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Estimating prevalence of common chronic morbidities in Australia

Stephanie A Knox, Christopher M Harrison, Helena C Britt and Joan V Henderson

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To estimate prevalence of selected diagnosed chronic diseases among patients attending general practice, in the general practice patient population, and in the Australian population, and to compare population estimates with those of the National Health Survey (NHS).

Design, setting and participants: In late 2005, 305 general practitioners each provided data for about 30 consecutive patients (total, 9156) as part of the BEACH (Bettering the Evaluation And Care of Health) program, a continuous national study of general practice activity. GPs used their knowledge of the patient, patient self-report, and medical records as sources.

Main outcome measures: Crude prevalence of each listed condition currently under management among surveyed patients, and adjusted prevalence for the general practice patient population, and the national population.

Results: 39.6% of respondents had none of the listed conditions diagnosed; 30.0% had a cardiovascular problem (uncomplicated hypertension, 17.6%; ischaemic heart disease, 9.5%); 24.8% had a psychological problem (depression, 14.2%; anxiety, 10.7%); 22.8% had arthritis, mostly osteoarthritis (20.0%); 10.7% had asthma; and 8.3% had diabetes, mostly type 2 (7.2%). Adjustment to the population attending general practice resulted in lower estimates for cardiovascular disease, arthritis and diabetes but had little effect on prevalence of asthma and psychological problems. After adjusting for non-attenders, about one in five people in the population had a cardiovascular problem, a similar proportion had a psychological problem, 14.8% had arthritis, and about 10% had asthma, hyperlipidaemia and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Estimates were similar to NHS results for any arthritis, asthma, and malignant neoplasms; higher for any cardiovascular problem; far higher for specific cardiovascular diseases, cerebrovascular disease and hyperlipidaemia; and almost twice the NHS estimate for psychological problems (particularly depression and anxiety). Estimates for type 1 diabetes aligned with NHS results, but were far higher for “all diabetes” and type 2 diabetes.

Conclusions: This study offers an alternative, perhaps more accurate, approach to measurement of disease prevalence than the NHS approach, which relies on respondent self-report alone. It provides valid prevalence estimates with the help of GPs at a fraction of the cost of the NHS. This study could be repeated annually to augment other data sources and better define existing health needs in the population.
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This study aimed to estimate prevalence of selected diagnosed chronic diseases among patients attending general practice, in the general practice patient population and in the Australian population, and to compare population estimates with the results of the NHS.

We used the patient’s GP as an expert interviewer to conduct the survey, utilising his or her knowledge of the patient, the patient’s response to the questions, and (where available) the patient’s medical record.

METHODS

The study was a survey of patients attending a subsample of GPs participating in the Bettering the Evaluation And Care of Health (BEACH) program, a continuous, national cross-sectional study of general practice activity in Australia. About 1000 randomly selected GPs per year each record information about encounters with 100 consecutive consenting patients, providing morbidity and treatment data on about 100,000 encounters annually. In substudies of BEACH, the GP records information additional to BEACH encounter data, in discussion with the patient. The full study methodology is reported elsewhere. In this study, 305 GPs recorded information on 30 patients during the period 12 July – 19 August and 25 October – 28 November 2005.

Survey questions

Questions were brief, to reduce the response burden to GPs and patients. The GP was
asked, “Does this patient have any of the following conditions which require ongoing management?” A series of conditions were listed with tick-box options (Box 1).

The conditions listed included those determined by the Australian Government as National Health Priority Areas (NHPPs), such as cardiovascular disease, with more specific conditions (eg, ischemic heart disease [IHD]) selected on the basis of chronicity and management frequency in Australian general practice. Chronic obstructive airways disease (COAD) was added because of its frequent confusion with asthma, particularly in older people. While hyperlipidaemia is classified as a disease of the endocrine and metabolic system in the International Classification of Primary Care (the classification used for morbidity managed in the BEACH program), and therefore could not be listed under cardiovascular problems, it is a recognised risk factor and was therefore included. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) was added because it is one of the 10 most frequently managed problems in general practice. Injuries, although an NHPA, are generally acute in nature and were therefore omitted.

Data analysis

Missing data

To ensure as many patients as possible were kept in the denominator, we examined GPs’ response patterns for missing data. GPs who provided no information for any of their 30 patients were excluded from the analysis.

Where GPs ticked one or more conditions for some patients and left other patients with missing data, the patients with missing data were compared with the total sample and the “none of these conditions” group. If the patients with missing data resembled the patients in the “none of these conditions” group in terms of age, sex and problems managed at encounter, we assumed the patients had none of the listed conditions, and they were included. Patients with missing data but with any of the listed conditions managed at encounter were also included in the sample, with the managed condition(s) ticked.

Crude prevalence estimates

Crude prevalence estimates were calculated as the number of persons with the morbidity as a proportion of the total sample. These estimates can be interpreted as the prevalence among patients found in GP waiting rooms at any time.

1 Conditions listed in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiovascular disease*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension — uncomplicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension — complicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cardiovascular problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological problems*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other psychological problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arthritis* |

- Osteoarthritis
- Rheumatoid arthritis
- Other arthritis

Diabetes* |

- Type 1
- Type 2
- Other

Respiratory problems |

- Asthma* |
  - mild
  - moderate
  - severe
- Chronic obstructive airways disease

Other problems |

- Hyperlipidaemia
- Chronic back pain
- Malignant neoplasms*
- Gastroesophageal reflux disease

None of these conditions


attenders (who may have more health problems) were more likely to be sampled than infrequent attenders. Therefore, probability weights that adjusted for visit frequency were calculated:

\[
\text{Probability weight} = (\text{Proportion of the population that saw a GP at least once that year, that were in a selected age-sex group}) \times (\text{proportion of sample that were in the selected age-sex group})
\]

Crude rates were multiplied by probability weights to obtain prevalence estimates for each morbidity in the general practice patient population.

National population prevalence

In 2005–2006, 88% of the Australian population visited a vocationally registered GP at least once, with an average visit frequency of about six visits per person per year. The number of annual visits increased with age and was higher for female patients than male patients (Medicare claims data, supplied by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing).

We assumed that people who did not attend a GP had none of the listed conditions that required ongoing management. Estimates of national prevalence were calculated by multiplying the general practice population rate by 88%.

Ethics approval

Ethics committees of the University of Sydney and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare approved the BEACH study and this substudy.

RESULTS

A total of 9156 patients were surveyed, with all GPs responding for some patients. GPs indicated 3237 (35%) of the 9156 patients surveyed had “none of the above” conditions. For 429 of the 9156 (5%), GPs failed to tick a condition, including “none of the above”; these patients were similar to those with none of the listed conditions (ie, they were younger than average and had non-chronic problems managed at the encounter) and were included in the denominator. Forty-two had a listed condition managed at the encounter and were included as having that condition; the other 387 were included as having “none of the above”.

The final study sample was generally older than the population who attended a GP at least once in the year 2005–2006 (Box 2).
2 Characteristics of patients in the study group versus the Australian general practice patient population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Per cent of group (95% CI)*</th>
<th>Australian general practice patient population†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3581</td>
<td>39.3% (37.5%–41.2%)</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5522</td>
<td>60.7% (58.8%–62.5%)</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 14</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>12.7% (11.7%–13.7%)</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>9.8% (8.9%–10.6%)</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–44</td>
<td>2281</td>
<td>25.0% (23.3%–26.6%)</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–64</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>26.9% (25.7%–28.1%)</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>10.9% (9.9%–11.8%)</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 75</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>14.7% (13.1%–16.3%)</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data were missing on sex of 53 patients and age of 45. Patients with missing data were removed before percentages were calculated.
† Those who had at least one general practice Medicare Benefits Scheme item of service, April 2005 to March 2006 (data from Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing).

Prevalence

Crude prevalence estimates

Prevalence of selected conditions is shown in Box 3. Of the 9156 patients sampled, 30.0% had a diagnosed cardiovascular problem, the most common being uncomplicated hypertension (17.6%), followed by IHD (9.5%). About 25% had a current psychological problem (14.2% depression and 10.7% anxiety). About one in five had arthritis (22.8%), mostly osteoarthritis (20.0%). Nearly 11% had asthma, and 8.3% had diabetes, mostly type 2 (7.2%).

Estimates for general practice patient population

Crude rates were adjusted to provide prevalence estimates for the general practice patient population. These were generally lower than crude sample rates (Box 3). In particular, cardiovascular disease, arthritis and diabetes, which are related to older age, were significantly less prevalent after adjustment. The estimated prevalence of asthma and of psychological problems were largely unaffected by adjustment.

Estimated national population prevalence

After adjusting for non-attenders, we estimated about one in five people in the population had a cardiovascular problem, a similar proportion had a psychological problem, about 15% had arthritis, and about 10% had asthma, hyperlipidaemia or GORD.

Comparison with the NHS

Our prevalence estimates for the national population were compared with those from the NHS. As confidence intervals were unavailable for the NHS estimates, we assumed that if this estimate did not fall within the 95% CI for the national rate then the two results were different. Our national prevalence estimates were similar to NHS estimates for presence of any arthritis, asthma, and malignant neoplasms. However, they were higher for any cardiovascular problem, and far higher for specific cardiovascular problems such as hypertension, IHD, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease and hyperlipidaemia. Our national estimate was almost twice the NHS estimate for psychological problems (19.4% compared with 10.7%), and for depression and anxiety specifically. The NHS and our estimates for type 1 diabetes (insulin-dependent) were very close, but our estimates for “all diabetes” and type 2 diabetes were both higher than the NHS estimates.

Our estimate for chronic back pain (currently under management) was less than half the NHS back pain estimate of 15.2%. Arthritis prevalence estimates were not significantly different when arthritis was considered in total, but our osteoarthritis estimate was far higher than the NHS, and our rheumatoid arthritis estimate was about one-quarter that of the NHS. No comparative results were available from the NHS for GORD, COAD, insomnia and “other psychological problems”.

Discussion

Our results suggest that three in 10 patients presenting to a GP have a cardiovascular problem, one in four have a diagnosed psychological problem, and a similar proportion have arthritis. Our crude prevalence estimates provide a measure of the underlying health needs of patients attending general practice, distinct from the demand for health care measured by general practice morbidity management rates. However, not surprisingly, the most prevalent problems among the surveyed patients broadly reflected the most common chronic problems managed in general practice.

The population prevalence estimates for GORD, COAD, insomnia, asthma severity levels and chronic back pain under ongoing management provide new knowledge, as the NHS does not measure these morbidities.

Our population prevalence estimate for “any psychological problem” was almost double that of the NHS, but was similar to the prevalence found in the 1997 National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing of Adults. That survey estimated, using structured interviews and diagnostic tools, that 17.7% of the population had experienced a psychological problem in the previous 12 months. Far closer to our estimate about 10 years later. Our estimated prevalence of diabetes was significantly higher than the NHS estimate, but was similar to that found in two other major studies — the Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study and the South Australian Monitoring and Surveillance System. These found diabetes in 7.4% (aged 25 years and over) and 6.7% (aged 15 years and over) of the adult population, respectively.

Many differences between our estimates and the NHS findings could be explained by inclusion criteria. For example, “back pain” in the NHS included undifferentiated (i.e., symptomatic) pain, while we included only diagnosed chronic back pain. Another source of difference is in patient recall and the use of lay terms in describing conditions in the NHS. For example, confusion in the lay use of the terms “arthritis” and “rheumatism” may explain the differences between our estimates and those of the NHS, especially as the overall estimates for “any arthritis” are similar.

The prevalence of GORD was almost identical to the prevalence of asthma, yet GORD has not been given equal attention, and is not an NHPA. Although GORD has a low mortality rate, it has a significant impact on quality of life. Perhaps it is time to consider its addition to the NHPAs. Our study did not include obesity among the conditions listed. Obesity has been added to the NHPAs and will be included in future surveys.
## Prevalence of selected conditions in the survey sample, the population attending general practice and the Australian population (with 95% CIs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosed morbidity</th>
<th>Crude rate(^a) (n=9156)</th>
<th>Adjusted to general practice patient population(^b)</th>
<th>Adjusted to national estimate(^c)</th>
<th>NHS(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None of the listed conditions</td>
<td>39.6% (37.6%–41.6%)</td>
<td>46.9% (44.9%–48.9%)</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any cardiovascular</td>
<td>30.0% (28.1%–31.7%)</td>
<td>22.4% (21.0%–23.9%)</td>
<td>19.7% (18.4%–21.0%)</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined hypertension</td>
<td>23.3% (21.8%–24.9%)</td>
<td>17.6% (16.4%–18.8%)</td>
<td>15.5% (14.4%–16.6%)</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncomplicated hypertension</td>
<td>17.6% (16.3%–18.9%)</td>
<td>13.4% (12.4%–14.5%)</td>
<td>11.8% (10.9%–12.7%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated hypertension</td>
<td>5.7% (5.0%–6.4%)</td>
<td>4.2% (3.6%–4.8%)</td>
<td>3.7% (3.2%–4.2%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
<td>9.5% (8.5%–10.5%)</td>
<td>6.4% (5.7%–7.1%)</td>
<td>5.7% (5.0%–6.3%)</td>
<td>1.9%(^e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>3.7% (3.0%–4.5%)</td>
<td>2.4% (1.9%–2.9%)</td>
<td>2.1% (1.7%–2.6%)</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>3.2% (2.7%–3.7%)</td>
<td>2.0% (1.7%–2.3%)</td>
<td>1.8% (1.5%–2.1%)</td>
<td>1.4%(^f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>2.0% (1.5%–2.5%)</td>
<td>1.3% (1.0%–1.6%)</td>
<td>1.2% (0.9%–1.5%)</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any psychological</td>
<td>24.8% (23.2%–26.3%)</td>
<td>22.1% (20.5%–23.6%)</td>
<td>19.4% (18.1%–20.8%)</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>14.2% (13.0%–15.4%)</td>
<td>12.9% (11.7%–14.1%)</td>
<td>11.3% (10.3%–12.4%)</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>10.7% (9.6%–11.8%)</td>
<td>9.5% (8.5%–10.6%)</td>
<td>8.4% (7.4%–9.3%)</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>5.5% (4.6%–6.4%)</td>
<td>4.8% (3.9%–5.7%)</td>
<td>4.2% (3.4%–5.0%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other psychological problem</td>
<td>4.1% (3.5%–4.7%)</td>
<td>4.0% (3.4%–4.5%)</td>
<td>3.5% (3.0%–4.0%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any arthritis</td>
<td>22.8% (21.1%–24.5%)</td>
<td>16.6% (15.5%–18.2%)</td>
<td>14.8% (13.6%–16.0%)</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>20.0% (18.3%–21.8%)</td>
<td>14.3% (13.1%–15.8%)</td>
<td>12.6% (11.5%–13.7%)</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid</td>
<td>1.0% (0.8%–1.2%)</td>
<td>0.7% (0.6%–0.9%)</td>
<td>0.7% (0.5%–0.8%)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma + COAD</td>
<td>14.4% (13.3%–15.5%)</td>
<td>12.8% (11.7%–13.8%)</td>
<td>11.2% (10.3%–12.2%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>10.7% (9.8%–11.6%)</td>
<td>10.6% (9.6%–11.5%)</td>
<td>9.3% (8.5%–10.2%)</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>6.3% (5.6%–7.0%)</td>
<td>6.5% (5.8%–7.2%)</td>
<td>5.7% (5.1%–6.3%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3.7% (3.2%–4.2%)</td>
<td>3.5% (3.0%–4.0%)</td>
<td>3.1% (2.6%–3.5%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.7% (0.5%–0.9%)</td>
<td>0.6% (0.4%–0.8%)</td>
<td>0.5% (0.4%–0.7%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAD</td>
<td>3.6% (3.1%–4.2%)</td>
<td>2.6% (2.2%–3.0%)</td>
<td>2.3% (1.9%–2.8%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidaemia</td>
<td>15.9% (14.7%–17.2%)</td>
<td>12.7% (11.6%–13.7%)</td>
<td>11.2% (10.2%–12.1%)</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GORD</td>
<td>13.1% (11.9%–14.4%)</td>
<td>10.4% (9.3%–11.5%)</td>
<td>9.2% (8.2%–10.1%)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic back pain</td>
<td>10.1% (9.0%–11.1%)</td>
<td>8.4% (7.4%–9.3%)</td>
<td>7.4% (6.5%–8.2%)</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (all)</td>
<td>8.3% (7.5%–9.0%)</td>
<td>6.6% (6.0%–7.3%)</td>
<td>5.6% (5.3%–6.4%)</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0.6% (0.4%–0.8%)</td>
<td>0.6% (0.4%–0.8%)</td>
<td>0.5% (0.3%–0.7%)</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>7.2% (6.5%–7.9%)</td>
<td>5.7% (5.1%–6.3%)</td>
<td>5.0% (4.3%–5.8%)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant neoplasms</td>
<td>3.1% (2.6%–3.6%)</td>
<td>2.3% (1.9%–2.7%)</td>
<td>2.0% (1.7%–2.3%)</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^a\) Equates to estimated prevalence among patients in general practice waiting room. \(^b\) Estimated prevalence among patients who visited a GP at least once in a year. \(^c\) Estimated prevalence among the Australian population. \(^d\) Angina + other ischaemic heart disease. \(^e\) Cedema + heart failure.

This analysis provided prevalence estimates among the population currently attending general practice by adjusting crude rates according to the age-sex distribution of those who attended a primary practitioner at least once in the 12-month period. This was effectively an adjustment for frequency of GP or primary care visits by age and sex. These estimates therefore depend on how well the population of primary care patients has been enumerated by the Medicare administrative data.

The adjustment for visit frequency was averaged across conditions, and our method was more likely to sample frequent attenders of all ages. If patients with a particular condition attend more frequently than average for their age and sex, this may have led to overestimation for that condition. For example, our previous research found that patients with depression self-report visiting more frequently than average.\(^33\) Recent research suggests that sampling general practice patients’ visits for chronic disorders such as diabetes,\(^34,35\) hyperlipidaemia and hypertension, which have structured GP visiting patterns, provides reliable estimates, while chronic disorders with less regular management could be underestimated.\(^36\)

In extrapolating to the general practice patient population, we included all patients attending any primary care medical practitioner (including non-vocationally registered GPs), on the assumption that patients attending these GPs do not differ from those attending vocationally registered GPs. Our estimates for the national population also assume that all patients diagnosed with one of the listed conditions visited a GP or primary care practitioner at least once in a 12-month period. The remaining 12% were assumed not to have been diagnosed with any of the listed conditions. This assumption may not hold for conditions such as asthma, where the condition may be well controlled and not require regular GP attendance.\(^9\)

As in most studies, these estimates are for recognised conditions only, as no systematic screening was performed to uncover previously unrecognised conditions. It was left to the GPs\(^5\) discretion to
select the clinical criteria for inclusion. As some diseases are vastly underdiagnosed, more of the sample patients may have one or more of these diseases (e.g., for every 12 people with diagnosed diabetes, there are probably three with undiagnosed diabetes).35

Despite these limitations, our study is likely to provide more reliable prevalence estimates than the NHS, which has been the benchmark to date. Further, it provides these estimates at a fraction of the cost of the NHS, as the cost was marginal to that of the total BEACH national program. Our method has the benefit of the input of a medical practitioner, which probably leads to greater accuracy than self-report alone. There is no reason that this study could not be repeated annually as part of the BEACH program and therefore provide valuable estimates of trends in morbidity prevalence to augment other data sources and better define existing health needs in the Australian population.
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