ADVANCED ASSISTIVE CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR SMART HOSPITAL BEDS

By

Huy Hoang Nguyen

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy



University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I, Huy Hoang Nguyen, certify that the work in the thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to express my sincere thanks to the Vietnamese Ministry of Education and Training (MOET) and the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for offering me a University of Technology Sydney – Vietnam International Education Development scholarship (UTS-VIED) without which I would not have been able to accomplish this doctoral study. I am also grateful to Hanoi University of Science and Technology for allowing me to join the Doctor of Philosophy course.

My heartfelt gratitude is extended to my principal supervisor Professor Hung Nguyen for his excellent scholarly guidance and continuous support of my PhD study and related research. Thanks to his immense knowledge and useful advice, I found my doctoral studies challenging but highly inspiring and enjoyable. I was not only motivated by his academic knowledge but also his patience, and I believe the combination of these qualities contributed significantly to the quality of my research.

Besides my principal supervisor, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to A.Prof Steven Su and Dr Nghia Nguyen (my co-supervisors) and Prof. Hans von Holst. Their insightful comments and encouragement were much appreciated.

I am also grateful to all my colleagues and the staff in the Centre for Health Technologies and the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm who showed me their consideration and understanding and provided me with full support over the duration of my doctoral studies.

Finally, I am most grateful to my parents, my sister Lan, my brother in law Dai and my nephew for their great love and spiritual support over the years. The completion of my thesis owes a great deal to their constant care, faith and encouragement.

Table of contents

CHAPTER 1 . INTRODUCTION
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT 1
1.2 AIMS OF THESIS
1.3 CONTRIBUTIONS
1.4 STRUCTURE OF THESIS
1.5 PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO THESIS
CHAPTER 2 . LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 HOSPITAL BEDS
2.1.1 Definition, structure and classification
2.1.2 Advantages and disadvantages
2.2 SMART HOSPITAL BEDS
2.2.1 Definition and current research
2.2.2 Advantages and disadvantages
2.3 ADVANCED CONTROL FRAMEWORK
2.3.1 Operator detection approaches
2.3.2 Operator-following strategy
2.3.3 Advanced low-level control
2.4 DISCUSSION
CHAPTER 3 . OPERATOR DETECTION METHODS FOR THE TRACKING
FUNCTION OF SMART HOSPITAL BEDS
3.1 INTRODUCTION
3.2 SMART HOSPITAL BED STRUCTURE

3.2.1	Bed frame	35
3.2.2	Sensor system	36
3.2.3	Control devices	37
3.2.4	Power supply system	38
3.2.5	Motors and actuators	39
3.2.6	Wheels	39
3.2.7	Air mattress	40
3.3 OP	ERATOR DETECTION METHODS	41
3.3.1	Feature extraction	41
3.3.2	Gaussian distribution method	44
3.3.3	Neural network classification method	45
3.4 OP	ERATOR-FOLLOWING STRATEGY	50
3.5 EX	PERIMENTAL RESULTS	52
3.5.1	Operator detection performance	53
3.5.2	Operator following performance	57
3.6 DIS	SCUSSION	61
CHAPTER 4	4 . AN OPTIMAL MULTIVARIABLE PID CONTROL STRATE	EGY
FOR SMAR	T HOSPITAL BEDS	63
4.1 INT	TRODUCTION	63
4.2 MU	JLTIVARIABLE DYNAMIC MODEL	64
4.2.1	Mathematical model	64
4.2.2	Parametric identification process	68
4.3 OP	TIMAL MULTIVARIABLE PID CONTROL STRATEGY	70
4.3.1	Stage 1: Triangular Diagonal Dominance decoupling	71
4.3.2	Stage 2: Optimal PID control	72

4.4	RESULTS	. 74
4.4	OMPID controller design	. 74
4.4	Experimental results	. 79
4.5	DISCUSSION	. 87
CHAPT	TER 5 . INTELLIGENT MULTIVARIABLE CONTROL STRATEGIES	589
5.1	INTRODUCTION	. 89
5.2	ADVANCED OPERATOR-FOLLOWING CONTROL STRATEGY	. 90
5.2	2.1 Neural network based operator detection	. 92
5.2	2.2 Operator-following strategy	. 92
5.2	Neural multivariable low-level control	. 93
5.3	OPTIMAL MULTIVARIABLE NEURAL NETWORK CONTROL	. 94
5.3	S.1 Stage 1: Two-phase diagonal decoupling technique	. 94
5.3	S.2 Stage 2: Optimal neural network control design	. 95
5.4	RESULTS	101
5.4	0 OMNN controller design	101
5.4	Experimental results	109
5.5	DISCUSSION	117
CHAPT	TER 6 . CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS	119
6.1	CONCLUSION	119
6.2	FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS	122
APPEN	DIX A. ARITIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS	124
APPEN	DIX B. AUTONOMOUS NAVIGATION ROBOT - TURTLEBOT	132
REFERI	ENCES	137

List of figures

Figure 2.1: Mechanical hospital bed (Audrey 2016)	
Figure 2.2: Semi – electric hospital bed (Drivemedical 2015)	
Figure 2.3: Electric hospital bed (Stryker 2013a)	
Figure 2.4: Zoom Motorised Drive System (Stryker 2013b)	
Figure 2.5: IntelliDrive Powered Transport System (Hill-Rom 2014)	
Figure 2.6: I-Drive Power TM System (Linet 2013b)	
Figure 2.7: The bed wheelchair system (Panasonic 2009)	
Figure 3.1: The smart hospital bed system	
Figure 3.2: The sensor system: the encoder (Encoder 2016),	
Figure 3.3: The central control system: the KTA 198 board (Oceancontro	ols 2009),
the motor controller (RoboteQ 2015), the Phidget 8/8/8 board (Phidgets 2	2012) and
the laptop	
Figure 3.4: The DC motor (NPC 2011) and actuators (Linak 2016)	
Figure 3.5: The wheel system	
Figure 3.6: The air mattress	
Figure 3.7: Laser data processing procedure:	
Figure 3.8: Feature determination	
Figure 3.9: Neural network structure	
Figure 3.10: The hospital bed system and the target for tracking	
Figure 3.11: Distribution of the two data sets	
Figure 3.12: The Boundary B_{WG} with $\theta^{W}_{G} = 0.2, 0.15, 0.1, 0.05$	55
Figure 3.13: The Boundary B_{GH} with $\theta^{G}_{H} = 0.2, 0.15, 0.1, 0.05$	55
Figure 3.14: Demonstration of the operator-following task	59

Figure 3.15: Trajectory of the hospital bed and operator
Figure 3.16: Linear velocity of the hospital bed and operator
Figure 3.17: Angular velocity of the hospital bed and operator
Figure 4.1: The smart hospital bed system
Figure 4.2: Step response of the smart hospital bed dynamics
Figure 4.3: Optimal Multivariable PID control scheme
Figure 4.4: Optimal PID control method for a velocity subsystem
Figure 4.5: Decoupled models with TDD decoupling technique
Figure 4.6: Root Locus plots for the linear velocity subsystem
Figure 4.7: Closed-loop step responses of controllers with initial and optimal parameters
Figure 4.8: Root Locus plots for the angular velocity subsystem
Figure 4.9: Closed-loop step responses of controllers with initial and optimal parameters
Figure 4.10: The smart hospital bed travels on the granite surface
Figure 4.11: The open loop and closed-loop response of the hospital bed system with $v_d = 1 m/s$; $\omega_d = 1 rad/s$
Figure 4.12: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMPID control with $vv_d = 0.4 m/s$; $\omega_d = 0.4 rad/s$
Figure 4.13: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMPID control with $v_d = 0.6 m/s$; $\omega_d = 0.6 rad/s$
Figure 4.14: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled
by OMPID control with $v_d = 0.8 m/s$; $\omega_d = 0.8 rad/s$
Figure 4.15: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMPID control with $v_d = 1 m/s$; $\omega_d = 1 rad/s$
Figure 4.16: The smart hospital bed travels on various environmental conditions: .84

Figure 4.17: System outputs of the smart hospital bed being controlled by OMPID control with $v_d = 1 m/s$; $\omega_d = 1 rad/s$ in the case of travelling on the carpet surface
Figure 5.1: Advanced operator-following control strategy
Figure 5.2: Optimal multivariable neural network control structure for a smart hospital bed
Figure 5.3: Optimal neural network control structure
Figure 5.4: Neural network control structure
Figure 5.5: Opened-loop of the decoupled transfer matrices $P_{DD}(s)$, $P_{1DD}(s)$ and $P_{2DD}(s)$ using the diagonal decoupling technique
Figure 5.6: System outputs of three representational sub-systems $P_{DD}(1,1)$, $P_{1DD}(1,1)$ and $P_{2DD}(1,1)$ these being controlled by <i>ONNCv</i>
Figure 5.7: System outputs of three representational sub-systems $P_{DD}(2,2)$, $P_{1DD}(2,2)$ and $P_{2DD}(2,2)$ these being controlled by $ONNC\omega$
Figure 5.8: Comparison between OMNN control approach and OMIPD control approach
Figure 5.9: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMNNC with $v_d = 0.4 m/s$; $\omega_d = 0.4 rad/s$
Figure 5.10: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMNNC with $v_d = 0.6 m/s$; $\omega_d = 0.6 rad/s$
Figure 5.11: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMNNC with $v_d = 0.8 m/s$; $\omega_d = 0.8 rad/s$
Figure 5.12: The closed-loop response of the hospital bed dynamics being controlled by OMNNC with $v_d = 1 m/s$; $\omega_d = 1 rad/s$
Figure 5.13: Output responses of the smart hospital bed being controlled by OMNNC with $v_d = 1 m/s$; $\omega_d = 1 rad/s$ in the case of travelling on the carpet surface

Figure 5.14:	Trajectory of the hospital bed and operator	116
Figure 5.15:	Linear velocity of the hospital bed and operator	116
Figure 5.16:	Angular velocity of the hospital bed and operator	117

List of tables

Table 3.1: Experimental results of the Gaussian Distribution Method 56
Table 3.2: Mean values of training, validation and testing results utilising four algorithms
Table 3.3: Best performance of NN based operator detection using four algorithms 57
Table 3.4:Comparisons of mean values and best performances of testing results fortwo proposed classification algorithms57
Table 4.1: Output performance of the linear velocity subsystem (on the granite surface)
Table 4.2: Output performance of the angular velocity subsystem (on the granite surface)
Table 4.3: Output performance of the linear velocity subsystem (on the carpet surface)
Table 4.4: Output performance of the angular velocity subsystem (on the carpet surface)
Table 4.5: Output performance of the linear velocity subsystem (on the cement surface)
Table 4.6: Output performance of the angular velocity subsystem (on the cement surface)
Table 5.1: Results of NN-LM based operator detection algorithm
Table 5.2: Output performance of the linear velocity subsystem (on the granite surface)
Table 5.3: Output performance of the angular velocity subsystem (on the granite surface)
Table 5.4: Output performance of the linear velocity subsystem (on the carpet surface)

Table 5.5:	Output performance of the angular velocity subsystem (on the carpet
surface)	
	Output performance of the linear velocity subsystem (on the cement
	Dutput performance of the angular velocity subsystem (on the cement

List of Abbreviations

4 D		
2D	:	2-dimensional
ANN	:	Artificial neural network
DC	:	Direct current
DSP	:	Digital signal processing
DV	:	Disparity vectors
FN	:	False negative
FP	:	False positive
G	:	Girth
GaRBF	:	Gaussian radial basis function
GDM	:	Gaussian distribution method
GDX	:	Gradient descent with momentum
Н	:	Height
HJB	:	Hamilton-Jacob-Bellman
HSV	:	Hue, saturation and value
IAV	:	Inscribe Angle Variance
IMM	:	Interacting multiple model
LM	:	Levenberg Marquardt
LRF	:	Laser range finder
MLP	:	Multilayer perceptron
NN	:	Neural network
OFV	:	Optical flow vectors
OMPID	:	Optimal multivariable proportional integral derivative
OMNNC	:	Optimal multivariable neural network controller
ONN	:	Optimal neural network
ONNC <i>v</i>	:	Optimal neural network controller for linear velocity subsystem
ONNCω	:	Optimal neural network controller for angular velocity subsystem
OPID	:	Optimal proportional integral derivative
Р	:	Proportional
PD	:	Proportional derivative
PID	:	Proportional integral derivative
RBF	:	Radial basis function

RANSAC	:	Random sample consensus
RP	:	Resilient backpropagation
SCG	:	Scaled conjugate gradient
Sen	:	Sensitivity
Spec	:	Specificity
TDD	:	Triangular Diagonal Dominance
TP	:	True positive
TN	:	True negative
UTS	:	University of Technology Sydney
W	:	Width

Abstract

The intention of employing hospital beds is to bring comfort to the hospitalised people and support the clinical staff in patient-care activities. Over many years, hospital beds have been upgraded from simple beds created by crude stretchers to smart beds equipped with various advanced functionalities such as motorised wheels and an intelligent steering system. With these features, patient transportation becomes much easier and safer than before. In addition, the number of manual handling tasks and injuries of nurses in relation to the transfer of patients are significantly reduced.

However, a drawback of the current smart hospital beds is that their steering systems are controlled by human users. In recent years, the increasing number of people being hospitalised (especially obese patients) has led to work overload problems for nurses. Stress, exhaustion and distraction of staff are some of the effects of the nursing work overload problem. These are also major factors causing unpredictable risks for both patient and medical staff during transportation within hospitals.

An integration of an operator-following function not only allows the smart hospital bed to deal with the problem of human control but also creates an innovative solution in terms of patient transport. To achieve this, a 3-stage operator-following control strategy is required for the bed system. In the first stage, the operator is identified by the utilisation of a target detection algorithm. In the second stage, based on the information obtained relating to the operator, operator-following controllers generate desired velocities for the smart hospital bed. In the final stage, a low-level controller drives the bed system to track the desired velocities.

In relation to operator detection, two approaches, consisting of the Gaussian Distribution Method (GDM) and the Artificial Neural Network (ANN), are investigated and developed for the smart hospital bed. Technically, the GDM classifier is based on a threshold condition of a Mahalabonis distance between a testing point and the training data set. On the other hand, the ANN classifier is based

on a construction of a neural network model through a training procedure. The experimental results show that the operator detection performance of the Neural Network - Levenberg Marquardt (NN-LM) method is better than that of the GDM method (92.41% sensitivity and 89.8% specificity vs 91% sensitivity and 88.5% specificity).

In terms of the low-level control, two approaches including an Optimal Multivariable Proportional Integral Derivative (OMPID) control method and an Optimal Multivariable Neural Network (OMNN) control approach are introduced and designed for the smart hospital bed. In theory, the OMPID control algorithm is a combination of a Triangular Diagonal Dominance (TDD) decoupling technique and an Optimal Proportional Integral Derivative (OPID) control design. Meanwhile, the OMNN control strategy combines a two-phase diagonal decoupling technique and an Optimal Neural Network (ONN) control design. Real-time implementation indicates that the OMNN controller drives the smart hospital bed to track the desired velocities with higher accuracy, smaller overshoot, shorter rise time and settling time than the OMPID controller.

From the results obtained from the operator detection algorithms and the lowlevel control algorithms, an advanced operator-following control strategy is developed for the smart hospital bed. This is a combination of the neural network based operator detection method, the Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) based operator-following strategy and the intelligent multivariable low-level control approach. The Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) learning algorithm is chosen to train the neural network classifier. Two PID controllers are utilised to minimise the distance and angle error between the operator and the bed system. The Optimal Multivariable Neural Network control strategy takes the responsibility of stabilising the overall system under the effect of uncertainties. The experimental results serve to show that the operator-following performance of the smart hospital bed using the advanced operator-following control strategy is more stable and efficient than that of the bed system without the proposed approach.