Critical thinking in a Vietnamese tertiary English as a Foreign Language context:

CURRENT PRACTICES AND PROSPECTS

Nguyen Thi Thanh Binh

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Education Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences School of Education University of Technology Sydney

September 2016

Certificate of authorship

This thesis is the result of a research candidature conducted jointly with another University as part of a collaborative Doctoral degree. I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of student:	 	 	

Date:

Acknowledgments

To me, this doctoral journey has offered me a great opportunity to learn how to become not only a researcher but also a grown-up person. In this journey I have received help from many people whom I would like to extend my thanks as follows.

First of all, I owe my deepest gratitude to Associate Professor John Buchanan, my principal supervisor, for his dedicated and excellent support throughout my candidature. I really appreciate his patience and sympathy for a novice researcher who conducts a doctoral work in English like me. Without his support, this thesis could not have been completed.

My special thanks go to Dr Roslyn Appleby, Dr Lesley Ljungdahl, and Assoc. Prof. Liam Morgan, my alternate supervisors, for their useful comments in critical moments during my doctoral journey. I also would like to thank Dr. Ross Forman, Dr Jacqui Widin and Professor Alastair Pennycook for their assistance and encouragement. I acknowledge the editorial assistance of Dr Terry Fitzgerald, Dr Suzanne Eggins and Dr Estelle Dryland.

I am especially indebted to the Vietnamese Government and University of Technology Sydney for granting me a doctoral scholarship to pursue this study. Without this financial support, I would not have such a great learning experience in Sydney.

I would also like to express my grateful appreciation to the Vietnamese teachers and students who participated in my research for their generous time and

trust. I sincerely thank the Rector and teaching staff of the university back home in Vietnam for their support during my data collection.

My sincere thanks go to my housemates – Vietnamese ladies doing PhDs in Sydney with children. They are my lovely and helpful friends in both daily and professional life, which helps me overcome countless difficulties in my doctoral journey. I am also grateful to Dr Nguyen Thi Bao Trang for her constructive comments and encouragement in my very final stage of this doctoral journey.

Last but not least, I thank my husband, Quoc Thinh, for his unconditional support and sacrifice while I was in Sydney with my two children. I particularly thank my sons, Nguyen Minh and Nguyen Vu for being with me throughout the years. I understand that they have suffered in some ways from my stressful feelings in this journey. I deeply thank my parents and my sister for encouraging and supporting me to pursue this PhD study.

Contents

List of tables and figures	vii
List of abbreviations	i
Abstract	1
Chapter 1 Introduction: questions and issues in critical thinking in Vie	
EFL classrooms	
1.1 Critical thinking and language education	
1.2 Background to the problem	
1.3 Statement of the problem	12
1.4 Aims of the study	13
1.5 Research questions	
1.6 Significance of the study	14
1.7 Research design	15
1.8 Frameworks	
1.8.1 Critical thinking framework	
1.8.2 Social constructivism and the research into critical thinking practice	
1.9 Outline of the thesis	18
Chapter 2 Literature review: relevant literature and studies	20
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 The notion of critical thinking	20
2.2.1 Critical thinking as reflective thinking	
2.2.2 A two- or bi-dimensional conceptualisation of critical thinking	
2.2.3 Weak and strong senses of critical thinking	
2.2.4 Resource approach to critical thinking	
2.2.5 Critical being	
2.2.6 A working definition of critical thinking	
2.2.7 Vietnamese equivalents of critical thinking	
2.2.8 Empirical studies of the conceptualisation of critical thinking	
2.2.9 Summary	
2.3 Critical thinking and culture	
2.3.1 The notion of culture	
2.3.2 Critical thinking and culture	45
2.3.3 Is critical thinking representative of Western thinking and culture?	
2.3.4 Is critical thinking embedded in Asian culture/s?	
2.3.5 Does, or should, critical thinking operate regardless of culture?	
2.3.7 Cultural features influencing Vietnamese classrooms	
2.3.8 Critical thinking in Vietnamese classrooms	
2.3.0 Gritical tilliking in vietnamese classi ooms	6'

2.4 Critical thinking and language education	62
2.4.1 Critical thinking: discipline-specific or discipline-generic?	62
2.4.2 The role of critical thinking in language education	65
2.4.3 The role of language and critical thinking	67
2.4.4 Areas for critical thinking development in foreign language education	71
2.4.5 Critical thinking in EFL contexts	73
2.4.6 Summary	75
2.5 Critical thinking instruction	
2.5.1 Approaches to critical thinking instruction	
2.5.2 Teachers' knowledge of critical thinking instruction	
2.5.3 Summary	
2.6 Barriers to critical thinking practices in EFL/ESL classrooms	
2.6.1 Studies on the barriers to critical thinking practices in EFL/ESL classrooms	86
2.6.2 Bronfenbrenner's (1976) ecological theory	90
2.6.3 Schendel's (2015) frameworks of factors affecting university students'	
improvement of critical thinking	
2.6.4 Summary	
2.7 Conclusion	95
Chapter 3 Methodology: a qualitative case study of current critical thinking	
practices	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Research design	
3.2.1 Interpretive paradigm	
3.2.2 Qualitative approach	
3.2.3 Case study as a qualitative approach	
3.3 Setting and participants	
3.3.1 Sampling	
3.3.2 Setting	
3.3.3 Participants	
3.3.4 The researcher's role	
3.4 Data collection	
3.4.1 Data collection process	
3.4.2 Data collection tools	
3.5 Data analysis	
3.5.1 Qualitative data analysis	
3.5.2 Data analysis in this study	
3.5.3 Stages in data analysis	178
4 5 ALL ANCORNE ANOLIT FRANCIATION ICCUAS	
	135
3.6 Ethical considerations	135 136

Chapter 4 Findings: conceptualisation of critical thinking	139
4.1 Introduction	139
4.2 Conceptions of critical thinking	
4.2.1 Critical thinking and the expression of personal opinions	
4.2.2 Critical thinking engages cognitive skills	
4.2.3 Evidence – a component of critical thinking	
4.1.4 Inquisitiveness, curiosity, objectivism, open-mindedness – disposition	
thinking	
4.2.5 Critical thinking means self-reflection	
4.3 Attitudes towards critical thinking	
4.4 Chapter summary	151
Chapter 5 Findings: the practices of critical thinking in a Vietnames	e EFL
context	152
5.1 Introduction	152
5.2 Critical thinking teaching practice	
5.2.1 Content-based courses	152
5.2.2 Skills-based courses	170
5.3 Students' engagement with critical thinking	
5.3.1 Classroom behaviours	
5.3.2 Classroom talk	
5.4 Chapter summary	199
Chapter 6 Findings: factors influencing critical thinking practices in	a
Vietnamese EFL context	
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Factors influencing critical thinking teaching practices	
6.2.1 The national policy and critical thinking development	
6.2.2 Testing and examination system	
6.2.3 Students' language proficiency	
6.2.4 The teachers' lack of critical thinking instruction knowledge	
6.2.5 Summary	
6.3 Factors influencing students' engagement with critical thinking	210
6.3.1 The students' language proficiency	
6.3.2 Critical thinking methods and strategies	213
6.3.3 Teaching methodologies	
6.3.4 Traditional cultural values	
6.4 Chapter summary	229
Chapter 7 Discussion: current critical thinking practices in a Vietnar	nese EFL
context: barriers and prospects	
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Summary of findings	
7.2.1 How do the Vietnamese EFL teachers and students understand and va	
thinking?	
7.2.2 How is critical thinking being practised in a Vietnamese tertiary EFL of	
7.2.3 What are the factors affecting critical thinking practices in Vietnames	
context?	230

7.3 Critical thinking practices in a Vietnamese EFL context	238
7.3.1 Level and manner of critical thinking practices	238
7.3.2 Silence versus critical thinking?	244
7.4 'Large' culture and the practices of critical thinking in a Vietnamese EFL context	247
7.4.1 Influence of Vietnamese socio-political context	247
7.4.2 Influence of Vietnamese cultural traditions	249
7.5 'Small' culture and the practices of critical thinking in a Vietnamese EFL context	252
7.5.1 The mediation of teaching pedagogy	253
7.5.2 The mediation of students' English language proficiency	258
7.5.3 The mediation of EFL teaching and learning	261
7.6 Chapter summary	268
Chapter 8 Conclusions and recommendations	270
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 Contributions of the study	
8.2.1 Contributions to the knowledge of critical thinking practices in an Asian cont	
8.2.2 Contributions to the knowledge of critical thinking practices in an Asian conte	
8.2.3 Contributions to a conceptual framework on critical thinking	
8.2.4 Contribution to the knowledge of the factors affecting critical thinking practi	
an Asian EFL context	
8.3 Implications for practice	
8.3.1 Teaching practice of critical thinking	
8.3.2 Students' engagement with critical thinking	
8.3.3 Implications for student behaviours	
8.4 Limitations of the study	
8.5 Recommendations for future research	
8.6 Concluding thoughts	
Appendices	293
Appendix 1: Information sheet - Students	293
Appendix 2: Consent form – Students – Interviews	
Appendix 3: Teacher Interview Guideline	
Appendix 4: Student Interview Guideline	
Appendix 5: Observation checklist	
Appendix 6: The Alligator River	
References	305

List of tables and figures

Tables	
Гable 1.1: The study's participants1	.6
Γable 2.1: Levels, domains and forms of critical being3	3
Гable 2.2 Types of questions8	32
Table 3.1: EFL curriculum and the location of the observed courses (in italics)10)6
Гable 3.2: Summary of observed classes11	.0
Table 3.3: Classroom behaviour meanings / interpretations11	.6
Γable 5.1 Evidence of critical thinking teaching practices in the content-based classes – a summary 15	53
Table 5.2: Types of questions – Examples – American Issues	54
Table 5.3: Critical thinking practices in the skills-based classes – a summary17	7 0
Table 5.4: Students' classroom behaviours and their number of instances in the American Issues course	
Γable 5.5: Students' classroom behaviours and their number of instances in the Cross-cultural Communications course18	33
Figures	
Figure 2.1 The critical thinking frameworks used in this study3	36
Figure 2.2: Schendel's (2015, p. 98) framework9)3
Figure 2.3: Possible factors affecting critical thinking practices (adapted from Bronfenbrenner's (1976) ecological framework and Schendel's (2015) framework)9	95
Figure 3.1: Major and minor themes13	33
Figure 6.1 Factors affecting critical thinking practices in a Vietnamese EFL tertiary context	<u> 2</u> 9
Figure 8.1. Suggested framework for critical thinking in an EFL context27	⁷ 4
Figure 8.2: Framework of factors affecting critical thinking practice in a Vietnamese tertiary EFL context	⁷ 6

List of abbreviations

AI American Issues, one of the courses observed during fieldwork

CCC Cross-cultural Communication, one of the courses observed during

fieldwork

CEFR Common European Framework of Reference for Languages

EFL English as a Foreign Language

ELT English Language Teaching

HUCFL Hue University College of Foreign Languages

IELTS International English Language Testing System

L1 First language / mother tongue / Vietnamese

L2 Target language / English

P Presenter

R5 Reading 5, one of the courses observed during fieldwork

S Student

T Teacher

TOEFL Test of English as a Foreign Language

VNFFLP Vietnamese framework for foreign language proficiency

W5 Writing 5, one of the courses observed during fieldwork

Abstract

This thesis explores critical thinking practices in the relatively under-researched context of Vietnamese tertiary English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classrooms. Drawing on Bloom's (1956) taxonomy and Barnett's (1997) domains of criticality, critical thinking is defined in this study as the capacity for students to use their cognition to understand, interpret and critique received knowledge, to question their own understanding and assumption(s), and then to take action in their own life-worlds and beyond.

This qualitative study with a case study design contributes to the contested evidence of critical thinking practices in an Asian EFL context. Data were collected from two field trips involving 20 observations of two class types: 14 (more elementary) skills-based and six (relatively advanced) content-based classes. Observations were complemented by semi-structured interviews with eight teachers and 22 students and document analysis. Thematic analysis was used to interpret the data.

Findings revealed that the participants equated critical thinking with expressing personal opinions, and with a right/wrong dichotomy. They subjected others' opinions rather than their own to criticism. The study found that critical thinking was differentially integrated in the teaching of the skills-based and content-based classes, and that the students' engagement with critical thinking differed between whole-class learning and group discussions, and according to their ability to relate to given texts. Critical thinking practice in the context was shaped by the attitudes and understandings of the teachers and students

themselves, examination regimes, national cultural norms (e.g. face-saving, respect for teachers), and what might be deemed universal human classroom behaviours (e.g. authority, peer pressure).

The findings imply that critical thinking can be implemented in this Asian EFL context provided certain conditions are met, such as sufficient scaffolding, appropriate task difficulty level, relevant material choice, and suitable classroom arrangement. It is also inferred from the study that the content-based classes, aiming to provide a socio-cultural understanding of English-speaking countries are more conducive to developing critical thinking than the skills-based classes, which aim to develop students' language skills.

The application of a combination of Bloom's (1956) taxonomy and Barnett's (1997) domains of criticality opens up a new way of understanding critical thinking practices in a specific context. The study recommends consistent support for critical thinking at national and institutional levels through curriculum design, the examination and assessment systems, and at the classroom level, through preservice and in-service teacher training, as well as incremental incorporation of critical thinking from the onset of EFL courses.