

# **An Agile Enterprise Architecture Driven Approach to Enhance Communication in Geographically Distributed Agile Development**

*Author:*

**Yehia Ibrahim Alzoubi**

*Supervisors:*

**Dr Asif Gill**

**Dr Bruce Moulton**

**Dr Ahmed Al-Ani**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

University of Technology Sydney

*Date of Submission:*

**December 2016**

## **Certificate of Original Authorship**

I, Yehia Alzoubi, certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text. I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Yehia Alzoubi

December 2016

# Acknowledgements

First, and foremost, praise is due to Allah who has bestowed upon me many blessings and patience, ability, and skills to complete this work.

I would like to express my sincere thankfulness to all those (named or not) who helped me to complete this long trip. I would like to thank my supervisors Drs. Asif Gill, Ahmed Al-Ani, and Bruce Moulton for their supportive guidance.

Special thanks go to my supervisor Dr. Asif Gill for his continuous support and encouragement, without which this study would have never been completed. I am also appreciative of his countless hours of advice and unlimited support in improving my research skills.

I would like to thank each respondent and interviewee who has participated in this study. I also would like to thank each expert who has helped me in evaluating the research outcomes.

I am grateful to my brothers, sisters (especially Om-Bishr), relatives, father and mother in law, and friends who have always encouraged me during this time.

I would like to thank my wife for her patience and continuous encouragement to complete this thesis. My last words are for my two little angels: Yousef and Judi, whose childhood play and mess have been pleasant melodies to my ears and eyes during my trip.

## **Dedication**

I dedicate this work to my late father (1933-1992), may Allah bless his soul, who first taught me the alphabets of this life. Even after all these years, you are in my thoughts every day dad. I also dedicate this work to my unlimited love and support-my mum (A'ishah), may Allah give you healthiness and long life.

# List of Publications

## Book Chapters

Alzoubi, Y.I. & Gill, A.Q. 2016, 'An agile enterprise architecture-driven model for geographically distributed agile development', in D. Vogel, X. Guo, H. Linger, C. Barry, M. Lang & C. Schneider (eds), *Transforming Healthcare Through Information Systems*, Springer International Publishing, pp. 63-77.

## Journal Articles

Alzoubi, Y.I., Gill, A.Q. & Al-Ani, A. 2015, 'Distributed agile development communication: an agile architecture driven framework', *Journal of Software*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 681-94. {Double-blind review; ERA ranked B journal}

Alzoubi, Y.I., Gill, A.Q. & Al-Ani, A. 2016, 'Empirical studies of geographically distributed agile development communication challenges: a systematic review', *Information and Management*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 22-37. {Double-blind review; ERA ranked A\* journal}

## Conference Proceedings

Alzoubi, Y.I. & Gill, A.Q. 2014, 'Agile global software development communication challenges: a systematic review', *In Proceedings of the 18th Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS 2014)*, p. 20. {Double-blind review; ERA ranked A Conference}

Alzoubi, Y.I. & Gill, A. 2015, 'An agile enterprise architecture driven model for geographically distributed agile development', *In Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Information System Development (ISD 2015)*, Harbin, China. {Double-blind review; ERA ranked A Conference}

# Table of Contents

<b>Certificate of Original Authorship .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Dedication .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Publications.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>Glossary.....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	4
1.3 Research Questions and Objectives .....	5
1.4 Research Design and Methodology.....	7
1.4.1 Research Strategy.....	9
1.4.2 Survey .....	10
1.4.3 Semi-Structured Interviews.....	11
1.4.4 Theoretical Foundation .....	11
1.5 Research Scope and Key Assumptions .....	12
1.6 Research Findings .....	13
1.7 Research Implications .....	14
1.8 Organisation of this Thesis.....	15
1.9 Chapter Summary.....	19
<b>Chapter 2 Overview of Geographically Distributed Agile Development.....</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	20
2.2 Historical Perspective on Software Development.....	21
2.3 Plan-Driven (Waterfall/Traditional) Development .....	24
2.4 Spiral Software Development .....	25
2.5 Agile Software Development .....	27
2.5.1 What Is an Agility of SD?.....	32
2.5.2 Overview of Some of Agile Methods .....	33
2.6 Distributed Traditional Software Development .....	45

2.7	Geographically Distributed Agile Development .....	46
2.8	Literature Gaps .....	56
2.9	Chapter Summary .....	58
<b>Chapter 3 Challenges of Communication in Geographically Distributed Agile</b>		
<b>Development .....</b>		<b>59</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	59
3.2	GDAD Challenges .....	60
3.3	GDAD Communication Challenges-SLR .....	65
3.3.1	SLR Method and Limitation .....	66
3.3.2	SLR Findings .....	74
3.4	GDAD Communication SLR Overview- State-of-the-Art.....	93
3.5	Thesis Motivation and Literature Gaps Fine-Tuning .....	95
3.6	Rationale for Adopting EA in GDAD .....	96
3.7	Chapter Summary .....	97
<b>Chapter 4 Research Model .....</b>		<b>99</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	99
4.2	Common Ground Theory .....	100
4.3	Agile Enterprise Architecture.....	106
4.3.1	Traditional Enterprise Architecture Overview .....	106
4.3.2	Agile Enterprise Architecture .....	113
4.3.3	An Overview of Agile EA Frameworks.....	116
4.3.4	Adaptive EA Framework .....	119
4.4	Agile EA Driven Communication Approach .....	122
4.4.1	Architecture Owner Roles.....	126
4.4.2	Agile EA Driven Communication Roles.....	128
4.5	Research Model Constructs .....	129
4.5.1	Agile EA Construct.....	131
4.5.2	GDAD Active Communication.....	137
4.5.3	GDAD Performance.....	146
4.6	Hypotheses Definitions .....	148
4.6.1	Effect of Agile EA on GDAD Active Communication.....	150
4.6.2	Effect of Agile EA on GDAD Performance.....	152
4.6.3	Relationship between GDAD Active Communication Dimensions (Efficiency, Effectiveness) .....	153
4.6.4	Effect of Communication Efficiency on GDAD Performance.....	154
4.6.5	Effect of Communication Effectiveness on GDAD Performance.....	155

4.7	Chapter Summary .....	156
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Research Design and Methodology .....</b>	<b>158</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	158
5.2	Research Design .....	159
5.3	Research Philosophy .....	163
5.3.1	Defining Research Paradigms in IS Research .....	164
5.3.2	Methodology .....	168
5.3.3	Theoretical Foundation .....	174
5.3.4	Research Strategy.....	176
5.4	Research Data Collection Methods .....	177
5.5	Preliminary Research Model Evaluation.....	179
5.6	Survey.....	181
5.6.1	Research Instrument Development .....	183
5.6.2	Research Instrument Validation.....	191
5.6.3	The Consent Form and Information Sheet .....	197
5.6.4	The Questionnaire of the Survey.....	198
5.6.5	Sample Population .....	198
5.6.6	The Comments Parts of the Survey.....	200
5.6.7	Survey Data Collection Method.....	200
5.6.8	Quantitative Data Analysis Approach.....	201
5.7	Qualitative Case Study .....	202
5.7.1	The Semi-Structured Approach of the Interviews.....	204
5.7.2	The Interview Protocol.....	205
5.7.3	The Participants of the Interviews.....	205
5.7.4	Interviews Process .....	206
5.7.5	Qualitative Data Analysis Approach.....	206
5.8	Ethics Consideration.....	207
5.9	Chapter Summary .....	209
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Survey Data Examination and Preparation .....</b>	<b>210</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	210
6.2	Demographic Analysis of the Sample .....	211
6.2.1	Industry of Respondent's Organisation.....	213
6.2.2	GDAD Approach Used by Respondent's Organisation .....	214
6.2.3	Open Source Solutions Approach Used by Respondent's Organisation .....	214
6.2.4	Respondent's Job Title.....	215
6.2.5	Experience, number of Teams, and Number of Members in Teams .....	216
6.3	Data Screening and Cleaning .....	216



6.4	Missing Data Analysis.....	217
6.5	Outliers Testing .....	222
6.6	Testing the Underlying Assumptions of Multiple Regression .....	223
6.6.1	Normality .....	224
6.6.2	Linearity.....	226
6.6.3	Homoscedasticity .....	227
6.6.4	Multicollinearity .....	227
6.7	Exploratory Factor Analysis.....	228
6.8	Generalisability Testing .....	234
6.8.1	Common Method Bias .....	234
6.8.2	Non-Response Bias.....	235
6.9	Chapter Summary .....	237
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Survey Findings.....</b>	<b>239</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	239
7.2	PLS-SEM Approach.....	240
7.3	Assessment of Measurement Model.....	242
7.3.1	Content Validity.....	244
7.3.2	Reflective Measurement Model Evaluation.....	244
7.3.3	Formative Measurement Model Evaluation.....	249
7.4	Assessment of Structural Model.....	251
7.4.1	Model Predictive Power ( $R^2$ ) .....	252
7.4.2	Hypotheses Testing.....	253
7.4.3	Effect Size ( $f^2$ ).....	256
7.4.4	Predictive Validity of the Model.....	257
7.4.5	The Mediating Effect .....	258
7.5	Second-Order PLS Model .....	263
7.6	Goodness-of-Fit of the Model .....	265
7.7	Chapter Summary .....	266
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Case Study Findings.....</b>	<b>268</b>
8.1	Introduction .....	268
8.2	Cases Description .....	269
8.3	Data Validity and Reliability.....	271
8.4	Content Analysis Approach Used in the Study .....	272
8.5	Data Analysis .....	274
8.5.1	Data Preparation and Familiarisation.....	274
8.5.2	Data Reduction.....	275

8.5.3	Data Display.....	276
8.5.4	Data Synthesis and Drawing Conclusions .....	276
<b>8.6</b>	<b>Qualitative Findings .....</b>	<b>276</b>
8.6.1	Leximancer Results.....	277
8.6.2	Agile EA .....	278
8.6.3	GDAD Active Communication.....	288
8.6.4	GDAD Performance.....	298
8.6.5	Relationship between Agile EA and Communication Efficiency .....	299
8.6.6	Relationship between Agile EA and Communication Effectiveness .....	300
8.6.7	Relationship between Agile EA and GDAD Performance.....	302
8.6.8	Relationship between Communication Efficiency and Communication Effectiveness .	304
8.6.9	Relationship between Communication Efficiency and GDAD Performance.....	304
8.6.10	Relationship between Communication Effectiveness and GDAD Performance.....	305
<b>8.7</b>	<b>Chapter Summary .....</b>	<b>306</b>
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>Discussion of Research Findings.....</b>	<b>308</b>
9.1	Introduction .....	308
9.2	Summary of the Survey Findings .....	309
9.2.1	Descriptive Findings .....	309
9.2.2	PLS Model Findings .....	310
9.3	Cross-Analysis Findings-Addressing Research Questions (RQ3-RQ5) .....	313
9.3.1	Effect of Agile EA on GDAD Active Communication (RQ3).....	315
9.3.2	Effect of Agile EA on GDAD Performance (RQ4) .....	317
9.3.3	Relationship between GDAD Active Communication Dimensions (Efficiency, Effectiveness) .....	318
9.3.4	Effect of GDAD Active Communication on GDAD Performance (RQ5) .....	320
9.4	Recommendations for Using Agile EA and Enhancing Active Communication	
	321	
9.4.1	Agile AE .....	321
9.4.2	Communication Efficiency and Communication Effectiveness (RQ6) .....	323
9.5	Reflectional Learning (Main Research Question).....	324
9.6	Chapter Summary .....	325
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>327</b>
10.1	Introduction.....	327
10.2	Overview of the Study .....	327
10.3	Summary of the Study Findings .....	329
10.4	Contributions of the Study .....	331
10.4.1	Contribution to Academic Research and Theory .....	331

10.4.2	Contribution to Practice .....	334
10.4.3	Contribution to Teaching and Learning .....	336
10.5	Limitations of the Study .....	337
10.6	Prospective Directions for Future Research .....	338
10.7	Concluding Remarks.....	340
10.8	Chapter Summary .....	341
	<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>343</b>
	<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>367</b>
	Appendix 3.1: GDAD Challenges Systematic Review References .....	367
	Appendix 3.2: GDAD Communication Challenges Systematic Review References - Empirical GDAD Studies.....	369
	Appendix 5.1: List of Initial Generated Items .....	371
	Appendix 5.1(a): Initial Pool of Items .....	371
	Appendix 5.1(b): Initial Set of Instrument’s Items Sent to Experts.....	375
	Appendix 5.2: The Full-Scale Survey Questionnaire .....	377
	Appendix 5.2(a): Full-Scale Consent and Information Form (The Ethics Form) .....	377
	Appendix 5.2(b): Full-Scale Questionnaire .....	380
	Appendix 5.3: The Interview Protocol.....	390
	Appendix 5.3(a): Interview Consent Form .....	390
	Appendix 5.3(b): Interview Protocol .....	392
	Appendix 6.1: Items’ Coding (Labels of Variables Used in the Study) .....	394
	Appendix 6.2: Correlation Matrix for Reflective Indicators (Pearson Test) .....	395
	Appendix 6.3: Non-Response Bias Test for Indicators.....	396
	Appendix 7.1: Cronbach’s Alpha Values for Reflective Measures .....	396
	Appendix 7.2: Cross-Validation Redundancy Values for Reflective Indicators .....	397

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Research model (an overview diagram) .....	9
Figure 1.2. Structure of the thesis .....	18
Figure 2.1. Software methods evolvement.....	22
Figure 2.2. The plan-driven development model .....	24
Figure 2.3. Spiral software development model (Boehm 1988) .....	26
Figure 2.4. Agile and plan-driven development cost-of-change (Ambler 2006).....	31
Figure 2.5. Reasons for adopting agile methods (VersionOne 2014) .....	31
Figure 2.6. Agile methods used in practice (VersionOne 2014).....	34
Figure 2.7. XP process (Xprogramming 2014).....	35
Figure 2.8. Scrum process (Sutherland & Schwaber 2011).....	39
Figure 2.9. Effectiveness of communication means (Ambler 2015) .....	51
Figure 3.1. GDAD communication SLR selection process .....	68
Figure 3.2. Theoretical framework and selected categories of GDAD communication SLR .....	74
Figure 4.1. TOFAF 9.1 ADM (The Open Group 2013).....	112
Figure 4.2. The Gill Framework (Gill 2014b).....	120
Figure 4.3. Geographically distributed agile team's structure (Ambler 2014b) .....	122
Figure 4.4. Agile EA driven GDAD approach.....	125
Figure 4.5. Research model.....	130
Figure 5.1. Research design .....	162
Figure 6.1. Industry of respondent's organisation .....	213
Figure 6.2. GDAD approaches of the respondent's organisation .....	214
Figure 6.3. Open source activities portion of the respondent's organisation.....	215
Figure 6.4. Job title for respondent .....	215
Figure 6.5. Scatter plot: EFFIC vs. EFFECT .....	226
Figure 7.1. The partial least squares (PLS) results.....	253
Figure 7.2. The mediation model .....	258
Figure 7.3. Results of the second-order PLS model.....	264
Figure 8.1. Data analysis interactive model (adopted from Miles & Huberman 1994).....	274
Figure 8.2. Leximancer concept map .....	278
Figure 9.1. Strength of significant relationships in the research model.....	312

Figure 9.2. Theory fine-tuning .....	325
--------------------------------------	-----

# List of Tables

Table 1.1: Main research iterations in the study .....	8
Table 2.1: Agile vs. Waterfall software development resolution (The Standish Group 2015) .....	23
Table 2.2: Agile software development principles (Agile Manifesto 2001).....	28
Table 2.3: Software development agility definitions .....	33
Table 2.4: Impact of XP practices on communication (adopted from Hummel et al. 2013) .....	38
Table 2.5: Impact of Scrum practices on communication (adopted from Hummel et al. 2013) .....	41
Table 3.1: Challenges of GDAD .....	62
Table 3.2: Techniques/ solutions to GDAD challenges .....	64
Table 3.3: GDAD communication SLR search terms.....	69
Table 3.4: GDAD communication SLR assessment method .....	70
Table 3.5: GDAD communication SLR quality criteria of selected studies (Dyba° & Dingsøy 2008) .....	70
Table 3.6: GDAD communication SLR search results .....	72
Table 3.7: Agile method used in the GDAD communication SLR selected studies.....	75
Table 3.8: Publication channel of GDAD communication SLR selected studies.....	76
Table 3.9: Quality assessment of GDAD communication SLR selected studies.....	77
Table 3.10: GDAD communication SLR selected studies aims .....	79
Table 3.11: GDAD communication challenges and categories .....	81
Table 3.12: GDAD communication challenges categories statistics .....	82
Table 3.13: Impact of GDAD communication challenges on communication process ..	83
Table 3.14: Techniques to overcome GDAD communication challenges .....	88
Table 4.1: Comparison between agile EA and traditional EA frameworks.....	118
Table 4.2: Architecture owner roles among agile project .....	128
Table 4.3: Impact of GDAD communication using agile EA on GDAD project (adapted from Madison 2010).....	129
Table 4.4: Summary of the theorised constructs and their definitions.....	131
Table 4.5: Literature review and agile methods principles about agile EA .....	134
Table 4.6: Principles of agile methods regarding GDAD active communication.....	139

Table 4.7: Literature review about GDAD communication efficiency.....	143
Table 4.8: Literature review about GDAD communication effectiveness.....	145
Table 4.9: Software performance aspects .....	148
Table 4.10: Summary of the research hypotheses.....	149
Table 5.1: Characteristics of Positivism, Interpretivism, and Critical Realism.....	164
Table 5.2: The settings of this research endeavour .....	178
Table 5.3: The set of questionnaire after experts and pilot feedback.....	185
Table 5.4: Items' results of the panel of experts' judgements .....	189
Table 5.5: The research constructs and their items .....	190
Table 5.6: The demographic data of the pilot study.....	193
Table 5.7: Pilot study-reliability statistics of reflective constructs .....	194
Table 5.8: Pilot study-factor analysis of reflective constructs .....	196
Table 5.9: Pilot study-collinearity test of formative construct.....	197
Table 6.1: The characteristics of the sample population.....	212
Table 6.2: Summary of deleted cases.....	217
Table 6.3: Summary statistics for missing data .....	219
Table 6.4: Little's MCAR test results .....	220
Table 6.5: Normal distribution and outlier tests for reflective indicators .....	223
Table 6.6: KMO and Bartlett's sphericity tests, and communality matrix for reflective constructs .....	230
Table 6.7: Scree plot and component matrix for reflective constructs .....	232
Table 6.8: Harman's one-factor test for reflective constructs.....	235
Table 6.9: Non-response bias test .....	236
Table 6.10: Summary of data preparation.....	237
Table 7.1: Reliability statistics for reflective constructs.....	245
Table 7.2: The cross-loading of reflective constructs and their items .....	246
Table 7.3: AVE statistics for reflective constructs.....	247
Table 7.4: Correlation matrix for reflective constructs.....	248
Table 7.5: HTMT ratio values for reflective constructs.....	248
Table 7.6: VIF and tolerance statistics for formative indicators.....	249
Table 7.7: Collinearity results for formative indicators.....	250
Table 7.8: The partial least squares (PLS) results.....	254
Table 7.9: Effect size ( $f^2$ ) values for latent exogenous constructs .....	256
Table 7.10: Cross-validation redundancy ( $Q^2$ ) values for reflective constructs .....	257

Table 7.11: Effects results for the model .....	260
Table 7.12: Mediation effect results for the model .....	261
Table 7.13: Mediation effects for communication efficiency and communication effectiveness .....	262
Table 7.14: Collinearity and validity results for second-order model .....	265
Table 7.15: SRMR value.....	266
Table 8.1: Case description .....	270
Table 8.2: Survey comment respondent's demographic .....	271
Table 9.1: Summary of the research hypotheses testing results.....	311
Table 9.2: Summary of mediation effects .....	313
Table 9.3: Cross-analysis findings of the survey and case studies .....	315
Table 10.1: Outcomes of the study .....	342



## List of Abbreviations

AMOS	Analysis of Moment Structures
ASD	Agile Software Development
CB-SEM	Covariance-Based Structural Equation Model
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
DSD	Distributed Software Development
DSDM	Dynamic Software Development
EA	Enterprise Architecture
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
EM	Expectation Maximisation
FDD	Feature Driven Development
GDAD	Geographically Distributed Agile Development
HTMT	Hetero-Trait Mono-Trait
IS	Information System
IT	Information Technology
KSD	Kanban Software Development
LISREL	Linear Structural Relations
LSD	Lean Software Development
MAR	Missing Data at Random
MCAR	Missing Completely at Random
MIS	Management of Information System
MTMM	Multi-Trait Multi-Method
PLS	Partial Least Squares
RQ	Research Question
SD	Software Development
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
SLDC	Systems Development Life Cycle
SLR	Systematic Literature Review
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Science
TDD	Test Driven Development
VAF	Variance Accounted for
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
XP	Extreme Programming

## Glossary

Item	Explanation	Reference
Active Communication	Refers to the process of exchanging information between agile team members (i.e. senders and receivers) formally and informally, which should be efficient and effective.	Alzoubi & Gill 2015
Agile Software Development (ASD)	A software development method is said to be an agile software development method when a method is mainly people focused and communication-oriented, flexible (ready to adapt to expected or unexpected change at any time), speedy (encourages rapid and iterative development of the product in small releases), lean (focuses on shortening timeframe and cost and on improved quality), responsive (reacts appropriately to expected and unexpected changes), and learning (focuses on improvement during and after product development).	Qumer & Henderson-Sellers 2006b, p. 125
Agility	A persistent behaviour or ability of a sensitive entity that exhibits flexibility to accommodate expected or unexpected changes rapidly, follows the shortest time span, uses economical, simple and quality instruments in a dynamic environment and applies updated prior knowledge and experience to learn from the internal and external environment.	Qumer & Handerson-Sellers 2006b, p. 281
Agile Enterprise Architecture (EA)	A blueprint that describes the overall structural, behavioural, social, technological, and facility elements of an enterprise's operating environment that share common goals and principles with the ability of responsiveness (scans, senses and reacts appropriately to expected and unexpected changes), flexibility (adapts to expected or unexpected change at any time), speediness (accommodates expected or unexpected changes rapidly), leanness (focuses on	Gill 2013a,

	reducing waste and cost without compromising on quality), and learning (focuses on enterprise fitness, improvement and innovation).	
Communication	A process in which participants create and share information with one another in order to reach a mutual understanding.	Rogers 1986, p. 199
Communication Challenges	Refer to the characteristics of each medium that decrease communication efficiency and effectiveness.	Clark & Brennan 1991
Communication Effectiveness	Delivering a message to the receiver who understands it as it was intended with minimal disruption and misunderstanding, even if it takes a long time.	Alzoubi & Gill 2016
Communication Efficiency	Delivering a message to a receiver with high quality and with minimal time, cost, effort, and resources required to establish communication.	Alzoubi & Gill 2016
Enterprise Architecture	The organising logic for business processes and IT infrastructure, reflecting the integration and standardisation requirements of the company's operating model.	Ross et al. 2006, P.9
Formal Communication	Refers to explicit and clear communication such as the agile requirements backlog, plans, and card walls.	Herbsleb & Mockus 2003
Informal Communication	Refers to the communication that happens outside the official reporting hierarchy of the team.	Herbsleb & Mockus 2003
Software Development (SD)	The tasks undertaken to construct a [software-based product], and the management of this effort, by a group of stakeholders with agendas, who engage in transactions over time within an institutional context by applying structure to their work with a set of tools and methodologies, and who judge outcomes of their efforts and act accordingly.	Sambamurthy & Kirsch 2000, p. 400
Software Functionality	The extent to which the delivered software system meets its functional goals, user needs, and technical requirements.	Lee & Xia 2010

Software Quality	Represents the assessment of the quality of the task performed by the individual, or the pair, on the programming task. It is reflective of how well the code satisfied the requirements stipulated in the problem statement and produced correct results.	Balijepally et al. 2009, p. 102
Software Performance	Refers to four dimensions; on-time completion (meets its baseline goals for duration), on-budget completion (meets its baseline goals for cost), functionality (meets its functional scope goals), and quality (a good working product).	Alzoubi & Gill 2016

# Abstract

Agile development is a highly collaborative environment, which requires active communication among stakeholders. This active communication helps in producing high quality working software systems in short releases and iterations. Due to the ever-increasing competition, there is an increasing interest among practitioners and researchers in contemporary geographically distributed agile development (GDAD). GDAD claims to offer several benefits over co-located agile development such as lower production cost, around the clock development, faster time to market, and the liberty of involving the most talented developers across the globe. However, in the GDAD environment, active communication is difficult to achieve due to many challenges such as differences in geographical locations and time.

Literature has reported that agile enterprise architecture (EA) could help enhancing GDAD communication and performance. However, little empirical evidence is known to support this claim. Furthermore, it is not clear how to effectively achieve and study active communication construct in GDAD in terms of its dimensions, determinants and effects on performance? As a result, there is a lack of understanding about how GDAD organisations can establish and maintain active communication among distributed teams. This dissertation contributes to this research gap, first, by developing a research model based on an extensive systematic literature review on the GDAD communication challenges, techniques and strategies to mitigate these challenges, and the impact of communication on GDAD software performance. This study provides important insights about GDAD communication by identifying and empirically examining the relationships among the two dimensions of active communication (communication efficiency and communication effectiveness), one antecedent that can be controlled (agile enterprise architecture (EA)), and four aspects of GDAD performance (on-time completion, on-budget completion, software functionality, and software quality).

The study then validates the research model using an integrated research approach that combines quantitative and qualitative data analyses. The quantitative data are collected using a survey technique from 160 responses and analysed using Partial Least Squares

(PLS) analyses. The qualitative data are collected using interview techniques through 10 post hoc case studies and analysed using content analysis technique.

This study reports that agile EA has positive impacts on communication efficiency and communication effectiveness, and on GDAD performance. It has also been found that communication efficiency and communication effectiveness have significant differential impacts on GDAD performance aspects. While communication efficiency is, generally, related to on-time and on-budget completions, communication effectiveness is, generally, related to functionality and quality aspects.

While the prior GDAD literature offers little guidance for GDAD communication issue, this research contributes to both theory and practice, and offers a number of useful insights and agile EA driven GDAD model. From theory perspective, insights and model are theoretically based on and empirically tested about the value and positive impact of agile EA on active communication dimensions and GDAD performance, and the impact of communication efficiency and communication effectiveness on GDAD performance in the GDAD environment. Moreover, from practice perspective, this study indicates that agile EA, communication efficiency, and communication effectiveness together increase the GDAD performance and thus, facilitate a better GDAD performance than in GDAD that does not employ agile EA.

Despite the above-mentioned contributions, like any other studies, this study has also some limitations such as sample size, time and potential analysis bias of applied qualitative and quantitative research methods. A number of steps were taken to mitigate or minimise the effects of these limitations. Thus, findings of this work should be considered with its limitations when interpreting it in the relevant theoretical and practical context.