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We present here the preparation of \([\text{PcRu}(\text{AsPh}_3)_2]\) (1) and \([\text{[(tBu)Nc}]\text{Ru}(\text{AsPh}_3)_2]\) (2). These complexes are the first examples of metal phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine complexes with axially-coordinated arsine ligands. Both complexes were characterised by spectroscopic methods. The AsPh\(_3\) ligands readily dissociate in non-coordinating solvents, with 2 showing more rapid dissociation. The electrochemistry of the complexes was studied by cyclic voltammetry. Complex 1 displayed one reduction and two oxidation processes. All three processes are macrocycle centred. The redox behaviour of 1 is similar to that of ruthenium phthalocyanine complexes with pyridyl ligands. One reduction and three oxidation processes were observed for complex 2. The reduction and first oxidation are assigned to macrocycle centred processes. The UV-Vis spectra of both complexes recorded over time showed macrocycle-centred oxidation. The oxidation was hindered by degassing the solvent or adding excess AsPh\(_3\) to the solution. We were not able to determine if the species being oxidised are the starting complexes, or if ligand dissociation leads to an easily oxidised five coordinate species.

Introduction

We present the first examples of ruthenium phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine complexes bearing arsine ligands (see Figure 1) together with an examination of their electrochemical and spectroscopic properties.

Ruthenium phthalocyanine complexes have been investigated as sensitisers in photodynamic therapy (PDT)\(^{[1,2]}\) and photovoltaic devices,\(^{[3-5]}\) as catalysts in oxidation,\(^{[6-8]}\) reduction,\(^{[9-11]}\) hydrogenation,\(^{[12]}\) and cyclopropanation reactions\(^{[13]}\) as gas sensing thin films,\(^{[14]}\) and as organic conductors.\(^{[15,16]}\) Despite the close structural similarity, ruthenium naphthalocyanine complexes have attracted much less attention. Their use as sensitisers in PDT. To date, no metal phthalocyanine or naphthalocyanine complexes bearing arsine ligands have been reported although two examples of ruthenium porphyrin complexes with triphenylarsine axial ligands appear in the literature. AsPh\(_3\) was found to react with \([\text{TTP}]\text{Ru}(\text{CO})(\text{EtOH})\) (where TTP = tetraphenylporphyrin) to form \([\text{TTP}]\text{Ru}^{2+}(\text{AsPh}_3)_2\] (where OEP = octaethylporphyrin).\(^{[23]}\) We show here that the properties of the arsine-substituted ruthenium phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine complexes differ significantly from those of the ruthenium porphyrin-arsine complexes.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

![Figure 1. \([\text{PcRu}(\text{AsPh}_3)_2]\) (1) and \([\text{[(tBu)Nc}]\text{Ru}(\text{AsPh}_3)_2]\) (2).](image-url)
Complexes 1 and 2 were prepared using adaptations of the methods of Brooks et al.\cite{17,24} The synthesis of 2 is shown in Scheme 1. The bis-ammine complexes \([\text{PcRu(NH}_3)_2]\) and \([(\text{tBu})_2-2,3-\text{Nc}]\text{Ru(NH}_3)_2]\) were prepared by the reaction of phthalonitrile or 6-t-butyl-2,3-naphthalonitrile with \(\text{RuCl}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and ammonia in refluxing pentanol. Reaction of the bis-ammine complexes with benzotriazole gave the corresponding bis-benzotriazole complexes. The instability of the benzotriazole ligands makes these complexes suitable starting materials for the preparation of other axially substituted complexes.

Exchange of the axial benzotriazole ligands was achieved using a slight molar excess of triphenylarsine in refluxing dichloromethane under an inert atmosphere. Replacement of the benzotriazole ligands by a slight molar excess of triphenylarsine in refluxing dichloromethane gave the corresponding bis-benzotriazole complexes. The instability of the benzotriazole ligands makes these complexes suitable starting materials for the preparation of other axially substituted complexes.

The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of AsPh\(_3\) in \(d\)-chloroform has a single broad resonance at \(\delta\) 7.34. In contrast, the coordinated ligand displays three distinct resonances significantly shifted upfield at \(\delta\) 4.59, 6.32, and 6.69 (Figure 2). The ortho-phenyl protons will experience the greatest shielding due to macrocyclic diamagnetic ring currents\cite{18,25,29} and thus the signal at \(\delta\) 4.59 is assigned to the twelve ortho-protons of the coordinated ligands. The signals at \(\delta\) 6.32 and 6.69 are assigned to the meta- and para-phenyl protons, respectively.

In the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of 2, five signals assigned to the macrocyclic protons are observed corresponding to the five non-equivalent macrocyclic proton environments. These signals are broadened and poorly resolved in comparison with those of 1 as the ring-substituted complex exists as a mixture of four positional isomers\cite{20} which we were unable to separate. Signals assigned to the protons “a” and “b” (Figure 1) are observed at \(\delta\) 9.47 and 9.43 while those assigned to “c” and “d” overlap at \(\delta\) 8.40. Signals arising from protons “e”, furthest from the ruthenium metal centre, are observed at \(\delta\) 7.87. The macrocyclic protons, in particular “a” and “b”, where the influence of the axial ligands is greatest, are shifted upfield compared to analogous complexes bearing aromatic N-heterocyclic axial ligands\cite{16,29,30} such as pyridine and quinoline. This trend is also observed in the spectrum of 1 and indicates that the AsPh\(_3\) ligand is more electron donating than the N-heterocyclic ligands.

The same pattern of axial AsPh\(_3\) resonances is observed in the spectrum of complex 2, however the extent of the shielding is less than for the phthalocyanine complex. The weaker shielding of ruthenium naphthalocyanine complexes has been documented previously.\cite{18}

Also observed in the \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR spectrum of a freshly prepared CDC\(_3\) solution of 2 is a singlet at \(\delta\) 7.34, which is assigned to free AsPh\(_3\). Integration of the spectrum revealed that at a concentration of 8 mM, the amount of free AsPh\(_3\) is ~8% relative to the parent complex and increases to ~34% over a period of seventeen hours at room temperature. The amount of free AsPh\(_3\) is also dependant on concentration, with ~27% of free AsPh\(_3\) observed in a freshly prepared 0.8 mM solution. The dependence of the amount free AsPh\(_3\) on time and concentration indicates that the free AsPh\(_3\) arises from complex dissociation and is not present simply as an impurity from the synthesis. The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of 1 initially revealed no observable signals from uncoordinated AsPh\(_3\) over a concentration range of 8 to 0.8 mM. However, after seventeen hours ~6% free AsPh\(_3\) is observed in an 8 mM solution. This data suggests that the arsine ligand is more labile when coordinated to ruthenium naphthalocyanine compared to the phthalocyanine analogue. Using \(d_6\)-dimethyl sulfoxide as solvent, the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra of 1 and 2 show only signals for free AsPh\(_3\), indicating rapid and complete displacement of AsPh\(_3\) by dimethyl sulfoxide.

**Electrochemistry**

Figure 3 shows cyclic voltammograms for 1 and 2 together with that for AsPh\(_3\). Potentials quoted are relative to the Fc+/Fc couple (i.e. \(E_{1/2}(\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc}) = 0\) V). The cyclic voltammogram of 1 shows reversible oxidations at 0.10 and 0.92 V (\(\Delta E_p \approx 0.09\) V for both processes) and an irreversible reduction at -1.91 V. The difference in potential between the reduction and the first oxidation is 2.01 V and is similar in energy to the Q-band transition (644 nm or 1.93 eV). It can be concluded that the reduction and first oxidation are macrocyclic centered processes i.e. the \([\text{Pc}^+\text{Ru}^3]/[\text{Pc}^0\text{Ru}^3]\) and \([\text{Pc}^0\text{Ru}^3]/[\text{Pc}^2\text{Ru}^3]\) couples respectively. This redox behaviour is similar to ruthenium phthalocyanine complexes with pyridine...
With respect to a typical pyridine complex, e.g. \([\text{PcRu}(4\text{-methylpyridine})_2]\),\([31]\) the first oxidation potential \(I\) occurs at 180 mV more negative. This shift can be attributed to the electron donating AsPh\(_3\) ligand increasing the electron density within the phthalocyanine macrocycle. The potential of the second oxidation in ruthenium phthalocyanine complexes with pyridyl axial ligands, assigned as the \([\text{Pc}^\text{0} \text{Ru}^{\text{II}}]^2/\text{[Pc–Ru}^{\text{II}}]^+\) couple, ranges from 0.83 – 0.94 V. As the second oxidation of \(I\) falls within this range we also assign it to a macrocycle-centered process. The potential of the reduction falls within the range observed for ruthenium phthalocyanine complexes with pyridyl axial ligands, suggesting the axial ligand may have little effect on the reduction potential.

The electrochemistry of ruthenium naphthalocyanine complexes has received very little attention, with \([2,3\text{-NcRu(pyridine})_2]\)\([18]\) being the only complex for which electrochemical data has been reported. Consecutive one-electron reductions at -1.70 and -2.16 V, and an oxidation 0.02 V (in pyridine vs Fc\(^+/\)Fc\(^-\), original data: -1.24 V, -1.70 V, 0.48 V vs SCE) were observed. The first reduction and oxidation were assigned to macrocycle-centered processes by comparison with the \(Q\)-band energy while the second reduction process was not assigned. In contrast, the cyclic voltammogram of a freshly-made, anaerobic solution of complex \(2\) in degassed dichloromethane shows one irreversible reduction at -1.95 V, and three reversible oxidations at -0.19, 0.49 and 1.10 V. (\(\Delta E_p \approx 0.08, 0.08\) and 0.10 V, respectively). The energy difference between the reduction and first oxidation (1.76 V) is close to the energy of the \(Q\)-band (716 nm or 1.73 V), and these can therefore be assigned to the \([\text{Nc}^\text{0} \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]^{2+}/[\text{Nc}^\text{0} \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]^+\) and \([\text{Nc}^{\text{II}} \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]^{2+}/[\text{Nc}^{\text{II}} \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]^+\) couples, respectively. The potential of the \([\text{Nc}^{\text{II}} \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]^{2+}/[\text{Nc}^{\text{II}} \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]^+\) couple in \(2\) is shifted negative 210 mV compared to that of \([2,3\text{-NcRu(py})_2]\). This may be attributed to the electron-donating AsPh\(_3\) ligands, as well as the peripheral tBu groups, which cause negative shifts in the macrocycle centered oxidation of ruthenium phthalocyanines.\([12]\) A second reduction was not observed for \([2,3\text{-NcRu(py})_2]\) within the potential window of dichloromethane. The second and third oxidations could not be assigned by spectroelectrochemistry due to the liability of the AsPh\(_3\) ligands, however they are not due to oxidation of free AsPh\(_3\), as this occurs at a more positive potential (see figure 3).

The electronic spectra of \(1\) and \(2\) in degassed dichloromethane are shown in Figure 4 and are characteristic of six-coordinate ruthenium phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine complexes.\([20]\) The most prominent features are the \(Q\)-bands (643 nm in \(1\), 716 nm in \(2\)) and the Soret-bands (303 nm in \(1\), 340 in \(2\)). Both transitions arise from \(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*\) transitions within the macrocycle. The \(Q\)- and Soret-bands have shoulders in the spectra for each complex. The energy of the \(Q\)-band and it’s shoulder in the electronic spectrum of \(1\) is very similar to that reported for \([\text{PcRu}(\text{PPh}_3)_2]\)\([28]\) however the Soret-band of \([\text{PcRu}(\text{PPh}_3)_2]\) appears at 319 nm. The shoulder to the Soret-band in \(1\) is bathochromically shifted by approximately 2200 cm\(^{-1}\) compared to ruthenium phthalocyanines with pyridine derivatives as axial ligands.

Generally the \(Q\)-band of ruthenium naphthalocyanine complexes is significantly bathochromically shifted compared to the phthalocyanine derivative bearing the same axial ligands, while the Soret-band remains at a similar energy.\([16,20]\) Consistent with this observation the \(Q\)-band of \(2\) is bathochromically shifted by 1586 cm\(^{-1}\) compared to that of \(1\). Usually the Soret-band experiences an even larger bathochromic shift of 3590 cm\(^{-1}\).
Figures 5 and 6 show the changes in the UV-visible spectra of dichloromethane solutions of 1 and 2 in air over a period of one hour. Observed is the incomplete replacement of the Q-band of 1 by a broad absorption at 525 nm. The Soret-band also reduces in intensity and shifts to higher energy. Isosbestic points are observed suggesting a clean transformation of 1 into a single new species. The changes are fully consistent with ring-centred one-electron oxidation to afford a [PcRu(L)_x]^+ species.[31,33,34]

In Figure 6, the Q-band of 2 and it’s shoulder rapidly diminishes in intensity, and a broad absorption at 664 nm appears. The Soret-band also decreases in intensity and a band at 316 nm appears. These changes are very similar to those observed in Figure 5 and can therefore be assigned to oxidation of the naphthalocyanine ring. The oxidation of 2 occurs more rapidly: in one hour oxidation of 2 is almost complete while in the same time period 88% of 1 remains un-oxidised.

As shown by 1H NMR, in dimethyl sulfoxide the AsPh3 ligands of both complexes completely dissociate to give the bis(dmso) adducts. When the electronic spectrum of 2 was recorded in dimethyl sulfoxide the spectral changes attributed to oxidation did not occur. The bis(dmso) complex was completely stable over five hours. These results immediately suggests that at least one axial arsine ligand must remain for an oxidation reaction with dioxygen to proceed.

That dioxygen is the oxidant was confirmed by deoxygenating the solvent using repeated freeze / pump / thaw cycles. The rate of oxidation was dramatically reduced. An additional observation is that the rate of oxidation was also inhibited by adding a 50 fold excess of AsPh3 to the solution. This suggests dissociation of an axial arsine may be important in the reaction with dioxygen.

Two different routes may be proposed for the which oxidation of 1 and 2 may occur:

\[
\begin{align*}
    &\left[\text{Mc}_2\text{RuL}_2\right] \rightarrow \left[\text{Mc}_2\text{RuL}\right]^+ + \text{L} \\
    \rightarrow &\left[\text{Mc-RuL}_2\right] + \text{L} \\
    \rightarrow &\left[\text{Mc-RuL}\right] + \text{L} (1)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    &\left[\text{Mc}_2\text{RuL}_2\right] \rightarrow \left[\text{Mc-RuL}_2\right]^+ \\
    \rightarrow &\left[\text{Mc-RuL}_2\right] + \text{L} \\
    \rightarrow &\left[\text{Mc-RuL}\right] + \text{L} (2)
\end{align*}
\]

In equation (1) one AsPh3 ligand dissociates, forming a five-coordinate species, which is readily oxidised. The formation of a five-coordinate species is possible. As already described, the 1H NMR spectra of 1 and 2 showed the AsPh3 ligands to be labile, and five coordinate ruthenium phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine complex are already known. For example, the complex \(K_2\left[\text{PcRu}{\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(3-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3)}\right]\) dissociates in solution to form a stable five coordinate species,[24] while the naphthalocyanine derivative[17] is isolated as a five coordinate complex. Arsine and phosphine ligands have similar trans effects. The formation of dimeric complexes by loss of both axial ligands can be ruled out. The [(tBu)_2-2,3-NcRu] dimer is insoluble in organic solvents,[30] and clearly the species being oxidised in Figure 6 is soluble with no precipitate observed during any of these experiments. Furthermore [(PcRu)_2][35] and [(tBu)_2PcRu]_2[30] interact with oxygen forming a species with a Q-band of increased intensity, which is not the case here. From equation (1) the impairment of the oxidation rates with excess AsPh3 can be explained by forcing the equilibrium back to the more stable six coordinate complexes.

In equation (2), the macrocycle is first oxidised, presumably in an outer-sphere reaction with dioxygen, followed by loss of an axial ligand. The two electron-donating AsPh3 ligands should make oxidation of the macrocycles occur more readily in this case. This is demonstrated by the relatively low potential at which both complexes undergo the first oxidation. The effect of excess AsPh3 on the oxidation rate in this scenario would be to act as a sacrificial reductant, forming AsPh3=O and regenerating [Mc_2-Ru(AsPh3)] (i.e. 1 and 2 from 1+ and 2+).

Conclusions

We have prepared the first examples of metallo phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine complexes with axial arsine ligands. The AsPh3 ligands are labile in both complexes although moreso in the naphthalocyanine example.

Cyclic voltammetry revealed one reduction and two oxidation processes for the phthalocyanine derivative, similar to ruthenium
phthalocyanine complexes with pyridyl axial ligands albeit at somewhat different potentials. The naphthalocyanine derivative undergoes one reduction and three oxidations. The reduction and first oxidation are assigned to macrocycle-centred processes. The UV-Vis spectra of both complexes recorded over time showed macrocycle-centred oxidation caused by dissolved oxygen. We were not able to determine if the species being oxidised are the starting complexes, or if ligand dissociation leads to an easily oxidised five coordinate species. The lability of the arsine ligands may hinder use of these complexes in applications. Nevertheless, the arsine complexes show significant differences in properties of previously reported phthalocyanine and naphthalocyanine ruthenium derivatives.

**Experimental Section**

**Experimental**

**General**

1H NMR spectra were recorded using a BVT 3000 Bruker Spectrospin instrument operating at 300.13 MHz. Spectra are referenced internally to residual protic solvent (CHCl3, δ 7.26). UV-visible spectra of dichloromethane solutions were recorded using an Agilent 8453 UV-Visible spectrophotometer. Infra-red spectra were recorded using a Nicolet Magna IR-760 spectrometer with complexes dispersed in KBr discs. Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer SCIEX API300 Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer. The general conditions were: ion spray voltage = 5000V, drying gas temperature = 50 °C, orifice voltage = 30V, ring voltage = 340V, and injection via syringe pump. Spectra were averaged over 10 scans. Elemental microanalyses were carried out by the Microanalytical Service Unit at the Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University. We note that the C-analyses are referenced internally to residual protic solvent (CHCl3, δ 7.26).

**Synthesis**

Preparation of [PcRu(AsPh3)2] 1. To a deoxygenated dichloromethane solution (60 mL) of [PcRu(PhCN)2] (200 mg, 0.24 mmol) was added triphenylarsine (224 mg, 0.73 mmol). The resulting solution was heated at reflux for 4 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The resulting solid was dissolved in dichloromethane (80 mL) and filtered. Hexane (240 mL) was added and the suspension was left to sit for 15 hours. The precipitated [PcRu(AsPh3)2] was collected by filtration and washed with hexane. A second crop of [PcRu(AsPh3)2] was collected by reducing the volume of the filtrate to 160 mL. Both crops were combined and dried in vacuo at 100 °C overnight, yielding 151 mg (51 %) of [PcRu(AsPh3)2]•0.125CH2Cl2 as a blue solid. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 27 °C): 8.95 (m, 8 H, Pcp), 7.81 (m, 8 H, Pcp), 6.69 (t, JHH = 7.5 Hz, 6 H, Ph), 6.32 (t, JHH = 7.6 Hz, 12 H, Ph), 5.30 (s, 0.25H, CH2Cl2) 4.59 (d, JHH = 6.9 Hz, 12 H, Ph), IR (cm⁻¹): 3045 (s), 2924 (w), 1639 (m), 1604 (m), 1573 (m), 1487 (vs), 1433 (s), 1410 (s), 1322 (s), 1285 (s), 1169 (s), 1122 (vs), 1064 (s), 1025 (mw), 998 (mw), 967 (w), 944 (w) 905 (mw), 866 (w), 847 (w), 777 (m), 735 (s), 734 (vs), 691 (s), 614 (w), 575 (mw), 505 (w), 478 (s), 439 (mw). UV-vis (λmax nm [ε, 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹]): 643 [58], 584sh, 409sh, 303 [78]. MS (m/z): 1227 ([M + H]+, 100). Anal. Calcd for C68H46N8Cl0.25As2Ru: C 66.61, H 3.48, N 9.14. Found: C 66.61, H 3.77, N 9.06.

A singlet at δ 5.30 arises from dichloromethane, which could not be removed even under high vacuum at 100 °C so must be incorporated into the crystal lattice.

Preparation [((Bu)4-2,3-Nc}Ru(AsPh3)2] 2. To a deoxygenated dichloromethane solution (40 mL) of the crude [((Bu)4-2,3-Nc}Ru(PhCN)3] (200 mg, 0.16 mmol) was added triphenylarsine (148 mg, 0.48 mmol). The resulting solution was heated at reflux for 4 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified by silica column chromatography eluting with dichloromethane/hexane (9:1). The resulting solid was suspended in methanol, and the solid was collected by filtration and washed with methanol. 40 mg (15 %) of [((Bu)4-2,3-Nc}Ru(AsPh3)2] was collected as a green powder. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 27 °C): 9.47 (s, 4 H, Nc), 9.43 (s, 4 H, Nc), 8.40 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.81 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.30 (s, 0.25H, CH2Cl2) 4.15 (d, JHH = 7.8 Hz, 12 H, Ph), 4.74 (d, JHH = 7.2 Hz, 12 H, Ph). IR (cm⁻¹): 3052 (s), 2925 (vs), 2905 (s), 2866 (s), 1953 (mw), 1616 (m), 1499 (s), 1476 (s), 1460 (m), 1433 (s), 1375 (s), 1371 (vs), 1332 (m), 1317 (m), 1270 (m), 1258 (m), 1204 (mw), 1184 (m), 1165 (s), 1142 (s), 1111 (vs), 1087 (m), 1041 (m), 1017 (mw), 994 (mw), 951 (mw), 987 (m), 912 (m), 812 (m), 742 (s), 691 (s), 664 (mw), 641 (mw), 614 (w), 559 (w), 513 (mw), 474 (s), 419 (w). UV-vis (λmax nm [ε, 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹]): 716 [117], 644sh, 418sh, 340 [83]. MS (m/z): 1650 ([M+], 100). Anal. Calcd for C100H86N8As2Ru: C 72.76, H 5.25, N 6.79. Found: C 71.60, H 5.53, N 6.69.
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