Project management maturity: a critical analysis of existing and emergent contributing factors

Beverly L. Pasian

Submitted in fulfillment of the thesis requirement for the degree of Doctorate in Project Management (DPM)

Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building
University of Technology, Sydney

2011
AUTHOR’S DECLARATION

I certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Beverly L. Pasian
Abstract

This thesis explores the dynamics of a reliable project management capability responsible for undefined projects, and proposes new factors that could influence how project management maturity is determined and modeled. It demonstrates that unique processes and practices—that are not tightly controlled, repeatable and predictable—can contribute to the reliable management of e-Learning projects in a university environment.

A multimethod research design is used with two qualitative methods: textual (document) analysis of industry and organizational maturity models, and a case study of two university offices responsible for e-Learning projects. Model analysis reveals factors used for maturity assessment that are not dependent on process control (for example, culture, customer involvement and values). The output is a conceptual framework reflecting these factors, along with instructional design processes and other properties indicating reliability. Data are collected using this instrument and analyzed to test the validity of its components.

Results indicate multiple processes and practices that enable this project management capability in ways that do not fit the current view of project management maturity. Context-specific values and policies, specialized bodies of knowledge (instructional design), customer involvement, third-party influence, and tacit factors such as trust, morale and creativity. A clear path emerges of an alternative route to project management maturity.

This inquiry underscores the value in questioning a strict definition of ‘maturity’ that relies on the key principle of process control. It challenges the prescriptive orientation of the current generation of project management maturity models that codify (thereby legitimizing) certain processes and practices, leaving little room to appreciate unexpected phenomena that might also be contributing. Further research can build on the typological framework offered here to critically examine other project types with undefined, even contradictory or changeable requirements that require flexible project management capabilities without sacrificing their reliability. Exploration of these environments will create a more inclusive definition of project management maturity, and expand the conditions that lead to ‘mature’ project management capabilities.
This research contributes to this exploration by offering new and specific factors integral to the reliable project management capability associated with managing an e-Learning project, and challenges researchers and practitioners to identify others.
Acknowledgements

“It is how we choose what we do, and how we approach it, that will determine whether the sum of our days adds up to a formless blur, or to something resembling a work of art.” (M.Csikszentmihalyi 1997, p.13)

I read this quote recently and was struck by its emphasis on two things: a journey and its outcome. Now, I won’t claim that this work is one of art, but I can attest to its approach—one that was shaped and supported by many others. They are listed below.

Professors Spike Boydell and Shankar Sankaran are at the top. As individuals, they are exceptional professionals and model academics. Together, their methods, management and research philosophies complement each other in ways that make them a formidable supervisory team. My gratitude to them is only surpassed by my confidence that others will similarly benefit.

Mr. Patrick Healy and Dr. Kaye Remington were pivotal figures along my journey as well. Frankly, I don’t think they realize the significance of their contribution. I hope this note helps them do so.

As one can see on the title page, my journey started at the University of Technology, Sydney. Professor Lynn Crawford welcomed me, and for that I thank her.

My journey continued through several universities where staff and faculty assisted me in data collection. I can’t mention them by name, but I trust that, after reading this, they’ll see themselves in this work and know that I couldn’t have done this without them. Thanks enormously to all.

A special thanks goes to Julia Finch and Alana Hughes for their assistance with the final document and its images.

On a personal note, very special thanks go to Patrick, Ian, Nora, Deb, Jeff, Julia, Gary W., David, Laetitia, Alejandra, Carmen, and Matthew who were companions in this journey. The late night chats, care packages, and never-ending willingness to listen are hugely appreciated. If patience was an Olympic sport…

Another special thanks must go to AM, CC, SB, GS, MC, BS, LVB, SR, YT, DB, EC, HCJ, TC, Q and others in a group who didn’t say much, but were, nevertheless, always listened to.

And with that, I come to the end of this journey. I look forward to many others that (if I’m lucky) will involve some or all of those above.
Dedication

This work is dedicated to two remarkable people.

PJD (SPOY3X) and REW

There are few certainties in this world, and I know that one is that

I could not have gotten to this point without you both.
## Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction .................................................................................................................. 1
  1.1 Background to the research ................................................................................................. 1
  1.2 Statement of the research problem ..................................................................................... 1
  1.3 Justification for the research .............................................................................................. 2
  1.4 Overview of research methodology ................................................................................... 3
  1.5 Outline of the thesis ........................................................................................................... 4
    1.5.1 Outline of chapters ....................................................................................................... 4
  1.6 Definitions .......................................................................................................................... 6
  1.7 Delimitations of scope and key assumptions (and justifications) ...................................... 7
  1.8 Conclusion .......................................................................................................................... 8

Chapter 2 A review of the literature ............................................................................................ 9
  2.1 Introduction ......................................................................................................................... 9
  2.2 ‘Process’ defined and ‘process control’ explored ............................................................... 10
    2.2.1 What is a process? ........................................................................................................ 10
    2.2.2 Historical context of process control ........................................................................... 13
    2.2.3 Juran’s perspective and influence .............................................................................. 17
  2.3 Process control research within project management literature ....................................... 18
    2.3.1 ‘Process control’ within specific management areas ................................................... 19
    2.3.2 Process control measured by specific instrumentation, methods and principles .......... 22
  2.4 Defining project management maturity ............................................................................... 24
  2.5 Maturity models as capability assessment tools ................................................................. 28
    2.5.1 The Quality Management Maturity Grid (QMMG) ...................................................... 28
    2.5.2 Capability maturity models: Descendants of the QMMG ............................................ 29
  2.6 Project management maturity models ................................................................................. 31
    2.6.1 Motivations for use ..................................................................................................... 32
    2.6.2 Criticisms .................................................................................................................... 32
    2.6.3 Design analysis ............................................................................................................ 33
  2.7 Maturity assessments within a project typology framework ............................................... 38
  2.8 Conclusions ......................................................................................................................... 42
4.3 Compilation and textual analysis of models .................................................................78
  4.3.1 Project management maturity models .................................................................78
  4.3.2 Maturity models from outside project management .............................................80
4.4 Development of a conceptual framework ..................................................................83
  4.4.1 Defined processes .................................................................................................84
  4.4.2 A profile of a reliable e-Learning project management capability .......................85
  4.4.3 Customer involvement .........................................................................................86
  4.4.4 Adaptable variants ...............................................................................................86
  4.4.5 Dynamic non-events ............................................................................................87
4.5 The framework’s purpose ..........................................................................................89
4.6 Conclusion ..................................................................................................................91

Chapter 5 Project # 2: Data Collection & Analysis .........................................................92
  5.1 Introduction ...............................................................................................................92
  5.2 An explanation of steps in the analysis ......................................................................94
    5.2.1 Definitions ..........................................................................................................98
  5.3 Case study: Alpha site ...............................................................................................99
    5.3.1 Description .........................................................................................................99
    5.3.2 Project type(s) ..................................................................................................99
    5.3.3 Team roles ........................................................................................................100
  5.4 Customer involvement ..............................................................................................100
    5.4.1 Customer Identification ....................................................................................100
    5.4.2 Skills, perspectives and experiences ..................................................................101
    5.4.3 Agendas ............................................................................................................101
    5.4.4 Reactions ..........................................................................................................102
  5.5 Defined processes .....................................................................................................102
    5.5.1 Project initiation (documented processes) .........................................................102
    5.5.2 Project planning (documented processes) .........................................................106
    5.5.3 Project execution (documented processes) .......................................................108
    5.5.4 Closure (documented processes) .......................................................................110
    5.5.5 Other management issues ................................................................................112
  5.6 Adaptable variants ....................................................................................................113
5.6.1 Academic freedom ................................................................. 113
5.6.2 Creativity .............................................................................. 114
5.6.3 Culture ................................................................................. 115
5.6.4 Expertise .............................................................................. 116
5.6.5 Funding ................................................................................. 117
5.6.6 Instructional designer / Project manager ........................................... 117
5.6.7 Leadership and management ......................................................... 118
5.6.8 Release time ........................................................................... 119
5.6.9 Teamwork (general) ................................................................. 119
5.6.10 Union / labour relations ......................................................... 120
5.7 Dynamic non-events ................................................................. 122
5.7.1 Acceptance ............................................................................ 122
5.7.2 Attitude ................................................................................. 122
5.7.3 Commitment ......................................................................... 123
5.7.4 Loyalty ................................................................................ 124
5.7.5 Morale ................................................................................ 124
5.7.6 Motivation ............................................................................ 124
5.7.7 Momentum .......................................................................... 125
5.7.8 Trust .................................................................................. 125
5.8 Synthesis of data: Alpha site ......................................................... 126
5.8.1 Sponsor factors ..................................................................... 126
5.8.2 Project management office factors ............................................. 127
5.8.3 Instructional designer / project manager factors ................................. 128
5.8.4 Department head factors ......................................................... 131
5.8.5 Subject-matter expert factors ..................................................... 132
5.8.6 Course developer .................................................................. 133
5.8.7 Revised conceptual framework: Alpha site .................................. 135
5.9 Case study: Beta site ............................................................... 136
5.9.1 Description ............................................................................ 136
5.9.2 Team roles .......................................................................... 137
5.9.3 Project types ........................................................................ 137
5.10 Customer involvement ........................................................................................................ 138
  5.10.1 Identification .............................................................................................................. 138
  5.10.2 Skills, perspectives and experiences .......................................................................... 138
  5.10.3 Agendas ...................................................................................................................... 139
  5.10.4 Knowledge ................................................................................................................. 139
  5.10.5 Reactions .................................................................................................................... 140
5.11 Defined processes ............................................................................................................. 140
  5.11.1 Needs assessment ....................................................................................................... 141
  5.11.2 Project approvals ....................................................................................................... 142
  5.11.3 Planning ..................................................................................................................... 142
  5.11.4 Design ........................................................................................................................ 144
  5.11.5 Development ............................................................................................................. 145
  5.11.6 Evaluation .................................................................................................................. 147
  5.11.7 Closure ....................................................................................................................... 147
  5.11.8 Change management ................................................................................................ 148
  5.11.9 Communications and reporting ................................................................................. 148
5.12 Dynamic non-events ......................................................................................................... 148
  5.12.1 Acceptance ............................................................................................................... 148
  5.12.2 Attitude ...................................................................................................................... 149
  5.12.3 Commitment .............................................................................................................. 150
  5.12.4 Credibility ................................................................................................................ 150
  5.12.5 Motivation ................................................................................................................ 151
  5.12.6 Negotiation ............................................................................................................... 151
  5.12.7 Nurture ...................................................................................................................... 152
  5.12.8 Trust ........................................................................................................................ 152
5.13 Adaptable variants ............................................................................................................ 154
  5.13.1 Academic freedom ..................................................................................................... 154
  5.13.2 Advisory committee ................................................................................................ 155
  5.13.3 Culture and values .................................................................................................... 155
  5.13.4 Creativity ................................................................................................................... 156
  5.13.5 Expertise and competency ........................................................................................ 157

x
5.13.6 Interface with organization ................................................................. 158
5.13.7 Lacking resources ............................................................................. 158
5.13.8 Leadership and governance ............................................................... 159
5.13.9 Intersection between pedagogy and information technology .......... 160
5.13.10 Instructional designer/project manager ............................................. 161
5.13.11 Relationship-building ..................................................................... 162
5.13.12 SMEs and departmental staff ........................................................... 163
5.13.13 Support ............................................................................................ 163
5.13.14 Team members: roles and responsibilities ...................................... 164
5.13.15 Teamwork ......................................................................................... 165
5.13.16 Tools .................................................................................................. 165
5.13.17 Training ............................................................................................ 166
5.13.18 Union / labour relations ................................................................. 167
5.14 Synthesis of data: Beta site ................................................................. 168
  5.14.1 Factors: sponsor ............................................................................... 168
  5.14.2 Factors: manager, project management office ................................ 169
  5.14.3 Factors: production manager ........................................................... 171
  5.14.4 Factors: instructional designer / project manager ......................... 173
  5.14.5 Factors: subject matter expert ......................................................... 175
  5.14.6 Factors: course developers ............................................................... 176
  5.14.7 Revised conceptual framework—Beta site ..................................... 177
5.15 Comparison of case sites ..................................................................... 179
  5.15.1 Project types ..................................................................................... 179
  5.15.2 Project teams .................................................................................... 180
  5.15.3 Customer involvement ................................................................... 181
  5.15.4 Defined processes ........................................................................... 183
  5.15.5 Dynamic non-events ...................................................................... 185
  5.15.6 Adaptable variants .......................................................................... 187
5.16 Conclusions .......................................................................................... 190

Chapter 6 Discussion and Conclusions ..................................................... 192
  6.1 Introduction ............................................................................................ 192
6.2 How does an organization reliably manage an undefined project? ........................................ 194
6.2.1 Involve the customer ........................................................................................................ 194
6.2.2 Foster a culture of adaptability ...................................................................................... 194
6.2.3 Be mindful of the ‘human factors’ .................................................................................. 195
6.2.4 Provide and support defined processes ........................................................................... 195
6.3 What organizationally-specific factors contribute? ............................................................. 196
6.3.1 Community values (academic freedom) ......................................................................... 197
6.3.2 Organizational policies .................................................................................................... 197
6.3.3 Expertise ........................................................................................................................... 198
6.3.4 Labour relations (union influence) .................................................................................... 201
6.3.5 Resources ........................................................................................................................ 202
6.3.6 Teamwork ......................................................................................................................... 202
6.3.7 Customer involvement ..................................................................................................... 203
6.3.8 Defined processes ............................................................................................................ 203
6.4 The contribution of non-process factors ........................................................................... 206
6.4.1 Attitude and acceptance .................................................................................................... 206
6.4.2 Commitment and motivation ......................................................................................... 207
6.4.3 Trust .................................................................................................................................... 208
6.5 Can interpretations of project management maturity be context-specific? ...................... 209
6.6 Implications of the findings for theory ............................................................................... 209
6.7 Implications for practice ..................................................................................................... 211
6.8 Implications for methodology ............................................................................................ 212
6.9 Summary of contributions to knowledge ......................................................................... 213
6.10 Limitations ......................................................................................................................... 215
6.11 Implications for further research ..................................................................................... 215

References .................................................................................................................................. 217

Appendices ................................................................................................................................ 228
Appendix #1: Full Case study protocol .................................................................................... 229
Appendix #2: Full list of maturity models ................................................................................... 234
Appendix #3: Pilot site report ..................................................................................................... 239
Appendix #4: Sample project management documentation (Beta site) .................................... 245
List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Pictorial outline of literature review chapter (Pasian, for this research) ................................................. 9
Figure 2-2: Process classification scheme (Adapted from Camp, 1995) ................................................................. 12
Figure 2-3: Turner's 5 functions of PM-based management (Adapted from Turner 1999, p. 8) .............................. 13
Figure 2-4: Shewhart Control Chart (Adapted from Shewhart & Deming, 1939) ...................................................... 15
Figure 2-5: Placement of maturity models in Turner & Cochrane typology (Pasian, for this research) .... 40
Figure 3-1: Pictorial outline of chapter (Pasian, for this research) ........................................................................ 47
Figure 3-2: Research cycle (Pasian, for this research) ........................................................................................ ..... 54
Figure 4-1: Pictorial outline of document analysis chapter (Pasian, for this research) ............................... 77
Figure 4-2: Conceptual framework (Pasian, for this research) ................................................................................. 90
Figure 5-1: Pictorial outline of data collection & analysis chapter (Pasian, for this research) ............... 93
Figure 5-2: Example of open coding around Defined Processes node (Pasian, for this research) .......... 95
Figure 5-3: Alpha site: project initiation stage (Adapted from Alpha site source data, 2010) .......... 104
Figure 5-4: Alpha site: project planning stage (Adapted from Alpha site source data, 2010) ......... 107
Figure 5-5: Alpha site: Project execution stage (Adapted from Alpha site source data, 2010) ......... 109
Figure 5-6: Alpha site: project closure stage (Adapted from Alpha site source data 2010) ............ 111
Figure 5-7: Revised conceptual framework—Alpha site (Pasian, for this research) ................................ 135
Figure 5-8: Project management perspective: Beta site (Adapted from Beta site source data, 2010) ..... 141
Figure 5-9: Revised conceptual framework—Beta only (Pasian, for this research) ............................. 178
Figure 5-10: Revised CF with variations from both case sites (Pasian, for this research) ................. 191
Figure 6-1: Pictorial outline of conclusions chapter (Pasian, for this research) ........................................ 193
Figure 6-2: Consolidated version of CF (Pasian, for this research) ................................................................. 196
List of Tables

Table 1-1: List of abbreviations and their expansions ................................................................. xvi
Table 1-1: Table of definitions (Pasian, for this research) ............................................................ 6
Table 1-2: Delimitation table for case study selection (Pasian, for this research) ............................. 7
Table 1-3: Site selection table (Pasian, for this research) ............................................................. 7
Table 2-1: Kerzner's 16 Points to PMM (Adapted from Kerzner, 2006, ii) ...................................... 26
Table 2-2: Quality Management Maturity Grid (Adapted from Crosby 1979, p. 36-37) ...................... 29
Table 2-3: Process maturity principles as codified within CMM (Adapted from Humphrey 1989) ...... 30
Table 2-4: Basic characteristics of project management maturity models (Pasian, for this research) .... 35
Table 2-5: PM models: Content and ascension design (Pasian, for this research) ......................... 37
Table 2-6: Summary of PM maturity industry assessments (Pasian, for this research) ..................... 38
Table 2-7: Turner & Cochrane Goals-and-Methods Matrix (Turner & Cochrane, 1993) .................... 39
Table 3-1: Characteristics of this qualitative strategy (Adapted from Creswell, 2003) ....................... 49
Table 3-2: Multimethod sequences (Adapted from Morse, 2003) ................................................. 55
Table 3-3: New multimethod sequence (Pasian, for this research) .............................................. 55
Table 3-4: Research methods used in multimethod design (Pasian, for this research) ..................... 56
Table 3-5: Document and record typology (Pasian, for this research) ......................................... 59
Table 3-6: Advantages of document analysis (Pasian, for this research) ....................................... 59
Table 3-7: Delimitation table for case study selection (Pasian, for this research) ......................... 63
Table 3-8: Site selection table (Pasian, for this research) ............................................................. 65
Table 3-9: Standard team profile for e-Learning projects (Pasian, for this research) ....................... 68
Table 4-1: Resulting nodes: open coding analysis of PM maturity models (Pasian, for this research) .. 79
Table 4-2: Industry and organization representation in model analysis (Pasian, for this research) ....... 81
Table 4-3: Resulting nodes: open coding analysis of non-PM models (Pasian, for this research) ......... 82
Table 5-1: Illustrative partial case-level meta-matrix (Pasian, for this research) .............................. 97
Table 5-2: Team role definitions (Pasian, for this research) ....................................................... 98
Table 5-3: Definitions for process, practice, enabler, property & observation (Pasian, for this research) 98
Table 5-4: Alpha site - team roles (Pasian, for this research) ..................................................... 100
Table 5-5: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - sponsor factors (Pasian, for this research) ................. 127
Table 5-6: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - PMO factors (Pasian, for this research) ....................... 128
Table 5-7: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - ID / PM factors (Pasian, for this research) ....................... 129
Table 5-8: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - ID / PM factors (Pasian, for this research) ....................... 130
Table 5-9: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - department head (Pasian, for this research) ..................... 131
Table 5-10: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - SME factors (Pasian, for this research) .......................... 132
Table 5-11: Alpha site: partial meta-matrix - Course Developer factors (Pasian, for this research) ...... 134
Table 5-12: Beta site - team roles (Pasian, for this research) ............................................................ 137
Table 5-13: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - sponsor factors (Pasian, for this research) ....................... 169
Table 5-14: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - PMO factors (Pasian, for this research) ............................ 170
Table 5-15: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - PMO factors (Pasian, for this research) ............................ 171
Table 5-16: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - production manager factors (Pasian, for this research) ...... 172
Table 5-17: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - production manager factors (Pasian, for this research) ...... 173
Table 5-18: Beta site: partial meta-matrix – ID/PM factors (Pasian, for this research) .......................... 174
Table 5-19: Beta site: partial meta-matrix – ID/PM (Pasian, for this research) ...................................... 175
Table 5-20: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - SME factors (Pasian, for this research) ............................. 175
Table 5-21: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - SME factors (Pasian, for this research) ............................. 176
Table 5-22: Beta site: partial meta-matrix - course developer factors (Pasian, for this research) ......... 177
Table 5-23: Comparison of Alpha & Beta variations to original framework nodes (Pasian, for this research) .......................................................................................................................... 179
Table 6-1: Summary of research contributions (Pasian, for this research) ........................................... 213
List of Abbreviations

Table 1-1: List of abbreviations and their expansions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADDIE</td>
<td>Assess, Design, Develop, Implement and Evaluate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMM</td>
<td>Capability Maturity Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Enabler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWS</td>
<td>Early Warning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEI</td>
<td>Higher Education Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information &amp; Communications Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Instructional Designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPCS</td>
<td>Multi-dimensional Project Control System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPD</td>
<td>New Product Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>Project Management Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMBOK</td>
<td>Project Management Body of Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGC</td>
<td>Office of Government Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPM3</td>
<td>Organizational Project Management Maturity Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMO</td>
<td>Project Management Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3M3</td>
<td>Portfolio, Programme, and Project Management Maturity Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PM)_2</td>
<td>Project Management Process Maturity Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince2</td>
<td>PRojects IN Controlled Environments (version 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMMM</td>
<td>Project Management Maturity Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMMG</td>
<td>Quality Management Maturity Grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEI</td>
<td>Software Engineering Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Subject-Matter Expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>Statistical Process Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQM</td>
<td>Total Quality Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBS</td>
<td>Work Breakdown Structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>