UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY

DOCTORAL THESIS

Development and Implementation of Environmental Photoelectron Yield Spectroscopy

Author: Toby William Shanley Supervisor: Prof. Milos Toth A. Prof. Igor Aharonovich Prof. Matthew Phillips

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the

Materials and Technology for Energy Efficiency School of Physics and Advanced Materials

February 2017

Declaration of Authorship

I, Toby William SHANLEY, declare that this thesis titled, Development and Implementation of Environmental Photoelectron Yield Spectroscopy, and the work presented in it is my own.

- I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.
- I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signed:

Date:

"Productive stupidity means being ignorant by choice."

Martin Schwartz

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY

Abstract

Faculty of Science School of Physics and Advanced Materials

Doctor of Philosophy

Development and Implementation of Environmental Photoelectron Yield Spectroscopy

by Toby William SHANLEY

Environmental photoelectron yield spectroscopy (EPYS) is a novel, low vacuum, surface analysis technique that probes the electronic structure of solid-gas interfaces. Unlike its conventional, ultra high vacuum counterparts that interrogate ideal surfaces in nonrealistic conditions, EPYS enables real-time characterisation of dynamic surface processes in semi-realistic, reactive gaseous environments. This capability is a requirement for the technological progress and fundamental understanding of processes in nanotechnology, materials physics, chemistry and bio-sciences.

The system has been built and implemented from the outset of its existence at UTS. This project has contributed to the development of EPYS, and further developed a number of novel applications. Specifically, its application in elucidating the nature of four, fundamentally different physical phenomena is demonstrated. The thesis describes the origins of EPYS in ultra high vacuum photoelectron emission spectroscopy and the theoretical groundwork on which it is based. It also details the EPYS development, and demonstrates applications of the EPYS in the analysis of gas ionisation cascades, subsurface defects, surface termination, and adsorbate coverage.

The value of this study is partly in the instrumentation development itself, but also in the demonstration of its application in studies of material systems responding to their environments.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful for the guidance and support that my supervisors, Milos Toth, Matthew Phillips and Igor Aharonovich have granted me during my studies. Their knowledge, creativity and approach to both research and supervision enabled me, not only to see this project to completion, but gave me the opportunity to do so with enjoyment and great satisfaction.

I am indebted to the technical staff of the Microscopy Analysis Unit and the University workshop: Geoff McCredie; Katie McBean; Mark Berkahn; Greg Delsanto; Greg Evans and the late Paul Fanos for their persistent advice and patient assistance.

To my colleagues, the post graduate students, thank you for the many enlightening conversations. One was never without good company in the lab.

I must finally thank my friends and family, who have supported and encouraged me throughout this period. In particular, I am thankful for the help that my father, Chris, has afforded me, and deeply grateful for the love and companionship of my partner Sarah, who has endured me kindly. Thank you.

Contents

D	eclar	ation of Authorship	ii
\mathbf{A}	bstra	ıct	iv
A	ckno	wledgements	v
\mathbf{Li}	st of	Figures	ix
\mathbf{A}	bbre	viations x	vii
C	ontri	buting Publications	cix
N	on-C	ontributing Publications	xx
1	Intr	roduction	1
	1.1	Project objectives and methodology	1
	1.2	Thesis outline	1
2	Bac	kground and literature	3
	2.1	Overview	3
	2.2	Photoelectron emission spectroscopy in modern surface science	4
	2.3	Photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) in ultra high vacuum	5
		2.3.1 History and development	5
	2.4	Photoemission yield spectroscopy (PYS)	8
		2.4.1 General theory	10
		2.4.2 Theory of threshold determination	12
	2.5	Surface characterisation	16
	2.6	Atmospheric photoelectron yield spectroscopy	22
	2.7	Townsend gas capacitance model	23
	2.8	Limitations of existing knowledge	24
3	EP	YS development	25
	3.1	Overview	25
	3.2	Introduction	25
	3.3	Vacuum chamber	29
	3.4	Sample stage	32
	3.5	Detector	34
	3.6	Light delivery	36
		3.6.1 System response	37

	3.7	Gas delivery
	3.8	Automation and control
4	Ap	plication of EPYS: gas cascade amplification 41
	4.1	Summary
	4.2	Introduction
		4.2.1 Gas amplification model
		4.2.2 Positive feedback and breakdown
	4.3	Experimental methods
		4.3.1 ESEM
		4.3.2 EPYS
	4.4	Results
	4.5	Discussion
		4.5.1 Dependence of amplification on pressure
		4.5.2 Dependence of amplification on detector bias
		4.5.3 Ultimate amplification efficiency and dielectric breakdown 53
	4.6	Conclusion 54
	4.7	Implications
	-	r
5	\mathbf{Ap}	plication of EPYS: characterisation of subsurface defects and sen-
	siti	vity to ambient gas 56
	5.1	Summary
	5.2	Introduction
		5.2.1 Intrinsic point defects in ZnO
		5.2.1.1 Oxygen vacancies
		5.2.1.2 Zinc vacancies $\ldots \ldots 60$
		5.2.2 Optical properties
		5.2.2.1 Near band edge luminescence $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 61$
		5.2.2.2 Defect luminescence $\dots \dots \dots$
		5.2.3 Electrical properties
	5.3	Experimental methods
		5.3.1 Sensitivity to NH_3
	5.4	Results
		5.4.1 Sensitivity to NH_3
	5.5	Discussion
		5.5.1 Sensitivity to NH_3
	5.6	Conclusion
	5.7	Implications
6	An	plication of FPVS, in situ study of surface functionalisation 70
U	6 1	Summary 70
	69	Introduction 70
	0.4	6.2.1 Nitrogen-vacancy centre in diamond
		6.2.9 Hydrogen and fluoring terminated diamond
		6.2.2.1 Hydrogen and nuorme terminated diamond
		6.2.2.2 Eluorino terminated diamond
	69	U.2.2.2 Fluorine terminated diamond
	0.5	Experimental methods

		6.3.1 Thermally activated fluorination
		6.3.2 Electron beam induced fluorination
	6.4	Results
	6.5	Discussion
	6.6	Conclusion
	6.7	Implications
7	App	blication of EPYS: monitoring adsorbate coverage 95
	7.1	Summary
	7.2	Introduction
		7.2.1 CO oxidation reaction
		7.2.2 CO on platinum
	7.3	Experimental methods
	7.4	Results
	7.5	Discussion
	7.6	Conclusion
	7.7	Implications
8	Con	clusion 110
\mathbf{A}	Tow	rnsend gas capacitance model 112
в	EP	VS Standard Operating Procedure 119
	B.1	Purpose
	B.2	Procedures
		B.2.1 Venting EPYS Chamber
		B.2.2 Removing/Loading samples into EPYS Chamber
		B.2.3 Pumping EPYS Chamber
		B.2.4 Operating EPYS Chamber
		B.2.4.1 Introducing Gas
		B.2.4.2 Set-up Light Delivery
		B.2.4.3 Set-up Detector Voltage and Begin Measurement 123
		B.2.4.4 Finish Measurement
	B.3	Heating and Cooling Sample Stage
		B.3.1 Heating
		B.3.2 Cooling
	B.4	Calibrate Light Delivery
		B.4.1 Gathering System Response
	B.5	Troubleshoot LabView – Instrument Communication Errors
		B.5.1 Monochromator
		B.5.2 Beam Chem Monitor
	B.6	Troubleshoot Hardware Failure
	B.7	Data Processing and Analysis
		B.7.1 EPYS Spectral Analysis in Igor Pro
	B.8	Using the EPYS Chamber for DC Plasma Treatment

List of Figures

2.1	Representation of the photoelectron emission process. Together, the di-	
	to the final energy distribution of free photoelectrons, when excited by	
	a monochromatic light source of energy hv . on the x and y axis respec-	
	tively, N(E) represents the number of of electrons, while E represents the	
	energy of electrons; $E_{\rm F}$ represents the Fermi level within the sample; $V_{\rm S}$	
	is the energy required for an electron to escape the material surface; $\hbar w$	
	is the incident photon energy; E_K is kinetic energy of free photoelectrons	
	in vacuum; Φ_S is the surface work function; and Φ_A is the energy of a	
	free electron in vacuum reference to E_F . The difference between Φ_S and	
	Φ_A is due to a dipole at solid-vacuum interface	6
2.2	Diagram of energy versus density of states showing the relationship be-	
	tween the density of states of electrons within the valence band, the energy	
	of excitation, and the total number of photoelectron emitted from a sur-	
	face. The yield is the integral of the density of states between E_{VAC} and	
	hv below the E _{VAC} . This expression for the yield must also be scaled by	
	the probability of an excited carrier's transport to, and escape from the	0
9 2	Intersection of the 'entire' surface' and the 'escape surface' from [67]. The	9
2.0	region bound by these surfaces contain the k values for which the prob-	
	ability of excitation may be calculated. As electron energy E decreases.	
	the circle of intersection shrinks to k_d , the momentum corresponding to	
	photoelectron emission threshold energy.	13
2.4	Summary of Ballantyne's results for the threshold determination of PES	
	from semiconductors and metals [55]. Notably, the yield from most semi-	
	conductors was predicted to increase linearly with the cube root of in-	
	cident photon energy, and the yield from most metals was predicted to	
	increase linearly with the square root of incident photon energy	15
2.5	Density of states derived from PYS data on the Si (111) 2×1 reconstructed	
	surface. The solid lines represent the total density of states and the dashed	
	lines represent densities of individual states. Trace 1) is plotted against	
	the logarithmic axis on the left and trace 2) is plotted against the linear	
	axis on the right. The spectra revealed the presence of inter-bandgap,	10
	dangling bond states at the Si surface.	16

2.6	Comparison between PYS data and the electronic band structure it represents. (a) PYS spectra of GaAs(111): the solid line is the photoelectron yield and the dashed line is the derivative of the yield which represents the density of states. The lower dashed line is the derivative plotted against a linear vertical axis (right side). (b) the derivative of the yield plotted on a band diagram to highlight how energetic positions correspond to photoemission thresholds [70]	17
2.7	PYS spectra from Ristein et. al. showing photoelectron emission from inter-bandgap states at 4.4 eV, and enhanced photoelectron emission strength for NEA diamond attributed to exciton-phonon induced free electron generation at 5.485 eV.	. 17
2.8	PYS spectra from Ristein et al. of single crystal type IIb diamond (111) and (100) surfaces after exposure to hydrogen plasma [75]. The sharp increase at 5.54 eV marks the exciton absorption edge, and confirms a NEA.	. 19
2.9	Experimental setup of an atmospheric PYS system developed by Honda et al. [57]	. 21
2.10	Atmospheric PYS spectra of Phthalocyanine films upon repeated expo- sure to air and evacuation of the vacuum chamber. Honda et al. identified two separate photoelectron emission thresholds, and observed an increase	01
2.11	In the ionisation potential upon exposure to air [57] Atmospheric PYS spectra collected by Nakayama el al. showing an increase in the ionisation potential of single crystalline ruberene during photo-oxidation [81]. The increase in the ionisation potential was attributed to generation of polar oxide molecules and reversible physisorption of H_2O	. 21
3.1 3.2	Photograph of the complete EPYS instrument	. 27
3.3 3.4	Photograph of the (a) back and (b) front of the EPYS chamber Schematic of the EPYS system showing: (i) a high voltage power supply connected to the detector; (ii) a Keithley electrometer that measures the current through the sample stage generated by the cascading photo- electrons; (iii) the controllable-flow pumping outlet for operating in low vacuum; (iv) the chamber window through which UV light is passed to the sample; (v) the detector; (vi) the controllable flow inlet from gas delivery lines; (vii) the sample stage, electrically grounded through the electrometer; (viii) the sample heater; and (ix) the current supply for temperature	. 30
3.5	Schematic of the chamber used in the EPYS instrument	. 31 . 32

3.6	Diagram of the EPYS detector-sample geometry with the excitation source directed through the centre of the detector, onto the sample. Neutral gas molecules between the sample and detector are ionised by photoelectrons moving under the influence of an electric field.	35
3.7	Diagram of the path of light from the deuterium lamp, focused into a Czerny-Turner monochromator with a CaF_2 lens, then collimated and re-focused with CaF_2 lenses onto the delivery optic fibre	36
3.8	Normalised intensity spectrum of light that is incident on the sample	38
3.9	Diagram of the EPYS gas delivery system. MFCs are used to control the flow of pressurised gaseous sources, while a manual needle valve is adequate for liquid sources like H_2 and C_3H_6O .	39
4.1	Schematic illustration of (a) an ESEM setup and secondary electron am- plification in a gas ionisation cascade, (b) an EPYS vacuum chamber and (c) the EPYS measurement process. In both methods, emitted electrons are accelerated by an electric field between the sample and a detector anode, and are multiplied by ionising gas molecules. The EPYS chamber consists of a pumping system (not shown), gas inlet and outlet, specimen stage, heater, gas cascade detector, and an optical window. (d) Shows Lewis diagrams representing the number of valence electrons contribut-	
4.2	ing to the interaction cross sections of H_2O , NH_3 and CH_3CH_2OH Gas-amplified electron emission current measured versus pressure using ethanol, NH_3 and H_2O . (a) In ESEM, the current is a sum of two components $(I_{\delta+\eta})$ that correspond to cascade-amplified, low energy ($\leq 20 \text{ eV}$) secondary electrons and high energy (keV) backscattered electrons. (b) In EPYS the current (I_p) is generated purely by low energy ($\leq 7 \text{ eV}$)	45
4.3	photoelectrons. The detector anode bias was 400 V in both cases Gas-amplified electron emission current (I_{Σ}) and $(I_{\delta+\eta})$ measured versus ESEM detector anode bias using ethanol, NH ₃ and H ₂ O, at gas pressures of (a) 0.1 Torr, (b) 1 Torr, and (c) 5 Torr. The maximum $I_{\delta+\eta}$ reached at the onset of dielectric breakdown of each gas is shown for each pressure	46
4.4	on the plots	48
4.5	plots are discussed in the main text. $\dots \dots \dots$	49 50
5.1	Charge states and transition levels of intrinsic point defects in ZnO. The charge states depend on value of the Fermi level. Figure adapted from Janotti and van der Walle [172].	59
5.2	Formation energies of native point defects in ZnO calculated by Janotti at al. [175] The formation energies are plotted as a function of the	

5.2ł et al. [175]. The formation energies are plotted as a function of the Fermi level position in oxygen (left) and zinc (right) rich conditions. The different slopes of the traces represent different charge states. 60

5.3	Characteristic cathodoluminescence profile from ZnO at room tempera-
	ture showing the near band edge emission at 3.37 eV and defect related
	emission arising from inter-bandgap radiative recombination pathways 61

- 5.4 SEM images of nanorods thermally annealed in (a) O_2 environment (b) Zn vapour environment. The mean diameter and hexagonal structure of the nanorods remains unchanged; however, a slight reorientation with respect to the substrate can be observed. (c) As-grown nanorods (inset highlights high density hexagonal $\langle 0001 \rangle$ growth) and (d) shows XRD patterns of as-grown, O_2 annealed and Zn annealed nanorods. Changes in the relative peak heights arise from a reorientation of the nanorods with respect to the substrate. Data collected by Suranan Anantachaisilp. 66

- 5.7 Electrical conductance sensitivity of ZnO nanorods to gaseous NH₃ for (a) as-grown; (c) O₂ annealed; and (e) Zn vapour annealed samples. Figures (b), (d) and (f) show the corresponding ratio of the chance in conductivity as a function of NH₃ concentration. Data collected by Suranan Anantachaisilp.
 69
- 5.8 EPYS spectra from as-grown ZnO nanorods collected in an environment transitioning from argon into gaseous NH₃. The blue traces were collected initially in a dry, argon environment, and the pale red traces were collected in a NH₃ environment. Inset shows the spectra on a log-linear scale. As the partial pressure of NH₃ increases, the photoelectron emission threshold decreases. The gaps in the spectra are periods during which NH₃ is introduced to the chamber: spectra were not collected during this period as the detector bias had to be adjusted to compensate for the changing amplification medium.
 5.9 EPYS spectra from oxygen annealed ZnO nanorods collected in an environment transitioning from argon into gaseous NH₃. The blue traces

67

5.10	EPYS spectra from zinc annealed ZnO nanorods collected in an environment transitioning from argon into gaseous NH_3 . The blue traces were collected initially in a dry, argon environment, and the pale red traces were collected in a NH_3 environment. Inset shows the spectra on a log-linear scale. As the partial pressure of NH_3 increases, the photoelectron emission threshold decreases	71 74
6.1	The diamond crystal lattice structure (left) containing a NV defect and the electronic structure of the NV^- in diamond (right) [211]	80
6.2	Characteristic photoluminescence spectra of the NV^- (red) and NV^0 (blue) defects in diamond.	81
6.3	Electrical conductivity data from Maier et al. [248] showing the change in surface conductivity when hydrogen terminated diamond is exposed to air	83
6.4	Top: Schematic of the hydrogenated diamond surface in contact with a H_2O overlayer taken from Maier et al. [248]. Bottom: Evolution of the band bending during the electron transfer process at the interface between	
6.5	diamond and the H_2O overlayer	85
6.6	the sample, and also collects the photoluminescence from the sample A schematic of the confocal photoluminescence setup used in this study. A 532 nm excitation laser is directed onto the sample, and its x-y position at the sample surface scanned using a piezoelectric scanning mirror. Emitted and reflected light returns along the path of the excitation laser until they are separated at a dichroic mirror. The photons generated by the sample are allowed to pass through the dichroic mirror, where they are detected by avalanche photodiodes for panchromatic mapping, and/or a spectrometer	86
6.7	Normalised photoelectron yield spectra of H-terminated diamond (ac- quired in a H_2 environment) and F-terminated diamond (acquired in NF ₃ vapour). The latter were generated by thermal (solid line) and electron	00
6.8	beam (dashed line) induced fluorination	89
6.9	Simplified schematic of a diamond surface terminated with hydrogen and fluorine. $[E_{CB} = \text{conduction band minimum}, E_{VB} = \text{valence band maximum}, E_{FD} = \text{Fermi level}, E_{FD} = \text{valence band maximum}$	9U 01
	mum, $\Box_{\rm F}$ – rerum level, $\Box_{\rm VAC}$ = vacuum level, ζ = ionisation energy] .	91

6.10	Illustration of the activation of near-surface NV^- defects in nanodiamonds by irradiation with an electron beam in the presence of NF_3 . Electron bombardment removes a protective water overlayer, and exposed bare hy- drogen terminated diamond to NF_3 , which then undergoes a spontaneous exchange reaction, fluorinating the nanodiamonds 9	92
7.1	Temporal evolution of reaction diffusion spiral waves. The fronts of the waves are where a CO oxidation reaction is taking place with adsorbed O^- on Pt. The dark lines are O^- covered and the white spaces are CO covered [294].)7
7.2	Potential energy diagrams of the three chemisorbed states of CO on plat- inum. The binding strength decreases with increasing coverage due to adsorbate-adsorbate interactions. When there is less CO present, the adsorbate molecule binds more strongly with the Pt atoms (hybridised C-Pt bonds) and when there is more CO, the nature of the C-Pt bonding	
7.3	changes, resulting in a more weakly bound state	99
7.4	Temperature-resolved EPYS spectra acquired while Pt was heated in a CO environment. The blue traces were collected at $\sim 47^{\circ}$ C the temperature was incrementally increased and recorded for each set of spectra until reaching $\sim 380^{\circ}$ C, shown in red. After collecting spectra at 380° C, the temperature was decreased to 307° C to check for reversibility. The inset is a log-linear plot of the same data that highlights the shifting	
7.5	photoelectron emission threshold)1
7.6	Work function determined from the average of photoelectron emission thresholds collected as a function of sample temperature. The existence of different binding states can explain the nonlinear relationship between the observed work functions and temperature)3
7.7	(Top) A repeat experiment monitoring the photoelectron emission from CO-covered Pt with increasing temperature in which O_2 was injected at $\sim 370^{\circ}$ C. Upon O_2 injection, the work function immediately decreased, and then returned to its former CO covered state. (Bottom) The corresponding residual gas analysis of this experiment. The work function slowly returns to its high temperature CO-covered state as O_2 is depleted via the CO oxidation reaction)4
$7.8 \\ 7.9$	Distribution of binding states of CO on Pt taken from Nishiyama [299] 10 Desorption kinetics of CO chemisorbed on Pt taken from Nishiyama [299]. 10)7)7

A.1	Simulated $\alpha(z)$ curve [86] for typical gas cascade detector operating con-	
	ditions $(d_{gap} = 8.5 \text{ mm}, p = 5 \text{ Torr and } V = 500 \text{ Volts})$. Regions I to III	
	represent different ionisation efficiencies as electrons move through the	
	gap. Region 1: no ionisation by low energy electrons near the sample	
	surface; Region II represents increasing ionisation efficiency as electrons	
	are accelerated under the electric field; Region II: swarm conditions and	
	constant ionisation efficiency where energy gained under the influence of	
	an the electric field is equal to the energy loss from inelastic scattering	
	collisions.	113
A.2	Gas cascade amplification \mathcal{A} versus H_2O pressure as a function of (a)	
	detector voltage at $d_{gap} = 5 \text{ mm}$ and (b) sample-detector separation dis-	
	tance. Figure adapted from [310]	116
A.3	Gas cascade amplification \mathcal{A} versus (a) detector voltage at $d_{qap} = 5 \text{ mm}$	
	and (b) sample-detector separation distance calculated at four pressures:	
	2, 3, 4 and 5 Torr of H_2O . Figure adapted from [310].	117

Abbreviations

AES	Auger Electron Spectroscopy
APD	\mathbf{A} valanche \mathbf{P} hoto d iode
ARPES	$ {\bf A} {\bf n} {\bf g} {\bf le} \ {\bf R} {\bf e} {\bf s} {\bf o} {\bf l} {\bf e} {\bf d} \ {\bf P} {\bf h} {\bf o} {\bf t} {\bf o} {\bf e} {\bf c} {\bf t} {\bf n} {\bf s} {\bf p} {\bf e} {\bf c} {\bf t} {\bf o} {\bf s} {\bf p} {\bf e} {\bf t} {\bf r} {\bf s} {\bf o} {\bf s} {\bf p} {\bf e} {\bf t} {\bf r} {\bf s} {\bf s} {\bf p} {\bf e} {\bf t} {\bf r} {\bf s} {\bf s} {\bf p} {\bf e} {\bf t} {\bf r} {\bf s} {\bf s$
BSE	Backscattered Electron
CBM	Conduction Band Minimum
CCD	Charge Coupled Detector
\mathbf{CL}	Cathodoluminescence
DAP	Donor Acceptor Pair
DAQ	Data Acquisition
DOS	Density Of States
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{CB}}$	E nergy of C onduction B and minimum
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}$	\mathbf{E} nergy of \mathbf{F} ermi level
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$	E nergy of a free electron in V acuum
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{VB}}$	Energy of Valence Band maximum
EPYS	Environmental Photoelectron (emission) Yield ${\bf S} {\rm pectroscopy}$
ESEM	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope
FWHM	\mathbf{F} ull \mathbf{W} idth \mathbf{H} alf \mathbf{M} aximum
GED	Gaseous Electron Detector
GL	Green Luminescence
LEED	Low Eenergy Electron Diffraction
MFC	Mass Flow Controller
NBE	Near Band Edge
NEA	Negative Electron Affinity
NV	Nitrogen Vacancy
Oi	Interstitial Oxygen

\mathbf{PE}	Primary Electron
PEA	Positive Electron Affinity
PES	${\bf P} hotoelectron \ {\bf E} mission \ {\bf S} pectroscopy$
PI	Positive Ion
PID	${\bf P} roportional \textbf{-} Integral \textbf{-} {\bf D} erivative$
\mathbf{PL}	Photoluminescence
\mathbf{PMT}	\mathbf{P} hotomultiplier \mathbf{T} ube
PYS	$\mathbf{P} \text{hotoelectron (emission) } \mathbf{Y} \text{ield } \mathbf{S} \text{pectroscopy}$
RGA	\mathbf{R} esidual \mathbf{G} as \mathbf{A} nalyser
\mathbf{RL}	Red Luminescence
SE	Secondary Electron
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SFG	$\mathbf{S} um \ \mathbf{F} requency \ \mathbf{G} eneration$
SHE	\mathbf{S} tandard \mathbf{H} ydrogen \mathbf{E} lectrode
TDS	Thermal Desorption Spectroscopy
TMP	\mathbf{T} urbo \mathbf{M} olecular \mathbf{P} ump
UHV	Ultra High Vacuum
UPS	Ultraviolet Photoelectron (emission) ${\bf S} {\rm pectroscopy}$
$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}$	Ultraviolet
Vo	Oxygen Vacancy
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}}$	Zinc Vacancy
VBM	Valence Band Maximum
WRG	Wide Range Gauge
XANES	X -ray A bsorption Near Edge S tructure
XPS	X -ray P hotoelectron (emission) S pectroscopy
XRD	\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{r} ay \mathbf{D} iffraction
\mathbf{YL}	Yellow Luminescence
$\mathbf{Zn}_{\mathbf{i}}$	Interstitial \mathbf{Z} inc
ZPL	Zero Phonon Line

Contributing Publications

- Localized Chemical Switching of the Charge State of Nitrogen-Vacancy Luminescent Centers in Diamond, Toby Shanley, Aiden Martin, Igor Aharonovich, Milos Toth, Applied Physics Letters 105, 063103, Published 11 August 2014
- Role of Gas Molecule Complexity in Environmental Electron Microscopy and Environmental Photoelectron Yield Spectroscopy, **Toby Shanley**, Fadi Bonnie, John Scott, Milos Toth, *in submission*
- Band Structure of Stoichiometrically dissimilar ZnO surfaces, **Toby Shanley**, Suranan Anantachaisilpm Matthew Phillips, Milos Toth, *in submission*

Non-Contributing Publications

- Silicon Oxide Nanowire Growth Mechanisms Revealed by Real-Time Electron Microscopy, Miroslav Kolibal, Libor Novak, Toby Shanley, Milos Toth, Tomas Sikola, Nanoscale 8 266-275, Published 26 November 2015
- Synthesis of Liminescent Europium Defects in Diamond, Andrew Magyar, Wenhao Hu, Toby Shanley, Michael Flatte, Evelyn Hu, Igor Aharonovich, Nature Communications 5 3523, Published 24 March 2014