

University of Technology, Sydney

Faculty of Science

**Synthesis of Novel Plasmonic Materials and Their
Optical Properties**

Nikta Shahcheraghi

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Science

Institute for Nanoscale Technology

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

December 2016

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved family and parents
for always supporting, endless love, and standing by me.

Certificate of Original Authorship

I verify that this submission is my own work and, to the best of my understanding, it does not contain any material previously published by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis.

Nikta Shahcheraghi

Publications and Conference Presentations Arising from This Work

Journal or conference papers

- **N. Shahcheraghi**, V. Keast, A. Gentle, M. Arnold; M. Cortie, “Anomalous strong plasmon resonances in aluminium bronze by modification of the electronic density-of-states”, *J. Physics: Condensed Matter*, vol.28(40), 2016, article 405501.
- **N. Shahcheraghi**; A. Dowd; M. D. Arnold; M. B. Cortie, “Plasmon resonances on optocapacitive nanostructures”, *SPIE 9668, Micro+Nano Materials, Devices, and Systems*; December 2015, Vol. 9668; doi: 10.1117/12.2202282.
- **N. Shahcheraghi**.; A. Dowd.; A. McDonagh; M.B. Cortie; V. Keast. “Multimode Plasmon Resonances on Double and Triple decker Stacks of Silver Nanotriangles”, *Book Series: International Conference on Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, (ICONN) 2014*, Pages: 57-60, published: 2014.
- V. J. Keast, T. A. Myles, **N. Shahcheraghi** and M. B. Cortie, “Corrosion processes of triangular silver nanoparticles compared to bulk silver”, *J. Nanoparticle Research (NANO)*, 2016. **18**(2): p. 1-11
- V. J. Keast, C. J. Walhout, T. Pedersen, **N. Shahcheraghi**, M. B. Cortie, “Higher order plasmonic modes excited in Ag triangular nanoplates by an electron beam”, *Plasmonics*, 2016. **11**(4): p. 1081-1086.
- **N. Shahcheraghi**; V. Keast; M. B. Cortie, “Optical Properties and plasmon resonances of PtAl₂ semi-shells”, to be submitted to *J. Alloys and Compounds*.

Conference presentations

- **N. Shahcheraghi**, Angus Gentle, Vicki J. Keast, and Michael B. Cortie, 43rd International Conference on Metallurgical Coatings and Thin Films, San Diego 2016 (ICMCTF2016); “Effect of Al on the optical properties of (Cu, Al) thin films”.
- **N. Shahcheraghi**, Angus Gentle, Vicki J. Keast, and Michael B. Cortie, 22nd International Symposium on Metastable, Amorphous and Nanostructured Materials, Paris 2015 (ISMANAM2015); “Optical properties of metastable Al_xCu thin films”.
- N. Shahcheraghi**, A.Dowd, M. Arnold and M B Cortie, *SPIE Micro+Nano Materials, Devices, and Applications 2015 Conference*, Sydney 2015 (SPIE2015), “Plasmon Resonance on Opto-capacitive Nanostructures”.

Synthesis of Novel Plasmonic Materials and Their Optical Properties

- **N. Shahcheraghi**, S. Supansonboon, V. Keast, A.McDonagh and M B Cortie, 3rd Biennial Conference of Combined Australian Material Societies, Sydney 2014 (CAMS 2014), “Optical Properties and Plasmon Resonances of PtAl₂ Semi-shells”.
- **N. Shahcheraghi**, A.Dowd, A. McDonagh, V.J. Keast and M.B. Cortie, International Conference on Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Adelaide 2014(ICONN2014), “Multimode Plasmon Resonances on Double- and Triple-decker Stacks of Silver Nanotriangles”.

Abstract

The field of ‘plasmonics’ has gained a lot of attention recently. This is because plasmonic phenomena can be used in a wide variety of modern devices, including biosensors, intracellular probes, spectrally-selective coatings, hyperthermal medical treatments, new kinds of photonic devices, nano-optics, scanning microscopy and optical cloaking. One issue with plasmonics, however, is that the metallic materials currently used cause high losses due to conversion of light to heat. The aim of my project was to discover ways to minimize optical losses in materials and nanostructures used for plasmonics. My search for better materials extended over the pure elements, intermetallic alloys and conventional alloys systems. The most promising example from each of these material types was selected for further examination on the basis of their having a low optical loss over some region of the visible spectrum. The representatives were Ag for the pure elements, PtAl₂ for the intermetallic compounds, and α -(Cu,Al) for the metallic alloys.

Silver is considered as one of the most desirable materials for plasmonic devices as it has low loss (low ϵ_2) across the visible spectrum. Unfortunately, silver nanostructures oxidize or corrode in air. My project started with a study of silver nanotriangles which I synthesized using ‘wet chemical’ techniques. The aim of this part of the project was to discover how fast the silver nanoparticles oxidized and whether some means of preventing the oxidation could be found. I used scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM) and UV-visible spectroscopy to characterize my samples. Unfortunately, while the silver nanotriangles colloids were stable in a sealed bottle for several months, they oxidized within a few days once removed. I did not find a way to prevent this. I did find that silver nanotriangles are able to self-assemble into complex structures that include tip-to-tip or base-to-base, or double- and triple-decker sandwich configurations. The optical properties of these interesting arrangements were explored through computer simulations based on the discrete dipole approximation (DDA). The effect of aspect ratio, gap size and substrate were considered.

It has been predicted in the recent literature that the brassy-yellow PtAl₂ intermetallic compound should be capable of exhibiting reasonably strong localized surface plasmon resonances. In this part of my project I investigated ways to fabricate PtAl₂ nanoparticles to test this claim. Ordered arrays of PtAl₂ semi-shells were created using magnetron sputtering by co-depositing Al and Pt onto a template of

Synthesis of Novel Plasmonic Materials and Their Optical Properties

monodisperse spherical polystyrene particles of 300 nm diameter. Deposition was carried out at an acute angle to the substrate so that the resulting semi-shells could be subsequently separated. I examined the resulting material using X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscope microscopy and the optical properties were probed by measurement of reflection and transmission spectra. I also performed optical simulations based on the DDA. The results showed that the measured properties were consistent with the occurrence of a localized surface plasmon resonance, which proved that PtAl₂ could be used in plasmonic applications.

Finally, I considered the example of a metallic alloy, in this case between Cu and Al. The high electron density of Al (three electrons per atom) was expected to be beneficial because addition of Al to Cu would increase the electron-to-atom ratio of the alloy. This would influence the electronic structure and subsequently the dielectric function and Fermi level. Techniques used included ellipsometry, spectrometry, XRD and SEM. Very good results were obtained for an alloy of Cu with 15 at% Al. I also looked at the effect of crystal structure by comparing γ Cu-Al phase in the metastable and stable states. Samples were deposited at room temperature by magnetron sputtering onto a glass substrate (metastable) then annealed at 500°C for 20 minutes (stable). There was a surprisingly big change in optical properties on going from the metastable to stable states, and a region of very low loss was identified in the spectrum.

Overall, the work has proved very successful. While a means to suppress oxidation of Ag was not found, three promising new materials (PtAl₂, Cu-15 at.% Al, and Cu-Al γ -phase) were identified for future plasmonic applications.

Acknowledgements

Undertaking this PhD has been a truly life-changing experience for me and I would not have been able to do it without the support and assistance that I received from many people. Firstly, I would like to express my deep appreciation and thanks to my supervisor, Professor Michael Cortie, you have been a tremendous mentor for me. This PhD study would not be finished without his enormous wisdom, patience and strong motivation.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding received during my PhD from the Australian Research Council as part of a Discovery Project (DP120102545). I am also grateful for receiving financial support through an IRS scholarship from University of Technology Sydney. My work was also supported by the UTS High Performance Computing Cluster which provided computer time.

I would like to express my special appreciation for the support (DFT calculations, some TEM images, helpful comments and suggestions) that I received from A/Professor Vicki Keast at the University of Newcastle. A big Thank You goes out to the many people at UTS who assisted me patiently in the experimental part of my research study: Dr. Sujeewa de Silva, Dr Matthew Arnold and A/Prof. Andrew McDonagh for very useful suggestions, Dr Angus Gentle and Geoff McCredie who assisted me considerably in respect of physical vapour deposition and optical property measurements, the staff of the Microstructural Analysis Unit, Katie McBean and Mark Berkahn, for assistance with SEM and XRD, Dr Ronald Shimmon and Dr. Linda Xiao of the chemistry laboratory facility, and Dr Annette Dowd for taking some of my TEM images for me. I am also grateful for the motivation and assistance from my friends in the School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences: Supitcha Supansomboon, Daniel King, Marc Artur Gali Labarias, Dr Shaoli Zhu, Daniel Golestan, Nahid Bani Hashemi, Vijay Bhatia, Innocent Macha and my close friends from other Faculties, Zahra Zabolizadeh and Leila Boroon for their great support. A special thanks to my friend, Shohre Razavy, for her invaluable motivation throughout the period I was living alone far from my home country.

My heartfelt thanks to my dad, mum and sisters, Vida and Nazanin, for always loving me, inspiring me and believing me during this challenging journey. Your deep prayers for me were what sustained me thus far. I would like especially to express my deep appreciation to my husband, Behzad Zahed, for his patient, support, and strong encouragement and my lovely son, Parsa, for his magnificent help during the time of my PhD. At the end, I thank my God, for helping me and guiding me through all the difficulties which I experienced during my PhD life. I will definitely keep on trusting Him.

Contents

1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 The materials selection problem in plasmonics	2
1.2 Plasmonics in nanoscience and nanotechnology	3
1.3 Structure of this thesis	3
2 Literature Review	6
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Index of refraction and dielectric function	8
2.2.1 The Drude model.....	9
2.2.2 The Lorentz oscillator	10
2.2.3 Other models for optical properties.....	11
2.2.4 Reflectometry and Ellipsometry.....	12
2.3 Localized surface plasmon resonances	13
2.3.1 Discrete dipole approximation	13
2.3.2 Quality factor	14
2.4 Materials for plasmonic applications.....	16
2.4.1 Silver	17
2.4.2 Aluminium	18
2.4.3 Alkali metals	18
2.4.4 Intermetallic compounds for plasmonic applications.....	19
2.4.5 Cu-Al as a possible material for plasmonics	20
2.5 Methods of synthesis and fabrication	21
2.5.1 Synthesis of silver nanoparticles	21
2.5.2 Deposition of thin films.....	25

2.5.3 Fabrication of semishells.....	29
3 Methodology	31
3.1 Overview	32
3.2 Generic techniques	32
3.2.1 Microstructural characterization	33
3.2.2 Measurement of optical properties.....	36
3.2.3 Modelling.....	39
3.3 Silver nanotriangles	40
3.3.1 Synthesis of silver nanotriangles.....	40
3.3.2 Characterization	41
3.3.3 Simulation	42
3.4 Fabrication of PtAl ₂ semishells	43
3.4.1 Physical vapour deposition to make PtAl ₂ semi-shells	43
3.4.2 Characterization	48
3.5 Thin films of Cu-Al Alloys.....	48
3.5.1 Physical vapour deposition to make Cu-Al alloys	48
4 Silver Nano-Triangles.....	50
4.1 Overview	51
4.2 Objective of this chapter.....	51
4.3 Experimental details specific to this chapter	51
4.3.1 Light irradiation	52
4.4 Results and discussion.....	52
4.4.1 Mechanism of synthesis of Ag nanotriangles.....	52
4.4.2 Characterization of silver nanotriangles.....	57

4.4.3 Optical properties	60
4.4.4 Simulation for aluminium base-to-base triangles.....	85
4.4.5 Stability of material	86
4.5 Conclusion	96
5 PtAl₂ Semishells.....	98
5.1 Overview	99
5.1.1 Different types of plasmon resonances	100
5.2 Objective of this chapter.....	102
5.3 Experimental details	102
5.3.1 Polystyrene spheres on a glass substrate	102
5.3.2 Deposition of PtAl ₂ semi-shells	104
5.3.3 Deposition of PtAl ₂ thin films	105
5.3.4 Characterization	105
5.3.5 Measurement of optical properties.....	106
5.4 Results and Discussion	108
5.4.1 Characterization results	108
5.4.2 Optical properties of PtAl ₂ thin film and semishells.....	110
5.5 Conclusion	123
6 Al-Cu Binary Alloys.....	125
6.1 Overview	126
6.1.1 Effect of alloying on the optical properties of Cu	126
6.2 Objective of this chapter.....	127
6.3 Experimental details specific to this chapter	128
6.3.1 XRD & EDS.....	128

6.4 Results and Discussion	129
6.4.1 Characterization results	129
6.4.2 Preparation of Al-Cu binary alloy compounds.....	131
6.4.3 Dielectric functions of Cu-Al alloys	133
6.4.4 LSPR simulations	148
6.5 Conclusion	151
7 Conclusion and Future Work	153
8 References.....	157

List of Tables

Table 3-1: specific details of 20/20 Micro-spectrophotometer.	37
Table 3-2: Sputtering yields of different targets.	43
Table 3-3: Precipitation of polystyrene spheres on glass substrate.....	45
Table 3-4: Preparing of PtAl ₂ with different rates (Å/sec).....	46
Table 4-1: Effect of addition of various concentration or time on nanotriangles synthesis (D: double concentration, N: normal concentration, H: half concentration).....	56
Table 4-2: Calculation of number of prism in solution.....	57
Table 5-1: The calculated effective radius corresponding to total diameter at constant core diameter.	118
Table 6-1: Different concentrations of Al% according to variety of sputtering rates for alpha phase.	129

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Typical optical measurement for different shapes, reproduced from reference [47].	16
Figure 2-2: Periodic Table of elements coloured according to Q_{LSP} . Frequency at which Q_{LSP} would be greatest is given in eV. Reproduced from Blaber et al.[44].	17
Figure 2-3: Phase diagram of Pt-Al, reproduced from [74].	20
Figure 3-1: Scanning electron microscope (SEM): Zeiss Evo LS15 EDS.	34
Figure 3-2: Scanning electron microscope (SEM): Zeiss Supra 55VP.	34
Figure 3-3: 20/20 Micro-spectrophotometer.	37
Figure 3-4: Image of ellipsometry to measure the optical dielectric constants.	38
Figure 3-5: LabMax reactor for preparing silver nanotriangles.	41
Figure 3-6: Simulation of triangular nanoparticle for single particle with $80 \times 80 \times 80 \times 20$ nm.	42
Figure 3-7: The program to simulate the electric field of various triangular configurations.	43
Figure 3-8: Image of magnetron sputtering to operate through physical vapour deposition (PVD).	44
Figure 3-9: Schematic of photo-thermal furnace to anneal the deposited $PtAl_2$ semishells.	47
Figure 3-10: Schematic of preparation of $PtAl_2$ semishells with 1) PS preparation, 2) co-sputtering of Pt and Al targets under acute angle, 3) dissolution at organic solution and 4) separation of $PtAl_2$ semishells from PS nanospheres.	48
Figure 4-1 : Nucleation and growth process of silver nanotriangles.	53
Figure 4-2: SEM images of concentrated particles.	58
Figure 4-3: SEM images of fresh samples with half concentration of $NaBH_4$.	58
Figure 4-4: TEM images of experimentally synthesised silver nanotriangles (single, base to base and point to point configurations).	59
Figure 4-5: AFM images of silver nanotriangles.	60
Figure 4-6: Comparison of Micro spectrometer spectra between a) big particle (≥ 100 nm, blue colour), b) small particle (≤ 100 nm, green one), c) no particle area (red one) and d) no solution area (black graph).	61
Figure 4-7: (a) UV-visible extinction spectrum of a typical colloidal suspension of Ag nanoparticles. The broad absorption peak in the upper visible and near infrared causes the sample to display a deep blue colour. (b) Reflectance spectrum of a dispersion of particles that had been dried out on a silicon substrate. The blue-shifting of the main dipolar resonance peak to $0.7 \mu m$ is evident.	62

Figure 4-8: SEM images of stacks and aggregates of triangles.	63
Figure 4-9: UV-vis spectra of no added PVP, double concentration of NaBH ₄ and H ₂ O ₂ , standard condition and adding of H ₂ O ₂ 30min after making solution of PVP/AgNO ₃ /NaBH ₄ /Na ₃ CA.	64
Figure 4-10: SEM image of silver triangles aged for 30 min.	64
Figure 4-11: Definition of the two types of longitudinal polarization applied in the present work.	66
Figure 4-12: Extinction efficiencies of the standard Ag nano-triangle shape suspended in vacuum, for various edge lengths (100-160 nm).	67
Figure 4-13: Calculated extinction efficiencies of 80×80×80×20 nm silver nanotriangles in a) double-decker by gap distances 16-25 nm and b) triple-decker configurations with and without substrate. The substrate, where present, is glass.	68
Figure 4-14: Calculated electric field distribution in a double-decker stack illuminated at 500 nm (a) Geometric configuration showing direction of light and placement of cross-section of electric field, (b) cross-section of electric field showing y-component (y is normal to E and k). (c) y-component of electric field calculated on a plane 1 nm above the top face of upper triangle.	69
Figure 4-15: Calculated extinction efficiency of an isolated Ag nanotriangles (80×80×80×20 nm) versus a double-decker sandwich with a 12 nm gap, and a single Ag nanotriangles of 80 x 40 nm (equivalent to a gap-less double-decker sandwich). The positions of the 369 nm (decapolar) and 422 nm (octupole) resonances are indicated.	70
Figure 4-16: Complex multipolar plasmon resonances of a double-decker pair of Ag nanotriangles of 80 nm×20 nm, with a 12 nm gap, when illuminated at (a) 369 nm, (b) 422 nm. <i>E</i> is in direction of blue arrow, <i>k</i> in direction of red arrow.	71
Figure 4-17: Calculated extinction efficiencies at 580 nm for a series of double-decker Ag nanotriangles on glass, as a function of the gap between them.	72
Figure 4-18: DDA calculation for triple decker according to a) Q_{abs} and b) C_{ext}	73
Figure 4-19: Electric field at dipolar resonance.	74
Figure 4-20: DDA simulations of the extinction efficiency of bowtie silver nanotriangles.	75
Figure 4-21: Field line at dipolar resonance for point to point triangles.	75
Figure 4-22: a) and b) SEM images with base-to-base configurations arrowed, c) TEM image of triangles, base-to-base configuration circled.	77
Figure 4-23: The calculated extinction spectra of 80×80×80×20 nm silver triangles in the single and base-to-base (0.7 nm gap) configurations. ‘Perpendicular polarization’, as defined in the Methodology section, has been applied.	78

Figure 4-24: The calculated extinction spectra of 80×80×80×20 nm silver nanotriangles on glass substrate and without substrate.	79
Figure 4-25: The calculated extinction spectra for incident light with ‘parallel polarization’ and ‘perpendicular polarization’ of a dimer of base-to-base triangles with 4.7 nm gap and no substrate.	79
Figure 4-26: Effect of size and aspect ratio on the position and intensity of the LSPRs. (a) The calculated extinction spectra for 100×100×100×20 nm and 100×100×100×25 nm base-to-base silver nanotriangles. (b) The calculated extinction spectra for 100×100×100×25 nm and 80×80×80×20 nm base-to-base silver nanotriangles.	80
Figure 4-27: Calculated extinction efficiencies of 80×80×80×20 nm silver nanotriangles in base-to-base configurations with polarization perpendicular to the gap. (a) Plot showing values of Q_{ext} achieved, (b) stacked plot showing how LSPRs are red-shifted as the gap closes.....	82
Figure 4-28: a) Calculated extinction efficiencies of two resonances for a pair of 80×80×80×20 nm Ag nanotriangles in base-to-base configuration, as a function of the gap size. b) Intensity and wavelength of the A and B resonances vs. gap distance.	83
Figure 4-29: Simulated electric field enhancement for a pair of 80×80×80×20 nm silver triangles in base-to-base configuration with a 4.7 nm gap. Perpendicular polarization is shown as \perp , parallel polarization as \parallel . ($\lambda=590$ nm, coupled dipole mode across gap, $\lambda=460$ nm, ordinary dipole, $\lambda=530$ nm, quadrupole mode across gap, and $\lambda=360$ nm, high energy multimode.)	84
Figure 4-30 a) Electric field lines for dipole resonances at $\lambda=590$ nm and b) the schematic arrangement of charge in this mode. The similarity to the charge distribution on a parallel plate capacitor is striking.....	85
Figure 4-31: Calculated extinction efficiencies of 80×80×80×20 nm aluminium nanotriangles in base-to-base configurations with polarization perpendicular to the gap. (a) Plot showing values of Q_{ext} achieved by 0-14 nm gap spaces.	86
Figure 4-32: UV-visible spectrum of passivation with oxygen.	87
Figure 4-33: UV-Vis spectra of passivized samples with NaI.	88
Figure 4-34: SEM images of triangles with 0.2 μ M sulphide concentration.	89
Figure 4-35: TEM images of passivized sample s by addition of 0.2 μ M sulphide concentration.	90
Figure 4-36: SEM images for passivated sample by 0.4-0.6 μ M sulphide concentration.	90
Figure 4-37: TEM images of passivized samples by addition of 0.4 μ M sulphide concentration.	91
Figure 4-38: SEM images for passivated sample by 0.8-2.1 μ M sulphide concentration.	92

Figure 4-39: SEM images for passivated sample by 3 μM sulphide concentration.....	93
Figure 4-40: Sulphureted samples made by adding by 0-3 μg of sulphide compounds.	94
Figure 4-41: UV-vis spectra for passivated sample by butanethiol.	95
Figure 4-42: SEM image of 2 μM thiolated silver triangles.	95
Figure 5-1: Electron charge oscillation at a) nanospheres (throughout the nanoparticles) and b) semishells (along the semishells).	101
Figure 5-2: The β resonance in semishells. E in direction of the electric field and k is the orientation of light.	101
Figure 5-3: Undesired deposition of PS nanospheres (see Table 3-3) caused by formation of multi-layers of PS or blank area of PS), a) 25 PSP and 2800 rpm, b) and c) 100 PSP and 1600 rpm, d), 1200 PSP and 2800 rpm, e) 50 PSP and 2800 rpm and 200	103
Figure 5-4: The desired monolayers of PS spheres. 20 μl of the diluted suspension (80 PSP +100 mixture Triton solution (μl) at 1200 rpm for 20 seconds (noted at chapter 3 caused in deposition of one coherent layer of PS nanospheres.....	104
Figure 5-5: Deposition rate of aluminium and platinum vs. current.	105
Figure 5-6: Simulation of PtAl_2 semishell with 100 nm thickness and medium roughness.	107
Figure 5-7: X-Ray diffraction pattern of PtAl_2 a) thin film and b) semishells on glass.....	109
Figure 5-8: SEM images of an array of PtAl_2 semishells fabricated on the monolayer PS nanoparticles.	110
Figure 5-9: SEM images of semishells with thickness of 40-180 nm after separation from PS with dichloromethane.....	110
Figure 5-10: Comparison of the dielectric permittivity of selected elements in the region of the spectrum. Data from the literature, incl. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, and Keast [62].	111
Figure 5-11: Ellipsometry results of thin film PtAl_2 compound (ϵ_1 & ϵ_2).....	112
Figure 5-12: Ellipsometry results of thin film PtAl_2 compound (n & k).....	112
Figure 5-13: Optical properties of a) thin film and b) semishells including absorption, reflection and transmission of deposited sample on the glass substrate.....	114
Figure 5-14 : Two distinct plasmon resonances, designated α and β , are possible. Both are dipolar resonances, but as can be seen, the direction of the resonances is at 90° to one another.....	115

Figure 5-15: Simulation of the plasmon resonances for one, two and three semishells with longitudinal orientation of electric field (β).	116
Figure 5-16: Simulation of the optical properties at β orientation at different roughnesses from rough semishell (made from 100 nanoparticles) to smooth one (made from 1000 nanoparticles). By decreasing the roughness of semishell, the plasmon peak become sharper.	116
Figure 5-17: Simulation of semishell with α (red) & β (blue) orientations of with thinning and thickening of shell samples.	117
Figure 5-18: Position of maximum extinction peak and corresponding wavelength at various effective radii and constant core diameter for a smooth single shell made with 1000 nanoparticles.	119
Figure 5-19: Tunability of plasmon resonance maximum wavelength at fixed effective radius $r_{\text{eff}}=0.12 \mu\text{m}$, surface roughness 1000 but aspect ratio of 1.28 and 1.60.	120
Figure 5-20: Comparison of two radii (320 and 400 nm) for smooth semishells (all made with 1000 nanoparticles) with same or different effective radius.	120
Figure 5-21: Simulations of Q_{ext} for semishells with different core size (150-250 nm) and fixed total diameter (350 nm). These calculations are for a single, smooth shell made with 1000 nanoparticles.	121
Figure 5-22: Comparison between different r_1 & r_2 sizes (r_2 : 320, 350 & 35 nm to r_1 : 224, 250 & 280 nm respectively) at constant r_1/r_2 for single shell made with 1000 nanoparticles.	122
Figure 5-23: Simulation at constant thickness (100nm) for core diameter (200, 250 & 300nm) correlated to outer diameter (300, 350 & 400 nm) respectively.	122
Figure 5-24: Calculated absorption and scattering ratios for PtAl_2 semishells with fixed core diameter and various thicknesses (r_1 = core diameter, r_2 =core diameter + thickness) for surface roughness =100. The geometrical tenability has the superior effect on contribution of Q_{sca} and Q_{abs} to total extinction. $Q_{\text{sca}}/Q_{\text{ext}}$ with $r_1/r_2=0.62$ and $Q_{\text{abs}}/Q_{\text{ext}}$ with $r_1/r_2=0.78$ displays the maximum value.	123
Figure 6-1: Schematic of Al-Cu binary phase diagram [91].	128
Figure 6-2: The X-ray diffraction pattern of gamma- phase samples with similar Al concentration a) as deposited and b) annealed samples.	132
Figure 6-3: The X-ray diffraction pattern of gamma- phase annealed samples with various Al contents (30- 38 at. % Al).	133
Figure 6-4: The exemplified schematic of ellipsometric results for heated (left side) and un-heated (right side) samples.	134

Figure 6-5: Dielectric constant, ϵ_1 for as deposited (metastable) and heated (stable) samples. (Eight different samples were manufactured and tested to generate the confidence intervals shown).	135
Figure 6-6: Dielectric constant, ϵ_2 , for as deposited (metastable) and heated (stable) samples. (Eight different samples were manufactured and tested to generate the confidence intervals shown).	135
Figure 6-7: (A) Refractive index, k . (B) refractive index, n .	136
Figure 6-8: The reflectivity of a) metastable and b) stable samples with similar content Al-Cu alloy compounds.	138
Figure 6-9: The ellipsometric results (ϵ_1 & ϵ_2) of various Al-Cu content samples (annealed ones)...	139
Figure 6-10: Influence of atomic arrangement on the calculated spectra of five variations of a material containing 18.75 at.% Al. The reflectance edge is at 2.00 eV in all cases (figure courtesy of Cortie).	140
Figure 6-11: X-ray diffraction patterns of (Cu, Al) α -phase alloys.	141
Figure 6-12: XRD measurements of selected (Cu, Al) α -phase films. There is some crystallographic texture in the films that affect a variation of relative peak heights.	141
Figure 6-13: Lattice parameter of fcc Cu-Al alloys as a function of Al content; data from [286].	142
Figure 6-14: Aluminium content measured and compared by two approaches (XRD & EDS).	142
Figure 6-15: Scatterband of results for the dielectric function of pure Cu showing data taken from my study (lines) and the literature (pink shaded region [17]), (a) ϵ_1 , (b) ϵ_2 . The dashed line belongs to DFT calculation.	144
Figure 6-16: The position of steepest segment of absorption edge vs. Al addition.	145
Figure 6-17: Dielectric functions of (Cu,Al) α -phase alloys, (a) and (b) calculated, (c) and (d) measured.	146
Figure 6-18: Effect of Al addition on the ϵ_2 values in the Drude region of the spectrum at 1.5 eV.	146
Figure 6-19: The effect of Al on the reflectance. (a) DFT calculation, (b) measured reflectance.	147
Figure 6-20: The position of reflectance edge vs. Al content (a) DFT calculations, (b) experimental data. Empirical models, including 95% confidence intervals (shaded) and 95% estimated intervals (dashed) superimposed on the data to guide the eye.	148
Figure 6-21: The position of the optical extinction efficiency (Q_{ext}) as a function of Al content for a 20 nm diameter nanosphere of the indicated material, suspended in a vacuum.	149
Figure 6-22: Optimization of LSPRs in Cu-15at%Al alloy at nanorods with constant volume but variable aspect ratio. (a) Q_{ext} for a series of equi volume nanorods of aspect ratio varying from 8	

to 1. (b) Q_{ext} for nanorods with an aspect ratio of 1.5:1 compared to that of Cu rod with same dimensions. (c) Q_{ext} for nanorods of 8:1 aspect ratio compared to that of Cu rod of same dimensions. (d) The enhancement factor of Q_{ext} due to modification of dielectric function. 150

Figure 6-23: Dielectric function of Cu-15 at. % Al alloy produced by regression of the experimental data at each wavelength, followed by interpolation to yield assesses at 15 at.% Al. The 95% prediction interval is illustrated by dashed lines (a) ϵ_2 , (b) ϵ_1 151