[4], 507-513. 1997.
6. Desmond, P. A. & Matthews, G. Implications of task-induced fatigue effects for in-vehicle countermeasures to driver fatigue. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 29[4], 515-523. 1997.
7. Fukuda, C., Funada, M. F., Ninomija, S. P., Yazy, Y., Daimon, N., Suzuki, S., & Ide, H. Evaluating Dynamic changes of driver's awakening level by grouped alpha waves. *IEEE* , 1318-1319. 1994.
8. Gevins, A. S., Yeager, C. L., Zeitlin, G. M., Ancoli, S., & Dedon, M. F. On-line computer rejection of EEG artifact. *Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology* 42, 267-274. 1977.
9. Gevins, A., Leong, H., Du, R., Smith, M. E., Le, J., DuRousseau, D., Zhang, J., & Libove, J. Towards measurement of brain function in operational environments. *Biological Psychology* 40, 169-186. 1995.
10. Fisch, B. J. (1991). *Spehlmann's EEG Primer*, Second revised and enlarged edition. (2nd ed.) Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.
11. Santamaria, J. & Chiappa, K. H. (1987). *The EEG of drowsiness*. New York: Demos Publications.
12. Ninomija, S. P., Funada, M. F., Yazu, Y., Ide, H., & Daimon, N. Possibility of ECGs to improve reliability of detection system of inclining sleep stages by grouped alpha waves. *IEEE* , 1410-1411. 1993.
13. Rokicki, S. M. Psychophysiology measures applied to operational test and evaluation. *Biological Psychology* 40, 223-228. 1995.

2. Broadbent, D. E., Broadbent, M. H. P., & Jones, J. L. Performanc correlates of self-reported cognitive failure and of obsessianality. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1986, 25, 285-299.
3. Bartlett, F.C. (1943). Fatigue following highly skilled work. *Proceedings of the Royal Society. B*, 131, 248-257.
4. Brown, I. D. & Eng, C. Car driving and fatigue. *Triangle* 1967, 8(4), 131-137.
5. Lal, S.K.L., Henderson, R.J., Carter, N., Bath, A., Hart, M.G., Langeluddecke, P., & Hunyor, S.N. Effect of feedback signal and psychological characteristics on blood pressure self-manipulation capability. *Psychophysiology*, 1998, 35 (4): 405-412.
6. Heller, W., Nitschke, J. B., Etienne, M. A., & Miller, G. A. Patterns of regional brain activity differentiate types of anxiety. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1997, 106(3), 376-385.
7. Yamamoto, S., & Matsuoka, S. Topographic EEG study of visual display terminal (VDT) performance with special reference to frontal midline theta waves. *Brain Topography*, 1990, 2 (4): 257-267.
8. Townsend, R. E. & Johnson, L. C. Relation of frequency-analyzed EEG to monitoring behavior. *Neurophysiology*, 1979, 47, 272-279.
9. Makeig, S., & Jung, T. Changes in alertness are a principal component of variance in the EEG spectrum. *NeuroReport*, 1995, 7: 213-216.
10. Andreassi, J. L. (2000). The EEG and behavior: sensation, attention, perception, conditioning, and sleep. In J.L.Andreassi (Ed.), *Psychophysiology: human behavior and physiological response* (4th ed., pp. 61-84). London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
11. Fisch, B. J. (1991). *Spehlmann's EEG Primer*, Second revised and enlarged edition. (2nd ed.) Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.
12. Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., & Jacobs, G. A. (1983). *State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. (Vols. Form Y) Palo, Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
13. McNair, D. M., Lorr, M., & Droppleman, L. F. (1971). *Edits Manual. Profile of mood states*. San Diego, CA: Educational and Industrial Testing Service.
14. Craig, A. R., Franklin, J. A., & Andrews, G. A scale to measure locus of control of behaviour. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 1984, 57, 173-180.
15. Brown, I. Driver fatigue. *Human Factors*, 1994, 36, 298-314.
16. Cameron, C. A theory of fatigue. *Ergonomics*, 1973, 16(5), 633-648.
17. Grandjean, E. Fatigue in industry. *British Journal of Internal Medicine*, 1979, 36, 175-186.
18. Åstrand, P. O. & Rodahl, K. (1986). *Textbook of work physiology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
19. Grandjean, E. (1988). *Fitting the task to the man*. London: Taylor and Francis.
20. Wisner, A. (1981). *Organizational stress, cognitive load, and mental suffering*. In G.Salvendy & M. Smith (Eds.), *Machine pacing and occupational stress* (pp. 37-44). London: Taylor and Francis.
21. Sjoberg, H. Physical fitness and mental performance during and after mental work. *Ergonomics*, 1980, 23(10), 977-985.
22. Okogbaa, O., Shell, R., & Filipusic, D. On the investigation of the neurophysiological correlates of knowledge worker mental fatigue using the EEG signal. *Applied Ergonomics*, 1994, 25, 355-365.

**2001: ROAD SAFETY
RESEARCH, POLICING AND EDUCATION
CONFERENCE**

PROCEEDINGS

**REGAIN
THE
MOMENTUM**

18-20 NOVEMBER 2001

**ELSTON ON THE PARK
MELBOURNE, VICTORIA**

CONTAINS 100 REPRODUCED PAPERS

Information contained in this publication describes research projects conducted to minimise death and injury on public roads. Some road safety research papers describe completed projects and others report on work in progress.

Monash University has taken all reasonable steps to ensure the information contained in this publication is accurate at the time of publication

Distribution of this document is unrestricted. Information from this publication may be reproduced without permission, provided the source is acknowledged.

Opinions expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent government policy.

Copies of this publication available from:

Conference Management Office
Monash University
PO Box 70
Clayton, VIC 3800
AUSTRALIA

The texts of the various papers in this volume were set individually by typists under the supervision of either each of the authors concerned or the editors. Copyright for each paper is vested in the author.

Published by

Conference Management Office, Monash University
PO Box 70, Clayton, AUSTRALIA 3800 (Fax +61 3 9905 1343)

Printed in Australia by Monash Print Services

ISBN 0-7326-2190-9

PREFACE

The Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference is an annual event. The conference provides an opportunity for police and road safety practitioners and researchers to meet and discuss the latest road safety activities throughout Australasia. Known as the Road Safety Researchers' Conference for the first 15 years, the name changed from 1997, to reflect the importance to road safety outcomes of the wide scope of activities in these areas.

Responsibility for the organization of the conference rotates within the States and Territories of Australia and New Zealand. In recent years, the conference has been managed by members of the Research Coordination Advisory Group (RCAG) and the Australasian Traffic Policing Forum. This year the conference is hosted by eight organizations in Victoria: the Forum representative from Victoria Police together with seven RCAG members representing VicRoads, Monash University Accident Research Centre (MUARC), Transport Accident Commission (TAC), ARRB Transport Research Ltd, National Road Transport Commission (NRTC), Royal Automobile Club of Victoria (RACV) and the Australian College of Road Safety (ACRS).

The theme for the 2001 Conference is "Regain the Momentum". Following the official opening by the Victorian Minister for Transport, the Hon. Peter Batchelor, plenary addresses were given by the two keynote speakers:

- Dr Ian Johnston, Director of MUARC, presented
"Will a 4WD strategy work in the shifting sands of policy?"
- Mr Rob Robinson, Commissioner of Police, N.Z. presented
"Beyond Strategic Enforcement?"

On the second and final day of the conference the third keynote speaker:

- Dr John Eberhard, Senior Research Psychologist from the National Highway and Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Washington, USA presented
"Older Drivers".

One hundred and seven papers are presented in 27 sessions. Forty five papers were "peer reviewed" and these are contained in Volume 1 of the Proceedings. Volume 2 contains the remaining 62 papers. Four workshops on the day preceding the conference addressed the topics:

1. Single Vehicle Crashes
2. Older Drivers
3. Best Practice in Policing
4. Is the Momentum really lost? – Current Trends, Issues and Opportunities in Road Safety.

The 2002: Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference will be held in Adelaide, South Australia.

The expansion of this conference, as the premier annual forum on road safety policy and practice, is listed as one of the possible measures to improve road safety programs in the Australian Transport Council's National Road Safety Action Plan 2001 & 2002.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The conference organising committee gratefully acknowledges the support provided by our major sponsors:



HOLDEN

The Conference Management Committee gratefully acknowledges the financial contribution from the 2000 Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference in Brisbane, Queensland and the financial support from MUARC, TAC, VicRoads, RACV, NRTC, Victoria Police, and ARRB Transport Research Ltd.



VICTORIA POLICE

vic roads



NATIONAL ROAD TRANSPORT COMMISSION

CONFERENCE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Dr Jeff Potter*, Ms Pat Rogerson, VicRoads

Superintendent Peter Keogh**, Victoria Police

Dr Ian Johnston*, Dr Narelle Howarth, Monash University Accident Research Centre

Mr Michael Tziotis*, Mr John Catchpole, ARRB Transport Research Ltd.

Ms Fiona Calvert*, National Road Transport Commission

Mr David Healy*, Ms Samantha Cockfield, Transport Accident Commission

Professor Brian Fildes*, Ms Anne Harris, Ms Vanessa Facey, Royal Automobile Club of Victoria

Mr Ray Taylor*, Mr Greg Rowe, Australian College of Road Safety

Ms Irene Thavarajah, Ms Helen McLean, Monash University Conference Management Office

* Victorian Members of the Research Coordination Advisory Group (RCAG)

** Victorian Member of the Australasian Traffic Policing Forum